

POISSON APPROXIMATION FOR SUMS OF INDEPENDENT BIVARIATE BERNOULLI VECTORS

BY MIECZYŚLAW POLAK

1. Introduction. It is well known fact as Poisson's theorem that for a given sequence of $\{p_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that $p_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) we have

$$P_n(m) - (\lambda_n^m / m!) e^{-\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all non-negative integer m where

$$\lambda_n = n p_n, \quad P_n(m) = \binom{n}{m} p_n^m (1 - p_n)^{n-m}.$$

Furthermore, if $n p_n \rightarrow \lambda$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) then we have

$$P_n(m) \rightarrow (\lambda^m / m!) e^{-\lambda} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

R. von Mises in the paper [3] has showed that if $\{X_{kj}, k \geq 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables such that

$$P[X_{kj} = 1] = 1 - P[X_{kj} = 0] = p_{kj}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$$

and

$$(1.1) \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_k} p_{kj} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{kj} \rightarrow \lambda > 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

then

$$P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} X_{kj} = m\right] \rightarrow (\lambda^m / m!) e^{-\lambda} \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

In (1977) J. Mačys (see. [2]) has proved that the conditions (1.1) are necessary as well.

Let $\{(X_k, Y_k), k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random vectors bivariate Bernoulli law, i. e.

$$P[X_k = 0, Y_k = 0] = p_{00}, \quad P[X_k = 1, Y_k = 0] = p_{10},$$

$$P[X_k = 0, Y_k = 1] = p_{01}, \quad P[X_k = 1, Y_k = 1] = p_{11},$$

where $p_{00} + p_{10} + p_{01} + p_{11} = 1$.

Received July 30, 1981

K. Kawamura in [1] has proved that if $\{(X_k, Y_k), k \geq 1\}$ are mutually independent and identically distributed random vectors having bivariate Bernoulli probability, then

$$P\left[\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k, Y_k) = (n, m)\right] \longrightarrow \sum_{s=0}^{\min(n, m)} \frac{\lambda_{10}^{n-s} \lambda_{01}^{m-s} \lambda_{11}^s}{(n-s)!(m-s)!s!} e^{-(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11})}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $n p_{11} = \lambda_{11}$, $n p_{10} = \lambda_{10}$ and $n p_{01} = \lambda_{01}$ are fixed values.

The main aim of this paper is to generalize Kawamura's results [1] to nonidentically distributed random vectors $\{(X_k, Y_k), k \geq 1\}$. The results presented in Section 2 extend those of Kawamura [1], and Mačys [2].

2. The result.

Let $\{(X_{kj}, Y_{kj}), j=1, 2, \dots, n_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent bivariate Bernoulli vectors with

$$\begin{aligned} P[X_{kj}=0, Y_{kj}=0] &= p_{kj}(0, 0), & P[X_{kj}=0, Y_{kj}=1] &= p_{kj}(0, 1), \\ P[X_{kj}=1, Y_{kj}=0] &= p_{kj}(1, 0), & P[X_{kj}=1, Y_{kj}=1] &= p_{kj}(1, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{kj}(0, 0) + p_{kj}(0, 1) + p_{kj}(1, 0) + p_{kj}(1, 1) = 1$.

Let

$$S_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (X_{kj}, Y_{kj}), \quad k \geq 1.$$

THEOREM. *In order that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P[S_k = (n, m)] = \sum_{s=0}^{\min(n, m)} \frac{\lambda_{10}^{n-s} \lambda_{01}^{m-s} \lambda_{11}^s}{(n-s)!(m-s)!s!} e^{-(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11})}$$

may hold for all $n, m \geq 0$ it is necessary and sufficient that for $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{kj}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{kj}(0, 1) \longrightarrow \lambda_{01},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} p_{kj}(1, 1) \longrightarrow \lambda_{11}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \min_{1 \leq k \leq n_k} p_{kj}(0, 0) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity the index k will be omitted in the proof of Theorem, i.e. instead of p_{kj} we write p_j .

