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#### Abstract

A (holomorphic) involution $\sigma$ of an Enriques surface $S$ is said to be numerically reflective if it acts on the cohomology group $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Q})$ as a reflection. We show that the invariant sublattice $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ of the antiEnriques lattice $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ under the action of $\sigma$ is isomorphic to either $\langle-4\rangle \perp$ $U(2) \perp U(2)$ or $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U$. Moreover, when $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U(2)$, we describe $(S, \sigma)$ geometrically in terms of a curve of genus two and a Göpel subgroup of its Jacobian.


An automorphism of an Enriques surface $S$ is said to be numerically trivial if it acts on the cohomology group $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Q}) \simeq \boldsymbol{Q}^{10}$ trivially. By [11] and [10], numerically trivial involutions are classified into three types. An involution of $S$ is called numerically reflective if it acts on $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Q})$ as a reflection, that is, the eigenvalue -1 is of multiplicity one. In this article, we shall study numerically reflective involutions as the next case of the classification of involutions of an Enriques surface.

We first explain a construction, with which we started our investigation. Let $C$ be a (smooth projective) curve of genus two and $J=J(C)$ be its Jacobian variety. As is well known the quotient variety $J(C) /\left\{ \pm 1_{J}\right\}$ is realized as a quartic surface with 16 nodes in $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$, called Kummer's quartic. The minimal resolution of $J(C) /\left\{ \pm 1_{J}\right\}$ is called the Jacobian Kummer surface of $C$ and denoted by $\mathrm{Km} C$.

Let $G \subset J(C)_{(2)}$ be a Göpel subgroup which is not bi-elliptic (Definitions 1.4 and 1.6). Then the four associated nodes $\bar{G} \subset J(C) /\left\{ \pm 1_{J}\right\}$ are linearly independent in $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ (Proposition 5.2). Let $(x: y: z: t)$ be a coordinate of $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ such that the four nodes are the four vertices of the tetrahedron $x y z t=0$. Then the equation of Kummer's quartic $J(C) /\left\{ \pm 1_{J}\right\} \subset \boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ is of the form

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x t+y z, y t+z x, z t+x y)+4 x y z t=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for a ternary quadratic form $q(x, y, z)=a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}+d y z+e x z+f x y$ by Hutchinson[7]. The standard Cremona transformation $(x: y: z: t) \mapsto(1 / x:$ $1 / y: 1 / z: 1 / t$ ) of $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ leaves the quartic invariant and induces a (holomophic) involution of $\operatorname{Km} C$, which we denote by $\varepsilon_{G}$. As is observed in [8, Section 3], the involution $\varepsilon_{G}$ has no fixed points and the quotient $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ is an Enriques surface (Proposition 5.1 and Remark 5.3).

The projection $(x: y: z: t) \mapsto(x: y: z)$ from the node $(0: 0: 0: 1)$ gives a rational map of degree two from the quartic $\operatorname{Km} C$ to $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. The Galois group of this double cover is generated by the involution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta:(x: y: z: t) \mapsto\left(x: y: z: \frac{q(y z, x z, x y)}{t q(x, y, z)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which commutes with $\varepsilon_{G}$ and descends to an involution $\sigma_{G}$ of the Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$. Our main purpose of this article is to characterize $\left((\operatorname{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G}\right)$ as an Enriques surface with an involution, making use of the following:

Proposition 1. $\quad \sigma_{G}$ is numerically reflective.
Let $S$ be an Enriques surface and $\tilde{S}$ the covering K3 surface of $S$. We denote by $\varepsilon$ the covering involution of $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ and by $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$, the anti-Enriques lattice, that is, the anti-invariant part of $H^{2}(\tilde{S}, \boldsymbol{Z})$ with respect to the action of $\varepsilon^{*}$. An involution $\sigma$ of an Enriques surface $S$ uniquely lifts to a symplectic involution $\sigma_{K}$ of $\tilde{S}$, of which the associated map $\sigma_{K}^{*}$ of $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ acts trivially on $H^{2,0} \subset$ $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z}) \otimes \boldsymbol{C}$ (Proposition 2.1). We denote by $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ the invariant part of $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ under the action of $\sigma_{K}^{*}$. Both $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ carry polarized Hodge structures of weight two.

When the involution $\sigma$ is numerically reflective, $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to either a) $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U(2)$ or b) $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U$ as a lattice (Proposition 3.2). If $\sigma$ is $\sigma_{G}$, the involution constructed above, then the case a) occurs, and the converse is also true:

Theorem 2. Let $\sigma$ be a numerically reflective involution of an Enriques surface $S$ such that $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U(2)$. Then

1) there exists a unique curve $C$ of genus two such that $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H^{2}$ $(J(C), \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ are isomorphic polarized Hodge structures (Lemmas 4.2, 4.3), and
2) $(S, \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\left((\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G}\right)$, the pair constructed above.

See Remark 5.3 for explicit equations of $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ and an example appear-
ing as a Hilbert modular surface attached to a certain congruence subgroup of $S L_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{2})}\right)$. The case b) will be discussed elsewhere.

A Jacobiam Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km} C$ is expressed as the intersection of three diagonal quadrics $\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{2}=0$ in $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}$ for mutually distinct six constants $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{6}$. Hence we have 10 fixed-point-free involutions, e.g., $\left(x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}: x_{5}: x_{6}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}:-x_{4}:-x_{5}:-x_{6}\right)$, corresponding to the 10 odd theta characteristics of $C$. A Jacobian Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km} C$ has exactly 15 Göpel subgroups. A general $\mathrm{Km} C$ is expressed as the quartic Hessian surfaces in six different ways ([6], [3]) and accordingly has six involutions of Hutchinson-Weber type which are also free from fixed points.

