

**Limits of characters of wreath products  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$   
of a compact group  $T$  with the symmetric groups  
and characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ , II  
From a viewpoint of probability theory**

By Akihito HORA, Takeshi HIRAI and Etsuko HIRAI

(Received Dec. 13, 2007)

**Abstract.** This paper is the second part of our study on limiting behavior of characters of wreath products  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  of compact group  $T$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and its connection with characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . Contrasted with the first part, which has a representation-theoretical flavor, the approach of this paper is based on probabilistic (or ergodic-theoretical) methods. We apply boundary theory for a fairly general branching graph of infinite valencies to wreath products of an arbitrary compact group  $T$ . We show that any character of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  is captured as a limit of normalized irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  along a path on the branching graph of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . This yields reconstruction of an explicit character formula for  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ .

**Introduction.**

In the present paper, we discuss the connection between limits of irreducible characters of wreath products of a compact group with symmetric groups and characters of its wreath product with the infinite symmetric group, taking an alternative route of [8] (Part I).

Wreath product group  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  of compact group  $T$  with the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $n \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , is defined as  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T) = D_n(T) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Here  $D_n(T) = T^n$  denotes the  $n$ -fold direct product of  $T$ . The action of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $D_n(T)$  is defined by

$$\sigma : d = (t_i) \longmapsto \sigma(d) = (t_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}).$$

Similarly, we consider  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T) = D_\infty(T) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  where

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C32; Secondary 20P05, 20E22.

*Key Words and Phrases.* the infinite symmetric group, character, factor representation, wreath product, probabilistic method.

The first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 19340032) by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

$$D_\infty(T) = \{d = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \mid t_i \in T, t_i = e_T \text{ except for finite } i\text{'s}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\infty = \{\text{permutation } \sigma \text{ of } \mathbf{N} \mid \sigma(i) = i \text{ except for finite } i\text{'s}\},$$

$e_T$  being the identity element of  $T$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  is an inductive limit of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ . Equipped with its inductive limit topology,  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  is a topological group, which is no longer locally compact if  $T$  is continuous.

A probabilistic or ergodic method for describing the characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  was first developed by Vershik-Kerov [17]. The essential idea is to translate properties of the characters into those of probability measures on the path space of the Young graph, which is the branching graph of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ . Developing this method due to Vershik-Kerov to the wreath product group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  for any compact group  $T$ , we show the following results in this paper.

- Every character of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  is described as a limit of normalized irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- The classifying parameters for characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  are expressed by rescaled limits of families of Young diagrams indexed by  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$ .
- As a consequence we recapture the character formula for  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ .

We fully use structure of the branching graph of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . Reflecting the effect of compact group  $T$ , the graph naturally allows infinite valencies. We note that, for finite group  $T$ , such a character theory for wreath product groups was developed by Boyer [2].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we review fundamental facts on irreducible representations and their characters of the wreath product  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ , including their branching rules. Section 2 and Section 3 are devoted to developing some materials in boundary theory of a general branching graph. In Section 4, applying these to our case of wreath product groups, we prove the above mentioned results.

## 1. Irreducible representations and the branching rule for $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .

In this section we briefly review the irreducible representations, the irreducible characters and the branching rule for  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .

### 1.1. Irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .

Let  $T$  be an arbitrary compact group and  $\widehat{T}$  denote the set of equivalence classes of *continuous irreducible unitary representations* (IURs). The equivalence class of IUR  $\zeta$  of  $T$  is denoted by  $[\zeta]$ . For simplicity, however, we often use the notation like  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$  for IUR  $\zeta$ . The equivalence classes of IURs of wreath product  $G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  are parametrized by

$$\mathbf{Y}_n(T) = \left\{ \Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \mid \lambda^\zeta \in \mathbf{Y}, \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} |\lambda^\zeta| = n \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here  $\mathbf{Y}$  denotes the set of all Young diagrams. The size (i.e. the number of boxes) of  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Y}$  is denoted by  $|\lambda|$ . Thus  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  is a map from  $\widehat{T}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$  which assigns the empty diagram  $\emptyset^\zeta$  to almost all  $\zeta$  with finite exceptions. Construction of an IUR corresponding to  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  was given in [8, Section 3] (Part I), which we recall below for the sake of convenience. For the case where  $T$  is a finite group, see e.g. [11, Chapter 4].

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  be arbitrarily given. Pick up a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  whose block structure agrees with  $\{|\lambda^\zeta|\}_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$ :

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} I_{n,\zeta}, \quad |I_{n,\zeta}| = |\lambda^\zeta|.$$

$I_{n,\zeta}$  is empty except for finite numbers of  $\zeta$ . According to this partition, we take IUR  $\eta$  of  $D_n = D_n(T)$  given as

$$\eta = \boxtimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} (\boxtimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} \zeta_i) = \boxtimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \boxtimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} \zeta_i, \quad \text{where } \zeta_i \equiv \zeta \quad (i \in I_{n,\zeta}).$$

Then the stationary subgroup  $S_{[\eta]} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \sigma\eta \cong \eta\}$  of  $[\eta]$  coincides with  $\prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$ . Here  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  acts on  $\eta \in \widehat{D}_n$  as

$$\sigma\eta(d) = \eta(\sigma^{-1}(d)), \quad \sigma^{-1}(d) = (t_{\sigma(i)}) \quad (d = (t_i)_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}} \in D_n).$$

For  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$ , let  $\rho_\zeta$  be the IUR of  $\mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}(T) = D_{I_{n,\zeta}}(T) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$  defined by

$$\rho_\zeta((d, \sigma)) = (\boxtimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} \zeta_i)(d)I(\sigma) \quad (d \in D_{I_{n,\zeta}}(T), \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}})$$

where we set  $\zeta_i \equiv \zeta$  for  $i \in I_{n,\zeta}$  and

$$I(\sigma) : \bigotimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} v_i \longmapsto \bigotimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} v_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$$

on  $\bigotimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} V(\zeta_i)$ ,  $V(\zeta_i) \equiv V(\zeta)$  being the representation space of IUR  $\zeta$  of  $T$  for  $i \in I_{n,\zeta}$ . These  $\rho_\zeta$ 's yield an IUR of

$$H_n = D_n(T) \rtimes S_{[\eta]} = D_n(T) \rtimes \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}} = \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}(T)$$

as the outer tensor product  $\rho = \boxtimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \rho_\zeta$  on  $V(\eta) = \bigotimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \bigotimes_{i \in I_{n,\zeta}} V(\zeta_i)$ .

Let  $\pi(\lambda^\zeta)$  be an IUR of  $\mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$  on  $V(\pi(\lambda^\zeta))$  corresponding to Young diagram  $\lambda^\zeta$ . Take IUR  $\xi = \boxtimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \pi(\lambda^\zeta)$  of  $S_{[\eta]} = \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$  on  $V(\xi) = \bigotimes_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} V(\pi(\lambda^\zeta))$ . The normal subgroup  $D_n(T)$  acting trivially,  $\xi$  is regarded as a representation of the semi-direct product group  $H_n = D_n(T) \rtimes S_{[\eta]}$ .

Set  $\eta \boxtimes \xi = \rho \otimes \xi$ , which is an IUR of  $H_n$  on  $V(\eta) \otimes V(\xi)$ . The desired IUR  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  of  $G_n$  corresponding to  $\Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$  is thus given by the induced representation

$$\Pi(\Lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_n}^{G_n} \eta \boxtimes \xi.$$

**1.2. Irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .**

We recall the description of the conjugacy classes of a wreath product group. See [6] and also [8] (Part I). Every element  $g = (d, \sigma) \in G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  admits a *standard decomposition*

$$g = \xi_{q_1} \cdots \xi_{q_r} g_1 \cdots g_m \tag{1.2}$$

uniquely determined modulo orders of  $\xi_q$ 's and of  $g_j$ 's. Here each  $\xi_{q_i}$  has the form  $(t_{q_i}, (q_i))$  holding  $t_{q_i} \in T$  at a certain position  $q_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The singleton  $\{q_i\}$  is called the support of  $\xi_{q_i}$  and denoted by  $\text{supp}(\xi_{q_i})$ . Each  $g_j$  has the form  $(d_j, \sigma_j)$  where  $\sigma_j$  is a cycle permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with length  $\ell(\sigma_j) \geq 2$  and  $d_j$  holds an element of  $T$  at each position of  $\text{supp}(\sigma_j)$ . Here the set of permuted letters by  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is called the support of  $\tau$  and denoted by  $\text{supp}(\tau)$ . All the supports  $\{q_1\}, \dots, \{q_r\}, \text{supp}(\sigma_1), \dots, \text{supp}(\sigma_m)$  are taken to be disjoint.

We use also  $\text{supp}(g_j)$  instead of  $\text{supp}(\sigma_j)$ . Note that  $\sigma$  admits the cycle decomposition  $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m$ . Each factor in (1.2),  $\xi_{q_i}$  or  $g_j$ , is called a basic element of  $G_n$ .