The part *if*. It is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad P[S_k=(0, 0)] = \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0),$$

$$(2.6) \quad P[S_k=(1, 0)] = \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} \left\{ p_t(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq t}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} \\ = \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t(1, 0) / p_t(0, 0),$$

$$(2.7) \quad P[S_k=(2, 0)] = \sum_{t_1 < t_2}^{n_k} \left\{ p_{t_1}(1, 0) p_{t_2}(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq t_1, t_2}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} \\ = \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \sum_{t_1 < t_2} p_{t_1}(1, 0) p_{t_2}(1, 0) / p_{t_1}(0, 0) p_{t_2}(0, 0).$$

In the same way, for every $n > 2$, we obtain

$$P[S_k=(n, 0)] = \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq t_1, \dots, t_n}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} \\ = \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0) / p_{t_l}(0, 0) \right).$$

Let $n, m \geq 1$ be given and assume that $n = m$. If we put $\delta = \max\{2n - n_k, 0\}$, then

$$P[S_k=(n, n)] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} P \left[\bigcap_{p=1}^n [X_{j_p} = 1], \bigcap_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i_1, \dots, i_n}}^{n_k} [X_l = 0], \right. \\ \left. \cdot \bigcap_{r=1}^n [Y_{j_r} = 1], \bigcap_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq j_1, \dots, j_n}}^{n_k} [Y_s = 0] \right] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \left\{ \prod_{p=1}^n p_{i_p}(1, 1) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq i_1, \dots, i_n}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} \\ + \sum_{\substack{l_1=1 \\ l_1 < \dots < l_{n-1} \\ \neq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}}}^{n_k} \sum_{\substack{j_1=1 \\ j_1 < \dots < j_{n-1} \\ \neq t_1, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}}}^{n_k} \left\{ p_{t_1}(1, 0) p_{j_1}(0, 1) \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq t_1, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, j_1}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} \\ + \sum_{t_1 < t_2} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2} \\ \neq t_1, t_2}} \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 \\ \neq t_1, t_2, i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}}} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^2 p_{t_l}(1, 0) \prod_{r=1}^2 p_{j_r}(0, 1) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ g \neq t_1, t_2, i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}, j_1, j_2}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \right\} + \dots + \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-\delta}} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_\delta} \\ \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{n-\delta} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-\delta}, i_1, \dots, i_\delta}} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n-\delta} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \prod_{r=1}^{n-\delta} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \prod_{p=1}^{\delta} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \right\}.$$

Taking out the product $\prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0)$ before the sign of the first sum, we may write out

$$(2.8) \quad P[S_k=(n, n)] = \sum_{s=\max\{2n-n_k, 0\}}^n \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \left\{ \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) / p_{t_l}(0, 0) \right) \right.$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \left(\prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) / p_{i_p}(0, 0) \right) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{n-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) / p_{j_r}(0, 0) \right) \Big\}.$$

Let now $n, m \geq 1$ be given and suppose that $n < m$. If we put $\delta = \max\{n + m - n_k, 0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P[S_k = (n, m)] &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} P \left[\bigcap_{p=1}^n [X_{i_p} = 1], \bigcap_{\substack{l=1 \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_n}}^{n_k} [X_l = 0], \bigcap_{r=1}^m [Y_{j_r} = 1], \right. \\ &\cdot \bigcap_{\substack{s=1 \\ \neq j_1, \dots, j_m}}^{n_k} [Y_s = 0] \Big] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-n} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_n}} \left\{ \prod_{p=1}^n p_{i_p}(1, 1) \prod_{r=1}^{m-n} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \right. \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ \neq i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \Big\} + \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1}} \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-n+1} \\ \neq t_1; i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}}} \left\{ p_{t_1}(1, 0) \right. \\ &\cdot \prod_{r=1}^{m-n+1} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \prod_{p=1}^{n-1} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ \neq t_1; i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}; j_1, \dots, j_{m-n+1}}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \Big\} \\ &+ \sum_{t_1 < t_2} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2}} \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-n+2} \\ \neq t_1, t_2; i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}}} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^2 p_{t_l}(1, 0) \prod_{r=1}^{m-n+2} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \prod_{p=1}^{n-2} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \right. \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{g=1 \\ \neq t_1, t_2; i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}; j_1, \dots, j_{m-n+2}}}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \Big\} + \dots + \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-\delta}} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_\delta \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-\delta}}} \\ &\cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-\delta} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-\delta}; i_1, \dots, i_\delta}} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n-\delta} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \prod_{r=1}^{m-\delta} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \prod_{p=1}^{\delta} p_{i_p}(1, 1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus taking into account (2.8) and the above given equality, for all $n, m \geq 1$, we may write