Conjecture 3. If the Picard group of $J(C)$ is infinitely cyclic, then a fixed-point-free involution $\varepsilon$ of $\mathrm{Km} C$ is conjugate to one of the above $31(=10+15+6)$ involutions ${ }^{1}$.

In the situation of the conjecture, the quotient group of $H^{-}(\mathrm{KmC}, \boldsymbol{Z})$ by the sum of the transcendental lattice and the anti-invariant Picard lattice is of order four. Our proof of Theorem 2 shows that the conjecture holds true when this abelian group is of type $(2,2)$.

After a preparation on Kummer and Enriques surfaces in Sections 1 and 2, we compute the period of a numerically reflective involution in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5, we construct a Hutchinson-Göpel involution $\varepsilon_{G}$ of a Jacobian Kummer surface from its planar description. In Section 6, we compute the period of the Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ more explicitly, and prove Theorem 2 using an equivariant Torelli theorem for Enriques surfaces (Theorem 2.3).

Notations. Given an abelian group $A$, we denote by $A_{(2)}$ the two-torsion subgroup. A free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module with an integral symmetric bilinear form is simply called a lattice. $U$ denotes the lattice of rank two given by the symmetric matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) . A_{l}, D_{l}$ and $E_{l}$ are the negative definite root lattices of rank $l$ of type $A, D$ and $E$, respectively. For a lattice $L$ and a rational number $r$, we denote by $L(r)$ the lattice obtained by replacing the bilinear form (.) on $L$ by $r($.$) .$

## 1. Preliminary.

We recall some basic facts on the cohomology of Kummer surfaces. Let $T$ be a two-dimensional complex torus. The minimal resolution of the quotient $T /\left\{ \pm 1_{T}\right\}$ is called the Kummer surface of $T$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Km}(T) . \operatorname{Km}(T)$ contains 16 mutually disjoint $(-2)$ curves $N_{a}, a \in T_{(2)}$, parametrized by the two-torsion

[^1]subgroup $T_{(2)} \simeq(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})^{4}$ of $T$. We denote by $\Gamma_{K m}$ the primitive hull of the lattice generated by the $16 N_{a}$ 's. Let $\Lambda$ be the orthogonal complement of $\Gamma_{K m}$ in $H^{2}(\operatorname{Km}(T), \boldsymbol{Z})$. Then $\Lambda$ is the image of $H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z})$ by the quotient morphism from the blow-up of $T$ at $T_{(2)}$ onto $\operatorname{Km}(T)$. The following is well known ([1, Chapter VIII, Section 5]):

Lemma 1.1. $\quad \Lambda \subset H^{2}(\operatorname{Km}(T))$ is isomorphic to $H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z})$ as a Hodge structure and to $H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z})(2) \simeq U(2) \perp U(2) \perp U(2)$ as a lattice.

The discriminant group $A_{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$ is $((1 / 2) \Lambda) / \Lambda \simeq H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ and the discriminant form $q_{\Lambda}$ is essentially the cup product, that is, $q_{\Lambda}(\bar{y})=(y \cup y) / 2 \bmod 2$ for $y \in H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z})$.

Let $P \subset T_{(2)}$ be a subgroup of order four, or equivalently, a two-dimensional subspace of $T_{(2)}$ over the finite field $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}$. We put $N_{P^{\prime}}=\sum_{a \in P^{\prime}} N_{a} \in \Gamma_{K m}$ for a coset $P^{\prime}$ of $P \subset T_{(2)}$. Noting that a one-dimensional vector space over $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}$ is identified with its (unique) basis, we denote the Plücker coordinate of $P^{\perp} \subset T_{(2)}^{\vee}$ by $\pi_{P} \in \bigwedge^{2} T_{(2)}^{\vee} \simeq H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ and regard it as an element of $\Lambda / 2 \Lambda$. The following is known ([1, Chaper VIII, Section 5]):

Lemma 1.2. $\quad\left(N_{P^{\prime}} \bmod 2\right)+\pi_{P}=0$ holds in $H^{2}(\operatorname{Km}(T), \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ for every coset $P^{\prime}$ of $P \subset T_{(2)}$.

Let $(A, \Theta)$ be a principally polarized abelian surface, that is, $\Theta$ is an ample divisor with $\left(\Theta^{2}\right)=2$. The orthogonal complement of $[\Theta]$ in $H^{2}(A, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is equipped with a polarized Hodge structure. We denote it by $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. As a lattice it is isomorphic to $\langle-2\rangle \perp U \perp U$.

Proposition 1.3. A polarized Hodge structure of weight two on the lattice $\langle-2\rangle \perp U \perp U$ is isomorphic to $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ for a principally polarized abelian surface $(A, \Theta)$. Moreover, such $(A, \Theta)$ is unique up to isomorphisms.

Proof. A Hodge structure of weight 2 on the lattice $U \perp U \perp U$ is isomorphic to $H^{2}(T, \boldsymbol{Z})$ for a 2-dimensional complex torus $T$. Moreover, such $T$ is unique up to an isomorphism and taking the dual (Shioda [14]). Our proposition is a direct consequence of these results.

Let $e^{2 \Theta}: K(2 \Theta) \times K(2 \Theta) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{*}$ be the Weil pairing with respect to $2 \Theta$ ([2, Chaper 6]). The group $K(2 \Theta)$ coincides with the two-torsion group $A_{(2)}$ and is naturally identified with $H_{1}(A, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$. Via this identification, $e^{2 \Theta}(\alpha, \beta)=1$ is equivalent to $([\Theta], \alpha \wedge \beta)=0$, where (, ) is the natural pairing between cohomology and homology.