Let  $[t]$  denote the conjugacy class of  $t \in T$ . When  $\sigma_j$  is expressed as  $\sigma_j = (i_{j,1} \ i_{j,2} \ \cdots \ i_{j,\ell_j})$  with  $\ell_j = \ell(\sigma_j)$ , we set for  $d_j = (t_i)_{i \in \text{supp}(\sigma_j)}$

$$P_{\sigma_j}(d_j) = [t_{i_{j,\ell_j}} \ t_{i_{j,\ell_j-1}} \ \cdots \ t_{i_{j,1}}]. \tag{1.3}$$

The conjugacy class  $P_{\sigma_j}(d_j)$  in  $T$  is well-defined since it does not depend on the cyclic orders of the product. Using these notations, we know that the conjugacy classes of  $G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  are parametrized by the data

$$[t_{q_i}] \quad (i = 1, \dots, r) \quad \text{and} \quad (P_{\sigma_j}(d_j), \ell(\sigma_j)) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

under the standard decomposition (1.2). To visualize this parametrization, we may assign a color to each conjugacy class of  $T$ , the identity element  $e_T$  being white (= non-colored). Then, a conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  is indicated by a family of Young diagrams

$$P = (\rho_\theta \mid \theta : \text{color} (\leftrightarrow \text{conjugacy class of } T)), \quad \sum_{\theta} |\rho_\theta| = n,$$

by putting together the cycles of color  $\theta$  to form  $\rho_\theta$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = (1\ 4)(2\ 6\ 5)(3) \in \mathfrak{S}_6,$$

$d = (a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, b_3) \in D_6(T)$  and  $g = (d, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_6(T)$ . Then we have  $g = \xi_1 g_1 g_2$  where  $\xi_1 = (c_1, (3)), g_1 = (d_1, \sigma_1), g_2 = (d_2, \sigma_2)$  with  $d_1 = (a_1, a_2), d_2 = (b_1, b_2, b_3), \sigma_1 = (1\ 4), \sigma_2 = (2\ 6\ 5)$ . Here  $\ell(\sigma_1) = 2, \ell(\sigma_2) = 3$ . We have  $[c_1], P_{\sigma_1}(d_1) = [a_2 a_1]$  and  $P_{\sigma_2}(d_2) = [b_2 b_3 b_1]$  as colors.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Consider  $\mathfrak{S}_6(\mathbf{T})$  where  $\mathbf{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$  is a one-dimensional torus. The set of colors is  $\mathbf{T}$  itself. Moreover, the order of product in (1.3) is meaningless. For  $g = (d, \sigma)$  in Example 1.1, let  $a_1 a_2 = c_1 = 1$  and  $b_1 b_2 b_3 = \sqrt{-1}$ . Then, as its conjugacy class, we have a family of Young diagrams  $P = (\rho_\theta)$  where

$$\rho_1 = (1^1 2^1), \quad \rho_{\sqrt{-1}} = (3^1), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\theta = \emptyset \quad (\theta \neq 1, \sqrt{-1}).$$

The character of an IUR of  $G_n$  corresponding to  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  described in Subsection 1.1 were computed in [8, Section 4] (Part I) by using the induced character formula. We review the result below. See [8, Theorem 4.5].

Let  $\Pi(\Lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_n}^{G_n} \eta \boxtimes \xi$  be the IUR of  $G_n$  corresponding to  $\Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  as constructed in Subsection 1.1. The character of  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  is denoted by  $\chi_{\Pi(\Lambda)}$  or simply  $\chi^\Lambda$ . Then the normalized character is

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\Pi(\Lambda)} = \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda = \frac{\chi_{\Pi(\Lambda)}}{\dim \Pi(\Lambda)}.$$

Since  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  is induced from a representation of  $H_n$ , we see  $\chi^\Lambda(g) = 0$  if  $g \in G_n$

is not conjugate to an element of  $H_n$ . Let us write down a formula for  $\chi^\Lambda(g)$  assuming that  $g = (d, \sigma) \in G_n$  is conjugate to an element of  $H_n$ . Take a standard decomposition of  $g$  as in (1.2). Set  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$  and  $J = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . We call  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = (J_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$  partitions of  $Q$  and  $J$  respectively if they yield disjoint unions

$$Q = \bigsqcup_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} Q_\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad J = \bigsqcup_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} J_\zeta.$$

The common value of the character of IUR  $\pi(\lambda^\zeta)$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$  on the conjugacy class determined by partition  $(\ell_j) = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots)$  is denoted by  $\chi(\lambda^\zeta, (\ell_j))$ . Similarly  $\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^\zeta, (\ell_j))$  is the normalized one. Recall that  $\ell(\sigma_j)$  denotes the cardinality of  $\text{supp}(\sigma_j)$  (i.e. the length of  $\sigma_j$ ) for each  $j$ . Under these notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\Lambda(g) &= \sum_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}} \frac{(n - \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell(\sigma_j))!}{\prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} (|I_{n,\zeta}| - |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J_\zeta} \ell(\sigma_j))!} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \left\{ (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n,\zeta}| - |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J_\zeta} \ell(\sigma_j)} \left( \prod_{q \in Q_\zeta} \chi_\zeta(t_q) \right) \left( \prod_{j \in J_\zeta} \chi_\zeta(P_{\sigma_j}(d_j)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \chi(\lambda^\zeta, (\ell(\sigma_j))_{j \in J_\zeta}) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = (J_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$  run over all the partitions of  $Q$  and  $J$  respectively. Note also that we adopt the notational convention of  $1/(-k)! (= 1/\Gamma(-k+1)) = 0$  for positive integer  $k$ . The normalized character  $\tilde{\chi}^\Lambda$  is obtained by dividing (1.4) by  $\dim \Pi(\Lambda)$ :

$$\chi^\Lambda(g) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} |I_{n,\zeta}|!} \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \{ (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n,\zeta}|} \dim \lambda^\zeta \} \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(g). \tag{1.5}$$

REMARK 1.3. For IUR  $\pi(\lambda^\zeta)$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_{I_{n,\zeta}}$  and partition  $(\ell_j)_{j \in J_\zeta}$ ,  $\chi(\lambda^\zeta, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_\zeta})$  may be expressed alternatively by  $\chi_{(\tau, 1^{n_\zeta - |\tau|})}^{\lambda^\zeta}$ , where we set  $n_\zeta = |I_{n,\zeta}| = |\lambda^\zeta|$  and  $\tau$  is the Young diagram indicating  $(\ell_j)$  such that  $|\tau| = \sum_{j \in J_\zeta} \ell_j$ . Equation (1.4) remains valid when  $Q$  or  $J$  is empty, in particular when  $g$  is the identity element. In the case of  $J_\zeta$  is empty, we have

$$\chi(\lambda^\zeta, (\ell(\sigma_j))_{j \in \emptyset}) = \chi_{(1^{n_\zeta})}^{\lambda^\zeta} = \dim \lambda^\zeta.$$

Here  $\emptyset^\zeta$  is the empty diagram assigned to  $\zeta$ .

**1.3. Branching rule for  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ 's.**

$\mathfrak{S}_n$  is embedded into  $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  as the permutations fixing the letter  $n + 1$ , while  $D_n(T)$  is embedded into  $D_{n+1}(T)$  with  $e_T \in T$  as the last entry. This yields embedding  $G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T) \subset G_{n+1} = \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}(T)$ . For  $\Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  and  $M = (\mu^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}(T)$ , we use the notation  $\Lambda \nearrow M$  if there exists  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$  such that  $\lambda^\zeta \nearrow \mu^\zeta$ . Here the latter NE-arrow means that Young diagram  $\mu^\zeta$  is obtained by adding one box to Young diagram  $\lambda^\zeta$ . This  $\zeta$  is uniquely determined for such a pair  $(\Lambda, M)$  and hence denoted by  $\zeta_{\Lambda, M}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let  $M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}(T)$ . Restricted on  $G_n$ , IUR  $\Pi(M)$  of  $G_{n+1}$  has irreducible decomposition*

$$\Pi(M)|_{G_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T); \Lambda \nearrow M} [\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}] \Pi(\Lambda).$$

PROOF. Instead of looking into detailed structure of the irreducible decomposition, we show the assertion by using the character formula in Subsection 1.2. In other words, we just verify

$$\chi^M|_{G_n} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T); \Lambda \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}) \chi^\Lambda. \tag{1.6}$$