$$(2.9) \quad P[S_k = (n, m)] = \sum_{s=\max\{n+m-n_k, 0\}}^{\min\{n, m\}} \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} \left\{ p_g(0, 0) \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) / p_{t_l}(0, 0) \right) \right. \\ \cdot \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \left(\prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) / p_{i_p}(0, 0) \right) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) / p_{j_r}(0, 0) \right) \Big\}.$$

In order to prove that

$$\prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \longrightarrow e^{-(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11})} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

we consider the inequality $1 + y \leq e^y$, $y \in [-1, \infty)$. Putting $y = -x$, $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$e^{-x/(1-x)} \leq 1 - x \leq e^{-x}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Now putting $A_g = p_g(1, 0) + p_g(0, 1) + p_g(1, 1)$ and using the last inequality, we obtain

$$e^{-\frac{1}{\min p_g(0,0)} \sum_{g=1}^{n_k} A_g} \leq \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \leq e^{-\sum A_g}.$$

Taking into account (2.1)–(2.4) one can prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) = e^{-\langle \lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11} \rangle}.$$

Now we are going to prove that

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \\ \longrightarrow \lambda_{10}^{n-s} / (n-s)! \cdot \lambda_{11}^s / s! \cdot \lambda_{01}^{m-s} / (m-s)! \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the case $m=0$ the proof is by induction with respect to n . The case $n=1$ is obvious. Let $n=2$, then by (2.1) and (2.4)

$$\sum_{t_1 < t_2} p_{t_1}(1, 0) p_{t_2}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10}^2 / 2$$

as

$$2 \sum_{t_1 < t_2} p_{t_1}(1, 0) p_{t_2}(1, 0) = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t(1, 0) \right)^2 - \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t^2(1, 0)$$

and

$$0 \leq \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t^2(1, 0) \leq (1 - \min p_t(0, 0)) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t(1, 0).$$

Assume that

$$\sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10}^{n-1} / (n-1)!$$

Multiplying the left hand side of the last relation by $\sum_{t_n=1}^{n_k} p_{t_n}(1, 0)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} p_{t_1}^2(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ \neq t_1}}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0) + \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} p_{t_2}^2(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ \neq t_2}}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0) + \dots \\ + \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} p_{t_{n-1}}^2(1, 0) \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ \neq t_{n-1}}}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0) + \sum_{t_n < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \\ + \sum_{t_1 < t_n < \dots < t_{n-1}} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0) + \dots + \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0).$$

Because every sum from among the first $(n-1)$ sums we may estimate by

$$(1 - \min p_t(0, 0)) \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} p_{t_l}(1, 0)$$

so the first $(n-1)$ sums tend to 0. We have then

$$n \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10}^n / (n-1)!.$$

The last relation proves that

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{t_l}(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{i_0}^n/n!.$$

In the same way one can prove that

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \longrightarrow \lambda_{i_1}^s/s!$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \longrightarrow \lambda_{01}^{m-s}/(m-s)!.$$

Let us put

$$B_{n_k}(n-s, s, m-s) = \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \cdot \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1).$$

Then, taking into account (2.11)-(2.13), we have

$$(2.14) \quad B_{n_k}(n-s, s, m-s) \longrightarrow \lambda_{i_0}^{n-s}/(n-s)! \cdot \lambda_{i_1}^s/s! \cdot \lambda_{01}^{m-s}/(m-s)!$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us define

$$A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}^s(1, 1) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) - \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1)$$

and

$$A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}^{m-s}(0, 1) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) - \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1).$$