Definition 1.4. A subgroup $G$ of the two-torsion group $A_{(2)}$ is Göpel if it is of order four and totally isotropic with respect to the Weil pairing $e^{2 \Theta}$.

Let $P \subset A_{(2)} \simeq H_{1}(A, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ be a subgroup of order four and $\pi_{P} \in$ $H^{2}(A, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ be the Plücker coordinate of $P^{\perp} \subset H^{1}(A, \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}) . \pi_{P}$ belongs to $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ if and only if it is perpendicular to $\Theta \bmod 2$. Hence we have

Lemma 1.5. The Plücker coordinate $\pi_{P}$ belongs to $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})$ if and only if $P$ is Göpel.

The Jacobian $J(C)$ of a curve $C$ of genus two is a principally polarized abelian surface. An involution $\gamma$ of $C$ is called bi-elliptic if the quotient $C / \gamma$ is an elliptic curve $E$. In this case, $E$ is embedded into $J(C)$ as the fixed locus of the action of $\gamma$ on $J(C)$. The two-torsion subgroup $E_{(2)}$ is a Göpel subgroup of $J(C)$, and denoted by $G_{\gamma}$.

Definition 1.6. A Göpel subgroup $G$, or more precisely, a pair $(C, G)$ is bi-elliptic if $C$ has a bi-elliptic involution $\gamma$ with $G=G_{\gamma}$.

The composite $\gamma^{\prime}$ of a bi-elliptic involution $\gamma$ and the hyper-elliptic involution is again a bi-elliptic involution of $C$. The Jacobian $J(C)$ contains $E^{\prime}:=C / \gamma^{\prime}$ as the fixed locus of the action of $\gamma^{\prime}$. The intersection $E \cap E^{\prime}$ in $J(C)$ coincides with the common two-torsion subgroups $E_{(2)}=E_{(2)}^{\prime}$. Hence $J(C)$ is the quotient of $E \times E^{\prime}$ by a subgroup of order four contained in $E_{(2)} \times E_{(2)}^{\prime}$. The involution $\gamma$, or equivalently $\gamma^{\prime}$, induces an involution of the Kummer surface $\operatorname{Km} C$ without fixed points outside two $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ 's:

Lemma 1.7. Let $\gamma$ be a bi-elliptic involution of $C$ and $\operatorname{Km} \gamma($ resp. $J(\gamma))$ be the involution of $\mathrm{Km} C$ (resp. $J(C)$ ) induced by $\gamma$. Then the fixed locus of $\mathrm{Km} \gamma$ is the union of two $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ 's which are the images of two elliptic curves $E=\operatorname{Fix} J(\gamma)$ and $E^{\prime}=\operatorname{Fix} J\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

## 2. Involutions of Enriques surfaces.

Let $S$ be a (minimal) Enriques surface, that is, a compact complex surface with $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{S}\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{S}\right)=0$ and $2 K_{S} \sim 0$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be the universal cover, which is a K3 surface, and let $\varepsilon$ be the covering involution of $\tilde{S}$. Consider the action $\varepsilon^{*}$ on $H^{2}(\tilde{S}, \boldsymbol{Z}) \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}^{22}$. The invariant part coincides with the pull-back of $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ by $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, and the anti-invariant part $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $E_{8}(2) \perp U(2) \perp U$ as a lattice ( $[\mathbf{1}$, Chapter VIII $]$ ).

Let $\sigma$ be a (holomorphic) involution of $S . \sigma$ is lifted to an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the covering K3 surface $\tilde{S}$. Its square $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ is either the identiy or the covering
involution $\varepsilon$. The latter is impossible since, in this case, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is free from fixed points and its order necessarily divides $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\tilde{S}}\right)=2$. Hence $\sigma$ is lifted to two involutions $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma} \varepsilon$ of $\tilde{S}$.

An involution of a K3 surface is called symplectic (resp. anti-symplectic) if it acts trivially (resp. as -1 ) on the space $H^{0}\left(\tilde{S}, \Omega^{2}\right)$ of holomorphic 2-forms. Distinguishing the two lifts by their actions on 2 -forms, we have

Proposition 2.1. There exist exactly two lifts $\sigma_{K}, \sigma_{R} \in$ Aut $\tilde{S}$ of $\sigma \in$ Aut $S$, where $\sigma_{K}$ is a symplectic involution and $\sigma_{R}$ an anti-symplectic one.

Let $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ (resp. $\left.H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})\right)$ be the invariant (resp. the anti-invariant) part of the action of $\sigma_{K}^{*}$ on $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z}) . H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is endowed with a non-trivial polarized Hodge structure of weight 2, which we regard as the period of $(S, \sigma)$. The lattice $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ contains the orthogonal direct sum $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \perp H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ as a sublattice of finite index. More precisely, the quotient group

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\sigma}:=\frac{H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})}{\left[H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \oplus H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})\right]} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is 2-elementary. We call this quotient $D_{\sigma}$ the patching group of $\sigma$.
The global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces (resp. Enriques surfaces) is generalized to that for pairs of K3 surfaces (resp. Enriques surfaces) and involutions.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be two $K 3$ surfaces and let $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ be involutions of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, respectively. If there exists an orientation preserving Hodge isometry $\alpha: H^{2}\left(X^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(X, \boldsymbol{Z})$ such that the diagram

commutes, then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau=\tau^{\prime} \circ \varphi$.
Proof. If neither $\tau$ nor $\tau^{\prime}$ has a fixed point, this is the global Torelli theorem for Enriques surfaces. The proof in [1, Chapter VIII, Section 21], especially its key Proposition (21.1), works also in our general case as follows.