Equation (1.4) together with an obvious identity for multinomial coefficients:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_p!} = \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{(n-1)!}{n_1! \cdots (n_k-1)! \cdots n_p!} \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{k=1}^p n_k = n$$

yields the following. Let  $g \in G_n$  have a standard decomposition as (1.2). We use the notations in (1.2) and (1.4), setting further  $\ell(\sigma_j) = \ell_j$  and  $P_{\sigma_j}(d_j) = P_j$  for simplicity. Let  $M = (\mu^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}(T)$ . We have for  $(\chi^M|_{G_n})(g) = \chi^M(g)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi^M(g) \\ &= \sum_{\varrho, \mathcal{J}} \frac{(n - \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j)!}{\prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} (|I_{n, \zeta}| - |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J_\zeta} \ell_j)!} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \left\{ (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n, \zeta}| - |Q_\zeta| - \sum_{j \in J_\zeta} \ell_j} \prod_{q \in Q_\zeta} \chi_\zeta(t_q) \prod_{j \in J_\zeta} \chi_\zeta(P_j) \chi(\mu^\zeta, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_\zeta}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}} \left\{ \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \frac{(n-1 - \sum_{\kappa \in \widehat{T}} |Q_{\kappa}| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j)!}{(|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j)! \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j)!} \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\theta \in \widehat{T}} \left\{ (\dim \theta)^{|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j} \prod_{q \in Q_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(t_q) \prod_{j \in J_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(P_j) \chi(\mu^{\theta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\theta}}) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}} \left[ \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \frac{(n-1 - \sum_{\kappa \in \widehat{T}} |Q_{\kappa}| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j)!}{(|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j)! \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j)!} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\theta \in \widehat{T}} \left( \prod_{q \in Q_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(t_q) \prod_{j \in J_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(P_j) \right) (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n, \zeta}| - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j} \chi(\mu^{\zeta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\zeta}}) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} \left\{ (\dim \theta)^{|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j} \chi(\mu^{\theta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\theta}}) \right\} \Big] \\
 &= \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} (\dim \zeta) \sum_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}} \left[ \frac{(n-1 - \sum_{\kappa \in \widehat{T}} |Q_{\kappa}| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j)!}{(|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j)! \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j)!} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\theta \in \widehat{T}} \left( \prod_{q \in Q_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(t_q) \prod_{j \in J_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(P_j) \right) \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (\dim \theta)^{|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j} \\
 &\quad \times (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda^{\zeta}: \lambda^{\zeta} \nearrow \mu^{\zeta}} \chi(\lambda^{\zeta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\zeta}}) \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} \chi(\mu^{\theta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\theta}}) \right\} \Big] \\
 &= \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \sum_{\lambda^{\zeta}: \lambda^{\zeta} \nearrow \mu^{\zeta}} (\dim \zeta) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{J}} \left[ \frac{(n-1 - \sum_{\kappa \in \widehat{T}} |Q_{\kappa}| - \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j)!}{(|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j)! \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j)!} \right. \\
 &\quad \times (\dim \zeta)^{|I_{n, \zeta}| - 1 - |Q_{\zeta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\zeta}} \ell_j} \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} (\dim \theta)^{|I_{n, \theta}| - |Q_{\theta}| - \sum_{j \in J_{\theta}} \ell_j} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\theta \in \widehat{T}} \left( \prod_{q \in Q_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(t_q) \prod_{j \in J_{\theta}} \chi_{\theta}(P_j) \right) \chi(\lambda^{\zeta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\zeta}}) \prod_{\theta \neq \zeta} \chi(\mu^{\theta}, (\ell_j)_{j \in J_{\theta}}) \Big] \\
 &= \sum_{\Lambda: \Lambda \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}) \chi^{\Lambda}(g),
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (1.6). □

## 2. Branching graph and central measures.

In this section, we prepare some notions of harmonic analysis on a general branching graph along the lines of [12], [14], [1] and [13] in order to translate analysis on groups into that on their dual objects. For our purpose, we cannot help allowing infinite (even uncountable) valencies of the graph.

### 2.1. Branching graph.

DEFINITION 2.1. A *branching graph* consists of the stratified vertex sets

$$\mathbf{G} = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}_n \quad (\text{disjoint union})$$

and the edges satisfying the following conditions. We call  $\mathbf{G}_n$  the vertices of the  $n$ th level.

- (1) Two vertices  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{G}$  can be adjacent only if they belong to two consecutive levels. If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_{n+1}$  are adjacent, we express them as  $\alpha \nearrow \beta$  and call  $(\alpha, \beta)$  the ingoing [resp. outgoing] edge of  $\beta$  [resp.  $\alpha$ ].
- (2)  $\mathbf{G}_0$  consists of the unique element  $\emptyset$  that has no ingoing edges.
- (3) For any vertex except  $\emptyset$ , its ingoing [resp. outgoing] edges form a nonempty finite [resp. nonempty (possibly infinite)] set.
- (4) If  $\alpha \nearrow \beta$  holds, the edge  $(\alpha, \beta)$  carries multiplicity  $\kappa(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ .

For the sake of convenience we set  $\kappa(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to two consecutive levels but are not adjacent. The branching graph itself is also denoted by  $\mathbf{G}$  for simplicity of the notation.

REMARK 2.2. What is primarily in our mind is the branching graph for the wreath product groups  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ , namely

$$\mathbf{G}_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)^\wedge = \mathbf{Y}_n(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\Lambda, M) = \dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}$$

for  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{G}_n$ ,  $M \in \mathbf{G}_{n+1}$ . The unique element of  $\mathbf{G}_0 = \mathbf{Y}_0(T)$  is  $\emptyset = (\emptyset^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$ , where each  $\emptyset^\zeta$  is the empty Young diagram. If  $T$  is a continuous compact group, the number of outgoing edges of a vertex is necessarily infinite.

DEFINITION 2.3. A complex-valued function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{G}$  is usually said to be harmonic if it satisfies

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta: \alpha \nearrow \beta} \kappa(\alpha, \beta) \varphi(\beta), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{G}. \tag{2.1}$$



If  $\beta \in \text{supp } \varphi$  and  $\alpha$  lies on a path terminating at  $\beta$ , then  $\alpha \in \text{supp } \varphi$ . (2.5)

Let  $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  denote the set of all infinite paths on branching graph  $\mathbf{G}$  starting at  $\emptyset$ . A path  $t \in \mathfrak{T}$  is expressed as

$$t = (t(0) \nearrow t(1) \nearrow \cdots \nearrow t(n) \nearrow \cdots)$$

where  $t(n) \in \mathbf{G}_n$  is the  $n$ th level vertex of  $t$ . For any path  $t \in \mathfrak{T}$ ,  $t(0)$  is always  $\emptyset$ . Its truncated path up to the  $n$ th level is denoted by

$$t_n = (t(0) \nearrow t(1) \nearrow \cdots \nearrow t(n)).$$

$\mathfrak{T}_n = \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G})$  denotes the set of all finite paths up to the  $n$ th level. For finite path  $u$  connecting  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_m$  and  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n$ :  $\alpha = u(m) \nearrow \cdots \nearrow u(n) = \beta$ , its *weight*  $w_u$  is defined by

$$w_u = \prod_{i=m}^{n-1} \kappa(u(i), u(i+1)). \tag{2.6}$$

Summing up the weights over all paths connecting  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  as

$$d(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\text{path } u: \alpha \nearrow \cdots \nearrow \beta} w_u, \tag{2.7}$$

we define the (*combinatorial*) *dimension function*  $d$  on branching graph  $\mathbf{G}$ . If there are no paths connecting  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , our convention yields that some edge multiplicity in (2.6) vanishes and hence  $d(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ .

REMARK 2.4. In the case of  $\mathbf{G}_n = \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$ , the value  $d(\emptyset, \Lambda)$  agrees with the dimension of IUR  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  associated with  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$ , which is readily seen from Proposition 1.4.

DEFINITION 2.5. Consider a subset  $\mathbf{G}^0 \subset \mathbf{G}$  as a new vertex set and the edges inherited from  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}^0$  become a branching graph in the sense of Definition 2.1. Furthermore assume that, for any  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}^0$  and any finite path in  $\mathbf{G}$  connecting  $\emptyset$  to  $\beta$ , all the vertices lying on the path belong to  $\mathbf{G}^0$ . Then we call  $\mathbf{G}^0$  a *subgraph* of branching graph  $\mathbf{G}$ . If  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is an at most countable set, we refer to it as a countable subgraph.

REMARK 2.6. If  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is a subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ , then we have for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}^0 \cap \mathbf{G}_n$ ,

$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  that

$$\{u \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}) \mid u(n) = \alpha\} = \{u \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}^0) \mid u(n) = \alpha\}.$$

Equation (2.5) shows that  $\text{supp } \varphi$  of a harmonic function on  $\mathbf{G}$  is a countable subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

LEMMA 2.7. *Harmonic function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies*

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n} d(\alpha, \beta)\varphi(\beta) \tag{2.8}$$

for any  $m < n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_m$ .

