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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be an odd prime number and $\ell$ a prime number with $\ell \neq p$. Let $K_{n}=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}\right)$ be the $p^{n+1}$-st cyclotomic field. Let $h_{n}$ and $h_{n}^{-}$be the class number and the relative class number of $K_{n}$, respectively. When $\ell=2$, we give an explicit bound $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ depending on $p$ such that the ratio $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd for all $n>\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$. When $\ell \geq 3$, we also give a corresponding result on the $\ell$-part of the relative class number of $K_{n}^{+}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$. As an application, we show that when $p \leq 509$, the ratio $h_{n} / h_{0}$ is odd for all $n \geq 1$.


## 1. Introduction.

Let $p$ be a fixed prime number. Let $F$ be a number field, and $F_{\infty} / F$ the cyclotomic $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$-extension with its $n$-th layer $F_{n}(n \geq 0)$. Let $h_{F_{n}}$ be the class number of $F_{n}$. It is a well known theorem of Washington [23] that for a prime number $\ell \neq p$, the ratio $h_{F_{n}} / h_{F_{n-1}}$ is not divisible by $\ell$ for all sufficiently large $n$. In $[\mathbf{6}],[\mathbf{7}],[\mathbf{8}],[\mathbf{9}]$, Horie gave an "explicit" version when the base field $F$ is a real abelian field whose conductor and the degree over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ are not divisible by $\ell$. Namely, he gave an explicit bound $m=m_{F, p, \ell}$ depending on $F, p$ and $\ell$ such that $h_{F_{n}} / h_{F_{n-1}}$ is not divisible by $\ell$ for all $n \geq m$ ([7, Lemma 7], [8, Proposition 3]). In this paper, we give an explicit version for certain relative class numbers and give its numerical application.

We fix an odd prime number $p$ and a prime number $\ell \neq p$. Let $K_{n}=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}\right)$ be the $p^{n+1}$-st cyclotomic field, and $K_{n}^{+}$its maximal real subfield. Here, for an integer $m \geq 2, \zeta_{m}$ denotes a primitive $m$-th root of unity. Let $h_{n}$ and $h_{n}^{+}$be the class numbers of $K_{n}$ and $K_{n}^{+}$, respectively, and let $h_{n}^{-}=h_{n} / h_{n}^{+}$be the relative class number. Let $n_{0}=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\ell^{p-1}-1\right)$ be the $p$-adic valuation of $\ell^{p-1}-1$. When $\ell=2$, let $\boldsymbol{a}_{p}$ be the number of $p$-th roots $\zeta$ of unity such that $\operatorname{Tr}(\zeta) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, and let $\boldsymbol{b}_{p}=p-\boldsymbol{a}_{p}$. Here, $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace map from $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{2}$ being the field of 2-adic rationals. We easily see that $1 \leq \min \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p}, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right) \leq(p-1) / 2$. We define an

[^0]integer $\varpi_{p, \ell} \geq 1$ as follows. We put $\varpi_{p, \ell}=1$ when $\ell$ is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$. Otherwise, we put
\[

\varpi_{p, \ell}= $$
\begin{cases}\left(p-1-\left[\frac{p}{\ell}\right]\right) p^{n_{0}-1}, & \text { if } \ell>2 \text { or } n_{0}>1 \\ \min \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p}, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right), & \text { if } \ell=2 \text { and } n_{0}=1\end{cases}
$$
\]

Here, $[x]$ is the largest integer $\leq x$. We define an integer $M_{p, \ell}$ by

$$
M_{p, \ell}=\ell(p-1) \varpi_{p, \ell}-1 .
$$

Theorem 1. Under the above setting, assume that $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$, where $\phi$ is the Euler function. Then the following assertions hold.
(I) The ratio $h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$is relatively prime to $\ell$.
(II) When $\ell=2, h_{n} / h_{n-1}$ is odd.

It is known that $h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$is odd when $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd (see Remarks 1(I)). Hence, the essential part of Theorem 1(II) is the assertion $2 \nmid h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$. However, we need Theorem 1(I) to prove Theorem 1(II). When $\ell \geq 3$, we give a corresponding assertion (Theorem 3) at the end of Section 2 under an additional assumption on $p$ and $\ell$.

Using the analytic class number formula, we can check the parity of $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$ with the help of computer. We checked the parity for $p \leq 509$ and $n$ smaller than the bound given in Theorem 1, and obtained the following:

Theorem 2. Let $p$ be an odd prime number with $p \leq 509$. Then the ratio $h_{n} / h_{0}$ is odd for all $n \geq 1$.

When $p=3,5,7,17,257$, this assertion was already shown in [11], [12], [13], [22]. As for the plus part $h_{n}^{+}$, there is a heuristic argument in Buhler et al [1] which suggests not only that the ratio $h_{n}^{+} / h_{0}^{+}$is odd, but also that it should be 1 for all $n \geq 1$, except for a finite number of primes $p$.

Theorem 1(I) is quite similar to an assertion obtained directly from [8, Proposition 3] which is given in a very general setting. (A correction to this proposition in [8] is given in page 823 of [10].) Horie proved [8, Proposition 3] using (a) some tools in Leopoldt [16], in particular, Leopoldt's algebraic interpretation of the analytic class number formula for a real abelian field and (b) his new idea and technique on very subtle treatment of cyclotomic units. We prove Theorem 1(I) using Horie's idea and technique and some tools in modern theory of
cyclotomic fields, in particular, the Iwasawa main conjecture. By applying [8, Proposition 3] in our special setting, we can show that $\ell$ does not divide $h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$ if $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}^{\prime}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$ when we put $M_{p, \ell}^{\prime}=\ell(p-1)^{3} p^{n_{0}-1}-1$. Our bound in Theorem 1(I) is sharper than this bound. To compare the two bounds, let $\ell=2$. By the above bound, we see that when $p=509$ (resp. $p=503$ ), the ratio $h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$ is odd for all $n \geq 785$ (resp. 778). On the other hand, by the bound in Theorem $1(\mathrm{I})$, we see that it is odd for all $n \geq 280$ (resp. 500). In view of the application to Theorem 2, it is desirable to choose the bound as small as possible.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1 and 3 postponing the proof of a key lemma (Lemma 4). We prove Lemma 4 in Section 3 using some lemmas and an argument in [7], [8]. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2 using Theorem 1 and the analytic class number formula with the help of computer.

## Remarks 1.

(I) It is well known that the condition $2 \nmid h_{n}^{-}$implies $2 \nmid h_{n}^{+}$(Hasse [5, Satz 45], Iwasawa [14, Theorem 6]). We can easily show that $2 \nmid h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$implies $2 \nmid h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$applying an argument in [14] after decomposing the 2-part of the class group of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}\right)$ by the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K_{0}\right)$.
(II) By a table in Schoof [19] on the relative class number $h_{0}^{-}$for $p \leq 509$, we see that among the odd primes $\leq 509, h_{0}$ is even for $p=29,113,163,197,239$, 277, 311, 337, 349, 373, 397, 421, 463, 491.
(III) Let $p=3$ and let $k$ be an imaginary abelian field whose conductor is not divisible by 9 . For each odd prime number $\ell \neq 3$, Friedman and Sands [3, Corollary 1.4] gave an explicit bound $m_{\ell}$ depending on $k$ and $\ell$ such that $\ell \nmid h_{k_{n}}^{-} / h_{k_{n-1}}^{-}$for all $n \geq m_{\ell}$. Here, $h_{k_{n}}^{-}$is the relative class number of $k_{n}$. Their method depends on the fact that the group of roots of unity in the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}_{3}$ of 3-adic integers is $\{ \pm 1\}$, and it is completely different from that of Horie and this paper.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