Let $h^{\prime}$ be a $\tau^{\prime}$-invariant ample divisor class of $X^{\prime}$ and put $h=\alpha\left(h^{\prime}\right)$. By our assumption, $h$ is $\tau$-invariant and belongs to the positive cone of $H^{1,1}(X, \boldsymbol{Z})$. If $h$ is ample, we are done by the global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces. If not, there exists a $(-2)$ curve $D \simeq \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ with $(h . D) \leq 0$. Since $(h . D+\tau(D))=2(h . D) \leq 0$,
$D+\tau(D)$ is not nef. Hence we have $(D \cdot \tau(D))=1,0$ or -2 . Replace $\alpha$ with $r_{D+\tau(D)} \circ \alpha$ if $(D, \tau(D))=1$, with $r_{D} \circ r_{\tau(D)} \circ \alpha$ if $(D, \tau(D))=0$ and with $r_{D} \circ \alpha$ if $(D, \tau(D))=-2$, where $r_{D}$ is the reflection with respect to a $(-2)$ divisor class $D$. Then we have $\left(\alpha\left(h^{\prime}\right) . D\right)>0$. Repeating this process, $\alpha\left(h^{\prime}\right)$ becomes ample after a finitely many steps.

Theorem 2.3. Let $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ be two Enriques surfaces and let $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ be involutions of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, respectively. If there exists an orientation preserving Hodge isometry $\alpha: H^{-}\left(S^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ such that the diagram

commutes, then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi \circ \sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \circ \varphi$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{S}^{\prime}$ be the covering K3 surfaces. Each has an action of $G:=\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$. It suffices to show a $G$-equivariant Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{S}^{\prime}$. (The remaining part is the same as the usual global Torelli theorem for Enriques surfaces.) The proof goes as the preceding theorem if the $G$-orbit of $D$ consists of one or two irreducible components. Assume that the $G$ orbit of $D$ has four irreducible components and let $L$ be the sublattice spanned by them. If $L$ is negative definite, then $L$ is of type $4 A_{1}$ or $2 A_{2}$. Hence the same argument as the preceding theorem works. Otherwise (h.D) is positive by the Hodge index theorem.

## 3. Period of a numerically reflective involution.

In this section and the next we assume that $\sigma$ is numerically reflective and study the patching group $D_{\sigma}$ (defined by the formula (3)) in detail.

Let $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})_{f}$ be the torsion free part of $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$. $\sigma$ acts on $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})_{f}$ as a reflection with respect to a class $e=e_{\sigma}$. Since $H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})_{f}$ is an even unimodular lattice with respect to the intersection form, we have $\left(e^{2}\right)=-2$. Let $N_{R}$ and $N_{K}$ be the anti-invariant part of the action of $\sigma_{R}$ and $\sigma_{K}$, respectively. Both $N_{R}$ and $N_{K}$ contains the pull-back $\tilde{e} \in H^{2}(\tilde{S}, \boldsymbol{Z})$ of $e$. The orthogonal complement of $\tilde{e}$ in $N_{R}$ is $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$, and that in $N_{K}$ is $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Since $N_{K}$ is isomorphic to $E_{8}(2)([\mathbf{9}$, Section 5], [11, Lemma 2.1]), we have

Lemma 3.1. $\quad H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \simeq E_{7}(2)$.

In particular, the discriminant group $A_{-}$of $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is $u(2)^{\perp 3} \perp$ (4), whose underlying group is $(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})^{\oplus 6} \oplus(\boldsymbol{Z} / 4 \boldsymbol{Z})$, in the notation of [12, Section 1].

There are two lattice-types of numerically reflective involutions:
Proposition 3.2. The patching group $D_{\sigma}$ is of order $2^{a}$ and $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U(a)$ for $a=1$ or 2 .

Proof. The lattice $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is not 2-elementary by the above lemma. Since $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is 2-elementary, $D_{\sigma}$ is not trivial. Let $a \geq 1$ be the length of the patching group $D_{\sigma}$. Then we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{disc} H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})\right] \cdot 2^{2 a}=\left[\operatorname{disc} H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})\right] \cdot[\operatorname{disc} H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})] .
$$

The discriminant group of $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is an abelian groups of type $\left(2^{10}\right)$. By the above lemma, the discriminant of $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ equals $-2^{(2+2 a)}$. More precisely, the discriminant group $A_{+}$of $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is an abelian group of type $\left(2^{2 a}, 4\right)$. Since $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is of rank 5 , we have $a \leq 2$.

If $a=2$, then $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})(1 / 2)$ is an even (integral) lattice with discriminant -2 . Hence $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}))(1 / 2)$ is isomorphic to $\langle-2\rangle \perp U \perp U$ by Kneser's uniqueness theorem for indefinite lattices ( $[\mathbf{1 2}$, Section 1]). If $a=1$, then we have $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \simeq\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U$ by the uniqueness theorem again.

The lattice $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-submodule of the direct sum $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Q}) \oplus$ $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Q})$. Hence the patching group $D_{\sigma}$ is a subgroup of the discriminant group $A_{-} \perp A_{+}$of the lattice $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \perp H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. The discriminant group $A_{+}$is either $u(2)^{\perp 2} \perp$ (4) or $u(2) \perp$ (4).

Both $A_{-}$and $A_{+}$contains exactly one copy of $\boldsymbol{Z} / 4 \boldsymbol{Z}$ as their direct summand. Let $\zeta_{ \pm} \in A_{ \pm}$be the unique element which is twice an element $\eta_{ \pm}$of order four. We call $\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right) \in A_{-} \perp A_{+}$the canonical element.