PROOF. Set  $\mathbf{G}^0 = \text{supp } \varphi$ , which is a countable subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ . If  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n^0$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_m^0$ . Hence in the case of  $\alpha \notin \mathbf{G}_m^0$ , (2.8) holds trivially as 0.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_m^0$  be taken. For  $\beta_2 \in \mathbf{G}_{m+2}^0$  we have

$$d(\alpha, \beta_2) = \sum_{\beta_1 \in \mathbf{G}_{m+1}^0} d(\alpha, \beta_1)d(\beta_1, \beta_2)$$

since  $\beta_2 \in \mathbf{G}_{m+2}^0$  and  $\beta_1 \nearrow \beta_2$  imply  $\beta_1 \in \mathbf{G}_{m+1}^0$ . Then (2.8) is shown inductively by iterating (2.1). □

**2.2. Central measures.**

For each  $u = (u(0) \nearrow \dots \nearrow u(n)) \in \mathfrak{T}_n = \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G})$ , we set

$$C_u = \{t \in \mathfrak{T} \mid t(k) = u(k), k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

$\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  is equipped with the topology in which each  $t \in \mathfrak{T}$  has  $\{C_{t_n}\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$  as its neighborhoods. Definition 2.1 yields that  $\mathfrak{T}$  is totally disconnected under this topology. For the branching graph of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ , the set  $\widehat{T}$  can be identified with the set  $\mathfrak{T}_1$  of all paths of the first level, and it is equipped with the discrete topology. The Borel field of  $\mathfrak{T}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. Probability  $M$  on measurable space  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}))$  is usually said to be central if it satisfies

$$\frac{M(C_u)}{w_u} = \frac{M(C_v)}{w_v} \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $n$  and  $u, v \in \mathfrak{T}_n$  which share a common terminating vertex. In this paper, however, we call probability  $M$  on  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}))$  to be *central* if  $M$  is supported by the path space  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$  of some countable subgraph  $\mathbf{G}^0$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  in addition that it satisfies (2.9).

LEMMA 2.9. *There exists a bijective correspondence between the central probabilities  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  and the harmonic functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{G}$  through*

$$\frac{M(C_u)}{w_u} = \varphi(\alpha) \tag{2.10}$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_n$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{T}_n = \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G})$  such that  $u(n) = \alpha$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ).

PROOF. If  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is a subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \{t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}) \mid t(0), \dots, t(n) \in \mathbf{G}^0\}. \tag{2.11}$$

In fact, the inclusion  $\subset$  is obvious. To show the converse inclusion  $\supset$ , note that  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  [resp.  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$ ] is identified with the projective limit of  $(\mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}))_{n=0,1,\dots}$  [resp.  $(\mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}^0))_{n=0,1,\dots}$ ]. Projection  $p_{mn}$  is defined by  $p_{mn}(t_n) = t_m$  for  $m < n$  for  $t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  [resp.  $t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$ ]. The projective sequence corresponding to  $t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$  is  $(t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots)$ . If  $t$  belongs to the right hand side of (2.11), we have  $t_n \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}^0)$  for any  $n$ . This means that  $(t_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$  belongs to the projective limit of  $(\mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}^0))_{n=0,1,\dots}$ .

Let  $M$  be a central probability on  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathbf{G}^0$  an associated countable subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $M$  is supported by  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$ . Equation (2.9) for  $M$  assures that (2.10) determines the function  $\varphi$  well. Then  $\text{supp } \varphi$  is included in  $\mathbf{G}^0$ , which is at most countable. Harmonicity of  $\varphi$  follows from countable additivity of  $M$ .

Conversely, let  $\varphi$  be a harmonic function on  $\mathbf{G}$  and set  $\mathbf{G}^0 = \text{supp } \varphi$ . As noted in Remark 2.6,  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is a countable subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Equation (2.10) defines atomic probability  $M_n$  on  $\mathfrak{T}_n = \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G})$  which is supported by an at most countable set. Harmonicity of  $\varphi$  yields that  $((\mathfrak{T}_n, M_n), (p_{mn}))$  is a consistent projective system. This means that we have  $(p_{mn})_* M_n = M_m$  for  $m < n$  where  $*$  indicates a push-forward. Then we obtain the unique probability  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{T}$ , which is the projective limit of  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ , such that  $(p_n)_* M = M_n$  holds for any  $n$  where  $p_n : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_n$  is the canonical projection. (See e.g. [18, Volume 1, Chapter 2] for a comprehensive account on extension theorems of measures. Our measure space  $(\mathfrak{T}_n, M_n)$  is almost countably separated since  $M$  is supported by a countable set.) Centrality of  $M$  is obvious from the definition of (2.10). Furthermore (2.11) implies

$$M(\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\{t \in \mathfrak{T} \mid t(0), \dots, t(n) \in \mathbf{G}^0\}) = 1.$$

It is obvious that the above correspondences are mutually inverse. □

The centrality of a probability on the path space  $\mathfrak{T}$  is rephrased as quasi-invariance with respect to groups. For  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_n$  set

$$\mathfrak{T}(\alpha) = \{u \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}) \mid u(n) = \alpha\}.$$

$\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$  consists of all paths terminating at  $\alpha$ . It is a finite set by virtue of Definition 2.1 (3). The set of all permutations of  $\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$ . We regard any element  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$  as a permutation of  $\mathfrak{T}$  by

$$t \mapsto \tau(t) = \begin{cases} \tau(t(0) \nearrow \dots \nearrow t(n)) \nearrow t(n+1) \nearrow \dots, & t(n) = \alpha, \\ t, & t(n) \neq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

We have then canonical inclusion

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\beta)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta. \tag{2.12}$$

If  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is a subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$  is invariant under any  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. *Let  $\mathbf{G}^0$  be a countable subgraph of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Probability  $M$  supported by  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$  satisfies (2.9) if and only if*

$$M(\tau^{-1}B) = \int_B \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(t_n)}}{w_{t_n}} M(dt), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})) \tag{2.13}$$

holds for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}$  and any  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$ .

PROOF. Note that the definition of a function  $f_\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{T}$

$$t \in \mathfrak{T} \mapsto f_\tau(t) = \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(t_n)}}{w_{t_n}}, \quad t_n = (t(0) \nearrow \dots \nearrow t(n)) \tag{2.14}$$

for  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$  is consistent with the inclusion (2.12).

Assume that  $M$  satisfies (2.9). Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$  be given for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_n$ . Take a finite path  $u = (u(0) \nearrow \dots \nearrow u(m)) \in \mathfrak{T}_m$  and subset  $C_u$  from  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})$ .

(i) CASE OF  $m = n$ . If  $u(m) = \alpha$ , we have

$$\int_{C_u} f_\tau(t)M(dt) = \int_{C_u} \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(u)}}{w_u} M(dt) = \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(u)}}{w_u} M(C_u) = M(\tau^{-1}(C_u)).$$

Otherwise the left side is  $M(C_u) = M(\tau^{-1}(C_u))$ .

(ii) CASE OF  $m < n$ . A path extending  $u$  to  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}^0$  is denoted by  $u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ . Since

$$C_u = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}^0} \bigsqcup_{\text{path: } u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta} C_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta} \sqcup (M\text{-null set})$$

holds, where the first is a countable disjoint union and the second is a finite one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_u} f_\tau(t)M(dt) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}^0} \sum_{u(m) \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta} \int_{C_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta}} f_\tau(t)M(dt) \\ &= \sum_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \alpha} \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \alpha)}}{w_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \alpha}} M(C_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \alpha}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}^0; \beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta} M(C_{u \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta}) \\ &= M(\tau^{-1}(C_u)). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) CASE OF  $m > n$ . Independent of whether  $\alpha$  lies in  $u$  or not, we have

$$\int_{C_u} f_\tau(t)M(dt) = \frac{w_{\tau^{-1}(u)}}{w_u} M(C_u) = M(\tau^{-1}(C_u)).$$

All cases summed up, (2.9) implies (2.13).

Conversely, following the above argument of (i), we see (2.13) implies (2.9). □

Consider a random variable  $X_n : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_n$  defined by  $X_n(t) = t(n)$ . Here any subset  $B \subset \mathbf{G}_n$  is measurable by definition. Then  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})$  is generated by random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  be the sub- $\sigma$ -field generated by the  $X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots$  and set the tail  $\sigma$ -field as  $\mathfrak{B}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{B}_n$ . Lemma 2.10 says that centrality of  $M$  is equivalent to  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{G}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$ -quasi-invariance. Among such probabilities, an extremal one is often said to be  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{G}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$ -ergodic.

LEMMA 2.11. *Let  $M$  be an extremal central probability on  $\mathfrak{T}$ . Then  $M$  is*

trivial on  $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ , namely  $M(B) = 0$  or  $1$  for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_\infty$ , and hence a  $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ -measurable function is constant  $M$ -a.s.