We use the same notation as in Section 1. In particular, $p$ is a fixed odd prime number and $\ell$ is a prime number with $\ell \neq p$. Let $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}$be the $\ell$-part of the ideal class group of $K_{n}^{+}$. As the natural map $\mathscr{A}_{n-1}^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}$is injective, we often regard $\mathscr{A}_{n-1}^{+}$as a subgroup of $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}$. Denote by $\boldsymbol{B}_{n}$ the $n$-th layer of the cyclotomic $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$-extension over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ with $\boldsymbol{B}_{0}=\boldsymbol{Q}$. Let $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{0}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)$. Denote by $\Delta_{\ell}$ and $\Delta_{0}$ the $\ell$-part and the non- $\ell$-part of $\Delta$, respectively. Let $\Gamma_{n}=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{n} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K_{0}\right)$. We regard the group $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}$as a module over the group ring $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}\right]$. Let $X$ be a module over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}\right]$, and let $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) be a $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$-valued character of $\Delta_{0}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{n}$ ). Here, $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}$ is the ring of $\ell$-adic integers, $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}$ the field of $\ell$-adic rationals, and $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$ an algebraic closure of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}$. Regarding
$\varphi \psi=\varphi \times \psi$ as a character of $\Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}$, we denote by $X(\varphi \psi)$ the $\varphi \psi$-part of $X$. (For the definition of $\varphi \psi$-part and some of its properties, see Tsuji [21, Section 2].) We have a canonical decomposition

$$
\frac{\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}}{\mathscr{A}_{n-1}^{+}}=\bigoplus_{\varphi, \psi} \mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}(\varphi \psi)
$$

where $\varphi$ runs over a complete set of representatives of the $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}$-equivalent classes of $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$-valued characters of $\Delta_{0}$ and $\psi$ runs over that of $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell^{-}}$valued characters of $\Gamma_{n}$ of order $p^{n}$. Because of this decomposition, we work componentwise in the following. We fix a character $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) of $\Delta_{0}$ (resp. of $\Gamma_{n}$ of order $p^{n}$ ), and we put

$$
\chi=\varphi \psi
$$

for brevity. Let $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}(\chi)$ be the subfield of $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$ generated by the values of $\chi$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}$, and $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\chi]$ the ring of integers of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}(\chi)$. Then, for a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}\right]$-module $X$, the $\chi$-part $X(\chi)$ is naturally regarded as a module over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\chi]$. Denote by $F_{n}$ the intermediate field of $K_{n}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}$ fixed by $\Delta_{\ell}$, so that we have $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{n} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)=\Delta_{0}$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}\right)=\Delta_{\ell}$. Let $A_{n}$ be the $\ell$-part of the ideal class group of $F_{n}$. Since exactly one prime of $F_{n}$ ramifies in the $\ell$-extension $K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}$, we obtain the following lemma using a classical argument in [24, pp. 186-187].

Lemma 1. Under the above setting, we have $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}(\chi)=\{0\}$ if and only if $A_{n}(\chi)=\{0\}$.

Because of this lemma, it suffices to work on the class group $A_{n}$ of $F_{n}$.
Let $E_{n}$ be the group of units of $F_{n}$. We fix a primitive $p^{n+1}$-st root

$$
\zeta=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}
$$

of unity in all what follows. Let $D_{n}$ be the subgroup of $K_{n}^{\times}$generated by $-\zeta$ and $(1-\zeta)^{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$, and let

$$
C_{n}=E_{n} \cap D_{n}
$$

be a group of cyclotomic units of $F_{n}$. Let $\bar{E}_{n}$ and $\bar{C}_{n}$ be the pro- $\ell$-completions of $E_{n}$ and $C_{n}$, respectively. We see that the $\chi$-part $\bar{E}_{n}(\chi)$ is free of rank one over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\chi]$ by a theorem of Minkowski on units of Galois extension over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ (cf. Narkiewicz [18, Theorem 3.26]). A formula for the class number of $F_{n}$ is given by Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 of Sinnott [20]. A "refined version" of this formula, which is a consequence
of the Iwasawa main conjecture, was obtained in Greenberg [4, Proposition 9], Kuz'min [15, Theorem 9.2] and Cornacchia and Greither [2, Proposition 10] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}(\chi)\right|=\left[\bar{E}_{n}(\chi): \bar{C}_{n}(\chi)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e_{\psi}$ and $e_{\varphi}$ be the idempotents of $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0}\right]$ corresponding to $\psi$ and $\varphi$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{\psi}=\frac{1}{p^{n}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}}\left(\psi(\gamma)^{-1}\right) \gamma, \\
& e_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\left|\Delta_{0}\right|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_{0}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{d}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}}\left(\varphi(\delta)^{-1}\right) \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $d$ is the order of $\varphi$. Let $\tilde{e}_{\psi}$ (resp. $\left.\tilde{e}_{\varphi}\right)$ be an element of $\boldsymbol{Z}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{Z}\left[\Delta_{0}\right]$ ) such that

$$
\tilde{e}_{\psi} \equiv e_{\psi} \bmod \ell \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{e}_{\varphi} \equiv e_{\varphi} \bmod \ell
$$

Let $t=1+p^{n}$ and let

$$
\epsilon_{n}=N_{K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}}\left(\frac{\zeta-\zeta^{-1}}{\zeta^{t}-\zeta^{-t}}\right) \in C_{n}
$$

The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K_{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{n} / F_{n-1}\right)$ is generated by the automorphism sending $\zeta$ to $\zeta^{t}$. Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n, n-1}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)=1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{n, n-1}$ is the norm map from $F_{n}$ to $F_{n-1}$. We put

$$
\eta_{n}=\epsilon_{n}^{\tilde{e}_{\varphi}}{ }^{\tilde{e}_{\varphi}} \in C_{n} .
$$

From the definition of $C_{n}$, we see that the class containing the unit $\eta_{n}$ generates $\left(C_{n} / C_{n}^{\ell}\right)(\chi)$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\chi]$. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of (1). It corresponds to [6, Lemma 2], [7, Lemma 2] and [8, Proposition 1].

Lemma 2. If $A_{n}(\chi)$ is nontrivial, then $\eta_{n} \in\left(K_{n}^{\times}\right)^{\ell}$.

Lemma 3. Let $\lambda$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $\ell$ for $K_{n} / Q$. For an element $\eta \in K_{n}^{\times}$with $(\eta, \ell)=1$, assume that the cyclic extension $K_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)\left(\eta^{1 / \ell}\right)$ over $K_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ is unramified at the primes over $\ell$. Then $\eta^{\lambda} \equiv \eta^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$.

Remark 2. When $\eta \in\left(K_{n}^{\times}\right)^{\ell}$, the assumption of Lemma 3 is clearly satisfied. In this case, the assertion of Lemma 3 was shown in [ $\mathbf{6}$, Lemma 5].

Proof of Lemma 3. Assume that $K_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)\left(\eta^{1 / \ell}\right) / K_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ is unramified at the primes over $\ell$. Then we have $\eta \equiv u^{\ell} \bmod \pi_{\ell}^{\ell}$ for some $u \in K_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)^{\times}$by Exercises 9.2 and 9.3 of [24]. Here, $\pi_{\ell}=\zeta_{\ell}-1$. Taking the norm to $K_{n}$, we obtain $\eta \equiv$ $v^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$ for some $v \in K_{n}^{\times}$because $K_{n} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ is unramified at $\ell$. Since $v^{\lambda} \equiv v^{\ell} \bmod \ell$, it follows that

$$
\eta^{\lambda} \equiv\left(v^{\lambda}\right)^{\ell} \equiv v^{\ell^{2}} \equiv \eta^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2} .
$$

The following key lemma is proved in Section 3.
Lemma 4. If $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$, then $\eta_{n}^{\lambda} \not \equiv \eta_{n}^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$.
Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemmas 1-4, we immediately see that $\ell \nmid$ $h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$(including the case $\ell=2$ ).

