

Sum formula for finite multiple zeta values

By Shingo SAITO and Noriko WAKABAYASHI

(Received May 29, 2013)
 (Revised Oct. 23, 2013)

Abstract. The sum formula is one of the most well-known relations among multiple zeta values. This paper proves a conjecture of Kaneko predicting that an analogous formula holds for finite multiple zeta values.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Finite multiple zeta values.

The *multiple zeta values* (MZVs) and *multiple zeta-star values* (MZSVs) are defined by

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{m_1 > \dots > m_n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}},$$

$$\zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}}$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $k_1 \geq 2$. They are both generalizations of the Riemann zeta values $\zeta(k)$ at positive integers.

Among a large number of variants of the MZ(S)Vs, there has recently been growing interest in *finite multiple zeta(-star) values* (FMZ(S)Vs). Set $\mathcal{A} = (\prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) / (\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, where p runs over all primes; in other words, the elements of \mathcal{A} are of the form $(a_p)_p$, where $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and two elements (a_p) and (b_p) are identified if and only if $a_p = b_p$ for all but finitely many primes p . We shall simply write a_p for (a_p) since no confusion is likely. The following definition is due to Zagier (see [6]):

DEFINITION 1.1. For $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{p > m_1 > \dots > m_n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}} \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{p > m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}} \in \mathcal{A}$$

and call them *finite multiple zeta(-star) values*.

We spell out two easy properties of FMZ(S)V_s that will be used later; see Theorems 4.3 and 6.1 in [3] for the proofs. See also [6], [8] and the introduction of [7].

- PROPOSITION 1.2. (1) We have $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
 (2) For $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, k_2) = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2) = (-1)^{k_1} \binom{k_1 + k_2}{k_1} \frac{B_{p-k_1-k_2}}{k_1 + k_2}.$$

Here the numbers B_m are the Bernoulli numbers given by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \frac{x^m}{m!} = \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}} \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

1.2. Sum formula.

The sum formula is a basic class of relations among MZ(S)V_s and has been generalized in various directions. For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq n \leq k - 1$, set

$$I_{k,n} = \{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n \mid k_1 + \dots + k_n = k, k_1 \geq 2\}.$$

THEOREM 1.3 (Sum formula [1], [2]). For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq n \leq k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n}} \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_n) &= \zeta(k), \\ \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n}} \zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_n) &= \binom{k-1}{n-1} \zeta(k). \end{aligned}$$

Kaneko [5] conjectured the following analogous relations for FMZ(S)V_s:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) &= \left(1 + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-1}\right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}, \\ \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_n) &= \left((-1)^n + \binom{k-1}{n-1}\right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the conjecture and its generalizations given below.

For $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n \leq k - 1$, set

$$I_{k,n,i} = \{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n \mid k_1 + \dots + k_n = k, k_i \geq 2\};$$

note that $I_{k,n,1} = I_{k,n}$.

THEOREM 1.4 (Main theorem). For $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n \leq k - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) = (-1)^{i-1} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k},$$

$$\sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_n) = (-1)^{i-1} \left((-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.$$

Setting $i = 1$ gives Kaneko’s conjecture.

2. Proof of the main theorem.

For notational simplicity, we write the sums to be computed as

$$S_{k,n,i} = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_n), \quad S_{k,n,i}^* = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

for $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n \leq k - 1$.

2.1. Recurrence relations.

We begin the proof by establishing recurrence relations for $S_{k,n,i}$ and $S_{k,n,i}^*$. We will show the recurrence relations by expressing products of FMZ(S)Vs as sums of FMZ(S)Vs via the *harmonic product* (see [4]). Since explaining the harmonic product in its full generality is unnecessarily cumbersome, we shall only illustrate it by examples. If $k_1, k_2, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then Proposition 1.2 (1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, k_2) \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(l) \\ &= \left(\sum_{m_1 > m_2} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2}} \right) \left(\sum_m \frac{1}{m^l} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{m > m_1 > m_2} + \sum_{m_1 > m > m_2} + \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > m} + \sum_{m_1 = m > m_2} + \sum_{m_1 > m_2 = m} \right) \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} m^l} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(l, k_1, k_2) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, l, k_2) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, k_2, l) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1 + l, k_2) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, k_2 + l), \end{aligned}$$

where m_1, m_2 , and m are all assumed to be positive integers less than p , and similarly that

$$0 = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(l, k_1, k_2) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, l, k_2) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2, l) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1 + l, k_2) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2 + l).$$