## Lemma 3.3. $\quad D_{\sigma}$ contains the canonical element $\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$.

Proof. Both $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Q})$ and $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Q})$ are primitive in $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Q})$. Hence $D_{\sigma}$ does not contain $\left(0, \zeta_{+}\right)$or $\left(\zeta_{-}, 0\right)$. Hence the intersection $D_{\sigma} \cap\left(2 A_{-} \oplus\right.$ $2 A_{+}$) is either 0 or generated by $\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$. We consider the intersection number of an element of $D_{\sigma}$ and $\left(\eta_{-}, \eta_{+}\right)$. Since the intersection number of ( $\left.\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$and $\left(\eta_{-}, \eta_{+}\right)$is zero (in $\left.\boldsymbol{Q} / \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, the intersection number with $\left(\eta_{-}, \eta_{+}\right)$is a linear form on $\bar{D}_{\sigma}$, the image of $D_{\sigma}$ in $A:=\left(A_{-}\right)_{(2)} /\left\{0, \zeta_{-}\right\} \oplus\left(A_{+}\right)_{(2)} /\left\{0, \zeta_{+}\right\}$. Since the induced bilinear form on the group $A$ is non-degenerate, there exists an element $\left(\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right) \in A_{-} \oplus A_{+}$whose intersection number with $D_{\sigma}$ is the same as $\left(\eta_{-}, \eta_{+}\right)$. It follows that $\left(\eta_{-}+\beta_{-}, \eta_{+}+\beta_{+}\right)$is perpendicular to $D_{\sigma}$. Since $D_{\sigma}^{\perp} / D_{\sigma}$ is 2-
elementary, $2 \times\left(\eta_{-}+\beta_{-}, \eta_{+}+\beta_{+}\right)=\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$is contained in $D_{\sigma}$.
The patching group $D_{\sigma}$ is generated by the canonical element $\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$when it is of order two.

Lemma 3.4. If $D_{\sigma}$ is of order four, then $D_{\sigma}$ is generated by the canonical element and an element $\left(\pi_{-}, \pi_{+}\right) \in A_{-} \oplus A_{+}$of order two such that $q_{-}\left(\pi_{-}\right)=$ $q_{+}\left(\pi_{+}\right)=0 \in \boldsymbol{Q} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$, where $q_{ \pm}$are the quadratic forms on $A_{ \pm}$.

Proof. $D_{\sigma} \simeq \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$ is generated by $\left(\zeta_{-}, \zeta_{+}\right)$and an element $\left(\pi_{-}, \pi_{+}\right)$. Since $D_{\sigma}$ is totally isotropic, we have $q_{-}\left(\pi_{-}\right)=q_{+}\left(\pi_{+}\right)$. This common value belongs to $\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$. If it is non-zero, replace $\pi_{ \pm}$with $\zeta_{ \pm}+\pi_{ \pm}$. Then we have $q_{-}\left(\pi_{-}\right)=q_{+}\left(\pi_{+}\right)=0$.

## 4. Numerically reflective involution with ord $D_{\sigma}=4$.

Let $\sigma$ be a numerically reflective involution of an Enriques surface $S$ and assume that the patching group $D_{\sigma}$ is of order four. By Propositions 1.3 and 3.2, we have

Proposition 4.1. There exists a principally polarized abelian surface $(A, \Theta)$ such that $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})(2)$ as a polarized Hodge structure.

Let $\pi_{+} \in\left(A_{+}\right)_{(2)}$ be as in Lemma 3.4. Since $q_{+}\left(\pi_{+}\right)=0, \pi_{+}$is the Plücker coordinate of a subgroup $G_{\sigma} \subset A_{(2)}$ of order four. Since $\left(A_{+}\right)_{(2)}$ is the orthogonal complement of $[\Theta / 2]$ in $H^{2}(A,((1 / 2) \boldsymbol{Z}) / \boldsymbol{Z}), G_{\sigma}$ is Göpel (Definition 1.4 and Lemma 1.5).

Lemma 4.2. $(A, \Theta)$ in Proposition 4.1 is not a product of two elliptic curves. In particular, $(A, \Theta)$ is the Jacobian of a curve $C_{\sigma}$ of genus two.

Proof. Assume that $(A, \Theta)$ is the product $E_{1} \times E_{2}$ (as a polarized abelian surface). Then $E_{1} \times 0-0 \times E_{2}$, the difference of two fibers, is a ( -2 )-class in $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Let $D_{+}$be its image in $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Then $\left(D_{+}^{2}\right)=-4$ and $D_{+} / 4$ represents an element $\eta_{+} \in A_{+}$of order four. Hence $D_{+} / 2$ represents the class $\zeta_{+}$ in the discriminant group $A_{+}$. Let $\tilde{e}$ be the pull-back of $e=e_{\sigma} \in H^{2}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})_{f}$ as in Section 3. Then $\tilde{e}+D_{+}$is divisible by two in $H^{2}(\tilde{S}, \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $\left(\tilde{e}+D_{+}\right) / 2$ is an algebraic ( -2 )-class in $N_{R}$. This is a contradiction since $N_{R}$ is the anti-invariant part of the involution or $\sigma_{R}$.

Lemma 4.3. The pair $\left(C_{\sigma}, G_{\sigma}\right)$ is not bi-elliptic (see Definition 1.6).