Let $\ell=2$. To prove that $2 \nmid h_{n} / h_{n-1}$, it suffices to show $2 \nmid h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$. We show this using the fact $2 \nmid h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$and the classical "Spiegelung" argument. Let $\mathscr{A}_{n}$ be the 2-part of the ideal class group of $K_{n}$. It is known that the natural map $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{n}$ is injective ([24, Theorem 4.14]). We define the minus part $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}$to be the cokernel of the injection:

$$
\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}=\frac{\mathscr{A}_{n}}{\mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}} .
$$

As $\ell=2$, the non- $\ell$-part $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)$ is naturally regarded as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)$. Hence, we can view $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}$as a module over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}\right]$. It suffices to show that $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}(\chi)=\{0\}$ for each $\chi=\varphi \psi$. Assume that $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}(\chi)$ is nontrivial. Let $\Omega / K_{n}$ be the class field corresponding to $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}(\chi)=\left(\mathscr{A}_{n} / \mathscr{A}_{n}^{+}\right)(\chi)$. Namely, $\Omega / K_{n}$ is an unramified abelian extension and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\Omega / K_{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}(\chi)$ via the reciprocity law map. As $\mathscr{A}_{n}^{-}(\chi)$ is stable under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right), \Omega$ is Galois over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. The 2-extension $K_{n} / F_{n}$ is ramified only at the unique prime over $p$ and the infinite prime divisors. Therefore, using a classical argument in [24, pp. 186-187], we see that there exists a quadratic extension $H^{\prime} / F_{n}$ unramified at all finite primes satisfying $H^{\prime} K_{n} \subseteq \Omega$. Let $H$ be the Galois closure of $H^{\prime}$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, and $\mathscr{G}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H / F_{n}\right)$. As $\Omega$ is Galois over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, we have $H K_{n} \subseteq \Omega$. It
follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}(\chi) \cong \boldsymbol{F}_{2}[\chi] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}=\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$ is the finite field of 2 elements and $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}[\chi]=\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}[\chi] / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}[\chi]$ is the residue field of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}(\chi)$. Let $V$ be the subgroup of $F_{n}^{\times} /\left(F_{n}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ such that

$$
H=F_{n}\left(v^{1 / 2} \mid[v] \in V\right) .
$$

The Kummer pairing

$$
V \times \mathscr{G} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}, \quad([v], g) \rightarrow\langle v, g\rangle=\left(v^{1 / 2}\right)^{g-1}
$$

is nondegenerate and satisfies the relation $\left\langle v^{\rho}, g^{\rho}\right\rangle=\langle v, g\rangle$ for $\rho \in \Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}$. Therefore, by (3), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{F}_{2}\left[\chi^{-1}\right]=\boldsymbol{F}_{2}[\chi] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an element $[v] \in V$, we have $v \mathscr{O}_{F_{n}}=\mathfrak{A}^{2}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of $F_{n}$, where $\mathscr{O}_{F_{n}}$ is the ring of integers of $F_{n}$. Consider the homomorphism $f$ from $V$ to $A_{n}$ sending $[v] \in V$ to the ideal class containing $\mathfrak{A}$. Then we have a Kummer sequence

$$
\{0\} \rightarrow V \cap\left(\frac{E_{n}\left(F_{n}^{\times}\right)^{2}}{\left(F_{n}^{\times}\right)^{2}}\right) \rightarrow V=V\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} A_{n} .
$$

The image of $f$ is contained in $A_{n}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$ as $f$ commutes with the Galois action. However, we see that $A_{n}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$ is trivial by $2 \nmid h_{n}^{+} / h_{n-1}^{+}$and Lemma 1. Hence, by the Kummer sequence, we can regard $V$ as a submodule of $\left(E_{n} / E_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$. On the other hand, we have $\left(E_{n} / E_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{F}_{2}\left[\chi^{-1}\right]$ by [18, Theorem 3.26]. By (4), this implies that $V=\left(E_{n} / E_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$. By $A_{n}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)=\{0\}$ and (1), it follows that $V=\left(C_{n} / C_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, since $\left(C_{n} / C_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$ is generated by the class containing the unit $\eta_{n}$ (with respect to the character $\chi^{-1}$ ), the extension $F_{n}\left(\eta_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)$ is unramified at all finite primes. This contradicts Lemmas 3 and 4 .

In the rest of this section, let $\ell \geq 3$. We put $L_{n}=K_{n}^{+}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ and $W_{\ell}=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / K_{n}^{+}\right)$. Denote by $A_{L_{n}}$ the $\ell$-part of the ideal class group of $L_{n}$. We naturally regard $A_{L_{n-1}}$ as a subgroup of $A_{L_{n}}$, and put $B_{L_{n}}=A_{L_{n}} / A_{L_{n-1}}$. Let $\omega_{\ell}$ be the $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}$-valued character of $W_{\ell}$ representing the Galois action on $\zeta_{\ell}$. (Namely, $\zeta_{\ell}^{\sigma}=\zeta_{\ell}^{\omega_{\ell}(\sigma)}$ for $\sigma \in W_{\ell}$.) Denote by $B_{L_{n}}\left(\omega_{\ell}\right)$ the $\omega_{\ell}$-part of the $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[W_{\ell}\right]$-module $B_{L_{n}}$.

Theorem 3. Assume that $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$, and that $p$ is a primitive root modulo $\ell$. Then the class group $B_{L_{n}}\left(\omega_{\ell}\right)$ is trivial.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\chi=\varphi \psi$ be as before, and $\tilde{\chi}=\omega_{\ell} \times \chi$ be the character of $\Theta=W_{\ell} \times \Delta_{0} \times \Gamma_{n}$. Regarding $A_{L_{n}}$ as a module over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\Theta]$, it suffices to show that the $\tilde{\chi}$-part $A_{L_{n}}(\tilde{\chi})$ is trivial for each $\chi$. Assume that $A_{L_{n}}(\tilde{\chi}) \neq\{0\}$. By the second assumption of the assertion, there is a unique prime ideal $\wp$ of $F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)\left(\subseteq L_{n}\right)$ over $p$. Further, the $\ell$-extension $L_{n} / F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ is ramified only at $\wp$. Therefore, from the assumption $A_{L_{n}}(\tilde{\chi}) \neq\{0\}$, we can show, similarly to the case $\ell=2$, that there is a nontrivial unramified abelian extension $H / F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)$ of exponent $\ell$ such that (i) $H$ is Galois over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and (ii) the Galois group $\mathscr{G}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H / F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}(\tilde{\chi}) \cong \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell}[\tilde{\chi}]=\boldsymbol{F}_{\ell}[\chi] .
$$

Let $V$ be the subgroup of $F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)^{\times} /\left(F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)^{\times}\right)^{\ell}$ such that

$$
H=F_{n}\left(\zeta_{\ell}\right)\left(v^{1 / \ell} \mid[v] \in V\right)
$$

Denote by $\mu_{\ell}=\left\langle\zeta_{\ell}\right\rangle$ the group of $\ell$-th roots of unity. The Kummer pairing

$$
V \times \mathscr{G} \rightarrow \mu_{\ell}, \quad([v], g) \rightarrow\langle v, g\rangle=\left(v^{1 / \ell}\right)^{g-1}
$$

is nondegenerate and satisfies

$$
\left\langle v^{\rho}, g^{\rho}\right\rangle=\langle v, g\rangle^{\rho}=\langle v, g\rangle^{\omega_{\ell}(\rho)}
$$

for $\rho \in \Theta$. Here, we are regarding $\omega_{\ell}$ as a character of $\Theta$ via the natural surjection $\Theta \rightarrow W_{\ell}$. It follows from $\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}(\tilde{\chi})$ that $V=V\left(\omega_{0} \times \chi^{-1}\right)$ where $\omega_{0}$ is the trivial character of $W_{\ell}$. This implies that $V \subseteq\left(F_{n}^{\times} /\left(F_{n}^{\times}\right)^{\ell}\right)\left(\chi^{-1}\right)$. Now, we can derive a contradiction using Theorem 1(I) and Lemmas 1, 3 and 4 similarly to the case $\ell=2$.

## 3. Proof of Lemma 4.

### 3.1. Preliminaries.

We use the same notation as in the previous sections. In particular, $\zeta=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}$ is a fixed primitive $p^{n+1}$-st root of unity. We recall some lemmas from $[\mathbf{6}],[\mathbf{7}]$.

