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#### Abstract

In this paper we study certain kinds of links; proper links, algebraically split links and $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-algebraically split links. These links have 'algebraic' definitions. In fact these are defined in terms of the linking number. We shall give these links certain 'geometric' definitions. By using the geometric definitions, we study the Arf invariants of these links.


## Introduction.

Throughout this paper, we work in the smooth category. All orientable manifolds will be assumed to be oriented unless otherwise stated. In particular all links are oriented. For an oriented manifold $M,-M$ denotes $M$ with the opposite orientation. For a surface $F$ in a 4-manifold $M,[F]$ denotes a second homology class represented by $F$.

A link $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ is proper if the linking number $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, L-K_{i}\right)$ is even for any $i(=1,2, \ldots, n)$. A link $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ is algebraically split (resp. $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ algebraically split) if $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)=0$ (resp. $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)$ is even) for any $i, j(1 \leq i<j \leq n)$.

In section 1, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for links to be proper by using 2 -spheres in 4 -manifolds representing characteristic second homology class. Here, for a compact, connected, orientable 4-manifold $M$ whose boundary is either empty or a disjoint union of 3 -spheres, a homology class $\xi \in H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is characteristic if its $\bmod 2$ reduction $\xi^{\prime}$ is dual to the second Stiefel-Whitney class. An equivalent condition is that the $\bmod 2$ intersection number $\xi^{\prime} \cdot x$ is equal to the $\bmod 2$ self intersection number $x \cdot x$ for every $x \in H_{2}\left(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$. In [18], R. A. Robertello defined the Arf invariants for proper links. We give an alternative definition of the Arf invariants for proper links by using planar surfaces in 4-manifolds representing characteristic homology classes. Our definition is similar to but different from Robertello's definition.

Let $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ be compact (not necessarily orientable) surfaces in $S^{3}$ with $\partial F_{i} \cong S^{1}$. The union $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ is an $R$-complex if the following conditions hold [5];
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Figure 0.
(1) $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are in general position,
(2) $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ has no triple singularities, and
(3) the set of singularities of $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ are all ribbon, see Figure 0.

An R-complex $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ is orientable if $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are orientable.
In section 2 , we show that a link is algebraically split if and only if it bounds an orientable R-complex. For an orientable R-complex $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$, we define a certain quadratic function on each $H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$, and denote by $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ the $\operatorname{Arf}$ invariant [1] of this quadratic function. From our definition, we note that $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is an invariant of $R$ but not an invariant of a knot $\partial F_{i}$. However, we find that the Arf invariant of a link $L=\partial F_{1} \cup \partial F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \partial F_{n}$ is $\bmod 2$ congruent to the sum of $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)(\bmod 2)$ is an invariant of $L$.

For a proper link $L$, suppose that a sublink $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ is proper and that $L^{\prime}$ bounds an orientable surface $F$ in $S^{3}$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=L^{\prime}$, then we can also define $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ to be the Arf invariant of a certain proper quadratic function on $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$. We show that $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ is an invariant of $L$. In general, $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ is not equal to the Arf invariant of $L^{\prime}(=\partial F)$. When $L$ is a 2-component, algebraically split link, each component $K$ of $L$ bounds an orientable surface $F$ in $S^{3}$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=K$ and the difference between $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ and the Arf invariant of $K$ is $\bmod 2$ congruent to the SatoLevine invariant [20] of $L$. When $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup K_{3}$ is a 3-component, algebraically split link, each component $K_{i}$ of $L$ bounds an orientable surface $F_{i}$ in $S^{3}$ with $F_{i} \cap L=$ $\partial F_{i}=K_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and each 2-component sublink $K_{i} \cup K_{j}$ of $L$ bounds an orientable surface $F_{i j}$ in $S^{3}$ with $F_{i j} \cap L=\partial F_{i j}=K_{i} \cup K_{j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 3)$. Then we have that both $\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, L\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}, L\right)\right)$ and $\sum_{i<j}\left(\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i j}, L\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j}\right)\right)$ are mod 2 congruent to the Sato-Levine invariant of $L$ defined by T. Cochran 4].

In section 3, we show that a link is $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-algebraically split if and only if it bounds an unoriented R-complex. For an unoriented R-complex $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$, we define a certain $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function on each $H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ and denote by $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ the Brown invariant [3] of this quadratic function. The Arf invariant of a link $L=\partial F_{1} \cup$ $\partial F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \partial F_{n}$ is represented by $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$, the linking numbers $\operatorname{lk}\left(\partial F_{i}, \widehat{\partial F_{i}}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots$,
$n)$ and the total linking number $\sum_{i<j} \mathrm{lk}\left(\partial F_{i}, \partial F_{j}\right)$ of $L$, where $\widehat{\partial F}_{i}$ is a parallel copy of $\partial F_{i}$ on $F_{i}$ oriented in the same direction as $K_{i}$.