Proof. The proof is similar to the preceding lemma. Assume that $\left(C_{\sigma}, G_{\sigma}\right)$ is bi-elliptic. Since $(\Theta \cdot E)=2, \Theta-E$ is a (-2)-class in $H^{2}(A, \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Let $D_{+}$be its image in $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Then $\left(D_{+}^{2}\right)=-4$ and $D_{+} / 2$ represents the class $\zeta_{+}+\pi_{+}$in $A_{+} \cdot\left(D_{-}+D_{+}\right) / 2$ belongs to $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ if $D_{-}$belongs to $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $D_{-} / 2$ represents $\zeta_{-}+\pi_{-}$. Since $q_{-}\left(\zeta_{-}+\pi_{-}\right)=1$ and since $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $E_{7}(2)$, there is such a $D_{-}$with $\left(D_{-}^{2}\right)=-4$. For this choice, $\left(D_{-}+D_{+}\right) / 2$ is an algebraic (-2)-class. This is a contradiction since $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is the anti-invariant part of the involution $\varepsilon$.

Summarizing this section, we have
Proposition 4.4. There exists a unique non-bi-elliptic pair $\left(C_{\sigma}, G_{\sigma}\right)$ of a curve $C_{\sigma}$ and a Göpel subgroup $G_{\sigma}$ of $J\left(C_{\sigma}\right)$ with the following properties:
(1) $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \simeq H^{2}\left(J\left(C_{\sigma}\right), \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)(2)$ as a polarized Hodge structure, and
(2) the patching subgroup $D_{\sigma}$ is generated by the canonical element and an element $\left(\pi_{-}, \pi_{+}\right)$such that $\pi_{+}$is the Plücker coordinate of $G_{\sigma}$.

In the subsequent sections, we conversely construct a numerically reflective involutions $\sigma_{G}$ of an Enriques surface from such a pair $(C, G)$ as above (Proposition 6.4).

## 5. Hutchinson-Göpel involution.

Hutchinson [7] discovered an equation which implies (1) by means of theta functions. In this section we describe the automorphism $\varepsilon_{G}$ in a more elementary manner without using the equation ( $c f$. Remark 6.3).

Let $C$ be a curve of genus two and $J(C)$ its Jacobian. By the natural morphism Sym ${ }^{2} C \rightarrow J(C)$ and Abel's theorem, the second symmetric product $S y m^{2} C$ of $C$ is the blow-up of $J(C)$ at the origin. Let $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ be the quotient of $S y m^{2} C$ by the involution induced by the hyper-elliptic involution.

Since $C$ is a double cover of the projective line $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ with six branch points, $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ is the double cover of $S y m^{2} \boldsymbol{P}^{1} \simeq \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with branch six lines $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}$. Moreover, these six lines are tangent lines of the conic $Q$ corresponding to the diagonal $\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow$ Sym $^{2} \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Note that the double cover has 15 nodes over 15 intersections $p_{i, j}=l_{i} \cap l_{j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 6$. These correspond to the 15 non-zero two-torsions of $J(C)$. The minimal resolution of this double cover $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ is the Jacobian Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km} C$.

Three nodes on $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ are called Göpel if they correspond to the three non-zero elements of a Göpel subgroup of $J(C)_{(2)}$. More explicitly, a triple $\left(p_{i j}, p_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}, p_{i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ of nodes is Göpel if and only if all suffixes $i, j, \ldots, j^{\prime \prime}$ are distinct. Hence the Göpel subgroups correspond to the decompositions of the six

Weierstrass points of $C$ into three pairs. Therefore, there are exactly 15 Göpel subgroups.

We now construct an involution of $\mathrm{Km} C$ for each Göpel subgroup $G$. The construction differs a lot according as the Göpel triple is collinear or not. First we consider the non-collinear case, which we are most interested in.

Assume that three points $p, q, r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ are not collinear. A birational automorphism $\varphi: \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \cdots \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ is called a Cremona involution with center $p, q, r$ if there is a linear coordinate $(x: y: z)$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $p, q, r$ is the three vertices of the triangle $x y z=0$ and that $\varphi$ is the quadratic Cremona transformation $(x: y: z) \mapsto\left(x^{-1}: y^{-1}: z^{-1}\right)$. Given a triple $p, q, r \in \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$, there is a two-parameter family of Cremona involutions with center $p, q, r$.

Proposition 5.1. Assume that a Göpel triple $\left(p_{14}, p_{25}, p_{36}\right)$ of $G$ is not collinear. Then there exists a unique quadratic Cremona transformation $\varphi$ with center $p_{14}, p_{25}$ and $p_{36}$ which maps the line $l_{i}$ onto $l_{i+3}$ for $i=1,2,3$.

Proof. We choose a linear coordinate $(x: y: z)$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $p_{14}, p_{25}$ and $p_{36}$ are the vertices of the triangle $x y z=0$. Then the six lines are given by

$$
l_{i}: y=\alpha_{i} x(i=1,4), \quad l_{j}: z=\alpha_{j} y(j=2,5) \text { and } l_{k}: x=\alpha_{k} z(k=3,6)
$$

for $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{*}$. Let

$$
\check{Q}: a^{\prime} x^{2}+b^{\prime} y^{2}+c^{\prime} z^{2}+d^{\prime} y z+e^{\prime} x z+f^{\prime} x y=0
$$

be the dual of the conic $Q$ to which the six lines are tangent. Then we have

$$
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}=\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}, \quad \alpha_{2} \alpha_{5}=\frac{b^{\prime}}{c^{\prime}}, \quad \alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{a^{\prime}}
$$

and hence $\prod_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_{i}=1$. The Cemona involution $(x: y: z) \mapsto(A / x: B / y: 1 / z)$ satisfies our requirement if and only if $A=\alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}$ and $B=\alpha_{2}^{-1} \alpha_{5}^{-1}$.