Lemma 5 ([7, Lemma 5]). Let $Y \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$ be a finite set, and $u \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ an
integer. Let $m \leq n$ be an integer, and $Y_{u}$ the subset of $Y$ consisting of $y \in Y$ with $y \equiv u \bmod p^{m}$. Let $\kappa: Y \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ be an arbitrary map. If $\sum_{y \in Y} \kappa(y) \zeta^{y} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$, then $\sum_{y \in Y_{u}} \kappa(y) \zeta^{y} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$.

As in [6], [7], we choose a complete set $\mathscr{V}$ of representatives of the quotient $\mu_{p-1} /\{ \pm 1\}$ as follows, where $\mu_{p-1}$ is the group of $(p-1)$-st roots of unity in the complex number field $\boldsymbol{C}$. Write $(p-1) / 2=q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{r}$ with $q_{i}$ a power of a prime number and $\left(q_{i}, q_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$. We put

$$
\mathscr{V}=\left\{\left.\exp \left(\left(\frac{c_{1}}{q_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{c_{r}}{q_{r}}\right) \pi \sqrt{-1}\right) \right\rvert\, 0 \leq c_{i} \leq q_{i}-1(1 \leq i \leq r)\right\}
$$

where $\exp (*)$ is the exponential function.
Lemma 6 ([6, Lemma 7]). Let $z: \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ be a map such that $z(\nu) \geq 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathscr{V} \backslash\{1\}$. If $\sum_{\nu \in \mathscr{V}} z(\nu) \nu=0$, then $z(\nu)=0$ for all $\nu \in \mathscr{V}$.

We fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and a prime ideal $\wp$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mu_{p-1}\right)$ over $p$. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the set of integers $u$ with $1 \leq u \leq p^{n+1}-1$ such that $u^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{n+1}$ and $u \equiv \nu \bmod \wp^{n+1}$ for some $\nu \in \mathscr{V}$. Then we have a bijection

$$
\omega_{\wp}: \mathscr{I} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}
$$

sending $u \in \mathscr{I}$ to $\nu \in \mathscr{V}$ with $\nu \equiv u \bmod \wp^{n+1}$. For a subset $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $\Delta=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\left\{u \in \mathscr{I} \mid \sigma_{u \mid K_{n}^{+}} \in \Delta^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\omega_{\wp}\left(I_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{u}$ is the automorphism of $K_{n}$ sending $\zeta$ to $\zeta^{u}$.
Let $\varphi$ be a $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$-valued character of $\Delta_{0}$, and $\psi$ that of $\Gamma_{n}$ of order $p^{n}$. For the expression $\eta_{n}=\epsilon_{n}^{\tilde{e}_{\psi} \tilde{e}_{\varphi}}$ in Section 2, we can replace $\tilde{e}_{\psi}$ with $\tilde{e}_{\psi}^{\prime}=\tilde{e}_{\psi}-\alpha N_{n, n-1}$ for any $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$ because of the relation (2). Here, $N_{n, n-1}$ is the norm map from $F_{n}$ to $F_{n-1}$. We choose $\tilde{e}_{\psi}^{\prime}$ as follows. We see that $n_{0}=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\ell^{p-1}-1\right)$ is the largest integer satisfying $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}\right)$. If an element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}$ satisfies $\gamma^{p^{n_{0}}} \neq 1$, then the trace of $\psi(\gamma)$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}\right)$ equals 0 . For $a \equiv 1 \bmod p$, let $\gamma_{a} \in \Gamma_{n}$ be the automorphism of $K_{n}$ sending $\zeta$ to $\zeta^{a}$. For an integer $j$, put

$$
s_{j}=1+j p^{n+1-n_{0}} .
$$

From the definition of $e_{\psi}$ and the above remark, we can write

$$
e_{\psi}=\frac{1}{p^{n_{0}}} \sum_{j=0}^{p^{n_{0}}-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}}\left(\psi\left(s_{j}\right)^{-1}\right) \gamma_{s_{j}} \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Gamma_{n}^{p^{n-n_{0}}}\right] .
$$

When $\ell$ is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$, we see that

$$
e_{\psi}=1-\frac{1}{p} N_{n, n-1}
$$

since $\operatorname{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}}\left(\psi\left(s_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)=-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$. In view of this, we choose $\tilde{e}_{\psi}^{\prime}=1$ in this case. Further, we put $J_{\psi}=\{0\}$ and $a_{0}=1$. Assume that $\ell$ is not a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$. We choose and fix an element $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z}\left[\Gamma_{n}^{p^{n-n_{0}}}\right]$ so that the number of non-zero terms of $e_{\psi}-\alpha N_{n, n-1} \bmod \ell$ is minimal. Let $J_{\psi}$ be the set of integers $j$ with $0 \leq j \leq p^{n_{0}}-1$ for which the coefficient $a_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\gamma_{s_{j}}$ in $e_{\psi}-\alpha N_{n, n-1} \bmod \ell$ is nonzero. Letting $a_{j}$ be the integer with $a_{j} \equiv a_{j}^{\prime} \bmod \ell$ and $1 \leq a_{j} \leq \ell-1$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}_{\psi}^{\prime}=\sum_{j \in J_{\psi}} a_{j} \gamma_{s_{j}}\left(\equiv e_{\psi}-\alpha N_{n, n-1} \bmod \ell\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above, we obtain
Lemma 7. Under the above setting and notation, the unit $\epsilon_{n}^{\tilde{e}_{\psi}}$ equals

$$
\prod_{j \in J_{\psi}} \epsilon_{n}^{a_{j} \gamma_{s_{j}}}
$$

times an $\ell$-th power of a unit of $K_{n}$.
As for the cardinality $\left|J_{\psi}\right|$, we show
Lemma 8. $\quad\left|J_{\psi}\right| \leq \varpi_{p, \ell}$.
Proof. When $\ell$ is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$, the assertion is obvious as $J_{\psi}=\{0\}$ and $\varpi_{p, \ell}=1$. So, we deal with the case where $\ell$ is not a primitive root. Let $X=\Gamma_{n}^{p^{n-n_{0}}}$ and $Y=\Gamma_{n}^{p^{n-1}}$. Let $\rho_{j}\left(1 \leq j \leq p^{n_{0}-1}\right)$ be a complete set of representatives of the quotient $X / Y$. We can write

$$
e_{\psi}=\sum_{j=1}^{p^{n_{0}-1}}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in Y} x_{n, j, \gamma} \gamma\right) \rho_{j}
$$

with

$$
x_{n, j, \gamma}=\frac{1}{p^{n_{0}}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}_{\ell}}\left(\psi\left(\gamma^{-1}\right) \psi\left(\rho_{j}^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

First, assume that $\ell>2$ or $n_{0}>1$. For each $j$, we see that among the $p$ quantities $x_{n, j, \gamma} \bmod \ell$ with $\gamma \in Y$, at least $[p / \ell]+1$ ones have the same value, say $c_{j}$. Letting $\beta=\sum_{j} c_{j} \rho_{j}$, we see that the number of nonzero terms of $e_{\psi}-\beta N_{n, n-1} \bmod \ell$ is less than or equal to $\varpi_{p, \ell}$. Next, assume that $\ell=2$ and $n_{0}=1$. Then among the $p$ quantities $x_{n, 1, \gamma} \bmod 2, \boldsymbol{a}_{p}$ ones equal 0 , and $\boldsymbol{b}_{p}=p-\boldsymbol{a}_{p}$ ones equal 1. Hence, letting $\delta_{p}=0$ or 1 according to whether or not $\boldsymbol{a}_{p}>\boldsymbol{b}_{p}$, we see that the number of nonzero terms of $e_{\psi}-\delta_{p} N_{n, n-1} \bmod 2$ equals $\varpi_{p, 2}$.