Taking into account the above considerations it may be proved that

$$A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}^s(1, 1) \text{ and } A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}^{m-s}(0, 1) \text{ tend to 0 as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \\ & = B_{n_k}(n-s, s, m-s) - (Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_1 = \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}} A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}^{m-s}(0, 1) \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1),$$

$$Z_2 = \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_s} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 0) \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s} A_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}^{m-s}(0, 1),$$

$$Z_3 = \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \cdot A^s(1, 1) \cdot \prod_{t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}^{m-s} A^{m-s}(0, 1)$$

and $Z_i \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, 1 \leq i \leq 3$.

The relation (2.10) finishes the proof of the part if, because

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1) \\ & \leq \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0)/p_{t_l}(0, 0) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1)/p_{i_p}(0, 0) / \\ & \quad \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1)/p_{j_r}(0, 0) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\min p_j(0, 0)} \right)^{n+m-s} \cdot \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_{n-s}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-s} p_{t_l}(1, 0) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}}} \prod_{p=1}^s p_{i_p}(1, 1) \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_{m-s} \\ \neq t_1, \dots, t_{n-s}; i_1, \dots, i_s}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-s} p_{j_r}(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the part only. From (2.5)–(2.7) we have

$$(2.15) \quad \prod_{g=1}^{n_k} p_g(0, 0) \longrightarrow e^{-(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11})},$$

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{l_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10},$$

$$\sum_{j_1=1}^{n_k} p_{j_1}(0, 1)/p_{j_1}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{01}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{t_1 < t_2} p_{t_1}(1, 0)p_{t_2}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0)p_{t_2}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10}^2/2.$$

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} p_{j_1}(0, 1)p_{j_2}(0, 1)/p_{j_1}(0, 0)p_{j_2}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{01}^2/2.$$

From (2.15)–(2.17) we get

$$\sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} (p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0))^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_k} (p_{j_1}(0, 1)/p_{j_1}(0, 0))^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$\max (p_t(1, 0)/p_t(0, 0)) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \max (p_j(0, 1)/p_j(0, 0)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now we will prove that $\max (p_i(1, 1)/p_i(0, 0)) \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, from (2.8) for $n=m=1$ and (2.15) we have

$$\sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0) \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_k} p_{j_1}(0, 1)/p_{j_1}(0, 0) + \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 1)/p_{t_1}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10} \cdot \lambda_{01} + \lambda^{11}.$$

On the other hand, taking into account the inequality

$$\sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)p_{t_1}(0, 1)/p_{t_1}^2(0, 0) \leq \max(p_j(0, 1)/p_j(0, 0)) \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0),$$

(2.15) and (2.16), we get

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 1)/p_{t_1}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{11}.$$

In the same way, putting in (2.8) $n=m=2$, we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \sum_{t_1 < t_2} p_{t_1}(1, 1)p_{t_2}(1, 1)/p_{t_1}(0, 0)p_{t_2}(0, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{11}^2/2.$$

From (2.18) and (2.19) it follows that $\max(p_i(1, 1)/p_i(0, 0)) \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $\min p_g(0, 0) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The last relation and (2.16) imply that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n_k} p_t(1, 0) \longrightarrow \lambda_{10},$$

because

$$\min p_t(0, 0) \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0) \leq \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0) \leq \sum_{t_1=1}^{n_k} p_{t_1}(1, 0)/p_{t_1}(0, 0).$$

In the same way one can prove that (2.2) and (2.3) are satisfied. Thus the proof of Theorem is completed.

REFERENCES

[1] KAWAMURA, K., The structure of bivariate Poisson distribution. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 25 (1973), 246-256.
 [2] Иагис, Ю. Ю., Об испытаниях Бернулли с переменными вероятностями. Lit. mat. rink. XIX, (1974), 145-151.
 [3] MISES, R. v., Über die Wahrscheinlichkeit seltener Ereignisse. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. B. 1, (1921), 121-124.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
 MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY,
 PLAC M. CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1
 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND