

Well-behaved unbounded operator representations and unbounded C^* -seminorms

By Subhash J. BHATT, Atsushi INOUE and Klaus-Detlef KÜRSTEN

(Received Oct. 18, 2002)

Abstract. The first purpose is to characterize the existence of well-behaved $*$ -representations of locally convex $*$ -algebras by unbounded C^* -seminorms. The second is to define the notion of spectral $*$ -representations and to characterize the existence of spectral well-behaved $*$ -representations by unbounded C^* -seminorms.

1. Introduction.

Unbounded $*$ -representations of $*$ -algebras were considered for the first time in 1962, independently by H. J. Borchers [9] and A. Uhlmann [33] in the Wightman formulation of quantum field theory. A systematic study was undertaken only at the beginning of 1970, first by R. T. Powers [28] and G. Lassner [21], then by many mathematicians, from the pure mathematical situations (operator theory, unbounded operator algebras, locally convex $*$ -algebras, representations of Lie algebras, quantum groups etc.) and the physical applications (Wightman quantum field theory, unbounded CCR-algebras etc.). A survey of the theory of unbounded $*$ -representations may be found in the monograph of K. Schmüdgen [30] and the lecture note of A. I. of us [18].

In the previous paper [6] two of us and H. Ogi have constructed unbounded $*$ -representations of $*$ -algebras on the basis of unbounded C^* -seminorms. In this context there has been investigated a class of well-behaved $*$ -representations. Recently, Schmüdgen [31] has defined another (but related) notion of well-behaved $*$ -representations. Those notions were considered in order to avoid pathologies which may appear for general $*$ -representations and to select “nice” representations which may have a rich theory. In this paper we shall study the well-behavedness of unbounded $*$ -representations of *locally convex $*$ -algebras* and characterize the existence of well-behaved $*$ -representations of locally convex $*$ -algebras by unbounded C^* -seminorms. Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1 and let \mathcal{A}_0 be the Allan bounded part of \mathcal{A} ([1]). In general, \mathcal{A}_0 is not even a subspace, and so we use the $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A}_b generated by the hermitian part of \mathcal{A}_0 as bounded $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{I}_b be the largest left ideal of \mathcal{A} contained in \mathcal{A}_b , that is, $\mathcal{I}_b = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_b; ax \in \mathcal{A}_b, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. A $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} is said to be *uniformly nondegenerate* if $\pi(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ is total in the non-zero Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π . A non-zero mapping p of a $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(p)$ of \mathcal{A} into $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ is said to be an *unbounded C^* -seminorm* on \mathcal{A} if it is a C^* -seminorm on $\mathcal{D}(p)$. In [6] we have constructed a class $\{\pi_p\}$ of $*$ -

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L60, 46K10.

Key Words and Phrases. well-behaved $*$ -representations, unbounded C^* -seminorms, locally convex $*$ -algebras, (hereditary) spectral $*$ -representations.

representations of \mathcal{A} from an unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} as follows: $N_p \equiv \ker p$ is a $*$ -ideal of $\mathcal{D}(p)$, and so the quotient $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}(p)/N_p$ is a normed $*$ -algebra with the C^* -norm $\|x + N_p\|_p \equiv p(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the C^* -algebra obtained by the completion of $\mathcal{D}(p)/N_p$ and let Π_p be any faithful $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A}_p on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{Π_p} . We define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}(\pi_p) = \text{the linear span of } \{ \Pi_p(x + N_p)\zeta; x \in \mathfrak{N}_p, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p} \}, \\ \pi_p(a) \left(\sum_k \Pi_p(x_k + N_p)\zeta_k \right) = \sum_k \Pi_p(ax_k + N_p)\zeta_k \\ \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}, \{x_k\} \in \mathfrak{N}_p, \{\zeta_k\} \in \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}, \end{array} \right.$$

where \mathfrak{N}_p is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\mathfrak{N}_p = \{x \in \mathcal{D}(p); ax \in \mathcal{D}(p), \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then π_p is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_{π_p} (the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\pi_p)$ in \mathcal{H}_{Π_p}) such that $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| = p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{N}_p$ and $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| \leq p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. The class of well-behaved $*$ -representations is now selected as a subclass of the class $\{\pi_p\}$ of $*$ -representations constructed before. If there exists a faithful $*$ -representation Π_p of \mathcal{A}_p on \mathcal{H}_{Π_p} such that $\Pi_p((\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathcal{I}_b) + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}$ is total in \mathcal{H}_{Π_p} , then p is said to be *topologically w -semifinite*, and the $*$ -representation π_p of \mathcal{A} constructed from such a Π_p is said to be *well-behaved*. Note that this implies $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| = p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. The first purpose of this paper is to show that there exists a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} if and only if there exists a uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} and that this is the case if and only if there exists an unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathcal{I}_b \not\subset N_p$. Next we shall investigate the spectrality of $*$ -representations. The spectrum $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$ and the spectral radius $r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$ of $x \in \mathcal{A}$ are defined by

$$Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \exists (\lambda I - x)^{-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_b \},$$

$$r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) = \sup\{ |\lambda|; \lambda \in Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) \}.$$

A $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} is said to be *spectral* if $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) \subset Sp_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$, where $C_u^*(\pi)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A}_b)$. If $\pi|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is spectral for each unital closed $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , then π is said to be *hereditary spectral*. The second purpose of this paper is to show that there exists a spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} if and only if there exists a spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . The third purpose is to show that the existence of a hereditary spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} implies a diration-property of \mathcal{A} . Speaking roughly, \mathcal{A} is said to have diration-property if any closed $*$ -representation of an arbitrary closed $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ may be extended in a certain sense to a closed $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . The fourth purpose is the investigation of the relation between the concepts of well-behaved $*$ -representations defined in [6] and [31] resp. By using multiplier algebras, it will be shown that both concepts are closely related to each other. Furthermore, there will be discussed a number of examples which illustrate the usability of concepts of well-behaved $*$ -representations. These include the universal enveloping algebra $E(\mathcal{G})$ of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of a Lie group G , locally convex $*$ -algebras of distribution theory, gen-

eralized B^* -algebras of Allan [2] and Dixon [12], as well as their variants like pro- C^* -algebras, the multiplier algebra of the Pederson ideal of a C^* -algebra and the Moyal algebra of quantization [16] which turns out to be a well-behaved $*$ -representation of the Moyal algebra.

2. Well-behaved $*$ -representations of $*$ -algebras.

In this section we shall characterize a well-behaved $*$ -representation of a general $*$ -algebra by an unbounded C^* -seminorm. We review the definition of $*$ -representations. Throughout this section let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra with identity I . Let \mathcal{D} be a dense subspace in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ denote the set of all linear operators X in \mathcal{H} with the domain \mathcal{D} for which $X\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}(X^*) \supset \mathcal{D}$ and $X^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$ -algebra with identity operator I under the usual linear operations and the involution $X \mapsto X^\dagger \equiv X^*|_{\mathcal{D}}$. A unital $*$ -subalgebra of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is said to be an O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} . A $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a domain \mathcal{D} is a $*$ -homomorphism of \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\pi(I) = I$, and then we write \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ and \mathcal{H}_π , respectively. Let π be a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ is complete with respect to the graph topology t_π defined by the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_{\pi(x)} \equiv \|\cdot\| + \|\pi(x)\cdot\|; x \in \mathcal{A}\}$, then π is said to be *closed*. It is well-known that π is closed if and only if $\mathcal{D}(\pi) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{D}(\pi(x))}$. The closure $\tilde{\pi}$ of π is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\pi}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{D}(\pi(x))} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\pi}(x)\xi = \overline{\pi(x)\xi} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\pi}).$$

Then $\tilde{\pi}$ is the smallest closed extension of π . We refer to [17], [18], [21], [28], [30] for more details on $*$ -representations.

We define the notion of strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} :

DEFINITION 2.1. A non-trivial $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} is said to be *strongly nondegenerate* if there exists a left ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}_b^\pi \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{A}; \overline{\pi(x)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)\}$ and $[\overline{\pi(\mathcal{I})}\mathcal{H}_\pi] = \mathcal{H}_\pi$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H}_π and $[\mathcal{K}]$ denotes the closed subspace in \mathcal{H}_π generated by a subset \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H}_π .

First we consider when a strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representation can be constructed from an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . Let p be a unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . As shown in Section 1, we can construct a $*$ -representation π_p of \mathcal{A} from any faithful $*$ -representation Π_p of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p , but π_p is not necessarily nontrivial, that is, the case $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_p} = \{0\}$ may arise (Example 6.18). Suppose that p satisfies the following condition (R):

$$(R) \quad \mathfrak{N}_p \not\subset N_p.$$

Then π_p is a nontrivial $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} on the non-zero Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{π_p} (the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\pi_p)$ in \mathcal{H}_{Π_p}) such that $\|\overline{\pi_p(b)}\| \leq p(b)$, $\forall b \in \mathcal{D}(p)$ and $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| = p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{N}_p$ ([6]). Hence we call (R) the *representability condition*. Let p be an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} satisfying the representability condition (R). We denote by $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ the class of all faithful $*$ -representations Π_p of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_{Π_p} and by $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ the set of all $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} constructed as above by (\mathcal{A}, p) , that is,

$$\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, p) = \{\pi_p; \Pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_p)\}.$$

Here we show that π_p is always strongly nondegenerate.

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that an unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} satisfies condition (R). Then every $\pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is strongly nondegenerate.*

PROOF. Let $\Pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_p)$. Since the $\|\cdot\|_p$ -closure $\overline{\mathfrak{N}_p/N_p}^{\|\cdot\|_p}$ of $\{x + N_p; x \in \mathfrak{N}_p\}$ in \mathcal{A}_p is a left ideal of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p , it follows that there exists a left approximate identity $\{E_\alpha\}$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{N}_p/N_p}^{\|\cdot\|_p}$, so that $\lim_\alpha \|(x + N_p)E_\alpha - (x + N_p)\|_p = 0$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{N}_p$. For any α , there exists a sequence $\{e_\alpha^{(n)}\}$ in \mathfrak{N}_p such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e_\alpha^{(n)} + N_p) - E_\alpha\|_p = 0$. Take an arbitrary $\eta \in [\Pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}] \cap [\pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p)\Pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}]^\perp$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_p(x + N_p)\xi | \eta) &= \lim_\alpha (\Pi_p(x + N_p)\Pi_p(E_\alpha)\xi | \eta) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Pi_p(x + N_p)\Pi_p(e_\alpha^{(n)} + N_p)\xi | \eta) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi_p(x)\Pi_p(e_\alpha^{(n)} + N_p)\xi | \eta) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \mathfrak{N}_p$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}$, which implies that $[\pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p)\Pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}] = [\Pi_p(\mathfrak{N}_p + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}] = \mathcal{H}_{\pi_p}$. Hence π_p is strongly nondegenerate. \square

Next we review well-behaved $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} defined in [6] which play an important role for the study of unbounded C^* -seminorms. Moreover, we investigate the relation of them and strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representations. If

$$\text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p) = \{\pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, p); \mathcal{H}_{\pi_p} = \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then p is said to be *weakly semifinite* (or abbreviated, *w-semifinite*), and an element π_p of $\text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is said to be a *well-behaved $*$ -representation* of \mathcal{A} . In a previous paper ([6, Proposition 2.5]) we have shown that if $\pi_p \in \text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ then π_p is strongly nondegenerate and $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| = p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. By Lemma 2.2, π_p is always strongly nondegenerate. A strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} is not well-behaved in general, but it allows to construct a w-semifinite C^* -seminorm (and consequently also a well-behaved $*$ -representation) as follows: Let r_π be the unbounded C^* -seminorm defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(r_\pi) = \mathcal{A}_b^\pi, \\ r_\pi(x) = \|\overline{\pi(x)}\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(r_\pi). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that π is a strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Then r_π is a w-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Since π is strongly nondegenerate, there exists a left ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}_b^\pi$ and $[\pi(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{D}(\pi)] = \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Now we put

$$\Pi(x + N_{r_\pi}) = \overline{\pi(x)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_b^\pi.$$

Since $\|\Pi(x + N_{r_\pi})\| = r_\pi(x) = \|x + N_{r_\pi}\|_{r_\pi}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_b^\pi$, it follows that Π can be

extended to the faithful $*$ -representation $\Pi_{r_\pi}^N$ of \mathcal{A}_{r_π} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π . We denote by $\pi_{r_\pi}^N$ the $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} constructed from $\pi_{r_\pi}^N$. Then it follows from ([6, Proposition 4.1]) that $\pi_{r_\pi}^N$ is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , and hence r_π is weakly semifinite. \square

The following scheme may serve as a short sketch of the proofs of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 p & \xrightarrow{\text{-----}} & \pi_p & \xrightarrow{\text{-----}} & r_{\pi_p} \\
 \text{unbounded } C^*\text{-seminorm} & & \text{strongly nondegenerate} & & \text{weakly semifinite} \\
 \text{with condition (R)} & & *\text{-representation} & & \text{unbounded } C^*\text{-seminorm} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & \pi_{r_{\pi_p}}^N & \xleftarrow{\text{-----}} & \\
 & & \text{well-behaved} & & \\
 & & *\text{-representation.} & &
 \end{array} \tag{S1}$$

Here, the arrow $A \xrightarrow{\text{-----}} B$ means that B is constructed from A .

We have the following

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra with identity 1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , that is, there exists a weakly semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} .*
- (ii) *There exists a strongly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*
- (iii) *There exists an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} satisfying condition (R).*

3. Well-behaved $*$ -representations of locally convex $*$ -algebras.

In this section we shall consider an extension of the results of Section 2 to the case of locally convex $*$ -algebras. First, we review some notions of the theory of locally convex $*$ -algebras. A *locally convex $*$ -algebra* is a $*$ -algebra which is also a Hausdorff locally convex space such that the multiplication is separately continuous and the involution is continuous. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. We denote by \mathcal{B} the collection of all absolutely convex, bounded and closed subsets \mathbf{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $1 \in \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}^2 \subset \mathbf{B}$. For any $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}]$ denote the subspace of \mathcal{A} generated by \mathbf{B} . Then $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}] = \{\lambda x; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbf{B}\}$ and the equation: $\|x\|_{\mathbf{B}} = \inf\{\lambda > 0; x \in \lambda \mathbf{B}\}$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}]$, which makes $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}]$ a normed algebra. If $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}]$ is complete for each $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$, then \mathcal{A} is said to be *pseudo-complete*. Note that \mathcal{A} is pseudo-complete if it is sequentially complete. We refer to [1], [2], [12] for more details on locally convex $*$ -algebras. Throughout this section \mathcal{A} will denote a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. An element x of \mathcal{A} is *bounded* if, for some non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\{(\lambda x)^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. The set of all bounded elements of \mathcal{A} is denoted by \mathcal{A}_0 . If \mathcal{A} is commutative, then \mathcal{A}_0 is a $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , but it is not even a subspace of \mathcal{A} in general. Hence we consider the $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by $(\mathcal{A}_0)_h \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0; x^* = x\}$ as the *bounded $*$ -subalgebra* of \mathcal{A} , and denote it by \mathcal{A}_b . In general, $(\mathcal{A}_0)_h \subset \mathcal{A}_b$ and $(\mathcal{A}_0)_h \subset \mathcal{A}_0$, but there is no definite relation between \mathcal{A}_b and \mathcal{A}_0 . Of course, $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{A}_0$ if \mathcal{A} is commutative. We put

$$\mathcal{I}_b = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_b; ax \in \mathcal{A}_b, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}_b is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} which is the largest left ideal of \mathcal{A} contained in \mathcal{A}_b . By ([4, Lemma 3.10]) we have the following

LEMMA 3.1. *If π is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{A}_b \subset \mathcal{A}_b^\pi$ and $\|\overline{\pi(x)}\| \leq \beta(x)$ for each $x \in (\mathcal{A}_0)_h$, where $\beta(x)$ is the radius of boundedness of x defined by $\beta(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0; \{(\lambda^{-1}x)^n; n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is bounded $\}$.*

Next we define the notion of uniform nondegenerateness of $*$ -representations which is stronger than that of the strong nondegenerateness.

DEFINITION 3.2. A non-trivial $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} is said to be *uniformly nondegenerate* if $[\pi(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{D}(\pi)] = \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

To investigate the relation of uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representations and unbounded C^* -seminorms of a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra, we need a further notion:

DEFINITION 3.3. An unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} is said to be *topologically w-semifinite* (abbreviated, *tw-semifinite*) if there exists an element $\Pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ such that $[\Pi_p((\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathcal{I}_b) + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}] = \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}$.

We denote by $\text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ the set of all $*$ -representations π_p of \mathcal{A} constructed from $\Pi_p \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_p)$ satisfying $[\Pi_p((\mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathcal{I}_b) + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}] = \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_p}$. It is clear that

$$\text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p) \subset \text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p).$$

In case of *general* $*$ -algebras an element π_p of $\text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is said to be *well-behaved*, but in case of locally convex $*$ -algebras an element π_p of $\text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is said to be *well-behaved*, and an element π_p of $\text{Rep}^{\text{WB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is said to be *algebraically well-behaved*.

The existence of well-behaved $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} may be characterized as follows:

THEOREM 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , that is, there exists a tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} .*
- (ii) *There exists a uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*
- (iii) *There exists an unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} satisfying the representability condition (UR):*

$$\text{(UR)} \quad \mathfrak{N}_p \cap \mathcal{I}_b \not\subset N_p.$$

PROOF. (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that p is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} satisfying condition (UR). By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 r_{π_p} is a w-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{D}(r_{\pi_p}) = \mathcal{A}_b^{\pi_p} \supset \mathcal{A}_b$, and hence $\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}} \supset \mathcal{I}_b$. Thus we define an unbounded C^* -seminorms $r_{\pi_p}^u$ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(r_{\pi_p}^u) = \mathcal{A}_b \\ r_{\pi_p}^u(x) = r_{\pi_p}(x) = \|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(r_{\pi_p}^u). \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}^u} = \mathcal{I}_b$, and so $r_{\pi_p}^u$ is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} satisfying condition (UR). Hence an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}(\mathcal{I}_b) \Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}(\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}^u} + N_{r_{\pi_p}^u}) \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}}] &= [\pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}(\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}^u}) \Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}(\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}^u} + N_{r_{\pi_p}^u}) \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}}] \\ &= [\Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}(\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi_p}^u} + N_{r_{\pi_p}^u}) \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}}], \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}$ is uniformly nondegenerate.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that π is a uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . As shown above, the restriction r_{π}^u of r_{π} to \mathcal{A}_b is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi}^u} = \mathcal{I}_b$, and so it satisfies condition (UR). We denote by $\pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N$ the natural $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} constructed from a faithful $*$ -representation $\Pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N$ of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r_{\pi}^u}$ of \mathcal{H}_{π} . Then we have

$$[\Pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N(\mathfrak{N}_{r_{\pi}^u} \cap \mathcal{I}_b + N_{r_{\pi}^u}) \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N}] = [\overline{\pi(\mathcal{I}_b)} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}] = \mathcal{H}_{\pi} = \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N},$$

which means that r_{π}^u is tw-semifinite and $\pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N$ is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) This is trivial. This completes the proof. \square

The following schemes may serve as a sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.4:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & r_{\pi}^u \\ \text{uniformly nondegenerate} & \text{tw-semifinite} & \text{unbounded} \\ \text{*}-\text{representation} & C^*\text{-seminorm} & \\ & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{r_{\pi}^u}^N & \xleftarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \\ \text{well-behaved} & & \\ \text{*}-\text{representation} & & \end{array} \tag{S2}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \pi_p & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & r_{\pi_p}^u \\ \text{unbounded } C^*\text{-seminorm} & \text{strongly nondegenerate} & \text{w-semifinite} & & \\ \text{with condition (UR)} & \text{*}-\text{representation} & \text{unbounded } C^*\text{-seminorm} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & \pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}^u \xleftarrow{\hspace{2cm}} r_{\pi_p}^u \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_b & & \\ \text{uniformly nondegenerate} & & & & \\ \text{*}-\text{representation} & & & & \\ & & & & \parallel \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & r_{\pi_p}^u \\ & & & & \text{tw-semifinite} \\ & & & & \text{unbounded } C^*\text{-seminorm} \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{r_{\pi_p}^u}^N & \xleftarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & & & \\ \text{well-behaved} & & & & \\ \text{*}-\text{representation.} & & & & \end{array} \tag{S3}$$

4. Spectral well-behaved *-representations of locally convex *-algebras.

In this section we shall define the notion of spectral *-representations of locally convex *-algebras and characterize them by unbounded C^* -seminorms.