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Lemma 4.
Lemma 9. Let $\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}=\psi\left(1+p^{n+1-n_{0}}\right)$ be a primitive $p^{n_{0}}$-th root of unity. When $n \geq 2 n_{0}-1$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J_{\psi}} a_{j} \zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}^{j} \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}\right]^{\times}
$$

Proof. When $\ell$ is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$, the assertion is obvious as $J_{\psi}=\{0\}$ and $a_{0}=1$. Let us deal with the case where $\ell$ is not a primitive root. We regard the character $\psi$ as a homomorphism from $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right]$ to $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$ by linearity. We have $\psi\left(N_{n, n-1}\right)=0$ as $\psi$ is of order $p^{n}$. Therefore, we see from the congruence (6) that $\sum_{j} a_{j} \psi\left(\gamma_{s_{j}}\right)$ is an $\ell$-adic unit since $e_{\psi}$ is a unit of the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Gamma_{n}\right] e_{\psi}$. Since $n \geq 2 n_{0}-1$, we see that $s_{j} \equiv\left(1+p^{n+1-n_{0}}\right)^{j} \bmod p^{n+1}$, and hence $\psi\left(\gamma_{s_{j}}\right)=\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}^{j}$. Thus, we obtain the assertion.

REmark 3 . We easily see that the condition $n \geq 2 n_{0}-1$ is satisfied when the condition $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$ in Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Finally, we rewrite the expression $\eta_{n}=\epsilon_{n}^{\tilde{e}_{\varphi}} \tilde{e}_{\varphi}$. We can naturally regard the operator $e_{\varphi} N_{K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}}$ as an element of $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\Delta]$. Let $\Delta_{\varphi}$ be the subset of $\Delta=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n}^{+} / \boldsymbol{B}_{n}\right)$ consisting of elements $\delta \in \Delta$ for which the coefficient of $\delta$ in $e_{\varphi} N_{K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}}\left(\in \boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}[\Delta]\right)$ modulo $\ell$ is nonzero. Regarding $\varphi$ as a homomorphism $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\ell}\left[\Delta_{0}\right] \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\ell}$ by linearity, we have $\varphi\left(e_{\varphi}\right)=1$. From this, we see that the set $\Delta_{\varphi}$ is non-empty. Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Delta_{\varphi}\right| \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write

$$
e_{\varphi} N_{K_{n}^{+} / F_{n}} \equiv \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_{\varphi}} b_{\delta} \delta \bmod \ell
$$

for some integer $b_{\delta}$ with

$$
1 \leq b_{\delta} \leq \ell-1
$$

Let

$$
I_{\varphi}=I_{\Delta_{\varphi}} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{\varphi}=V_{\Delta_{\varphi}}
$$

be the subset of $\mathscr{I}$ and $\mathscr{V}$ defined by (5). For $u \in I_{\varphi}$, we write $b_{u}=b_{\delta}$ with $\delta=\sigma_{u \mid K_{n}^{+}} \in \Delta_{\varphi}\left(\right.$ see (5)). Now, from Lemma 7, we see that the unit $\eta_{n}$ in Lemma 4 equals the unit

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\prod_{j \in J_{\psi}} \prod_{u \in I_{\varphi}}\left(\frac{\zeta^{s_{j} u}-\zeta^{-s_{j} u}}{\zeta^{t s_{j} u}-\zeta^{-t s_{j} u}}\right)^{a_{j} b_{u}}
$$

times an $\ell$-th power of a unit of $K_{n}$. We see that the unit $\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ is Galois conjugate to the unit

$$
\xi_{n}=\prod_{j \in J_{\psi}} \prod_{u \in I_{\varphi}}\left(\frac{\zeta^{s_{j} u}-1}{\zeta^{t_{j} u}-1}\right)^{a_{j} b_{u}}
$$

times $\zeta^{c}$ for some $c \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Hence, we can write $\eta_{n}=\zeta^{c} \epsilon^{\ell} \xi_{n}^{\sigma}$ for some unit $\epsilon$ of $K_{n}$ and some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$. Since $\zeta^{\lambda}=\zeta^{\ell}$ and $\left(\epsilon^{\ell}\right)^{\lambda} \equiv \epsilon^{\ell^{2}} \bmod \ell^{2}$, we see that Lemma 4 is equivalent to the following assertion.

Lemma 10. If $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)}$, then we have $\xi_{n}^{\lambda} \not \equiv \xi_{n}^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$.
Remark 4. The conclusion of Lemma 10 is invariant under the Galois action of $\Delta$. Therefore, replacing $\Delta_{\varphi}$ with $\delta^{-1} \Delta_{\varphi}$ for any $\delta \in \Delta_{\varphi}$, we may as well assume that $1 \in I_{\varphi}$ and $1 \in V_{\varphi}$.

### 3.2. Proof of Lemma 10.

We use the notation as in the previous sections. We fix an integer $n$ and write $\chi=\varphi \psi$. For brevity, we put $I=I_{\varphi}, V=V_{\varphi}$ and $J=J_{\psi}$. As we have noted in Remark 4, we may as well assume that $1 \in V$ and $1 \in I$. Let $\Phi_{\ell}$ be the set of all
maps $z$ from $V$ to $\{0,1, \ldots, 2 \ell|J|\}$. Let

$$
M_{\chi}=\max _{z \in \Phi_{\ell}}\left\{\left|N\left(\sum_{\nu \in V} z(\nu) \nu-1\right)\right|\right\}
$$

where $N$ is the norm map from $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p-1}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\chi} \leq\left(M_{p, \ell}\right)^{\phi(p-1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 8 , (7) and

$$
\left|\sum_{\nu \in V} z(\nu) \nu-1\right| \leq|z(1)-1|+\sum_{\nu \neq 1}|z(\nu)| \leq 2 \ell|J| \times|I|-1
$$

for each embedding of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p-1}\right)$ into the complex numbers $\boldsymbol{C}$.
We derive a contradiction assuming that $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>M_{\chi}, n \geq 2 n_{0}-1$ and $\xi_{n}^{\lambda} \equiv \xi_{n}^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$ following Horie's argument in $[\mathbf{7}],[8]$. Then, from (8) and Remark 3, we obtain Lemma 10 . For integers $j \in J$ and $u \in I$, let $c_{j, u}$ be the integer such that

$$
1 \leq c_{j, u} \leq \ell-1 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{j, u} \equiv a_{j} b_{u} \bmod \ell .
$$

By the congruence $\xi_{n}^{\lambda} \equiv \xi_{n}^{\ell} \bmod \ell^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j \in J} \prod_{u \in I}\left(\frac{\zeta^{\ell s_{j} u}-1}{\zeta^{\ell t s_{j} u}-1}\right)^{c_{j, u}} \equiv \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{u \in I}\left(\frac{\zeta^{s_{j} u}-1}{\zeta^{t s_{j} u}-1}\right)^{\ell c_{j, u}} \bmod \ell^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a polynomial $G(T) \in \boldsymbol{Z}[T]$ by

$$
G(T)=\frac{1}{\ell}\left((T-1)^{\ell}-\left(T^{\ell}-1\right)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{\ell}{ }_{\ell} C_{k} T^{k}
$$

or

$$
G(T)=-T+1
$$

according as $\ell>2$ or $\ell=2$. Here, ${ }_{\ell} C_{k}$ is the binomial coefficient. Then we have

$$
(T-1)^{\ell}=T^{\ell}-1+\ell G(T)
$$

and

$$
\left(T^{c}-1\right)^{b \ell}=\left(\left(T^{c}-1\right)^{\ell}\right)^{b} \equiv\left(T^{\ell c}-1\right)^{b-1} \times\left(T^{\ell c}-1+b \ell G\left(T^{c}\right)\right) \bmod \ell^{2}
$$

Using this, we see from (9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{j} \prod_{u}\left(\zeta^{\ell s_{j} u}-1\right)\left(\zeta^{\ell t s_{j} u}-1+\ell c_{j, u} G\left(\zeta^{t s_{j} u}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \equiv \prod_{j} \prod_{u}\left(\zeta^{\ell t s_{j} u}-1\right)\left(\zeta^{\ell s_{j} u}-1+\ell c_{j, u} G\left(\zeta^{s_{j} u}\right)\right) \bmod \ell^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $m \in J$ and $w \in I$, we put

$$
\Pi_{m, w}=\prod_{(j, u) \neq(m, w)}\left(\zeta^{\ell t s_{j} u}-1\right), \quad \Pi_{m, w}^{\prime}=\prod_{(j, u) \neq(m, w)}\left(\zeta^{\ell s_{j} u}-1\right)
$$

where $(j, u)$ runs over $J \times I$ with $(j, u) \neq(m, w)$. It follows from (10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\prod_{j} \prod_{u}\left(\zeta^{\ell s_{j} u}-1\right)\right) \times\left(\sum_{m \in J} \sum_{w \in I} c_{m, w} G\left(\zeta^{t s_{m} w}\right) \Pi_{m, w}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \quad \equiv\left(\prod_{j} \prod_{u}\left(\zeta^{\ell t s_{j} u}-1\right)\right) \times\left(\sum_{m} \sum_{w} c_{m, w} G\left(\zeta^{s_{m} w}\right) \Pi_{m, w}^{\prime}\right) \bmod \ell . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We expand the both hand sides of this congruence. Let $\Psi$ (resp. $\Psi_{m, w}$ ) be the set of maps from $J \times I$ (resp. $J \times I \backslash\{(m, w)\}$ ) to $\{0,1\}$. For maps $\kappa \in \Psi$ and $\kappa^{\prime} \in \Psi_{m, w}$, we put

$$
A(\kappa)=\sum_{j, u} \ell s_{j} u \kappa(j, u), \quad B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{(j, u) \neq(m, w)} \ell s_{j} u \kappa^{\prime}(j, u)
$$

and

$$
K\left(\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}\right)=\kappa(m, w)+\sum_{(j, u) \neq(m, w)}\left(\kappa(j, u)+\kappa^{\prime}(j, u)\right)
$$

Then (11) and (12) equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{m} \sum_{w} \sum_{\kappa \in \Psi} \sum_{\kappa^{\prime} \in \Psi_{m, w}}(-1)^{K\left(\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}\right)} c_{m, w} G\left(\zeta^{t s_{m} w}\right) \zeta^{A(\kappa)+t B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{m} \sum_{w} \sum_{\kappa \in \Psi} \sum_{\kappa^{\prime} \in \Psi_{m, w}}(-1)^{K\left(\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}\right)} c_{m, w} G\left(\zeta^{s_{m} w}\right) \zeta^{t A(\kappa)+B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Let $D$ be the set of integers $d$ with $1 \leq d \leq \ell-1$ when $\ell>2$ and let $D=\{0,1\}$ when $\ell=2$. Then, the terms $\zeta^{t s_{m} w d}$ (resp. $\zeta^{s_{m} w d}$ ) with $d \in D$ appear in (13) (resp. (14)), from the factor $G\left(\zeta^{t_{m} w}\right)$ (resp. $G\left(\zeta^{s_{m} w}\right)$ ).

Now we extract terms of the form $\zeta^{*}$ with $* \equiv \sum_{j, u} 2 \ell u-1 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}}$ from (13) and (14), and apply Lemma 5. For this purpose, we consider the following conditions for each $m \in J$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t s_{m} w d+A(\kappa)+t B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right) \equiv \sum_{j, u} 2 \ell u-1 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{m} w d+t A(\kappa)+B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right) \equiv \sum_{j, u} 2 \ell u-1 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both conditions are equivalent as $t=1+p^{n}$. We show the following:
Claim. For each $m \in J$, the conditions (15) and (16) are satisfied if and only if $w=1, d=\ell-1, \kappa(j, u)=1$ for all $(j, u) \in J \times I$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(j, u)=1$ for all $(j, u) \in J \times I$ with $(j, u) \neq(m, 1)$.

Proof. From the definitions of $A(\kappa)$ and $B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)$, we easily obtain the "if"part of the assertion. Let us show the "only if"-part. We put

$$
x_{u}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ell\left(\sum_{j}\left(2-\kappa(j, u)-\kappa^{\prime}(j, u)\right)\right) \text { or } \\
\ell\left(2-\kappa(m, w)+\sum_{j \neq m}\left(2-\kappa(j, w)-\kappa^{\prime}(j, w)\right)\right)-d
\end{array}\right.
$$

according as $u \neq w$ or $u=w$. From $s_{j} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}}$ and the definitions of $A(\kappa)$ and $B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)$, we see that the conditions (15) and (16) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in I} x_{u} u-1 \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we see that

$$
0 \leq x_{u} \leq 2 \ell|J|
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{u} \equiv 0 \quad \text { or } \quad-d \bmod \ell \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $u \neq w$ or $u=w$. For each $\nu \in V$, letting $u=\omega_{\wp}^{-1}(\nu) \in I$, we put $g(\nu)=x_{u}$. We have $u \equiv \nu \bmod \wp^{n+1}$ by the definition of $\omega_{\wp}$. Hence, we obtain from (17),

$$
\sum_{\nu \in V} g(\nu) \nu-1 \equiv 0 \bmod \wp^{n+1-n_{0}}
$$

It follows that

$$
X=N\left(\sum_{\nu \in V} g(\nu) \nu-1\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n+1-n_{0}}
$$

where $N$ is the norm map from $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{p-1}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}$. However, since $p^{n+1-n_{0}}>M_{\chi}$, we must have $X=0$. Hence, we see from Lemma 6 that $g(\nu)=1$ or 0 according as $\nu=1$ or $\nu \neq 1$. (Here, we are assuming that $1 \in V$ and $1 \in I$ as we have noted in Remark 4.) Therefore, it follows from (18) that $w=1$ and $d=\ell-1$. Further, from the definition of $x_{u}$, we see that $\kappa(j, u)=1$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(j, u)=1$ for all $(j, u) \in J \times I$ and $(j, u) \in J \times I$ with $(j, u) \neq(m, 1)$.

In view of Claim, we put

$$
A=A(\kappa)=\sum_{j, u} \ell s_{j} u
$$

and

$$
B_{m}=B\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{(j, u) \neq(m, 1)} \ell s_{j} u=A-\ell s_{m}
$$

for each $m \in J$. We see from $(13) \equiv(14) \bmod \ell$, Lemma 5 and Claim that

$$
\sum_{m \in J} c_{m, 1} \zeta^{t s_{m}(\ell-1)} \zeta^{A+t B_{m}} \equiv \sum_{m \in J} c_{m, 1} \zeta^{s_{m}(\ell-1)} \zeta^{t A+B_{m}} \bmod \ell
$$

Since

$$
t s_{m}(\ell-1)+A+t B_{m}=-t s_{m}+(1+t) A
$$

and

$$
s_{m}(\ell-1)+t A+B_{m}=-s_{m}+(1+t) A
$$

we obtain

$$
\sum_{m} c_{m, 1} \zeta^{t s_{m}} \equiv \sum_{m} c_{m, 1} \zeta^{s_{m}} \bmod \ell
$$

Letting $\zeta_{p}=\zeta^{p^{n}}$ and $\zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}=\zeta^{p^{n+1-n_{0}}}$, we see from the above that

$$
\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right) \sum_{m} c_{m, 1} \zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}^{m} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell
$$

As $\zeta_{p}-1$ is relatively prime to $\ell$ and $c_{m, 1} \equiv a_{m} b_{1} \bmod \ell$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{m \in J} a_{m} \zeta_{p^{n_{0}}}^{m} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell .
$$

However, this congruence is impossible by Lemma 9. Now, we have completed the proof of Lemma 10.

Remark 5. We can show that the value $|J|=\left|J_{\psi}\right|$ depends only on $p$ and $\ell$ and that $\left|\Delta_{\varphi}\right|$ depends only on the order $d$ of $\varphi$. If we obtain estimates for $\left|J_{\psi}\right|$ and $\left|\Delta_{\varphi}\right|$ better than Lemma 8 and (7), we can show a result sharper than Theorem 1 by the above argument.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 by combining Theorem 1 and computer calculation.