The Cremona involution $\varphi$ in the proposition is lifted to two involutions of $\mathrm{Km} C$. One is symplectic and has eight fixed points over the four fixed points of $\varphi$. The other has no fixed points (cf. (1) of Remark 5.3). We call the latter the Hutchinson involution associated with the Göpel subgroup $G$ and denote by $\varepsilon_{G}$. Since the covering involution $\beta$ commutes with $\varepsilon_{G}$, it induces an involution of the Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$, which we denote by $\sigma_{G}$.

Now we assume that a Göpel triple, say $\left(p_{14}, p_{25}, p_{36}\right)$, lies on a line $l$. Let $p$ be the point whose polar with respect to the conic $Q$ is $l$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the involution
of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ whose fixed locus is the union of $l$ and $p$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ maps $Q$ onto itself and interchanges $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+3}$ for $i=1,2$ and 3. $\tilde{\gamma}$ induces involutions of $\mathrm{Km} C$ and $C$. The following is easily verified:

Proposition 5.2. A Göpel triple of nodes is collinear if and only if $(C, G)$ is bi-elliptic. Furthermore, the involution of $\mathrm{Km} C$ constructed above is the same as $\operatorname{Km} \gamma$ in Lemma 1.7.

Hence we have constructed an Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ with an involution $\sigma_{G}$ for every non-bi-elliptic pair $(C, G)$.

Remark 5.3. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 5.1.
(1) The Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km} C$ is the minimal resolution of the double cover

$$
\bar{S}: \tau^{2}=\left(y-\alpha_{1} x\right)\left(y-\alpha_{4} x\right)\left(\alpha_{2} y-1\right)\left(\alpha_{5} y-1\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{6}\right)
$$

of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$, where $(x, y)$ is an inhomogeneous coordinate of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$.


The involution

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{G}:(\tau, x, y) \mapsto\left(-\frac{A B \tau}{x^{2} y^{2}}, \frac{A}{x}, \frac{B}{y}\right), \quad A=\alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}, B=\alpha_{2}^{-1} \alpha_{5}^{-1}
$$

of $\bar{S}$ has no fixed points. The K3 surface $\bar{S}$ has fourteen nodes and $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ is the minimal resolution of the Enriques surface $\bar{S} / \bar{\varepsilon}_{G}$ with seven nodes.
(2) The Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ is the minimal model of the double plane with branch the plane curve

$$
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\left(x_{1} x_{4}-c_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}-c_{2} x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}-c_{3} x_{1} x_{2}\right)=0
$$

of degree 10 and $\sigma_{G}$ is induced by the covering involution, where ( $x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}$ ) is a coordinate of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, x_{4}=-x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}$ and we put $c_{i}=\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{i}}-\sqrt{\alpha_{i+3}}\right)^{2} /\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{i}}+\right.$ $\left.\sqrt{\alpha_{i+3}}\right)^{2}$ for $i=1,2,3$. In the case $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{6}=0, \mathrm{Km} C$ is
the minimal model of the Hilbert modular surface $H^{2} / \Gamma(2)$ associated with the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2)$ of $S L_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{2})}\right)$ for the ideal (2), and $\varepsilon_{G}$ is induced by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -1+\sqrt{2}\end{array}\right)$ (Hirzebruch [5, Section 4], [4, Chapter 8]).

## 6. Period of $(\mathrm{KmC}) / \varepsilon_{G}$.

Returning to the case where $(C, G)$ is not bi-elliptic, we compute the periods of the Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ and the involution $\sigma_{G}$. The Jacobian Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km} C$ is a double cover of the blow-up $R$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ at the 15 points $p_{i j}$, $1 \leq i<j \leq 6$. The pull-back of $H^{2}(R, \boldsymbol{Q})$ has $\left\{h, N_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 6\right\}$ as a $Q$-basis, where $h$ is the pull-back of a line and $N_{i j}$ is the ( -2 ) curves over $p_{i j}$.

We assume for simplicity that the Göpel triple is $\left(p_{14}, p_{25}, p_{36}\right)$. Let $\bar{R}$ be the blow-up of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ at $p_{14}, p_{25}$ and $p_{36}$. The Cremona involution $\varphi$ in Proposition 5.1 acts on the Picard group of $\bar{R}$ as the reflection with respect to the ( -2 -class $l-$ $E_{14}-E_{25}-E_{36}$, where $E_{14}, E_{25}$ and $E_{36}$ are the exceptional curves. $\varphi$ interchanges $p_{i, j}$ with $p_{i+3, j+3}$, and $p_{i, j+3}$ with $p_{j, i+3}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$. Hence we have

Proposition 6.1. The action of $\varepsilon_{G}$ on the pull-back of $H^{2}(R, \boldsymbol{Q})$ is the composite of the permutation

$$
N_{i, j} \leftrightarrow N_{i+3, j+3}, \quad N_{i, j+3} \leftrightarrow N_{j, i+3} \quad(1 \leq i<j \leq 3)
$$

of type $(2)^{6}$ and the reflection with respect to the ( -4 )-class $h-N_{14}-N_{25}-N_{36}$.
By the proposition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{h-N_{14}-N_{25}-N_{36}, N_{i j}-N_{i+3, j+3}, N_{i, j+3}-N_{j, i+3}\right\}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-basis of $H_{-}\left(\mathrm{KmC} / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G} ; \boldsymbol{Q}\right) . N_{0}$, the $(-2) \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ over the origin, maps onto the conic $Q$.