For a proper link $L$, suppose that a sublink $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ bounds an unoriented surface $F$ in $S^{3}$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=L^{\prime}$, then we can also define $\mathrm{B}(F, L)$ to be the Brown invariant [10] of a certain proper $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function on $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$. We find that the difference between $\mathrm{B}(F, L)$ and $\operatorname{lk}\left(L^{\prime}, \hat{L}^{\prime}\right) / 2$ is an invariant of $L$. When $L$ is a 2-component link, each component $K$ bounds an unoriented surface $F$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=K$ and the unoriented Sato-Levine invariant [19] of $L$ is represented by $\mathrm{B}(F, L), \operatorname{lk}(K, \hat{K})$, the linking number of $L$ and the Arf invariant of $K(=\partial F)$.

In the last section, we study connections between the Arf invariant of proper links and certain local moves on links, and show some useful results to computing the Arf invariants of links.

## 1. Proper links.

The following theorem gives us a geometric characterization of a proper link.
Theorem 1.1. The following conditions are mutually equivalent.
(1) $L$ is a proper link.
(2) There exist a closed, simply connected 4-manifold $M$, a 2-sphere $\Sigma^{2}$ in $M$ and $a$ 3-sphere $\Sigma^{3}$ in $M$ such that $\Sigma^{2}$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $\left(\Sigma^{3}, \Sigma^{2} \cap \Sigma^{3}\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$.
(3) There exist a compact, simply connected 4 -manifold $M$ with $\partial M \cong S^{3}$, and a disjoint union $\Delta$ of 2 -disks in $M$ such that $\Delta$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ and $(\partial M, \partial \Delta) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$.

Proof. In the theorem above ' $(3) \Rightarrow(2)^{\prime}$ ' is clear, and ' $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ ' follows from $[\mathbf{1 8}$, proof of Theorem 2]. We shall prove ' $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ '.

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be the closures of the components $M-\Sigma^{3}$. Suppose $\left(\partial M_{1}\right.$, $\left.\partial\left(\Sigma^{2} \cap M_{1}\right)\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$. Then we note that $\left(\partial M_{2}, \partial\left(\Sigma^{2} \cap M_{2}\right)\right)=\left(-S^{3},-L\right)$. For a component $K$ of $L$, let $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ be the closures of the components of $\Sigma^{2}-K$. Let $F_{i}=D \cap M_{i}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \cap M_{i}(i=1,2)$. We may assume that $F_{1}$ contains $K$. Then $F_{2}^{\prime}$ contains $K$. Since $D=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ and $D^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime} \cup F_{2}^{\prime}, \partial F_{1}-K=\partial F_{2}$ and $\partial F_{1}^{\prime}=\partial F_{2}^{\prime}-K$. Set $\left(S^{3}, \partial F_{1}-K\right)=\left(S^{3}, L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S^{3}, \partial F_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$. Note that since $\partial M_{i} \cong S^{3}$, by using the isomorphism $H_{2}\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \cong H_{2}\left(M_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, we have a well-defined intersection pairing on $H_{2}\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$. Then we see $\operatorname{lk}\left(K \cup L_{1}, L_{2}\right)=-\left[F_{1}\right] \cdot\left[F_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\operatorname{lk}\left(K \cup L_{2}, L_{1}\right)=\left[F_{2}^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F_{2}\right]$ since $F_{1} \cap F_{1}^{\prime}=F_{2} \cap F_{2}^{\prime}=\varnothing$. Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{lk}(K, L-K)=\operatorname{lk}\left(K, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)=-\left[F_{1}\right] \cdot\left[F_{1}^{\prime}\right]+\left[F_{2}^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F_{2}\right]-2 \operatorname{k}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) .
$$

The fact that $\left[\Sigma^{2}\right]$ is characteristic implies $\left[F_{i}\right]+\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right](i=1,2)$ are characteristic in $H_{2}\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$. Thus we have

$$
\left(\left[F_{i}\right]+\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right) \cdot\left[F_{i}\right]=\left[F_{i}\right] \cdot\left[F_{i}\right]+\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F_{i}\right] \equiv\left[F_{i}\right] \cdot\left[F_{i}\right](\bmod 2) .
$$

Hence we have $\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F_{i}\right] \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. This completes the proof.
For any proper link $L$, by Theorem 1.1, there exist a simply connected 4-manifold $M$ with $\partial M \cong S^{3}$, and a planar surface $F$ in $M$ such that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$ and $[F]$ is a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Thus, the following proposition gives us an alternate definition of Arf invariants for proper links (cf. [18]).