Let \mathcal{A} be a locally convex *-algebra. If \mathcal{A} does not have an identity, then we may consider the locally convex *-algebra \mathcal{A}_I obtained by adjoining an identity I to \mathcal{A} . The algebraic spectrum $Sp_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ and the spectral radius $r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ of $x \in \mathcal{A}$ are defined by

$$Sp_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \exists(\lambda I - x)^{-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}\}, & \text{if } I \in \mathcal{A}; \\ \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \exists(\lambda I - x)^{-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_I\} \cup \{0\}, & \text{if } I \notin \mathcal{A}; \end{cases}$$

and

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \sup\{|\lambda|; \lambda \in Sp_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\}.$$

In topological cases, it is natural to consider also $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$ defined by

$$Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \exists(\lambda I - x)^{-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_b\}, & \text{if } I \in \mathcal{A}; \\ \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \exists(\lambda I - x)^{-1} \text{ in } (\mathcal{A}_b)_I\} \cup \{0\}, & \text{if } I \notin \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this section let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex *-algebra with identity I .

We first define the notion of spectral *-representations of \mathcal{A} as follows:

DEFINITION 4.1. A *-representation π of \mathcal{A} is said to be *spectral* if $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) \subset Sp_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$, where $C_u^*(\pi)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{A}_b)$. If $\pi \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$ is spectral for each unital closed *-subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , then π is said to be *hereditary spectral*.

In order to characterize the existence of (hereditary) spectral uniformly nondegenerate *-representations of \mathcal{A} , we shall define and study the notion of spectrality of unbounded C^* -seminorms. Note that an element x of an arbitrary $(*)$ -algebra \mathcal{B} has the quasi-inverse $y \in \mathcal{B}$ if $x + y - xy = x + y - yx = 0$. In an unital algebra \mathcal{B} (or in \mathcal{B}_1) this is equivalent to $(I - y) = (I - x)^{-1}$. An element $x \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be quasi-regular if it has a quasi-inverse. In case of a topological *-algebra \mathcal{B} , $x \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be quasi-invertible if it has a quasi-inverse belonging to \mathcal{B}_b . Let \mathcal{B}^{qi} (resp. \mathcal{B}^{qr}) denote the set of all quasi-invertible (resp. quasi-regular) elements of \mathcal{B} .

DEFINITION 4.2. An unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} is said to be *spectral* if $\{x \in \mathcal{D}(p); p(x) < 1\} \subset \mathcal{D}(p)^{qi}$. If $p \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$ is spectral for each unital closed *-subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , then p is said to be *hereditary spectral*.

REMARK 4.3. In [6] there has been defined the notion of spectrality of unbounded C^* -seminorms p on *general* *-algebras \mathcal{A} as follows: p is said to be *spectral* if $\{x \in \mathcal{D}(p); p(x) < 1\} \subset \mathcal{D}(p)^{qr}$, and p is said to be *hereditary spectral* if $p \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$ is spectral for each *-subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . When \mathcal{A} is a locally convex *-algebra, such a p is said to be *algebraically (hereditary) spectral*. It is clear that if p is spectral, then it is algebraically spectral.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let p be an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . The following statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent:*

- (i) p is spectral.
- (ii) $r_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \leq p(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$.

If this is true, then the following statements (iii) and (iv) hold:

- (iii) $r_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x^n)^{1/n} \leq r_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \leq p(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$.

In particular,

$$r_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x) = r_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) = p(x) \text{ for each } x^* = x \in \mathcal{D}(p).$$

- (iv) $Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \cup \{0\} = Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p}(x + N_p) \cup \{0\}$,

$$Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) = Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x),$$

and

$$r_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) = r_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x^n)^{1/n}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$.

PROOF. It is easily shown that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Suppose that p is spectral. Since p is algebraically spectral, it follows from ([24, Theorem 3.1]) that (iii) holds. We show that (iv) holds. Let \mathcal{A}_p^b be the C^* -subalgebra of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_p generated by $\{x + N_p; x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b\}$. Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$ and that $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p^b}(x + N_p) \cup \{0\})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_p^b$ be the quasi-inverse of $\lambda^{-1}(x + N_p)$. By the definitions of the quasi-inverse and of \mathcal{A}_p^b , we find $z \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$ such that

$$p(\lambda^{-1}xz - \lambda^{-1}x - z) < 1, \quad p(\lambda^{-1}zx - \lambda^{-1}x - z) < 1.$$

By spectrality of p , the first of these inequalities implies that $-\lambda^{-1}xz + \lambda^{-1}x + z$ has a quasi-inverse $y \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$. Hence

$$(-\lambda^{-1}xz + \lambda^{-1}x + z)y - (-\lambda^{-1}xz + \lambda^{-1}x + z) - y = 0,$$

$$\lambda^{-1}x(-zy + y + z) - \lambda^{-1}x + zy - y - z = 0,$$

which means that $\lambda^{-1}x$ has the right quasi-inverse $-zy + y + z \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$. Similarly it can be shown, that it has a left quasi-inverse in $\mathcal{D}(p)_b$. Consequently,

$$Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p^b}(x + N_p) \cup \{0\} \supset Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \cup \{0\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the converse inclusion is trivial and $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p^b}(x + N_p) \cup \{0\} = Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p}(x + N_p) \cup \{0\}$ ([32, Proposition 4.8]), the first equation in (iv) is established. Suppose now, that $\lambda^{-1}x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$) has a quasi-inverse $y \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. Then $(I - (\lambda^{-1}x + N_p))^{-1} = I - (y + N_p)$ is \mathcal{A}_p , i.e., $\lambda \notin Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p}(x + N_p)$. This implies $\lambda \notin Sp_{\mathcal{A}_p^b}(x + N_p)$. But then $\lambda \notin Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \cup \{0\}$ by (4.1). This proves

$$Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x) \cup \{0\} \supset Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \cup \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b.$$

Again, the converse inclusion is trivial, so that

$$Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x) \cup \{0\} = Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x) \cup \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b. \tag{4.2}$$

Consequently, the second equation in (iv) is satisfied if $\mathcal{D}(p)$ has no unit element. If $\mathcal{D}(p)$ (and consequently also $\mathcal{D}(p)_b$) has a unit $I_{\mathcal{D}(p)}$, (4.2) applied to $I_{\mathcal{D}(p)} - x$ instead

of x shows that $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$ is invertible in $\mathcal{D}(p)$ if and only if it is invertible in $\mathcal{D}(p)_b$, i.e., that $0 \in Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)}(x)$ if and only if $0 \in Sp_{\mathcal{D}(p)_b}(x)$. This completes the proof of the second equation in (iv). Finally, the spectral radius formula in (iv) follows from (iii), which completes the proof. \square

For spectral unbounded C^* -seminorms p on \mathcal{A} whose domains $\mathcal{D}(p)$ contain \mathcal{A}_b we have the following

LEMMA 4.5. *Suppose that p is a spectral unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(p) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) $p(x) = r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x^*x)^{1/2}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$. Hence, a spectral unbounded C^* -seminorm p on \mathcal{A} whose domain contains \mathcal{A}_b is uniquely determined in a sense that if q is a spectral unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} whose domain contains \mathcal{A}_b then $p(x) = q(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p) \cap \mathcal{D}(q)$. In particular, if p is a spectral C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} , then

$$p(x) = r_{\mathcal{A}}(x^*x)^{1/2} = r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x^*x)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A},$$

and so p is unique.

Suppose that q is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(q) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$. Then the following (2)–(4) hold:

- (2) If $q \subset p$, then q is spectral.
- (3) If $q \geq p$, then q is spectral and $q \subset p$.
- (4) $q(x) \leq p(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(p) \cap \mathcal{D}(q)$.

PROOF. (1) Since $\mathcal{D}(p)_b = \mathcal{A}_b$, it follows from Lemma 4.4, (iii) that $p(h) = r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(h)$ for each $h \in \mathcal{D}(p)_b$, which implies that $p(x) = r_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x^*x)^{1/2}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$.

Suppose that q is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(q) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$.

- (2) This is trivial.
- (3) Suppose that $q \geq p$. Then it is clear that q is spectral, and hence by (1) $q \subset p$.
- (4) We put

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(r) = \mathcal{D}(p) \cap \mathcal{D}(q) \\ r(x) = \max(p(x), q(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(r). \end{cases}$$

Then r is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(r) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$ and $r \geq p$. By (2) we have $r \subset p$, and hence $q(x) \leq p(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p) \cap \mathcal{D}(q)$. This completes the proof. \square

We next define the notion of the spectral invariance of \mathcal{A} . We denote by $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A}$ the class of all uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. Then we can define the unbounded Gelfand-Naimark C^* -seminorm $|\cdot|$ on \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(|\cdot|) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}; \sup_{\pi \in \text{Rep } \mathcal{A}} \|\overline{\pi(x)}\| < \infty\}, \\ \|x\| = \sup_{\pi \in \text{Rep } \mathcal{A}} \|\overline{\pi(x)}\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(|\cdot|). \end{cases}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{D}(|\cdot|) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$. Hence we may define the unbounded C^* -seminorm $|\cdot|_u$ on \mathcal{A} obtained by the restriction $|\cdot|$ to \mathcal{A}_b , that is,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(| \cdot |_u) = \mathcal{A}_b, \\ |x|_u = |x|, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(| \cdot |_u). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 4.6. *Suppose that $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. Then $| \cdot |_u$ is a tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Let Γ be a set of uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} such that for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\pi \in \text{Rep } \mathcal{A}} \|\pi(x)\| = \sup_{\pi \in \Gamma} \|\pi(x)\|.$$

Here $\|\pi(x)\|$ denotes the operator norm of the possibly non-closed operator $\pi(x)$ if $\pi(x)$ is bounded and $\|\pi(x)\| = \infty$ if $\pi(x)$ is unbounded. Define $\pi = \bigoplus_{\pi_\alpha \in \Gamma} \pi_\alpha$. Then π is uniformly nondegenerate. In fact, take an arbitrary $\xi = (\xi_\alpha) \in [\pi(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{H}_\pi]^\perp$. Then $\xi_\alpha \in [\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\alpha}]^\perp$ for each α . Since π_α is uniformly nondegenerate, we have $\xi_\alpha = 0$, which implies that $[\pi(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{H}_\pi] = \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Here we put

$$\Pi(x + N_{| \cdot |_u}) = \pi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_b.$$

Then Π can be extended to the faithful $*$ -representation of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{| \cdot |_u}$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π and the $*$ -representation $\pi_{| \cdot |_u}$ of \mathcal{A} constructed from Π is uniformly nondegenerate. Hence it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.4 that $| \cdot |_u$ is tw-semifinite. □

The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{| \cdot |_u}$ constructed from $| \cdot |_u$ is said to be the *enveloping C^* -algebra* of \mathcal{A} and denoted by $\text{EC}^*(\mathcal{A})$. The natural $*$ -homomorphism:

$$x \in \mathcal{A}_b \mapsto x + N_{| \cdot |_u} \in \text{EC}^*(\mathcal{A})$$

is denoted by j .