PROOF. The following argument is standard, as is seen in e.g. [18, Volume 2, Chapter 2]. Let  $E \in \mathfrak{B}_\infty$  satisfy  $M(E) \neq 0, 1$ . Set

$$M_1(B) = \frac{1}{M(E)}M(B \cap E), \quad M_2(B) = \frac{1}{M(E^c)}M(B \cap E^c), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}).$$

Then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are central probabilities. In fact, let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}$  and  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}(\alpha)}$  be taken arbitrarily. Noting that  $E \in \mathfrak{B}_\infty$  satisfies  $\tau^{-1}(E) = E$ , we have for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\tau^{-1}(B)) &= \frac{1}{M(E)}M(\tau^{-1}(B \cap E)) \\ &= \frac{1}{M(E)} \int_B f_\tau(t) 1_E(t) M(dt) = \int_B f_\tau(t) M_1(dt), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $M_2$ . Thus, using disjoint central probabilities  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , we have a convex decomposition

$$M = M(E)M_1 + M(E^c)M_2,$$

which contradicts extremality of  $M$ . This completes the proof. □

### 3. Limit of Martin kernels on a branching graph.

In this section we continue working on a general branching graph to prove a limit theorem for Martin kernels.

#### 3.1. Martingales and convergence theorem.

We briefly summarize necessary notions of martingales and a convergence theorem for them. See e.g. [3, Chapter 4].

As usual let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\mathbf{E}[X] = \int_\Omega X(\omega)P(d\omega)$  denote the expectation of real-valued random variable  $X$  on  $\Omega$ . For sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  the conditional expectation of  $X$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{E}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{E}[X|\mathfrak{E}]$ , which is characterized as the  $\mathfrak{E}$ -measurable function such that

$$\int_A \mathbf{E}[X|\mathfrak{E}](\omega)P(d\omega) = \int_A X(\omega)P(d\omega), \quad A \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{F}_n)_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$  be a decreasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{F}_n \supset \mathfrak{F}_{n+1}$ . A

sequence of integrable random variables  $(X_n)_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$  is called a *backward  $(\mathfrak{F}_n)$ -martingale* if it satisfies

$$\mathbf{E}[X_n | \mathfrak{F}_{n+1}] = X_{n+1} \quad \text{a.s.,} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $(X_n)_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$  be a backward martingale with respect to decreasing sub- $\sigma$ -fields  $(\mathfrak{F}_n)$  as above. Then*

$$X_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$$

*exists a.s. The convergence holds also in  $L^1$ -topology. Clearly  $X_\infty$  is  $(\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{F}_n)$ -measurable.*

### 3.2. Martin kernels.

According to the common terminology of Markov chains, the ratio of Green kernels (or potential kernels)  $G(x, y)/G(x_0, y)$  is referred to as a Martin kernel, where  $G(x, y)$  denotes the expected number for the chain starting at  $x$  to visit  $y$ . Here  $x_0$  is a fixed reference vertex. When we consider the simple random walk on the Young graph, whose transitions are made from a vertex to another lying in the adjacent upper level, and its long-time limiting behaviour, the ratio of dimension functions plays the role of a Martin kernel. In our case where the set  $\mathbf{G}_n$  of the  $n$ th level vertices may be infinite, we can no longer associate a simple random walk with the branching graph  $\mathbf{G}$ . Nevertheless, since the combinatorial dimension function  $d(\alpha, \beta)$  is well-defined by virtue of Definition 2.1 (3), we regard the ratio

$$\frac{d(\alpha, \beta)}{d(\emptyset, \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{G}$$

as a *Martin kernel* on the branching graph  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Let a central probability  $M$  be given on  $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G})$ . Take an associated countable subgraph  $\mathbf{G}^0$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $M$  is supported by  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)$ . Then  $M$  can be traced to probability  $M^0$  on sub- $\sigma$ -field

$$\mathfrak{B}^0 = \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}) \cap \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0) = \{B \cap \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0) \mid B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})\}$$

which is defined well by

$$M^0(B \cap \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)) = M(B), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T}). \tag{3.1}$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume that  $M$  is an extremal central probability on  $(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{I}))$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an extremal harmonic function on  $\mathbf{G}$  associated with  $M$  which is determined in Lemma 2.9. Then, for  $M$ -a.s.  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(\alpha, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} = \varphi(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{G}^0 \tag{3.2}$$

holds.

**PROOF.**

Step 1: Recall the notations  $X_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$  in Subsection 2.2. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbf{G}_m^0$  and  $n > m$ , we consider random variables defined by

$$Z_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \frac{d(\alpha, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} = \frac{d(\alpha, X_n(t))}{d(\emptyset, X_n(t))}, \quad t \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{G}^0) \tag{3.3}$$

on probability space  $(\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{G}^0), \mathfrak{B}^0, M^0)$  where  $M^0$  comes from (3.1). Set  $\mathfrak{B}_n^0 = \mathfrak{B}_n \cap \mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{G}^0)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ .  $(\mathfrak{B}_n^0)_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$  is a sequence of decreasing sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathfrak{B}^0$ .

$(Z_n^{(\alpha)})_{n=m+1,m+2,\dots}$  is a backward  $(\mathfrak{B}_n^0)$ -martingale. In fact, we verify

$$\int_A Z_n^{(\alpha)} dM^0 = \int_A Z_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} dM^0, \quad A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}^0. \tag{3.4}$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}^0 = \sigma[X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots] = \sigma \left[ \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \sigma[X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+r}] \right]$$

(where all  $X_i$ 's are restricted on  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{G}^0)$ ) holds, it suffices to show (3.4) for any set having the form of

$$A = \{t \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{G}^0) \mid t(n+1) = \beta_1, \dots, t(n+r) = \beta_r\}, \quad \beta_i \in \mathbf{G}_i^0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M^0(A) &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{I}_n(\mathbf{G}^0) : u(n) \nearrow \beta_1} M^0(C_{u \nearrow \beta_1 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta_r}) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{I}_n(\mathbf{G}^0) : u(n) \nearrow \beta_1} w_{u \nearrow \beta_1 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta_r} \varphi(\beta_r) \\ &= \varphi(\beta_r) \kappa(\beta_1, \beta_2) \cdots \kappa(\beta_{r-1}, \beta_r) d(\emptyset, \beta_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Using this we have

$$\int_A Z_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} dM^0 = \frac{d(\alpha, \beta_1)}{d(\emptyset, \beta_1)} M^0(A) = d(\alpha, \beta_1) w_{\beta_1 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta_r} \varphi(\beta_r).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_A Z_n^{(\alpha)} dM^0 = \sum_{\beta: \beta \nearrow \beta_1} \frac{d(\alpha, \beta)}{d(\emptyset, \beta)} M^0(A_\beta),$$

where  $A$  is decomposed as

$$A = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n: \beta \nearrow \beta_1} A_\beta,$$

$$A_\beta = \{t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0) \mid t(n) = \beta, t(n+1) = \beta_1, \dots, t(n+r) = \beta_r\}.$$

Computing  $M^0(A_\beta)$  similarly as (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_\beta} Z_n^{(\alpha)} dM^0 &= \sum_{\beta: \beta \nearrow \beta_1} \varphi(\beta_r) d(\alpha, \beta) \kappa(\beta, \beta_1) \cdots \kappa(\beta_{r-1}, \beta_r) \\ &= \varphi(\beta_r) w_{\beta_1 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \beta_r} d(\alpha, \beta_1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.4).

Step 2: The mean of  $Z_n^{(\alpha)}$  is computed as follows. Set

$$B_\beta = \{t(n) \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0) \mid t(n) = \beta\}, \quad \beta \in \mathbf{G}_n^0.$$

Using

$$M^0(B_\beta) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{G}^0): u(n)=\beta} w_u \varphi(\beta) = d(\emptyset, \beta) \varphi(\beta),$$

and decomposing the whole space into  $B_\beta$ 's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{G}^0)} Z_n^{(\alpha)} dM^0 &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n^0} \int_{B_\beta} \frac{d(\alpha, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} M^0(dt) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n^0} \frac{d(\alpha, \beta)}{d(\emptyset, \beta)} M^0(B_\beta) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{G}_n^0} d(\alpha, \beta) \varphi(\beta) = \varphi(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 2.7.

Step 3: Applying Proposition 3.1, from the backward martingale convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n^{(\alpha)} = Z_\infty^{(\alpha)} \tag{3.6}$$

exists  $M$ -a.s. as a  $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ -measurable function. Since  $M$  is extremal,  $Z_\infty^{(\alpha)}$  is  $M$ -a.s. constant as is seen from Lemma 2.11. The convergence of (3.6) is valid also in  $L^1$ -topology. Hence the constant agrees with

$$E[Z_\infty^{(\alpha)}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Z_n^{(\alpha)}] = \varphi(\alpha).$$

Finally we note that  $\alpha$  just runs over countable set  $G^0$  and hence that the exceptional subset of  $\mathfrak{T}$  can be taken commonly. □

#### 4. Limit of irreducible characters of $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .

##### 4.1. Branching graph and characters of $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ .

In what follows, we consider the branching graph of a wreath product group. Recalling notations, let  $T$  be an arbitrary compact group,  $G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  its wreath product with the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  as defined in (1.1), where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Set

$$\mathbf{Y}(T) = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}_n(T).$$

Here  $\mathbf{Y}_0(T)$  consists of the unique element  $\emptyset = (\emptyset^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$ , in which each  $\emptyset^\zeta$  is the empty Young diagram. We equip  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  with the structure of a branching graph induced by the branching rule for  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ 's in Proposition 1.4. We use  $\Lambda, M, \dots$  to indicate vertices instead of  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$  and put

$$\kappa(\Lambda, M) = \dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M},$$

with  $\zeta_{\Lambda, M}$  in Subsection 1.3. It is obvious that  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.1.

Set  $G = \mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  for simplicity.  $E(G)$  denotes the set of extremal elements among the continuous, positive definite, central and normalized functions on  $G$ . An element of  $E(G)$  is also called a *character* of  $G$  since it is essentially a normal-

ized trace of a factor representation of finite type of  $G$ . Using the machinery of Sections 2 and 3, we can transfer to  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  in investigating  $E(G)$  as below (Theorem 4.2).

We begin with referring to a Bochner type theorem on a compact group.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $K$  be a compact group and  $g$  a complex-valued function on  $K$ . The following two statements for  $g$  are equivalent.*

- $g$  is a linear combination of continuous and positive definite functions.
- $g$  belongs to  $L^1(K)$  and admits an absolutely convergent Fourier series expansion.

In particular,  $g$  is continuous, positive definite and central if and only if  $g \in L^1(K)$  and

$$g = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{K}} c_\alpha \chi_\alpha, \quad c_\alpha \geq 0, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{K}} c_\alpha \dim \alpha < \infty \tag{4.1}$$

hold. Here  $\chi_\alpha$  denotes the (non-normalized) irreducible character associated with  $\alpha \in \hat{K}$ .

PROOF. See [4, Section 34], especially Equations (34.13) and (34.37).  $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. *For  $G = \mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ , we have bijective correspondences between the following three objects:*

- (1)  $E(G)$ ,
- (2) the set of extremal harmonic functions on  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$ ,
- (3) the set of extremal central probabilities on  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{Y}(T))$ .

To be precise,  $f$  in (1) and  $\varphi$  in (2) are connected as

$$f|_{\mathfrak{S}_n(T)} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)} \varphi(\Lambda) \chi^\Lambda \tag{4.2}$$

while the bijection between (2) and (3) is described in Lemma 2.9.

PROOF. Let  $f \in E(G)$  be given. Restricted onto  $G_n = \mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ ,  $f$  specifies countable subset  $\mathbf{Y}_n^0$  of  $\mathbf{Y}_n(T)$  for each  $n$  according to (4.2) as

$$f|_{G_n} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n^0} \varphi(\Lambda) \chi^\Lambda \tag{4.3}$$

with Fourier coefficients  $\varphi(\Lambda) > 0$ . Applying (4.3) for  $n + 1$  together with (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{G_n} &= \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}^0} \varphi(M) \chi^M|_{G_n} = \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}^0} \varphi(M) \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T): \Lambda \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}) \chi^\Lambda \\ &= \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n^{00}} \left( \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}^0: \Lambda \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}) \varphi(M) \right) \chi^\Lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where we set  $\mathbf{Y}_n^{00} = \{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T) \mid \Lambda \nearrow M \text{ for some } M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}^0\}$ . Each coefficient of the rightmost hand is strictly positive for  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n^{00}$ . Hence comparing this with (4.3), we have  $\mathbf{Y}_n^0 = \mathbf{Y}_n^{00}$  and

$$\varphi(\Lambda) = \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}^0: \Lambda \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{\Lambda, M}) \varphi(M), \quad \Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n^0.$$

Accordingly we see that  $\mathbf{Y}^0 = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathbf{Y}_n^0$  is a subgraph of  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  and that  $\varphi$  is a harmonic function with  $\text{supp } \varphi = \mathbf{Y}^0$ .

Conversely, let  $\varphi$  in (2) be given. Set  $\mathbf{Y}_n^0 = (\text{supp } \varphi) \cap \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$ . Then  $\mathbf{Y}_n^0 = \mathbf{Y}_n^{00}$  holds. The same computation with (4.4) yields that (4.3) defines  $f \in E(G)$  well, namely  $f|_{G_n} = (f|_{G_{n+1}})|_{G_n}$  is valid.

The above correspondences clearly give mutual inverses. □

**4.2. Limit of irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$ .**

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $f \in E(\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T))$  be given and  $M$  the corresponding extremal central probability in Theorem 4.2. For  $M$ -a.s. path  $t \in \mathfrak{T}$ , the convergence*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\chi}^{t(n)} = f \tag{4.5}$$

*holds uniformly on each  $G_k = \mathfrak{S}_k(T)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .*

**PROOF.**

Step 1: For  $t \in \mathfrak{T}$  and  $k < n$ , we have

$$\tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}|_{G_k} = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)} \frac{d(\Lambda, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} \chi^\Lambda \tag{4.6}$$

by iterating (1.6). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{t(n)}|_{G_k} &= \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n-1}(T): M \nearrow t(n)} (\dim \zeta_{M,t(n)}) \chi^M|_{G_k} \\ &= \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{n-1}(T): M \nearrow t(n)} \sum_{N \in \mathbf{Y}_{n-2}(T): N \nearrow M} (\dim \zeta_{M,t(n)} \dim \zeta_{N,M}) \chi^N|_{G_k} \\ &= \sum_{N \in \mathbf{Y}_{n-2}(T)} d(N, t(n)) \chi^N|_{G_k} = \dots = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)} d(\Lambda, t(n)) \chi^\Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Under the correspondences of  $f \leftrightarrow \varphi \leftrightarrow M$  in Theorem 4.2, set  $\mathbf{Y}^0 = \text{supp } \varphi$ . Then,  $M$  is supported by  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{Y}^0)$ . Theorem 3.2 tells us that we have, for  $M$ -a.s. path  $t$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(\Lambda, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} = \varphi(\Lambda), \quad \Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}^0. \tag{4.7}$$

Take a path  $t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{Y}^0)$  satisfying (4.7). We see

$$\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T) \text{ and } d(\Lambda, t(n)) > 0 \text{ imply } \Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0 = \mathbf{Y}_k(T) \cap \mathbf{Y}^0 \tag{4.8}$$

since  $\mathbf{Y}^0$  is a subgraph. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Lambda) &= \varphi(\Lambda) d(\emptyset, \Lambda), \\ Q_{t(n)}(\Lambda) &= \frac{d(\Lambda, t(n))}{d(\emptyset, t(n))} d(\emptyset, \Lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)$ . Clearly  $\text{supp } Q \subset \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0$  is countable. Also (4.8) yields  $\text{supp } Q_{t(n)} \subset \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0$ . Furthermore, both are probabilities. In fact, it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} d(\Lambda, t(n)) d(\emptyset, \Lambda) &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{T}_n(\mathbf{Y}^0): u(n)=t(n)} w_u = d(\emptyset, t(n)), \\ \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} \varphi(\Lambda) d(\emptyset, \Lambda) &= \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} \varphi(\Lambda) \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}(T)^0: M \nearrow \Lambda} d(\emptyset, M) \dim \zeta_{M,\Lambda} \\ &= \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}(T)^0} \left( \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0: M \nearrow \Lambda} (\dim \zeta_{M,\Lambda}) \varphi(\Lambda) \right) d(\emptyset, M) \\ &= \sum_{M \in \mathbf{Y}_{k-1}(T)^0} \varphi(M) d(\emptyset, M) = \dots = \varphi(\emptyset) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: We estimate the difference of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}|_{G_k} &= \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} Q_{t(n)}(\Lambda) \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda, \\ f|_{G_k} &= \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} \varphi(\Lambda) \chi^\Lambda = \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0} Q(\Lambda) \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

where the first equality follows from (4.6) and (4.9). Take  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrarily. There exists finite set  $F \subset \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0$  such that  $Q(F) > 1 - \epsilon$ . Equation (4.7) shows that, for  $M$ -a.s. path  $t \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{Y}^0)$ , sufficiently large  $n$  allows

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_{t(n)}(F) - Q(F)| &< \epsilon, \quad \text{and also} \\ Q_{t(n)}(F^c) &\leq 1 - Q(F) + |Q_{t(n)}(F) - Q(F)| < 2\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Putting these into (4.10), we have for  $g \in G_k$

$$\begin{aligned}&|\tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}(g) - f(g)| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{\Lambda \in F} (Q_{t(n)}(\Lambda) - Q(\Lambda)) \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(g) \right| + \left| \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0 \setminus F} Q_{t(n)}(\Lambda) \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(g) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0 \setminus F} Q(\Lambda) \tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(g) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\Lambda \in F} |Q_{t(n)}(\Lambda) - Q(\Lambda)| + Q_{t(n)}(\mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0 \setminus F) + Q(\mathbf{Y}_k(T)^0 \setminus F) \leq 4\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We have thus obtained, for  $M$ -a.s. path  $t$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in G_k} |\tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}(g) - f(g)| = 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3 enables us to determine an explicit form of character  $f$  in terms of two sorts of parameters, one being the Fourier coefficients of  $f|_T$  and the other being families of asymptotic frequencies of Young diagrams. In this procedure, asymptotics for irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  play an essential role. Given Young diagram  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$  as a sequence of row lengths, we set

$$a_i(\lambda) = \lambda_i - i, \quad b_i(\lambda) = \lambda'_i - i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d$$

where  $\lambda'$  is the transposed diagram and  $d = d_\lambda$  denotes the main diagonal length of  $\lambda$ . These are called the Frobenius coordinates of  $\lambda$ .