### 4.1. Application of the class number formula.

To prove $2 \nmid h_{n} / h_{n-1}$, it suffices to show $2 \nmid h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$(cf. Remarks 1(I)). We note that $n_{0}=1$ for $p \leq 509$ because, as is well known, the minimal prime $p$ satisfying $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}$ is 1093 . As $n_{0}=1$, we have

$$
M_{p, 2}=2 p-3 \quad \text { or } \quad 2(p-1) \min \left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p}, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right)-1
$$

according as 2 is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$ or not. Putting

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{p}=\left[\frac{\phi(p-1) \log M_{p, 2}}{\log p}\right],
$$

we know that $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd for $n>\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$, by virtue of Theorem 1. So, it remains to show that $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd for $1 \leq n \leq \boldsymbol{m}_{p}$. For that purpose, we make use of the analytic class number formula (cf. [24, Theorem 4.17]). Because the unit index equals 1 in this case ( $[\mathbf{2 4}$, Corollary 4.13]), the formula gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{n}^{-}}{h_{n-1}^{-}}=p \prod_{\chi}\left(-\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \chi}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ runs over all odd Dirichlet characters of conductor $p^{n+1}$ and

$$
B_{1, \chi}=\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \sum_{a=1}^{p^{n+1}} a \chi(a)
$$

Throughout this section, we put

$$
p-1=2^{t} q \quad(t \geq 1, q: \text { odd })
$$

and express an odd character $\chi$ of conductor $p^{n+1}$ as a product $\chi=\delta \varphi \psi$ of characters $\delta, \varphi, \psi$ satisfying

| character | $\delta$ | $\varphi$ | $\psi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conductor | $p$ | $p$ | $p^{n+1}$ |
| order | $2^{t}$ | $d$ | $p^{n}$ |
| parity | odd | even | even |

where $d$ is a divisor of $q$. For this $\chi$, the generalized Bernoulli number $B_{1, \chi}$ belongs to $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p^{n}}\right)$. We denote by Tr the trace map from $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p^{n}}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p}\right)$.

Lemma 11. Assume $n_{0}=1$ and that for any odd character $\chi=\delta \varphi \psi$ of conductor $p^{n+1}$ and any prime ideal $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p}\right)$ lying above 2, there is an integer $\alpha$ which is prime to $p$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi(\alpha)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the quotient $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd.
Proof. If $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is even, then, by the formula (19), there exist an odd character $\chi$ and a prime ideal $\hat{\mathscr{L}}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p^{n}}\right)$ lying above 2 which satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \chi} \equiv 0 \bmod \hat{\mathscr{L}} .
$$

Because of the assumption $n_{0}=1, \hat{\mathscr{L}}$ is inert in the extension $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p^{n}}\right) / \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p}\right)$. Hence, for the prime ideal $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p}\right)$ lying below $\hat{\mathscr{L}}$, the congruence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi(\alpha)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}}
$$

must hold for any integer $\alpha$ relatively prime to $p$. This proves Lemma 11 .
For further computation, we introduce some notation. For an integer $a, s_{n}(a)$ denotes the integer satisfying

$$
s_{n}(a) \equiv a \bmod p^{n+1} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq s_{n}(a)<p^{n+1}
$$

Here we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}(-a)=p^{n+1}-s_{n}(a) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds when $a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n+1}$. We take a primitive root $g$ modulo $p^{2}$, which is a primitive root modulo $p^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then, for any integer $i_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi} & =\frac{1}{2 p^{n+1}} \sum_{i \bmod (p-1) p^{n}} s_{n}\left(g^{i}\right) \chi\left(g^{i-i_{0}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p^{n+1}} \sum_{i \bmod (p-1) p^{n}} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+i}\right) \chi\left(g^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i$ moves over $\boldsymbol{Z} /(p-1) p^{n} \boldsymbol{Z}$ in the sum. Since, for a $2^{t} d p^{n}$-th root $\xi$ of unity, $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi)$ equals $p^{n-1} \xi$ or 0 according as $\xi$ lies in $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d p}\right)$ or not, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\chi\left(g^{i}\right)\right)=p^{n-1} \chi\left(g^{i}\right) \text { or } 0
$$

according as $i$ is divisible by $p^{n-1}$ or not. Hence, by writing $i=p^{n-1} i^{\prime}$ when $i$ is divisible by $p^{n-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right)=\frac{1}{2 p^{2}} \sum_{i^{\prime} \bmod (p-1) p} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} i^{\prime}}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} i^{\prime}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For making use of Lemma 11, we give two congruences.
Lemma 12. Assume $n_{0}=1$. Then, with the above notation, the following congruences hold for any integer $i_{0}$ and any prime ideal $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{t} d_{p}}\right)$ lying above 2.
(I) Put $\rho=\varphi\left(g^{2^{t}}\right) \psi\left(g^{2^{t} p^{n-1}}\right)$. Then $\rho$ is a primitive dp-th root of unity, and a congruence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right) \\
& \quad \equiv \sum_{v=0}^{d p-1}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{d^{\prime}-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} v+2^{t} d p^{n} u+q p^{n} l}\right)\right) \rho^{v} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

modulo $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ holds with $d^{\prime}=q / d$.
(II) Put $\eta=\varphi(g) \psi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)$. Then $\eta$ is a primitive dp-th root of unity, and a congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\eta) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right) \equiv(1-\eta)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^{t-1} q p-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} j}\right) \eta^{j}\right)+1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ holds.
Proof. Because $\chi=\delta \varphi \psi$ has order $2^{t} d p^{n}$, we easily see that $\rho$ and $\eta$ are primitive $d p$-th roots of unity.

First we prove (I). In (22) we put $i^{\prime}=2^{t} k+q p l$, where $k$ and $l$ move over integers modulo $q p$ and $2^{t}$, respectively. Decomposing $\chi=\delta \varphi \psi$, we have $\delta\left(g^{2^{t}}\right)=$
$\varphi\left(g^{q p^{n}}\right)=\psi\left(g^{q p^{n}}\right)=1$ and $\varphi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)=\varphi(g)($ note that $p-1$ is divisible by $d)$. Hence $\varphi\left(g^{2^{t} p^{n-1}}\right) \psi\left(g^{2^{t} p^{n-1}}\right)=\rho$, and the right hand side of (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 p^{2}} \sum_{k \bmod q p}\left(\sum_{l \bmod 2^{t}} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n} l}\right) \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right)\right) \rho^{k} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sum for $l$ in (25), we combine terms for $l$ and $l+2^{t-1}\left(0 \leq l<2^{t-1}\right)$. Then, since $g^{2^{t-1} q p^{n}} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n} l}\right) \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right)+s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n}\left(l+2^{t-1}\right)}\right) \delta\left(g^{q p^{n}\left(l+2^{t-1}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(2 s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n} l}\right)-p^{n+1}\right) \times \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

in view of (21). Then (22) and (25) show

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right)= & \frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{q p-1}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n} l}\right) \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right)\right) \rho^{k} \\
& -\frac{p^{n-1}}{2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{q p-1} \rho^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{l=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{q p-1}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+2^{t} p^{n-1} k+q p^{n} l}\right) \delta\left(g^{q p^{n} l}\right)\right) \rho^{k} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

because $\rho$ is a $q p$-th root of unity different from 1 . Since $\delta(g)$ is a $2^{t}$-th root of unity and the prime ideal $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ divides 2 , we have congruences $\delta(g) \equiv 1 \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ and $p \equiv 1 \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}}$. Moreover, putting

$$
d^{\prime}=\frac{q}{d} \quad \text { and } \quad k=d p u+v \quad\left(0 \leq u<d^{\prime}, 0 \leq v<d p\right)
$$

we have $\rho^{k}=\rho^{v}$ because $\rho$ is a $d p$-th root of unity. Therefore, we obtain (23) easily from (27).