DEFINITION 4.7. If $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x) = Sp_{\text{EC}^*(\mathcal{A})}(j(x))$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$, then \mathcal{A} is said to be *spectral invariant*.

Next, we characterize the existence of spectral well-behaved $*$ -representations.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a spectral tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} whose domain contains \mathcal{A}_b .*
- (ii) *There exists a spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*
- (iii) *There exists a spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*
- (iv) *\mathcal{A} is spectral invariant.*

In order to prove this theorem we shall prepare some lemmas.

LEMMA 4.9. *$| \cdot |_u$ is spectral if and only if \mathcal{A} is spectral invariant.*

PROOF. This follows from Lemma 4.4. □

LEMMA 4.10. *Let π be a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Consider the following statements:*

- (i) r_π^u is hereditary spectral.
- (ii) π is hereditary spectral.
- (iii) $r_{\pi \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}}^u$ is spectral for each closed $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} with 1.
- (iv) r_π^u is spectral.
- (v) π is spectral.

Then the following implications hold:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{(ii)} & \text{(iv)} \\
 \text{(i)} \implies & \Updownarrow & \implies \Updownarrow \\
 & \text{(iii)} & \text{(v)}.
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. We first show the equivalence of (iv) and (v). Since $\mathcal{D}(r_\pi^u) = \mathcal{A}_b$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that r_π^u is spectral if and only if $\{x \in \mathcal{A}_b; r_\pi^u(x) < 1\} \subset \mathcal{A}^{qi}$. Suppose that π is spectral. Take an arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$ such that $r_\pi^u(x) < 1$. Since $C_u^*(\pi) \equiv \overline{\pi(\mathcal{A}_b)}^{\|\cdot\|}$, it follows that $\overline{\pi(x)}$ is quasi-invertible in the C^* -algebra $C_u^*(\pi)$, and so $1 \notin Sp_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)})$. Since π is spectral, we have $1 \notin Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$, and so $x \in \mathcal{A}^{qi}$. Therefore r_π^u is spectral. Conversely suppose that r_π^u is spectral. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Sp_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ be fixed. Since $\{\overline{\pi(y)}; y \in \mathcal{A}_b\}$ is dense in $C_u^*(\pi)$, we can find $y \in \mathcal{A}_b$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_\pi^u((\lambda I - x)y - I) &= \|(\lambda I - \overline{\pi(x)})\overline{\pi(y)} - I\| < 1, \\
 r_\pi^u(y(\lambda I - x) - I) &= \|\overline{\pi(y)}(\lambda I - \overline{\pi(x)}) - I\| < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since r_π^u is spectral, $(\lambda I - x)y$ and $y(\lambda I - x)$ are invertible in \mathcal{A}_b and so $\lambda \notin Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$. Hence $Sp_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)}) \supset Sp_{\mathcal{A}_b}(x)$. Thus π is spectral if and only if r_π^u is spectral.

Applying the equivalence of (iv) and (v) to $\pi \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is an arbitrary unital closed $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , we obtain the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). From the definitions of the unbounded C^* -seminorms $r_{\pi \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}}^u$ and $r_\pi^u \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$, it follows that

$$r_{\pi \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}}^u \text{ is spectral if and only if } r_{\mathcal{B}_b}(x) \leq \|\overline{\pi(x)}\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_b$$

and

$$r_\pi^u \upharpoonright \mathcal{B} \text{ is spectral if and only if } r_{\mathcal{B}_b}(x) \leq \|\overline{\pi(x)}\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_b \cap \mathcal{B},$$

so that the implication (i) \implies (ii) holds since $\mathcal{B}_b \subset \mathcal{A}_b \cap \mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 4.11. Suppose that p is a tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(p) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If p is spectral, then $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $|\cdot|_u \subset p$.
- (2) If p is (hereditary) spectral, then every $\pi_p \in \text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ is (hereditary) spectral.

PROOF. (1) Suppose that p is spectral. By Lemma 4.5 (4), we have

$$|x|_u \leq p(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_b.$$

On the other hand, since p is tw-semifinite, there exists an element π_p of $\text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$

such that $\|\overline{\pi_p(x)}\| = p(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(p)$, which implies that $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $p(x) \leq |x|_u$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$. Hence we have $| \cdot |_u \subset p$.

(2) Suppose that p is (hereditary) spectral, and $\pi_p \in \text{Rep}^{\text{UWB}}(\mathcal{A}, p)$. Then we have $r_{\pi_p}^u \subset p$, which implies by Lemma 4.5, (2) that $r_{\pi_p}^u$ is (hereditary) spectral. Hence it follows from Lemma 4.10 that π_p is (hereditary) spectral. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.8.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) This follows from Lemma 4.11, (2).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let π be a spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . As seen in the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 3.4, r_π^u is a tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 4.10 that r_π^u is spectral.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) Let p be a spectral tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{D}(p) \supset \mathcal{A}_b$. By Lemma 4.11, (1), we have $| \cdot |_u \subset p$, which implies by Lemma 4.5, (2) that $| \cdot |_u$ is spectral. Hence it follows from Lemma 4.9 that \mathcal{A} is spectral invariant.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that \mathcal{A} is spectral invariant. By Lemma 4.9, $\text{Rep } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $| \cdot |_u$ is spectral. Furthermore it follows from Lemma 4.6 that $| \cdot |_u$ is tw-semifinite. This completes the proof. \square

Finally in this section, there are obtained several conditions for the existence of hereditary spectral well-behaved $*$ -representations.

PROPOSITION 4.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. Consider the following statements:*

(i) *There exists a hereditary spectral tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} whose domain contains \mathcal{A}_b .*

(ii) *There exists a hereditary spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*

(iii) *There exists a hereditary spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*

Then the following implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) hold.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This follows from Lemma 4.11, (2).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let π be a hereditary spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . As shown in the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 3.4, r_π^u is a tw-semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} and $\pi_{r_\pi^u}^N$ is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Since π is hereditary spectral, it follows that for any closed $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} containing 1,

$$\begin{aligned} Sp_{\mathcal{B}_b}(x) &\subset Sp_{\overline{\pi(\mathcal{B}_b)}}(\overline{\pi(x)}) \\ &= Sp_{\overline{\pi_{r_\pi^u}^N(\mathcal{B}_b)}}(\overline{\pi_{r_\pi^u}^N(x)}) \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{B}_b$, which implies that $\pi_{r_\pi^u}^N$ is hereditary spectral. This completes the proof. \square

5. Locally convex $*$ -algebras with diration-property.

In [6] we have generalized the following diration-property of C^* -algebras:

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{B} any closed $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . For any $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{B} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π there exists a $*$ -representation ρ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ρ such that $\mathcal{H}_\rho \supset \mathcal{H}_\pi$ as a closed subspace and $\pi(x) = \rho(x) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_\pi$ for each $x \in \mathcal{B}$

to general $*$ -algebras, and characterized it by the hereditary spectrality of unbounded C^* -seminorms. In this section we shall consider this diration-problem in case of locally convex $*$ -algebras.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. If for any unital closed $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} and any closed $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{B} such that $[\pi(\mathcal{I}_b \cap \mathcal{B})\mathcal{D}(\pi)]^{t_\pi} = \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ there exists a closed $*$ -representation ρ of \mathcal{A} such that $[\rho(\mathcal{I}_b)\mathcal{D}(\rho)]^{t_\rho} = \mathcal{D}(\rho)$, $\mathcal{H}_\pi \subset \mathcal{H}_\rho$ and $\pi(x) \subset \overline{\rho \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}(x)}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{B}$, then \mathcal{A} is said to have *diration-property*. Here we denote by $[\mathcal{K}]^{t_\pi}$ the closed subspace of the complete locally convex space $\mathcal{D}(\pi)[t_\pi]$ generated by a subset \mathcal{K} of $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$.

THEOREM 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with identity 1. Consider the following statements:

- (i) There exists a hereditary spectral well-behaved $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A} .
- (ii) There exists a hereditary spectral uniformly nondegenerate $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .
- (iii) \mathcal{A} has diration-property.

Then the following implications (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) hold.

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition 4.12.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Let ρ_0 be a hereditary spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{B} be a unital closed $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and π a closed $*$ -representation of \mathcal{B} such that $[\pi(\mathcal{I}_b \cap \mathcal{B})\mathcal{D}(\pi)]^{t_\pi} = \mathcal{D}(\pi)$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.10 that $r_{\mathcal{B}_b}(x) \leq \|\overline{\rho_0(x)}\|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{B}_b$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\overline{\pi(x)^n}\|^{1/n} &= r_{C_u^*(\pi)}(\overline{\pi(x)}) \leq r_{\overline{\pi(\mathcal{B}_b)}}(\overline{\pi(x)}) \\ &\leq r_{\mathcal{B}_b}(x) \\ &\leq \|\overline{\rho_0(x)}\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_b, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\overline{\pi(x)}\| \leq \|\overline{\rho_0(x)}\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_b. \tag{5.1}$$

We put

$$P_0(\overline{\rho_0(x)}) = \overline{\pi(x)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_b.$$

By (5.1) P_0 can be extended to a $*$ -representation of the C^* -algebra $C_u^*(\rho_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}) \equiv \overline{\rho_0(\mathcal{B}_b)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ on \mathcal{H}_π and it is denoted by the same P_0 . By the diration-property of C^* -algebras there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_P containing \mathcal{H}_π as a closed subspace and a $*$ -representation P of the C^* -algebra $C_u^*(\rho_0) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_\pi \equiv \overline{\rho_0(\mathcal{A}_b)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ on \mathcal{H}_P such that $P(A) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_\pi = P_0(A)$ for each $A \in C_u^*(\rho_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{B})$. We define a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\rho) = \text{the linear span of } \{P(\overline{\rho_0(x)})\xi; x \in \mathcal{I}_b, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_P\} \\ \rho(a)P(\overline{\rho_0(x)})\xi = P(\overline{\rho_0(ax)})\xi, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{I}_b, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_P, \end{cases}$$

and denote its closure by the same ρ . For any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in \mathcal{I}_b \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ we have

$$\pi(x)\xi = P_0(\overline{\rho_0(x)})\xi = P(\overline{\rho_0(x)})\xi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(b)\overline{\pi(x)}\xi &= \overline{\pi(bx)}\xi = P(\overline{\rho_0(bx)})\xi \\ &= \rho(b)P(\rho_0(x))\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by $[\pi(\mathcal{I}_b \cap \mathcal{B})\mathcal{D}(\pi)]^{t_\pi} = \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ that $\mathcal{H}_\pi \subset \mathcal{H}_\rho$ and $\pi(x) \subset \widetilde{\rho \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}}(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof. \square

6. Special cases and examples.

The main purpose of this section is to give a number of examples of well-behaved $*$ -representations of (locally convex) $*$ -algebras and of corresponding unbounded C^* -seminorms satisfying conditions (R) or (UR). All these examples belong to one of the following special cases: Representations related to well-behaved $*$ -representations in the sense of Schmüdgen [31], representations of pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebras satisfying $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$, and representations of GB^* -algebras.