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The value of the irreducible character corresponding to Young diagram  $\lambda$  at  $k$ -cycle has an asymptotic expression*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{(k,1^{|\lambda|-k})}^\lambda &= \frac{1}{|\lambda|^k} p_k(\lambda) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right), \\ p_k(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} (a_i(\lambda)^k + (-1)^{k-1} b_i(\lambda)^k) \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

as the size of diagram  $|\lambda|$  grows to infinity. Actually, the  $O$ -term in (4.11) is a polynomial of  $p_j(\lambda)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , of total degree  $\leq k - 1$  divided by  $|\lambda|^k$ .

PROOF. We refer to [15, Chapter Five, Section 1], [17] and [10]. □

THEOREM 4.5. *Let  $f \in E(\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T))$  be given and  $M$  the corresponding extremal central probability in Theorem 4.2. Along  $M$ -a.s. path  $t = (t(0) \nearrow \dots \nearrow t(n) \nearrow \dots)$  in Theorem 4.3 where  $t(n) = (t(n)^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)^0$ , the following limits exist:*

$$B_\zeta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|t(n)^\zeta|}{n}, \quad \zeta \in \hat{T}, \quad \text{moreover} \quad \sum_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} B_\zeta = 1, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\alpha_{\zeta,0,i} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i(t(n)^\zeta)}{n}, \quad \alpha_{\zeta,1,i} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_i(t(n)^\zeta)}{n}, \quad \zeta \in \hat{T}, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{4.13}$$

Since  $B_\zeta = 0$  implies  $\alpha_{\zeta,0,i} = \alpha_{\zeta,1,i} = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , these are 0 except for at most countable  $\zeta$ 's.

PROOF.

Step 1: Recall that every element of a wreath product group is factorized into basic elements as (1.2). We write down the values of irreducible characters of  $G_n$  at two kinds of basic elements  $(s, (q))$  and  $(d, \sigma)$ .

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta)_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \in \mathbf{Y}_n(T)$ ,  $n^\zeta = |\lambda^\zeta|$ ,  $s \in T$ ,  $\sigma$  a  $k$ -cycle and  $d \in D(T)$  such that  $\text{supp } d \subset \text{supp } \sigma$ . Then (1.4) yields

$$\tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(s, (q)) = \sum_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \frac{n^\zeta}{n} \tilde{\chi}_\zeta(s), \tag{4.14}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}^\Lambda(d, \sigma) = \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \frac{n^\zeta(n^\zeta - 1) \cdots (n^\zeta - k + 1)}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \frac{1}{(\dim \zeta)^k} \chi_\zeta(P_\sigma(d)) \tilde{\chi}_{(k, 1^{n^\zeta - k})}^{\lambda^\zeta}. \tag{4.15}$$

Here we regard  $\chi_{(k, 1^{n^\zeta - k})}^{\lambda^\zeta}$  to be 0 if  $n^\zeta < k$ .

Step 2: We show (4.12). Proposition 4.1 ensures that

$$f(s, (q)) = \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} B_\zeta \tilde{\chi}_\zeta(s) \quad \text{with } B_\zeta \geq 0, \quad \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} B_\zeta = 1 \tag{4.16}$$

since  $\sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} B_\zeta = f(e, (q)) = 1$ . Theorem 4.3 tells us that  $\tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}(s, (q))$  converges to  $f(s, (q))$  uniformly in  $s \in T$ . Combining these with (4.14) for  $\lambda^\zeta = t(n)^\zeta$ , we obtain convergence of their Fourier coefficients, namely (4.12).

Step 3: We consider (4.13). Putting  $\Lambda = t(n)$  and  $d = (s, e, \dots, e)$  ( $k - 1$  times repetition of the identity element  $e$  of  $T$ ) in (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\chi}^{t(n)}((s, e, \dots, e), \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \frac{|t(n)^\zeta|(|t(n)^\zeta| - 1) \cdots (|t(n)^\zeta| - k + 1)}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \frac{1}{(\dim \zeta)^{k-1}} \tilde{\chi}_{(k, 1^{|t(n)^\zeta| - k})}^{t(n)^\zeta} \tilde{\chi}_\zeta(s) \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

as a function on  $T$ . See Remark 1.3 for the notation of an irreducible character. The  $k$ -cycles in  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  is denoted by  $(k, 1^{p-k})$ . The left side converges to  $f((s, e, \dots, e), \sigma)$  uniformly on  $T$  by virtue of Theorem 4.3. Hence the convergence of the Fourier coefficients implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|t(n)^\zeta|(|t(n)^\zeta| - 1) \cdots (|t(n)^\zeta| - k + 1)}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \tilde{\chi}_{(k, 1^{|t(n)^\zeta| - k})}^{t(n)^\zeta} \tag{4.18}$$

exists for any  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$ .

Step 4: Equation (4.13) is deduced by using (4.18) through a compactness argument, which is a repetition of the argument in [17, Section 5]. We state the procedure, however, for reader's convenience below.

It is obvious that (4.13) holds as totally 0 if  $B_\zeta = 0$ .

Let  $\zeta \in \widehat{T}$  be such that  $B_\zeta > 0$ . It suffices to show that, for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , two sequences  $\{a_i(t(n)^\zeta)/n\}_n$  and  $\{b_i(t(n)^\zeta)/n\}_n$  have the unique limit points respectively. Combining (4.18) with (4.11), we have the existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{a_i(t(n)^\zeta)}{n} \right)^k + (-1)^{k-1} \left( \frac{b_i(t(n)^\zeta)}{n} \right)^k \right\}. \tag{4.19}$$

Let  $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^\zeta$  [resp.  $\beta_i = \beta_i^\zeta$ ] be a limit point of  $\{a_i(t(n)^\zeta)/n\}_n$  [resp.  $\{b_i(t(n)^\zeta)/n\}_n$ ]. Then, Lemma 4.6 below tells us that (4.19) agrees with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^k + (-1)^{k-1} \beta_i^k) \tag{4.20}$$

if  $k \geq 2$ . Hence (4.20) does not depend on the choice of limit points  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ . However, (4.20) determines  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  uniquely since it holds that

$$\exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^k + (-1)^{k-1} \beta_i^k) \frac{z^k}{k} \right\} = \exp \left\{ -z \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \right\} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \beta_i z}{1 - \alpha_i z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

(Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \leq 1$  follows from Fatou’s lemma.) These unique limit points give (4.13). □

LEMMA 4.6. *Let  $\{c_i(n)\}_{(i,n) \in \mathbf{N}^2}$  satisfy*

$$c_1(n) \geq c_2(n) \geq \dots \geq 0 \qquad \text{for any } n,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(n) \leq n \qquad \text{for any } n,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_i(n)}{n} = c_i \qquad \text{for any } i.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c_i(n)}{n} \right)^k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^k, \quad k \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

The proof is elementary and omitted. We note, however, that it can fail to hold for  $k = 1$ .

REMARK 4.7. Along a path chosen in Theorem 4.5, we saw that  $\sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} B_\zeta = 1$  holds for  $B_\zeta$  defined in (4.12). It is possible to have the situation that  $\sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} B_\zeta < 1$  along other paths. In fact, this is the case where normalized irreducible characters

of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  converge to a discontinuous function on  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . See [8, Section 6] for more details.

**THEOREM 4.8** (Recapturing the character formula for  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ ). *Let a character  $f \in E(\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T))$  be given. Take the corresponding extremal central probability  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{Y}(T))$  in Theorem 4.2 and parameters  $\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}$ ,  $B_\zeta$  in Theorem 4.5. Set*

$$\mu_\zeta = B_\zeta - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}} \alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}, \quad \zeta \in \widehat{T}. \quad (4.21)$$

Then  $f$  is completely characterized by these parameters

$$\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}, \quad \mu_\zeta; \quad \zeta \in \widehat{T}, \quad \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}$$

so that its values on the basic elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  are given by

$$f(s, (q)) = \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}} \frac{\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}}{\dim \zeta} + \frac{\mu_\zeta}{\dim \zeta} \right) \chi_\zeta(s), \quad s \in T, \quad (4.22)$$

$$f(d, \sigma) = \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{\epsilon(k-1)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}}{\dim \zeta} \right)^k \right\} \chi_\zeta(P_\sigma(d)), \quad (4.23)$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is a  $k$ -cycle,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $d \in D(T)$  satisfies  $\text{supp } d \subset \text{supp } \sigma$ . ( $P_\sigma(d)$  is defined in (1.3).)