Next we prove (II). Putting $m=(p-1) p / 2$, we have $g^{p^{n-1} m} \equiv-1 \bmod p^{n+1}$. Hence, we see that for an integer $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} j}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right)+s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1}(j+m)}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1}(j+m)}\right) \\
& \quad=2 s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} j}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right)-p^{n+1} \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly to (26). Hence, combining the terms for $i^{\prime}=j$ and $i^{\prime}=j+m$, the right hand side of (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} j}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right)-\frac{p^{n-1}}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum in the second term of (28) equals

$$
\frac{1-\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} m}\right)}{1-\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)}=\frac{2}{1-\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)}
$$

because $\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} m}\right)=\chi(-1)=-1$. From (22) and (28), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(g^{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} s_{n}\left(g^{i_{0}+p^{n-1} j}\right) \chi\left(g^{p^{n-1} j}\right)-\frac{p^{n-1}}{1-\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)}
$$

We easily see that

$$
\chi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)=\delta\left(g^{p^{p^{n-1}}}\right) \varphi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right) \psi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right) \equiv \eta \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}}
$$

as $\delta(g) \equiv 1 \bmod \tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ and $\varphi\left(g^{p^{n-1}}\right)=\varphi(g)$. Hence, we obtain (24) from the above equality.

### 4.2. Methods of computation.

When $p$ and $n$ are given, Lemma 12 supplies two methods of computation for showing that $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd. Both methods are based on calculation of greatest common divisor for polynomials (of variable $T$ ) with coefficients in $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}$, the field with 2 elements. In applying Lemma 12, we take $i_{0}=(p-1) r=2^{t} q r$ with a non-negative integer $r$.

Method 1: For a divisor $d$ of $q$ and an integer $r \geq 0$, define a polynomial $F_{d, r}(T) \in \boldsymbol{F}_{2}[T]$ by

$$
F_{d, r}(T)=\sum_{v=0}^{d p-1}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{d^{\prime}-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} s_{n}\left(g^{2^{t} q r+2^{t} p^{n-1} v+2^{t} d p^{n} u+q p^{n} l}\right)\right) T^{v} \bmod 2 .
$$

Here, the symbol "mod 2" indicates the reduction modulo 2 of an integral polynomial in $T$. If, for each divisor $d$ of $q$, we can find an $r \geq 0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{d, r}(T), \bar{\Phi}_{d p}(T)\right)=1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd. Here, $\Phi_{d p}(T)$ is the $d p$-th cyclotomic polynomial and $\bar{\Phi}_{d p}(T)=\Phi_{d p}(T) \bmod 2$.

Method 2: For an integer $r \geq 0$, define a polynomial $G_{r}(T) \in \boldsymbol{F}_{2}[T]$ by

$$
G_{r}(T)=(1-T)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^{t-1} q p-1} s_{n}\left(g^{2^{t} q r+p^{n-1} j}\right) T^{j}\right)+1 \bmod 2 .
$$

If we can find an $r \geq 0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(G_{r}(T), \frac{T^{q p}-1}{T^{q}-1} \bmod 2\right)=1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd.
Both methods are direct consequences of Lemmas 11 and 12. We denote by $\mathscr{L}$ the prime ideal of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{d p}\right)$ lying below $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$. Noting that the right hand sides of (23) and (24) belong to $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta_{d p}\right)$, we see that if either of them is prime to $\mathscr{L}$, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left((1 / 2) \chi\left(g^{2^{t} q r}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right)$ is prime to $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$ (recall that $1-\eta$ is prime to $\left.\tilde{\mathscr{L}}\right)$. By general theory of cyclotomic fields, the prime ideal $\mathscr{L}$ corresponds to an irreducible factor of $\bar{\Phi}_{d p}(T)$. Hence, if (29) holds for some $r$, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left((1 / 2) \chi\left(g^{2^{t} q r}\right)^{-1} B_{1, \chi}\right)$ is prime to $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$. This proves validity of Method 1 , in view of Lemma 11. For Method 2, the situation is the same except that the right hand side of (24) (and hence $G_{r}(T)$ ) does not depend on $d$. So, instead of checking that $G_{r}(T)$ is prime to $\bar{\Phi}_{d p}(T)$ for each $d$, we should verify that $G_{r}(T)$ is prime to their product

$$
\prod_{d \mid q} \bar{\Phi}_{d p}(T)=\frac{T^{q p}-1}{T^{q}-1} \bmod 2
$$

where, in the product on the left hand side, $d$ runs over all divisors of $q$.

### 4.3. Data of computation.

As stated at the beginning of this section, we verified that $h_{n}^{-} / h_{n-1}^{-}$is odd for $p \leq 509$ and $1 \leq n \leq \boldsymbol{m}_{p}$. We applied both Methods 1 and 2, for cross checking. The computation was done by using Maple 13 (cf. [17]) on Apple's Mac Pro computer with dual Quad-Core Intel Xeon CPU of 2.8 GHz . Total time of computation was about 18 hours for Method 1 and about 21 hours for Method 2. Compared to Method 1, Method 2 has a merit of treating all $d$ at one time, but,
at the same time, has a demerit that the degrees of the polynomials to be treated are higher. As its consequence, Method 2 works faster than Method 1 for small $p$, but becomes slower as $p$ grows. Moreover, in Method 2 we must find a value of $r$ which is valid for all $d$, though, in Method 1, it suffices to find an $r$ for each $d$. For these reasons, we describe, in the following, the data of computation for Method 1.

The number $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$, which gives the upper limit of our verification, is smaller when 2 is a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$. Among 96 odd primes $p$ under 509, 2 is a primitive root for 39 primes. Table 1 (resp. Table 2) is a list of all pairs ( $p, \boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ ) with $p \leq 509$ for which $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}>180$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}>300$ ) and 2 is (resp. is not) a primitive root modulo $p^{2}$.

Table 1. Large $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}: 2$ is a primitive root.

| $p$ | 347 | 389 | 419 | 443 | 461 | 467 | 491 | 509 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ | 192 | 214 | 200 | 213 | 195 | 258 | 186 | 279 |

Table 2. Large $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}: 2$ is not a primitive root.

| $p$ | 359 | 383 | 401 | 431 | 449 | 479 | 487 | 499 | 503 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ | 355 | 379 | 319 | 335 | 383 | 475 | 323 | 317 | 499 |

When $p, n, d$ are given, we first tried to verify (29) for $r=0$, and if (29) did not hold for $r=0$, we increased $r=1,2, \cdots$ successively until (29) comes to be true. The computation showed, to our surprise, that in almost all cases the first candidate (i.e. $r=0$ ) was valid for the verification. All the exceptional cases in which we must take $r>0$ are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Choice of positive $r$.

| $p$ | 7 | 31 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ | 3 | 15 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | 2 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 43 | 45 | 48 | 63 | 66 |
| d | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $r$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Looking at Table 3, we notice that positive $r$ is needed only when $d=1$. This might seem to suggest a possibility that the condition (29) always holds for $r=0$ as long as $d>1$. For investigating this point, we continued checking if (29) holds for $r=0$ when $n$ is larger than our bound $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$. As its result, we found examples
of $d>1$ and $n$ for which (29) does not hold for $r=0$. Such examples were likely to be found when the multiplicative order of 2 modulo $p$ is rather small. One example is the case of $p=31$, for which the order of 2 is 5 . We applied Method 1 for $p=31$ and $n \leq 5000$ (cf. $\boldsymbol{m}_{31}=15$ ), and we found that for $d=3$ and the values

$$
\begin{aligned}
n= & 121,148,212,296,360,505,511,518,521,524,695,725,742,827, \\
& 1114,1275,1467,2176,2335,2528,2543,2632,2742,2747,2848, \\
& 2926,3178,3500,3598,3845,3960,4048,4828,
\end{aligned}
$$

(29) is not true for $r=0$ (in all cases, (29) holds with $r=1$ ). This result seems to indicate that, for very large $n$, (29) does not necessarily hold for $r=0$, even when $d>1$. In this respect, our upper bound $\boldsymbol{m}_{p}$ might be said to be rather "small".
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