6.1. Multiplier algebras and Schmüdgen's well-behaved $*$ -representations.

Here we investigate the relation of two concepts of well-behaved $*$ -representations using multiplier algebras.

Let \mathfrak{X} be a $*$ -algebra without unit such that $a = 0$ whenever $ax = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. A *multiplier* on \mathfrak{X} is a pair (l, r) of linear operators on \mathfrak{X} such that $l(xy) = l(x)y$, $r(xy) = xr(y)$ and $xl(y) = r(x)y$ for each $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$. Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ be the collection of all multipliers on \mathfrak{X} . Then $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ is a $*$ -algebra with unit (l, ι) , where $\iota(x) = x$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, with pointwise linear operations, with multiplication defined by $(l_1, r_1)(l_2, r_2) = (l_1l_2, r_2r_1)$, and with the involution $(l, r)^* = (r^*, l^*)$, where $l^*(x) \equiv l(x^*)^*$ and $r^*(x) \equiv r(x^*)^*$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we put

$$l_x(y) = xy \quad \text{and} \quad r_x(y) = yx, \quad y \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Then the map $x \in \mathfrak{X} \mapsto (l_x, r_x) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ embeds \mathfrak{X} into a $*$ -ideal of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$. Let \mathfrak{X} be a normed $*$ -algebra with approximate identity. By an approximate identity for \mathfrak{X} , we mean that a net $\{e_\alpha\}$ in \mathfrak{X} , $\|e_\alpha\| \leq 1$, such that $x = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha x = \lim_\alpha x e_\alpha$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ the Banach $*$ -algebra obtained as completion of \mathfrak{X} . Then, for $(l, r) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|r(a)\| &= \sup\{\|r(a)x\|; x \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|x\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|al(x)\|; x \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|x\| \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \|a\| \sup\{\|l(x)\|; x \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|x\| \leq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\|l(a)\| \leq \|a\| \sup\{\|r(x)\|; x \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

it follows that l is bounded if and only if r is bounded, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|l\| &= \sup\{\|l(a)\|; a \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|a\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|r(a)\|; a \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \|a\| \leq 1\} \\ &= \|r\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{X}) \equiv \{(l, r) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}); l \text{ is continuous}\}$$

is a normed $*$ -algebra with the norm

$$\|(l, r)\| \equiv \sup\{\|l(a)\|; \|a\| \leq 1\}.$$

It is well-known that every element of $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})$ is continuous and that $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) = \Gamma_c(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})$ (denoted also by $M(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})$) is a Banach $*$ -algebra. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} & \hookrightarrow & M(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathfrak{X} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{X}) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}). \end{array}$$

The map $\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow M(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})$ is defined by $(l, r) \rightarrow (\tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ (where \tilde{l}, \tilde{r} are the continuous extensions to $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ of l and r , resp). If \mathfrak{X} is a $*$ -ideal of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{X}) & \hookrightarrow & M(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \\ \psi & & \psi & & \\ x & & (l_x, r_x) & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{X}) = \{(l, r) \in M(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}); l\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{X} \text{ and } r\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{X}\}.$$

By Proposition 2.4 we have the following

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra without identity such that $a = 0$ whenever $ax = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that there exists a non-zero bounded, nondegenerate, closed $*$ -representation π_0 of \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a well-behaved $*$ -representation π of the multiplier algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{H}_\pi = \mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}$ and $\pi((l_x, r_x)) = \pi_0(x) \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. Identifying $x \in \mathcal{A}$ with $(l_x, r_x) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$, \mathcal{A} becomes a subset (even an ideal) of $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$. Now the unbounded C^* -seminorm p on $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(p) = \mathcal{A}, \\ p(x) = \|\pi_0(x)\|, & x \in \mathcal{A} \end{cases}$$

satisfies condition (R) since $\mathfrak{N}_p = \mathcal{A} \not\subset N_p$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists a well-behaved $*$ -representation of $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$. But in the present situation we can define a repre-

sensation Π_p of \mathcal{A}_p on \mathcal{H}_{π_0} such that $\Pi_p(x + N_p) = \pi_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since π_0 is nondegenerate,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\pi_p) &= \text{linear span of } \{\Pi_p(\mathcal{A} + N_p)\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}\} \\ &= \text{linear span of } \{\pi_0(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}\} \end{aligned}$$

is dense in \mathcal{H}_{π_0} , i.e., π_p is well-behaved. \square

Schmüdgen [31] has defined the notion of well-behaved $*$ -representations of $*$ -algebras. Here we shall introduce it and investigate the relation between his concept of well-behaved $*$ -representations and that of well-behaved $*$ -representations in our framework. Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra with identity I and \mathfrak{X} a normed $*$ -algebra (without identity in general). The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$ is called a *compatible pair* if \mathfrak{X} is a left \mathcal{A} -module with left action denoted by \triangleright , such that $(a \triangleright x)^* y = x^*(a^* \triangleright y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, Schmüdgen has shown that for any nondegenerate continuous bounded $*$ -representation ρ of \mathfrak{X} on \mathcal{H}_ρ there exists a unique $*$ -representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\rho}) \equiv \text{the linear span of } \rho(\mathfrak{X})\mathcal{H}_\rho, \\ \tilde{\rho}(a)(\rho(x)\xi) = \rho(a \triangleright x)\xi, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho, \end{cases}$$

and he has called the closure ρ' of $\tilde{\rho}$ the *well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} associated with the compatible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$* . We consider this in our framework.

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$ be a compatible pair with left action \triangleright . Suppose that \mathfrak{X} has an approximate identity. Then the map: $x \in \mathfrak{X} \mapsto (l_x, r_x) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ embeds \mathfrak{X} into a $*$ -ideal of the multiplier algebra $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we put*

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\tilde{a}}x &= a \triangleright x, \\ r_{\tilde{a}}x &= (l_{\tilde{a}^*}x^*)^*, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, a $*$ -homomorphism m of \mathcal{A} into $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ is defined by

$$m : a \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto (l_{\tilde{a}}, r_{\tilde{a}}) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}).$$

Suppose that π is a non-zero $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) π coincides with the well-behaved (in the sense of [31]) $*$ -representation ρ' of \mathcal{A} associated with the compatible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$.
- (ii) π is the closure of $\pi_r \circ m$, where π_r is the well-behaved (in the sense of [6]) $*$ -representation π_r of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ constructed for some weakly semifinite unbounded C^* -seminorm r on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(r) = \{(l_x, r_x); x \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ and r is continuous on the normed $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}(r)$.

PROOF. It is easily shown that m is a $*$ -homomorphism of \mathcal{A} into $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let ρ be a nondegenerate continuous bounded $*$ -representation of the normed $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{X} on \mathcal{H}_ρ and set $\pi = \rho'$. We define an unbounded C^* -seminorm r_ρ on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(r_\rho) = \{(l_x, r_x); x \in \mathfrak{X}\}, \\ r_\rho((l_x, r_x)) = \|\rho(x)\|, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}. \end{cases}$$

By the continuity of ρ , r_ρ is continuous on the normed $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}(r_\rho)$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{N}_{r_\rho} = \mathcal{D}(r_\rho)$ and $N_{r_\rho} \simeq \ker \rho$. Hence, a faithful $*$ -representation Π_{r_ρ} of the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{K}_{r_ρ} (the completion of $\mathcal{D}(r_\rho)/N_{r_\rho}$) on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ρ can be defined so that

$$\Pi_{r_\rho}((l_x, r_x) + N_{r_\rho}) = \rho(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

As stated in the Introduction, the $*$ -representation π_{r_ρ} of the multiplier algebra $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ is constructed from Π_{r_ρ} as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\pi_{r_\rho}) = \text{the linear span of } \Pi_{r_\rho}(\mathfrak{N}_{r_\rho} + N_{r_\rho})\mathcal{H}_\rho \\ \quad = \text{the linear span of } \rho(\mathfrak{X})\mathcal{H}_\rho, \\ \pi_{r_\rho}((l, r))\rho(x)\xi = \rho(l(x))\xi, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho. \end{cases}$$