Proposition 6.2. $h-N_{0}$ is invariant by $\varepsilon_{G}$ and anti-invariant by $\beta$.
Proof. There exists a cubic curve $D: r(x, y, z)=0$ such that $D \cap C$ consists of the 6 tangent points $l_{i} \cap Q, 1 \leq i \leq 6$. The union of 6 lines is defined by $r(x, y, z)^{2}-q(x, y, z) s(x, y, z)$ for a suitable quartic form $s(x, y, z)$. Choose a cubic curve $D$ such that it passes the Göpel triple. Then the quartic curve $s(x, y, z)=0$ is singular at the Göpel triple. By the Cremona symmetry, $s(x, y, z)$ is a constant multiple of $q(y z, x z, x y)$. Hence the double cover $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2}=r(x, y, z)^{2}-c q(x, y, z) q(y z, x z, x y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $c \in \boldsymbol{C}^{*}$. The rational function $\{r(x, y, z)+\tau\} /\{r(x, y, z)-\tau\}$ on $\mathrm{Km} C$ gives a rational equivalence between two divisors $N_{0}+\beta \varepsilon_{G}\left(N_{0}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{G}\left(N_{0}\right)+\beta\left(N_{0}\right)$. Hence $\beta\left(N_{0}\right)-N_{0}$ is $\varepsilon_{G}$-invariant. Since $\beta\left(N_{0}\right)+N_{0}$ is linearly equivalent to $2 h$, we have our proposition.

Remark 6.3. By (5) the linear system $\left|h+N_{0}\right|$ gives a birational morphism from the double cover $\overline{S y m^{2}} C$ to the quartic $c q(x, y, z) t^{2}+2 r(x, y, z) t+$ $q(y z, x z, x y)=0$ in $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$, which is essentially the equation (1).

By Propositions 6.1 and 6.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{h-N_{0}, h-N_{14}, h-N_{25}, h-N_{36}, N_{i j}+N_{i+3, j+3}, N_{i, j+3}+N_{j, i+3}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, is an orthogonal $\boldsymbol{Q}$-basis of $\pi^{*} H^{2}\left(\operatorname{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$. In particular, $\sigma_{G}$ acts on $\pi^{*} H^{2}\left(\operatorname{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$ as the reflection with respect to $h-N_{0}$. Hence we have

Proposition 6.4. The involution $\sigma_{G}$ of the Enriques surface $(\mathrm{Km} C) / \varepsilon_{G}$ is numerically reflective.

Moreover, the inverse of the correspondence $(S, \sigma) \mapsto\left(C_{\sigma}, G_{\sigma}\right)$ of Proposition 4.4 is given by this construction $(C, G) \mapsto\left(\mathrm{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G}\right)$ :

Proposition 6.5.
(1) The polarized Hodge structure $H\left(\operatorname{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{2}(J(C), \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})(2)$.
(2) The patching group of $\sigma_{G}$ is of order four, and generated by the canonical element and $\left(\pi_{-}, \pi_{G}\right)$, where $\pi_{G}$ is the Plücker coordinate of $G$.

Proof. By (4) and (6), $H\left(\mathrm{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ is the orthogonal complement of the lattice generated by the 17 classes $h, N_{0}$ and $N_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 6$, in $H^{2}(\mathrm{Km} C, \boldsymbol{Z})$. Let $H \in H^{2}(\mathrm{Km} C, \boldsymbol{Z})$ be the (4)-class in $\Lambda$ corresponding to $\Theta \in H^{2}(J(C), \boldsymbol{Z})$ in the way of Lemma 1.1. It is easily checked that $H=h+N_{0}$. Hence we have (1).

The patching group is order four by (1) and Proposition 3.2 since $H^{2}(J(C), \Theta ; \boldsymbol{Z})(2) \simeq\langle-4\rangle \perp U(2) \perp U(2)$. By Proposition 6.1, both $N_{12}-N_{45}$ and $N_{15}-N_{24}$ belong to $H_{-}\left(\operatorname{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}, \sigma_{G} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$. Since the two-torsion points $p_{12}, p_{45}, p_{15}$ and $p_{24}$ form a coset of $G \subset J(C)_{(2)},\left(\left[\left(N_{12}-N_{45}+N_{15}-N_{24}\right) / 2\right], \pi_{G}\right)$ belongs to the patching group of $\sigma_{G}$ by Lemma 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\sigma$ be a numerically reflective involution of an Enriques surface $S$ and assume that the patching group $D_{\sigma}$ is of order four. Let
$\left(C_{\sigma}, G_{\sigma}\right)$ be as in Proposition 4.4 and $\sigma^{\prime}$ be the numerically reflective involution $\sigma_{G}$ of the Enriques surface $S^{\prime}:=\mathrm{Km} C / \varepsilon_{G}$ for $C=C_{\sigma}$ and $G=G_{\sigma}$. By Proposition 6.5, $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H\left(S^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ as a polarized Hodge structure. Moreover, the $A_{+}$-components of their patching groups are the same. Both are generated by $\zeta_{+}$and the Plücker coordinate $\pi_{G}$ of $G$.

Now we look at the $A_{-}$-components. Two lattices $H_{-}(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H_{-}\left(S^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ are $E_{7}(2)$ by Lemma 3.1. The $A_{-}$-components of patching groups are generated by $\zeta_{+}$and $\pi_{-}$with $q_{-}\left(\pi_{-}\right)=0$. The Weyl group $W$ of $E_{7}$ acts on $A_{-} \simeq u(2)^{\perp 3} \perp(4)$ preserving $\zeta_{-}$. There are $63 \alpha$ 's with $q_{-}(\alpha)=0$ in $\left(A_{-}\right)_{(2)}$ and $W$ acts transitively on them. Hence a Hodge isometry between $H(S, \sigma ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H\left(S^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ extends to a $\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$-equivariant Hodge isometry between $H^{-}(S, \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H^{-}\left(S^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 2.3.
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