**PROOF.** Equation (4.22) immediately follows from (4.16) and (4.21). Consider the Fourier expansion

$$f((s, e, \dots, e), \sigma) = \sum_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}} C_\zeta \tilde{\chi}_\zeta(s), \quad s \in T.$$

Since (4.17) converges uniformly to this, (4.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_\zeta &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|t(n)^\zeta| (|t(n)^\zeta| - 1) \cdots (|t(n)^\zeta| - k + 1)}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \frac{1}{(\dim \zeta)^{k-1}} \tilde{\chi}_{(k, 1^{|t(n)^\zeta| - k})}^{t(n)^\zeta} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\dim \zeta)^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{\epsilon(k-1)} \alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}^k. \end{aligned}$$

We hence obtain (4.23) for  $d = (s, e, \dots, e)$ . Since  $f$  is a central function, we see it is enough to take  $[s] = P_\sigma(d)$ , recalling structure of the conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  described in Subsection 1.2.

Finally, we know that  $f \in E(G)$  is completely determined by the values on the basic elements since it is factorizable (see [6, Section 4]).  $\square$

REMARK 4.9. Let us consider a special situation where all  $\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}$ 's are 0. In the case of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , this condition means that we treat the regular character (= the delta function at the identity element) of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  and the Plancherel measure on the path space  $\mathfrak{T}$  of the Young graph. It is well known that typical Young diagrams in the Plancherel ensemble are balanced, i.e. row and column lengths of  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Y}_n$  are proportional to  $\sqrt{n}$ . Then, the quantities of (4.13) obviously vanish along growing typical Young diagrams. The Plancherel measure is no longer captured as a probability if  $T$  is a continuous group. For general  $T$ , the situation of all  $\alpha_{\zeta, \epsilon, i}$ 's being 0 and an associated growth process on the branching graph  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  are described as follows. Let  $(B_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \hat{T}}$  satisfy  $B_\zeta \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} B_\zeta = 1$  so that it gives a probability on  $\hat{T}$  with an at most countable support. Let  $\psi$  be the continuous positive-definite central normalized function on  $T$  which has Fourier coefficients  $B_\zeta$ :

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \frac{B_\zeta}{\dim \zeta} \chi_\zeta(t), \quad t \in T \tag{4.24}$$

(see Proposition 4.1). We consider  $f \in E(\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T))$  determined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, (q)) &= \psi(t), & t \in T, \\ f(d, \sigma) &= 0, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a nontrivial cycle of } \mathfrak{S}_\infty \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

at basic elements  $(t, (q))$  and  $(d, \sigma)$  respectively, and multiplicatively extended to the whole  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . Then the extremal harmonic function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  corresponding to  $f$  in (4.25) (see Theorem 4.2) is given by

$$\varphi(\Lambda) = \prod_{\zeta \in \hat{T}} \frac{B_\zeta^{|\lambda^\zeta|} \dim \lambda^\zeta}{|\lambda^\zeta|! (\dim \zeta)^{|\lambda^\zeta|}}, \quad \Lambda = (\lambda^\zeta) \in \mathbf{Y}(T).$$

It can be seen that the corresponding central probability on the path space  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{Y}(T))$  induces a system of parallel Plancherel growth processes parametrized by  $\zeta \in \hat{T}$  for which the chain switches from one to another according to the probabil-

ity  $(B_\zeta)_{\zeta \in \widehat{T}}$ . This growth process canonically associated with the wreath product group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$  seems to be interesting and will be treated in separate papers.

REMARK 4.10. In this section we treated the branching graph  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  to obtain the characters of  $G = \mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ . Let  $T$  be a compact abelian group and  $S$  its subgroup. Set

$$G^S = D_\infty(T)^S \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_\infty, \quad D_\infty(T)^S = \left\{ d = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \in D_\infty(T) \mid \prod_{i \in \mathbf{N}} t_i \in S \right\},$$

and call it a canonical subgroup of  $G$ . It is the inductive limit of

$$G_n^S = D_n(T)^S \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n, \quad D_n(T)^S = \left\{ d = (t_i)_{i=1, \dots, n} \in D_n(T) \mid \prod_{i=1}^n t_i \in S \right\}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The character formula for  $G^S$  is studied in [5], [6] and [8]. For IUR II of  $G_{n+1}^S$ , the branching rule of  $\Pi|_{G_n^S}$  is described in [8, Section 8]. We thus obtain the branching graph  $\mathbf{Y}(T)^S$  for  $G^S$  by modifying  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$ . For example, let  $T$  be  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  and  $S$  its trivial subgroup. This describes the case of Weyl groups of type  $B/C$  and  $D$ . An IUR of  $W_{B_n/C_n} = \mathfrak{S}_n(\mathbf{Z}_2)$  corresponding to a pair  $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1)$ , where  $|\lambda^0| + |\lambda^1| = n$ , splits into two IURs of  $W_{D_n} = \mathfrak{S}_n(\mathbf{Z}_2)^{\{e\}}$  if and only if  $\lambda^0$  coincides with  $\lambda^1$ . Moreover,  $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1)$  and  $(\lambda^1, \lambda^0)$  correspond to equivalent IURs of  $W_{D_n}$  if  $\lambda^0 \neq \lambda^1$ . In Figure 1, an IUR of  $W_{B_n/C_n}$  which splits into two IURs of  $W_{D_n}$  is specified by using boldface for its dimension. Applying the general theory in Section 2 and Section 3 to  $\mathbf{Y}(T)^S$ , we have a similar result to Theorem 4.3, namely, any character of  $G^S$  is obtained as a limit of normalized irreducible characters of  $G_n^S$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  along some path on the branching graph  $\mathbf{Y}(T)^S$ . This fact was proved in [8, Theorem 8.6] while we see here its probabilistic aspect.

## References

- [1] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, Harmonic functions on multiplicative graphs and interpolation polynomials, *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, **7** (2000), #R28.
- [2] R. Boyer, Character theory of infinite wreath products, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2005** (2005), 1365–1379.
- [3] R. Durrett, *Probability: Theory and Examples*, Duxbury Press, Belmont, California, 1991.
- [4] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1970.
- [5] T. Hirai and E. Hirai, Character formula for wreath products of compact groups with the infinite symmetric group, *Proceedings of 25th QP Conference, Quantum Probability and*

- Related Topics in Bedlewo, Banach Center Publications, **73**, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 2006, pp. 207–221.
- [6] T. Hirai and E. Hirai, Characters of wreath products of compact groups with the infinite symmetric group and characters of their canonical subgroups, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **47** (2007), 269–320.
  - [7] T. Hirai, E. Hirai and A. Hora, Realizations of factor representations of finite type with emphasis on their characters for wreath products of compact groups with the infinite symmetric group, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **46** (2006), 75–106.
  - [8] T. Hirai, E. Hirai and A. Hora, Limits of characters of wreath products  $\mathfrak{S}_n(T)$  of a compact group  $T$  with the symmetric groups and characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(T)$ , I, to appear in *Nagoya Math. J.*
  - [9] A. Hora and N. Obata, *Quantum Probability and Spectral Analysis of Graphs*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer, 2007.
  - [10] V. Ivanov and G. Olshanski, Kerov’s central limit theorem for the Plancherel measure on Young diagrams, (ed. S. Fomin), *Symmetric functions 2001*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002, pp. 93–151.
  - [11] G. James and A. Kerber, The representation theory of the symmetric group, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, **16**, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1981.
  - [12] S. Kerov, The boundary of Young lattice and random Young tableaux, *DIMACS Series in Discrete Math. Theoret., Computer Science*, **24** (1996), 133–158.
  - [13] S. Kerov, *Asymptotic representation theory of the symmetric group and its applications in analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
  - [14] S. Kerov, A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, The boundary of the Young graph with Jack edge multiplicities, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **1998** (1998), 173–199.
  - [15] F. D. Murnaghan, *The theory of group representations*, Dover Publications, Mineola, N.Y., 1963.
  - [16] P. Śniady, Gaussian fluctuations of representations of wreath products, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, **9** (2006), 529–546.
  - [17] A. Vershik and S. Kerov, Asymptotic theory of characters of the symmetric group, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **15** (1981), 246–255.
  - [18] Y. Yamasaki, *Measures on infinite-dimensional spaces*, vol.1 and vol.2, Kinokuniya-shoten, Tokyo, 1978.

Akihito HORA  
 Graduate School of Mathematics  
 Nagoya University  
 Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

Takeshi HIRAI  
 22-8 Nakazaichi-Cho  
 Iwakura, Sakyo-Ku  
 Kyoto 606-0027, Japan

Etsuko HIRAI  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 Kyoto Sangyo University  
 Kita-Ku  
 Kyoto 603-8555, Japan