Since ρ is nondegenerate, it follows that $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{r_\rho}} = \mathcal{H}_\rho$, which implies that π_{r_ρ} is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ constructed from the unbounded C^* -seminorm r_ρ . Furthermore, it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi_{r_\rho}) = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\rho})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{r_\rho} \circ m)(a)\rho(x)\xi &= \pi_{r_\rho}((l_{\tilde{a}}, r_{\tilde{a}}))\rho(x)\xi = \rho(l_{\tilde{a}}(x))\xi \\ &= \rho(a \triangleright x)\xi \\ &= \tilde{\rho}(a)\rho(x)\xi \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$, which implies (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose π is the closure of $\pi_r \circ m$, where π_r is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ constructed from an unbounded C^* -seminorm r on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(r) = \{(l_x, r_x); x \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ and r is continuous on the normed $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}(r)$. Here we put

$$\rho(x) = \overline{\pi_r((l_x, r_x))}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Then since π_r is well-behaved, it follows that ρ is a nondegenerate continuous bounded $*$ -representation of the normed $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{X} on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\rho = \mathcal{H}_{\pi_r}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\rho}) &= \text{linear span of } \rho(\mathfrak{X})\mathcal{H}_\rho \\ &= \text{linear span of } \{\overline{\pi_r((l_x, r_x))}\mathcal{H}_{\pi_r}; x \in \mathfrak{X}\} \\ &= \mathcal{D}(\pi_r). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(a)\rho(x)\xi &= \rho(a \triangleright x)\xi \\ &= \rho(l_{\tilde{a}}x)\xi \\ &= \overline{\pi_r((l_{\tilde{a}}l_x, r_x r_{\tilde{a}}))}\xi \\ &= \pi_r(m(a))\overline{\pi_r((l_x, r_x))}\xi \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$. Hence $\tilde{\rho} = \pi_r \circ m$, which implies (i). This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 6.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$ be a compatible pair. Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is a $*$ -ideal of the Banach $*$ -algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}[\|\cdot\|]$ obtained by the completion of $\mathfrak{X}[\|\cdot\|]$. Then every C^* -seminorm r on \mathfrak{X} is $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous. Equivalently we show this for a bounded $*$ -representation π of \mathfrak{X} . Since \mathfrak{X} is a $*$ -ideal of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}[\|\cdot\|]$, it follows that \mathfrak{X} is quasi-inverse closed in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}[\|\cdot\|]$ and $\mathfrak{X}^{qi} = \mathfrak{X} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}[\|\cdot\|]^{qi}$. Hence, \mathfrak{X}^{qi} is open in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}[\|\cdot\|]$, which implies

$$r_x(x) \leq \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

where r_x denotes the spectral radius of x in \mathfrak{X} , which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(x)\|^2 &= r_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}(\pi(x)^* \pi(x)) \leq r_{\pi(\mathfrak{X})}(\pi(x)^* \pi(x)) \\ &\leq r_x(x^*x) \\ &\leq \|x^*x\| \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Thus, π is $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous. Therefore we may take off the assumption of the continuity of the C^* -seminorm r on $\mathcal{D}(r)$ in Theorem 6.2, (ii).

As a consequence of Theorem 6.2 examples of well-behaved $*$ -representations given by Schmüdgen in [31] are equivalent to well-behaved (in the sense of [6]) $*$ -representations of the corresponding multiplier algebras. We discuss those examples in some detail (Examples 6.4–6.7). In the same way, the Moyal quantization is related to a well-behaved $*$ -representation of the Moyal algebra (Example 6.8).

EXAMPLE 6.4. Let \mathcal{A} be the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of all polynomials with complex coefficients in n commuting hermitian elements x_1, \dots, x_n , and let \mathfrak{X} be the normed $*$ -algebra $C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of all compactly supported continuous functions on \mathbf{R}^n with pointwise multiplication $(fg)(t) = f(t)g(t)$, the involution $f^*(t) = \overline{f(t)}$, and the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}^n} |f(t)|$. It is clear that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a compatible pair with the left action

$$p \triangleright f = pf, \quad p \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Let π be a closed $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . Then, according to Theorem 6.2 and [31], the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) π is integrable, that is, $\pi(a)^* = \overline{\pi(a^*)}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) π is a well-behaved (in the sense of [31]) $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} associated with the compatible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X})$.
- (iii) π is the closure of $\pi_r \circ m$, where π_r is a well-behaved (in the sense of [6]) $*$ -representation of the multiplier algebra $\Gamma(C_c(\mathbf{R}^n))$ constructed from an unbounded C^* -seminorm r whose domain is $\{(l_f, r_f); f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$.

EXAMPLE 6.5. Let G be a finite dimensional real Lie group with the left Haar measure μ , \mathcal{G} the Lie algebra of G and $E(\mathcal{G})$ the complex universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{G} . The algebra $E(\mathcal{G})$ is a $*$ -algebra with the involution $x^* = -x$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$ [30], [31].

The space $C_c^\infty(G)$ of C^∞ -functions on G with compact supports is a normed $*$ -algebra with the convolution multiplication

$$(f * g)(v) = \int_G f(u)g(u^{-1}v) d\mu(u),$$

the involution

$$f^*(v) = \delta(v)^{-1} \overline{f(v^{-1})},$$

where δ denotes the modular function on G , and the L^1 -norm

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_G |f(v)| d\mu(v).$$

The completion of $C_c^\infty(G)$ is nothing but the Banach $*$ -algebra $L^1(G)$, and $C_c^\infty(G)$ contains a bounded approximate identity for $L^1(G)$. Furthermore, $(E(\mathcal{G}), C_c^\infty(G))$ is a compatible pair with the left action \triangleright :

$$(x \triangleright f)(u) = (\tilde{x}f)(u) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(e^{-tx}u), \quad x \in E(\mathcal{G}), f \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

Let π be a closed $*$ -representation of the $*$ -algebra $E(\mathcal{G})$. Then the following statements are equivalent by [31], Section 3 and Theorem 6.2.

(i) π is G -integrable, that is, it is of form $\pi = dU$ for some strongly continuous unitary representation U of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , where dU is a $*$ -representation of $E(\mathcal{G})$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(dU) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(U) \equiv \text{the space of } C^\infty\text{-vectors in } \mathcal{H} \text{ for } U, \\ dU(x)\varphi = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} U(e^{tx})\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(dU). \end{cases}$$

(ii) π is a well-behaved (in the sense of [31]) $*$ -representation of $E(\mathcal{G})$ associated with the compatible pair $(E(\mathcal{G}), C_c^\infty(G))$.

(iii) π is the closure of $\pi_r \circ m$, where π_r is a well-behaved (in the sense of [6]) $*$ -representation of $\Gamma(C_c^\infty(G))$ defined by an unbounded C^* -seminorm r whose domain is $\{(l_f, r_f); f \in C_c^\infty(G)\}$ and which is continuous with respect to the L^1 -norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ of $C_c^\infty(G)$.

EXAMPLE 6.6. Let \mathcal{A} be the $*$ -algebra generated by unit 1 and two hermitian generators p and q satisfying the commutation relation $pq - qp = -i1$, and let π_S be the Schrödinger representation of \mathcal{A} on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(\pi_S) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, that is, it is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_S(p)f)(t) &= -i \frac{d}{dt} f, \\ (\pi_S(q)f)(t) &= tf(t), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Let P and Q be the self-adjoint operators and let $W(s, t)$ be the unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$P = \overline{\pi_S(p)}, \quad Q = \overline{\pi_S(q)}, \quad W(s, t) = e^{2\pi i(sQ+tP)}, \quad s, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

To any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ the Weyl calculus assigns a bounded operator $W(f)$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$W(f) = \iint \hat{f}(s, t) W(s, t) ds dt,$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f . The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is a normed $*$ -algebra with the multiplication $f\#g$, the involution f^* and the norm $\| \cdot \|$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f\#g)(t_1, t_2) &= \iiint f(u_1, u_2)g(v_1, v_2)e^{4\pi i[(t_1-u_1)(t_2-v_2)-(t_1-v_1)(t_2-u_2)]} du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2, \\ f^*(t_1, t_2) &= \overline{f(t_1, t_2)}, \\ \|f\| &= \|W(f)\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W(f)W(g) = W(f\#g) \quad \text{and} \quad W(f)^* = W(f^*), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2))$ is a compatible pair with the following action:

$$p \triangleright f = \left(\frac{1}{2i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + 2\pi t_2 \right) f, \quad q \triangleright f = \left(t_1 - \frac{1}{4\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \right) f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

By [31, Section 4] and Theorem 6.2 we have the following:

Let π be a closed $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . The following statements are equivalent:

(i) π is standard, [28], that is, it is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of the Schrödinger representation of \mathcal{A} .

(ii) π is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} associated with the compatible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2))$.

(iii) $\pi = \pi_r \circ m$ for a well-behaved $*$ -representation π_r of $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ constructed from an unbounded C^* -norm r on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ such that $\mathcal{D}(r) = \{(l_f, r_f); f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$ and r is continuous on the normed $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{D}(r)$.

EXAMPLE 6.7. Schmüdgen [31] has given the examples of well-behaved $*$ -representations of the coordinate $*$ -algebra $O(\mathbf{R}_q^2)$ of the real quantum plane and the coordinate $*$ -algebra $O(SU_q(1, 1))$ of the quantum group $SU_q(1, 1)$.

We consider well-behaved $*$ -representations of the Moyal algebra. For the Moyal algebra we refer to [16].

EXAMPLE 6.8. Consider a system having n degrees of freedom and the configuration space \mathbf{R}^n . Then the phase space is identified with the cotangent boundle $T^*\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, (q, p) being the canonical variables. On the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the Moyal quantizer is given by the operators

$$(\Omega^h(q, p)f)(x) = 2^n \exp\left(\frac{2i}{h}p(x - q)\right)f(2q - x).$$

For a symbol a of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(T^*\mathbf{R}^n)$, the Bochner integral

$$(Q_h(a)f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int_{T^*\mathbf{R}^n} a(q, p)(\Omega^h(q, p)f)(x) d^n q d^n p$$

defines the *Moyal quantization* map. Then $Q_h(a)$ is a trace class operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $a(q, p) = \text{Tr}[Q_h(a)\Omega^h(q, p)]$. The *Moyal product*

$$a \times_h b(u) = \iint \text{Tr}[\Omega^h(u)\Omega^h(v)\Omega^h(w)]a(v)b(w) d^n v d^n w$$

converts $\mathcal{S}(T^*\mathbf{R}^n)$ into a non-commutative $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{X} such that $Q_h(a \times_h b) = Q_h(a)Q_h(b)$. It is a normed $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{X} with Hilbert-Schmidt operator norm induced by Q_h . The Moyal product extends to large classes of distributions as follows: For $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, we can define $T \times_h a$ and $a \times_h T$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \times_h a, b \rangle &= \langle T, a \times_h b \rangle, \\ \langle a \times_h T, b \rangle &= \langle T, b \times_h a \rangle, \quad b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

The multiplier algebra of \mathfrak{X} in the space of tempered distributions defined by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); T \times_h a, a \times_h T \text{ are in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})\}$$

is a $*$ -algebra with Moyal product and complex conjugation containing \mathfrak{X} as a $*$ -ideal. This \mathcal{M} is called the *Moyal algebra*. The Moyal quantizer Q_h extends as a $*$ -representation [denoted by Q_h also] of \mathcal{M} into unbounded operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $Q_h(q) =$ multiplication by x and $Q_h(p) = -ih(\partial/\partial x)$. Thus $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a compatible pair and $\mathcal{M} \subset \Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$. Theorem 6.2 immediately gives the following:

Let π be a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{M} . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) π is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of \mathcal{M} associated with the compatible pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{X})$.
- (ii) $\pi = \pi_r \circ m$ for a well-behaved $*$ -representation π_r of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X})$ defined by an unbounded C^* -seminorm r whose domain is $\{(l_a, r_a); a \in \mathfrak{X}\}$. In particular, the Moyal quantization map Q_h is a well-behaved $*$ -representation of the Moyal algebra \mathcal{M} .