An analogous procedure leads to the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, l, k_j, \dots, k_{n-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j + l, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, l, k_j, \dots, k_{n-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j + l, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) = 0.$$

PROOF. Expand the left-hand sides of $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1})\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(l) = 0$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1})\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(l) = 0$. □

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Recurrence relations). For $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq i+1 \leq n \leq k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-i)S_{k,n,i} + iS_{k,n,i+1} + (k-n)S_{k,n-1,i} &= 0, \\ (n-i)S_{k,n,i}^* + iS_{k,n,i+1}^* - (k-n)S_{k,n-1,i}^* &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Summing the equations in Lemma 2.1 over all $(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, l) \in I_{k,n,i}$ gives the desired recurrence relations. Indeed, the map

$$(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, l) \mapsto (k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, l, k_j, \dots, k_{n-1})$$

defined on $I_{k,n,i}$ is a bijection onto $I_{k,n,i+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, i$ and onto $I_{k,n,i}$ for $j = i + 1, \dots, n$; under the map

$$((k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, l), j) \mapsto (k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j + l, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_{n-1})$$

from $I_{k,n,i} \times \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ to $I_{k,n-1,i}$, the preimage of each $(k'_1, \dots, k'_{n-1}) \in I_{k,n-1,i}$ is of cardinality

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} (k'_j - 1) + (k'_i - 2) = k - n. \quad \square$$

2.2. Computation of $S_{k,n,i}^*$.

LEMMA 2.3 (Initial values). For $k, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have

$$S_{k,k-1,i}^* = (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.$$

PROOF. By the duality theorem for FMZSVs [3, Theorem 4.6] and Proposition 1.2 (2), we find that

$$S_{k,k-1,i}^* = \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i-1}, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-i-1}) = -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(i, k-i) = (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. For $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n \leq k-1$, we have

$$S_{k,n,i}^* = (-1)^{i-1} \left((-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.$$

PROOF. The proof is by backward induction on n .

We first consider the case $n = k - 1$. If k is even, then the identity trivially follows from Lemma 2.3 because $B_{p-k} = 0$ (in \mathbb{Q} and so in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as well) whenever p is a prime at least $k + 3$. If k is odd, then the identity again follows from Lemma 2.3 because

$$(-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{n-i} = \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{k-i-1} = \binom{k}{i}.$$

Now assume that the identity holds for n . Then Proposition 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (k-n)S_{k,n-1,i}^* &= (n-i)S_{k,n,i}^* + iS_{k,n,i+1}^* \\ &= (n-i)(-1)^{i-1} \left((-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\ &\quad + i(-1)^i \left((-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i} + \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \left((n-i)(-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (k-n+i) \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\ &\quad + (-1)^i \left((k-i)(-1)^n \binom{k-1}{i-1} + i \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\ &= (k-n)(-1)^{i-1} \left((-1)^{n-1} \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the identity holds for $n - 1$ as well and the proof is complete. □

2.3. Computation of $S_{k,n,i}$.

Observe that each (F)MZV can be written as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of (F)MZSVs and vice versa, an example being

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > m_3} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} m_3^{k_3}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3} - \sum_{m_1 = m_2 \geq m_3} - \sum_{m_1 \geq m_2 = m_3} + \sum_{m_1 = m_2 = m_3} \right) \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} m_3^{k_3}} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2, k_3) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1 + k_2, k_3) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2 + k_3) + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1 + k_2 + k_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $m_1, m_2,$ and m_3 are all assumed to be positive integers less than p .