6.2. Pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebras \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$. Then $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{S}_b = \mathcal{A}$. When \mathcal{A} does not have identity, we will consider the pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A}_1 obtained by adjoining an identity 1 . By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.8 we have the following:

COROLLARY 6.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a spectral well-behaved bounded $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*

- (ii) *There exists a spectral C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} .*
- (iii) *\mathcal{A} is spectral invariant.*

EXAMPLE 6.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach $*$ -algebra. Then there exists a spectral unbounded C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} if and only if \mathcal{A} is hermitian, that is, $Sp_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \subset \mathbf{R}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_h$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{C}; |\alpha| \leq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in C(\mathcal{D}); f \text{ is analytic in the interior of } \mathcal{D}\}$. Then the disc algebra \mathcal{A} is a Banach $*$ -algebra which is not hermitian under the usual operations, the involution $f^*(\alpha) = \overline{f(\bar{\alpha})}$ and the uniform norm. Hence there is no spectral unbounded C^* -seminorm on the disc algebra.

EXAMPLE 6.11. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbf{R}^n equipped with the topology defined by the seminorms $\{\| \cdot \|_{m,k}; m, k = 0, 1, \dots\}$, where

$$\|f\|_{m,k} = \sup_{|p| \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ (1 + |x|)^k \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^p f(x) \right| \right\} \right\}.$$

(1) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet $*$ -algebra with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)_0$ under the pointwise multiplication $fg : (fg)(x) \equiv f(x)g(x)$ and the involution $f^* : f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$, and $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|$ is a spectral C^* -norm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

(2) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet $*$ -algebra with the convolution multiplication

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y) dy$$

and the involution

$$f^*(x) = \overline{f(-x)}.$$

The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ establishes an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with convolution multiplication and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with pointwise multiplication. It follows that $\|f\| \equiv \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{f}(x)|$ is a spectral C^* -norm on the convolution algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In fact, $\| \cdot \|$ is the Gelfand-Naimark pseudo norm and $E(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)) \simeq C^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (the group C^* -algebra of \mathbf{R}^n) $\simeq C_0(\mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n); \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$.

EXAMPLE 6.12. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n) (= C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ be the space of C^{∞} -functions on \mathbf{R}^n with compact supports. Let \mathcal{K} be the set of all compact subsets of \mathbf{R}^n and let

$$\mathcal{D}_K(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n); \text{supp } f \subset K\}, \quad K \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_K(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet space with the topology of uniform convergence on K of functions as well as all their derivatives, and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}_K(\mathbf{R}^n); K \in \mathcal{K}\} = \varinjlim \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with the usual inductive limit topology.

(1) $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with pointwise multiplication is a complete locally convex $*$ -algebra which is a LF Q-algebra (that is, a LF-space which is a Q-algebra). The norm $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ is a spectral C^* -norm and $E(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)) \simeq C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

(2) $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is also a complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with convolution multiplication [23] which is an ideal of $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $\|f\| \equiv \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty}$ is a spectral C^* -norm on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

EXAMPLE 6.13. The Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ equipped with the Volterra convolution and the involution:

$$(f \circ g)(x, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x, z)g(z, y) dz,$$

$$f^*(x, y) = \overline{f(y, x)}$$

is a complete locally convex $*$ -algebra with a spectral C^* -seminorm. In fact, let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$. We put

$$[\pi_0(f)\varphi](x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x, y)\varphi(y) dy, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Then we can show that $\pi_0(f)$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator $\pi(f)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and π is a continuous bounded $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. By the simple calculation we have

$$f^{[n]} \equiv \overbrace{f \circ \dots \circ f}^n = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x, x) dx \right)^{n-1} f, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x, x) dx \right| < 1 \quad \text{if } r_\pi(f) < 1,$$

which implies that the C^* -seminorm r_π on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ is spectral. Similarly, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ has a spectral C^* -seminorm.

6.3. GB^* -algebras.

G. R. Allan [2] and P. G. Dixon [12] defined the notion of GB^* -algebras which is a generalization of C^* -algebra: A pseudo-complete locally convex $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be a GB^* -algebra over \mathbf{B}_0 if \mathbf{B}_0 is the greatest member in $\mathcal{B}^* \equiv \{\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}; \mathbf{B}^* = \mathbf{B}\}$ and $(I + x^*x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0]$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{A} be a GB^* -algebra over \mathbf{B}_0 . Then the unbounded C^* -norm $p_{\mathbf{B}_0}$ on \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_{\mathbf{B}_0}) = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0] \\ p_{\mathbf{B}_0}(x) = \|x\|_{\mathbf{B}_0}, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(p_{\mathbf{B}_0}) \end{cases}$$

and it has the following properties:

(1) $p_{\mathbf{B}_0}$ is spectral and $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0] = \mathcal{D}(p_{\mathbf{B}_0})$. In fact, since \mathbf{B}_0 is absolutely convex, it follows that $(x + x^*)/2, (x - x^*)/(2i) \in (\mathbf{B}_0)_h \subset (\mathcal{A}_0)_h$ for each $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$, which implies that $x \in \mathcal{A}_b$. Hence $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0] \subset \mathcal{A}_b$. Conversely, since $(\mathcal{A}_0)_h \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0]$, we have $\mathcal{A}_b \subset \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{B}_0]$. Since \mathcal{A}_b is a C^* -algebra, it follows that $p_{\mathbf{B}_0}$ is spectral. By (1) we have the following

(2) $p_{\mathbf{B}_0}$ satisfies condition (UR) if and only if $\mathcal{A}_b \neq \{0\}$.

Here we give an example of a GB^* -algebra with $\mathcal{A}_b \neq \{0\}$.

EXAMPLE 6.14. $\Gamma^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n; |z_i| = 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $C^\infty(\Gamma^n)$ the Fréchet space of all C^∞ -functions on Γ^n with the topology defined by the seminorms

$$\|f\|_N = \max_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{z \in \Gamma^n} |D^\alpha f(z)|, \quad N = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let $C^\infty(\Gamma^n)'$ be the dual space of $C^\infty(\Gamma^n)$. Then, with the weak topology $\sigma = \sigma(C^\infty(\Gamma^n)', C^\infty(\Gamma^n))$, $(C^\infty(\Gamma^n)', \sigma)$ is a sequentially complete convolution algebra which is a GB^* -algebra with $A(\mathbf{B}_0) = p_M(\Gamma^n)$ (the C^* -algebra of all pseudo-measures on Γ^n). Since the Fourier-Stiltjes transform $\mu \mapsto \hat{\mu}$ is a $*$ -isomorphism of $C^\infty(\Gamma^n)'$ onto the $*$ -algebra \mathfrak{s}' of tempered sequences:

$$\mathfrak{s}' = \{\sigma = (\sigma_p)_{p \in \mathbf{Z}^n}; \sigma_p \in \mathbf{C}, \forall p \text{ and } \exists k > 0 \text{ such that } \{(1 + |p|)^{-k} \sigma_p\} \in l^\infty(\mathbf{Z}^n)\},$$

it follows that

$$\|\mu\| \equiv \sup\{|\hat{\mu}(k)|; k \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}$$

is an unbounded C^* -norm on $C^\infty(\Gamma^n)'$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_b &= \mathfrak{R}_{\|\cdot\|} \\ &\simeq \{(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \in l^\infty(\mathbf{Z}^n); \{\hat{a}(k)\hat{x}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \in l^\infty(\mathbf{Z}^n), \forall a \in \mathfrak{s}'\} \\ &\supset \{(x_k); x_k \neq 0 \text{ for only finite terms}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the cases of pro- C^* -algebras and C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebras which are important in GB^* -algebras.

A complete locally convex $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is said to be *pro- C^* -algebra* if the topology τ is determined by a directed family $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of C^* -seminorms. Then, any C^* -seminorm p_λ satisfies condition (R), but it does not necessarily satisfy condition (UR). We put

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{A}; \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x) < \infty \right\}, \\ p_\Gamma(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma). \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a GB^* -algebra over $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathcal{U}(p_\Gamma) \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma); p_\Gamma(x) \leq 1\}$ and $p_\Gamma = p_{\mathbf{B}_0}$, and so p_Γ is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on \mathcal{A} such that

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma)$, and so $\mathcal{I}_b = \mathfrak{R}_{p_\Gamma}$;
- (2) p_Γ satisfies condition (UR) if and only if $\mathcal{I}_b \neq \{0\}$ if and only if p_λ is a C^* -seminorm with condition (UR) for some $\lambda \in A$.

EXAMPLE 6.15. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra without identity and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the Pedersen ideal of \mathcal{A} , that is, a minimal dense hereditary ideal of \mathcal{A} [22], [27]. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by L_a the closed left ideal $\overline{\mathcal{A}a}$ generated by a , and R_a the closed right ideal generated by a . We denote by M_a the C^* -algebra of all pairs (l, r) consisting of linear maps $l : L_a \rightarrow L_a$ and $r : R_a \rightarrow R_a$ such that $yl(x) = r(y)x$ for each $x \in L_a$ and $y \in R_a$. Note that l and r are automatically bounded, and that M_a is a C^* -algebra. Furthermore, if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $L_a \subset L_b$, $R_a \subset R_b$ and the restriction map $(l, r) \rightarrow (l|_{L_a}, r|_{R_a})$ defines a $*$ -homomorphism from M_b to M_a . It is shown by Phillips [27] that the

multiplier algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to the pro- C^* -algebra $\varprojlim_{a \in (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}})_+} M_a$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}})_b &= \Gamma_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}) \equiv \{(l, r) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}); l(\text{or } r) \text{ is bounded}\} \\ &\simeq M(\mathcal{A}) \quad (\text{the multiplier algebra of the } C^*\text{-algebra } \mathcal{A}, \text{ in fact, } \Gamma(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{I}_b$, and so there exists a spectral well-behaved $*$ -representation of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}})$. If \mathcal{A} is a unital pro- C^* -algebra such that $\mathcal{A}_b = M(\mathcal{B})$ for a non-unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{A}_b , and if $\mathcal{A} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{B}})$, then $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{I}_b \neq \{0\}$ and p_Γ satisfies (UR). This holds if \mathcal{A} is commutative.