LEMMA 2.5. For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq n \leq k - 1$, we have

$$S_{k,n,1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-n+j-1}{j} S_{k,n-j,1}^*.$$

PROOF. Each $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$, where $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in I_{k,n,1}$, can be written as a sum of the values of the form $(-1)^j \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k'_1, \dots, k'_{n-j})$ where $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $(k'_1, \dots, k'_{n-j}) \in I_{k,n-j,1}$. Moreover, each $(k'_1, \dots, k'_{n-j}) \in I_{k,n-j,1}$ appears in this manner exactly as many times as there are ways of adding j bars to the $n-j-1$ existing bars in the gaps in the following sequence of stars, in such a way that no bar separates the leftmost two stars and no two bars are in the same gap:

$$\underbrace{\boxed{\star\star} \cdots \star}_{k'_1} \mid \cdots \mid \underbrace{\star \cdots \star}_{k'_{n-j}}$$

Since there are $(k'_1 - 2) + (k'_2 - 1) + \cdots + (k'_{n-j} - 1) = k - n + j - 1$ gaps that accept bars, the number of ways is $\binom{k-n+j-1}{j}$. □

LEMMA 2.6 (Initial values). For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq n \leq k-1$, we have

$$S_{k,n,1} = \left(1 + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,n,1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-n+j-1}{j} \left((-1)^{n-j} + \binom{k-1}{n-j-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\ &= \left((-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{k-n+j-1}{j} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-n+j-1}{j} \binom{k-1}{n-j-1} \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $(1-x)^{-m} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+j-1}{j} x^j \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Looking at the coefficient of x^{n-1} in the product of $(1-x)^{-(k-n)}$ and $(1-x)^{-1}$ gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{(k-n)+j-1}{j} = \binom{(k-n+1)+(n-1)-1}{n-1} = \binom{k-1}{n-1};$$

looking at the coefficient of x^{n-1} in the product of $(1+x)^{-(k-n)}$ and $(1+x)^{k-1}$ gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-n+j-1}{j} \binom{k-1}{n-j-1} = 1.$$

The proof is now complete. □

PROPOSITION 2.7. For $k, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n \leq k-1$, we have

$$S_{k,n,i} = (-1)^{i-1} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.$$

PROOF. The proof is by induction on i , the case $i = 1$ being Lemma 2.6. Assume that the identity holds for i . Then Proposition 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 iS_{k,n,i+1} &= -(n-i)S_{k,n,i} - (k-n)S_{k,n-1,i} \\
 &= -(n-i)(-1)^{i-1} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\
 &\quad - (k-n)(-1)^{i-1} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\
 &= -(-1)^{i-1} \left((n-i) \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (k-n+i)(-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\
 &\quad - (-1)^{i-1} \left((k-n) \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (k-n)(-1)^{n-1} \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\
 &= (-1)^i \left((k-i) \binom{k-1}{i-1} + i(-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k} \\
 &= i(-1)^i \left(\binom{k-1}{i} + (-1)^n \binom{k-1}{n-i-1} \right) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the identity holds for $i + 1$ as well and the proof is complete. \square

Combining Propositions 2.4 and 2.7, we have completed the proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.4).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors would like to thank Masanobu Kaneko and Tatsushi Tanaka for helpful comments, and Hiroki Kondo for carefully reading the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Granville, A decomposition of Riemann's zeta-function, *Analytic number theory*, Kyoto, 1996, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **247**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp.95–101.
- [2] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152** (1992), 275–290.
- [3] M. E. Hoffman, Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums, *Kyushu J. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] M. E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra*, **194** (1997), 477–495.
- [5] M. Kaneko, Finite multiple zeta values mod p and relations among multiple zeta values, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, 2012, **1813**, pp.27–31, *Aspects of multiple zeta values* (Japanese), Kyoto, 2010.
- [6] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Finite multiple zeta values, in preparation.
- [7] S. Saito and N. Wakabayashi, Bowman-Bradley type theorem for finite multiple zeta values, *Tohoku Math. J.* (2), to appear.
- [8] J. Zhao, Wolstenholme type theorem for multiple harmonic sums, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **4** (2008), 73–106.

Shingo SAITO

Faculty of Arts and Science
Kyushu University
744, Motoooka, Nishi-ku
Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
E-mail: ssaito@artsci.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Noriko WAKABAYASHI

Faculty of Engineering
Kyushu Sangyo University
3-1, Matsukadai 2-chome, Higashi-ku
Fukuoka 813-8503, Japan
E-mail: noriko@ip.kyusan-u.ac.jp

Current address

College of Science and Engineering
Ritsumeikan University
1-1-1, Noji-higashi, Kusatsu
Shiga 525-8577, Japan
E-mail: noriko-w@fc.ritsumeikai.ac.jp