(1) Let ω be the pro- C^* -algebra of all complex sequences equipped with the usual operations, the involution and the topology of the sequences $\Gamma = \{p_k\}$ of C^* -seminorms: $p_k(\{x_n\}) = |x_k|$, and denote by \mathcal{A} the C^* -algebra $c_0 \equiv \{\{x_n\} \in \omega; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0\}$ with the C^* -norm $\|\{x_n\}\| = \sup_n |x_n|$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}} &= c_{00} \equiv \{\{x_n\} \in c_0; x_n \neq 0 \text{ for only finite numbers } n\}, \\ M(\mathcal{A}) &= c \equiv \{\{x_n\} \in \omega; \{x_n\} \text{ is bounded}\}, \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}) &= \omega. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the pro- C^* -algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on \mathbf{R}^n with the compact open topology defined by the sequence $\Gamma = \{p_k\}$ of C^* -seminorms: $p_k(f) \equiv \sup\{|f(x)|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and } |x| \leq k\}$, and denote by \mathcal{A} the C^* -algebra $C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}} &= C_c(\mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n); \text{supp } f \text{ is compact}\}, \\ M(\mathcal{A}) &= C_b(\mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n); f \text{ is bounded}\}, \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}) &= C(\mathbf{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 6.16. Let $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the pro- C^* -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbf{R}^n which are essentially bounded on every compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n equipped with the topology defined by the sequence $\Gamma = \{p_k\}$ of C^* -seminorms: $p_k(f) \equiv \text{ess.sup}\{|f(x)|; |x| \leq k\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma) = L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n), \\ p_\Gamma(f) = \text{ess.sup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(p_\Gamma) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_b = \mathfrak{R}_{p_\Gamma} \supset L_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n); \text{supp } f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Hence p_Γ is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with condition (UR).

EXAMPLE 6.17. Let $\{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_λ with C^* -norms p_λ . Then the product space $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is a pro- C^* -algebra equipped with the multiplication: $(x_\lambda)(y_\lambda) \equiv (x_\lambda y_\lambda)$, the involution: $(x_\lambda)^* = (x_\lambda^*)$ and the topology defined by the family $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of C^* -norms. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_r) = \{(x_\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathcal{A}_\lambda; \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x_\lambda) < \infty\}, \\ p_r((x_\lambda)) = \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x_\lambda), \quad (x_\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}(p_r) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_b = \mathfrak{N}_{p_r} \supset \left\{ (x_\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathcal{A}_\lambda; \{\lambda \in A; x_\lambda \neq 0\} \text{ is finite} \right\}.$$

Hence p_r is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ with condition (UR), and any p_λ is an unbounded C^* -seminorm on $\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ with condition (UR) but it is not spectral.

Next we consider C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebras. Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex $*$ -algebra. A directed family $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of seminorms determining the topology τ is said to be C^* -like if for any $\lambda \in A$ there exists a $\lambda' \in A$ such that $p_\lambda(xy) \leq p_{\lambda'}(x)p_{\lambda'}(y)$, $p_\lambda(x^*) \leq p_{\lambda'}(x)$ and $p_\lambda(x)^2 \leq p_{\lambda'}(x^*x)$ for each $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then any p_λ is not necessarily submultiplicative, but the unbounded C^* -norm p_r on \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_r) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}; \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x) < \infty\}, \\ p_r(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in A} p_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(p_r). \end{cases}$$

A complete locally convex $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is said to be C^* -like if there exists a C^* -like family $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of seminorms determining the topology τ such that $\mathcal{D}(p_r)$ is τ -dense in \mathcal{A} . Then it follows from [20, Theorem 2.1] that \mathcal{A} is a GB^* -algebra over $B_0 = \mathcal{U}(p_r)$ and $p_r = p_{B_0}$. Hence p_r is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{I}_b = \mathcal{D}(p_r)$.

EXAMPLE 6.18. The Arens algebra $L^\omega[0, 1] \equiv \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p[0, 1]$ is a C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebra with the C^* -like family of norms $\Gamma = \{\|\cdot\|_p; 1 \leq p < \infty\}$, and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(p_r) = L^\infty[0, 1], \\ p_r(f) = \|f\|_\infty, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(p_r) \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{I}_b = \mathfrak{N}_{p_r} = \{0\}$. Hence p_r is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on $L^\omega[0, 1]$ which does not satisfy condition (UR).

EXAMPLE 6.19. We consider a $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of the Arens algebra $L^\omega[0, 1]$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f \in L^\omega[0, 1]; f \upharpoonright_{[0, 1/2]} \in C[0, 1/2]\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebra with the C^* -like family $\Gamma = \{\|\cdot\|_{\infty, p}; 1 \leq p < \infty\}$ of seminorms:

$$\|f\|_{\infty, p} \equiv \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/2} |f(t)|, \|f \upharpoonright_{[1/2, 1]}\|_p \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{I}_b = \{f \in \mathcal{A}; f \upharpoonright_{[1/2, 1]} = 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$. Hence p_r is a spectral unbounded C^* -norm on \mathcal{A} with condition (UR).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We are thankful to Schmüdgen for making available [31] the study of which led to Theorem 6.2.

References

- [1] G. R. Allan, A spectral theory for locally convex algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **15** (1965), 399–421.
- [2] G. R. Allan, On a class of locally convex algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **17** (1967), 91–114.
- [3] R. Arens, The space L^ω and convex topological rings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1946), 931–935.
- [4] S. J. Bhatt, A. Inoue and H. Ogi, On C^* -spectral algebras, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*, (2) Suppl., **56** (1998), 207–213.
- [5] S. J. Bhatt, A. Inoue and H. Ogi, Admissibility of weights on non-normed $*$ -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **351** (1999), 183–208.
- [6] S. J. Bhatt, A. Inoue and H. Ogi, Unbounded C^* -seminorms and unbounded C^* -spectral algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **45** (2001), 53–80.
- [7] S. J. Bhatt, A. Inoue and H. Ogi, Spectral invariance, K -theory isomorphism and an application to the differential structure of C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **49** (2003), 389–405.
- [8] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.*, Band 80, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [9] H. J. Borchers, On the structure of the algebra of field operators, *Nuovo Cimento* (10), **24** (1962), 214–236.
- [10] J. Dixmier, *C^* -Algebras*, Translated from the French by Francis Jellet, North-Holland Math. Library, **15**, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.
- [11] J. Dixmier and P. Malliavan, Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indefiniment differentiables, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **5** (1978), 305–330.
- [12] P. G. Dixon, Generalized B^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **21** (1970), 693–715.
- [13] M. Dubois-Violette, A generalization of the classical moment problem on $*$ -algebras with applications to relativistic quantum theory I, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **43** (1975), 225–254; II, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **54** (1977), 151–172.
- [14] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, Representations of $*$ -algebras, In: *Locally Compact Groups and Banach $*$ -Algebraic Bundles*, Vol. I, II, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **125**; **126**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [15] M. Fragoulopoulou, An introduction to the representation theory of topological $*$ -algebras, *Schriftenreihe des Math. Instituts der Univ. Münster* 2. Ser., **48**, Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, 1988, 1–81.
- [16] J. M. Gracia-Bondía, J. C. Várilly and H. Figueroa, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [17] S. P. Gudder and W. Scruggs, Unbounded representations of $*$ -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **70** (1977), 369–382.
- [18] A. Inoue, *Tomita-Takesaki Theory in Algebras of Unbounded Operators*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1699**, Springer, 1998.
- [19] A. Inoue and K. Kürsten, On structure of C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebras, *Proceedings of the Second ISAAC Congress, Fukuoka, 1999*, *Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput.*, **7**, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [20] A. Inoue and K. Kürsten, On C^* -like locally convex $*$ -algebras, *Math. Nachr.*, **235** (2002), 51–58.
- [21] G. Lassner, Topological algebras of operators, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **3** (1972), 279–293.
- [22] A. J. Lazar and D. C. Taylor, Multipliers of Pedersen ideal, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **169** (1976).
- [23] A. Mallios, *Topological Algebras, Selected Topics*, North-Holland Math. Stud., **124**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [24] T. W. Palmer, Spectral algebras, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **22** (1992), 293–327.
- [25] T. W. Palmer, *Banach algebras and General Theory of $*$ -Algebras*, Vol. I, Algebras and Banach algebras, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, **49**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [26] N. C. Phillips, Inverse limits of C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **19** (1988), 159–195.
- [27] N. C. Phillips, A new approach to multiplier of Pedersen ideal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **104** (1986), 861–867.
- [28] R. T. Powers, Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1971), 85–124.

- [29] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach algebras*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics D, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J.-Toronto-London-New York, 1960.
- [30] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **37**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston and Berlin, 1990.
- [31] K. Schmüdgen, On well-behaved unbounded representations of $*$ -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **48** (2002), Suppl., 487–502.
- [32] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.
- [33] A. Uhlmann, Über die Definition der Quantenfelder nach Wightman and Haag, In: *Wiss. Zeitschr., Karl Marx Univ. Leipzig, Math. Naturw. R.*, **11** (1962), 213–217.
- [34] B. Yood, C^* -seminorms, *Studia Math*, **118** (1996), 19–26.

Subhash J. BHATT

Department of Mathematics
Sardar Patel University
Vallabh Vidyanagar 388120
Gujarat
India

Atsushi INOUE

Department of Applied Mathematics
Fukuoka University
Nanakuma, Jonan-ku
Fukuoka 814-0180
Japan

Klaus-Detlef KÜRSTEN

Fakultät für Mathematik und
Informatik, Mathematisches Institut
Universität Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10, D04109
Leipzig
Germany