Proposition 1.2. Let $M$ be a compact, simply connected 4-manifold with $\partial M \cong S^{3}$ and $L$ a proper link in $\partial M$. If $L$ bounds a planar surface $F$ in $M$ that represents characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$, then

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \frac{[F] \cdot[F]-\sigma(M)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

Proof. Since $L$ is a proper link, by Theorem 1.1, there exist a compact, simply connected 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ with $\partial M^{\prime} \cong S^{3}$, and a disjoint union $\Delta$ of 2-disks in $M^{\prime}$ such that $\left(\partial M^{\prime}, \partial \Delta\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$ and $[\Delta]$ is a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ with $[\Delta] \cdot[\Delta]=l$. Set $M^{\prime \prime}=M \cup_{f}\left(-M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Sigma=F \cup_{f}(-\Delta)$, where $f$ is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism from $\left(-\partial M^{\prime},-\partial \Delta\right)$ to $(\partial M, \partial F)$. Since $\Sigma$ is a 2 -sphere and $\Sigma$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, by $[\mathbf{9}$, Theorem 1], $[\Sigma] \cdot[\Sigma] \equiv$ $\sigma\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)(\bmod 16)$. Hence we have

$$
[F] \cdot[F]-l \equiv \sigma(M)-\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 16) .
$$

From [18, proof of Theorem 2], we have

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \frac{l-\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \frac{l-\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)}{8} \equiv \frac{[F] \cdot[F]-\sigma(M)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

From Proposition 1.2, we have the following well known result $\mathbf{1 8}$.

Corollary 1.3 ([18]). Let $L$ be a proper link in the boundary of a 4-ball. If $L$ bounds a planar surface in the 4-ball, then $\operatorname{Arf}(L)=0$.

Combining Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, we have the following two propositions.

Proposition 1.4. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be proper links (possibly the numbers of the components of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are not same). Let $M$ be a compact, simply connected 4 -manifold with $\partial M \cong\left(-S^{3}\right) \cup S^{3}$. If there is a planar surface $F$ in $M$ such that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong$ $\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $F$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$, then

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{2}\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{1}\right) \equiv \frac{[F] \cdot[F]-\sigma(M)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

Proof. Since $L_{1}$ is a proper link, by Theorem 1.1, there exist a compact, simply connected 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ with $\partial M^{\prime} \cong S^{3}$, and a disjoint union $\Delta$ of 2-disks in $M^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ and $\left(\partial M^{\prime}, \partial \Delta\right) \cong$ $\left(S^{3}, L_{1}\right)$. Set $M^{\prime \prime}=M \cup_{f} M^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}=F \cup_{f} \Delta$, where $f$ is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism from $\left(\partial M^{\prime}, \partial \Delta\right)$ to $\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right)$. By Proposition 1.2,

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{2}\right) \equiv \frac{\left[F^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F^{\prime}\right]-\sigma\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{1}\right) \equiv \frac{[\Delta] \cdot[\Delta]-\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

Since $\sigma\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)=\sigma(M)+\sigma\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left[F^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[F^{\prime}\right]=[F] \cdot[F]+[\Delta] \cdot[\Delta]$, we obtain the desired formula.

The following proposition extends a result given by E. Ogasa [17] and S. Satoh [21] in the case when $M$ is a 4 -sphere.

Proposition 1.5. Let $M$ be a closed, simply connected 4-manifold, $\Sigma^{2}$ a 2 -sphere in $M$ and $\Sigma^{3}$ a 3 -sphere in $M$ such that $\Sigma^{2}$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}(M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be the closures of the components of $M-\Sigma^{3}$, and $F_{i}=\Sigma^{2} \cap$ $M_{i}(i=1,2)$. If $\left(\Sigma^{3}, \Sigma^{2} \cap \Sigma^{3}\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$, then $L$ is a proper link and

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \frac{\left[F_{i}\right] \cdot\left[F_{i}\right]-\sigma\left(M_{i}\right)}{8}(\bmod 2) \quad(i=1,2)
$$

Furthermore, if $M$ is prime, then $\operatorname{Arf}(L)=0$.

## 2. Algebraically split links.

The following proposition gives us a geometric characterization of an algebraically split link.

Proposition 2.1. The following conditions are mutually equivalent.
(1) $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ is an algebraically split link.
(2) There is an orientable R-complex $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ such that $\partial F_{i}=K_{i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$.
(3) There is a disjoint union $\Lambda$ of once punctured, orientable surfaces in a 4-ball $B^{4}$ such that $\left(\partial B^{4}, \partial \Lambda\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$.

Proof. Since ' $(3) \Rightarrow(1)^{\prime}$ ' is clear, we shall prove ' $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ ' and ' $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ '.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be an algebraically split link. Since $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{1}, K_{i}\right)=0(i=2,3, \ldots, n)$, there is an orientable surface $F_{1}$ of $K_{1}$ without intersecting to $L-K_{1}$. By deforming $F_{1}$ into a small neighborhood of a spine of $F_{1}$, we can choose an orientable surface $F_{2}$ of $K_{2}$ so that $F_{2} \cap\left(L-K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ and that $F_{1} \cap F_{2}$ has only ribbon singularities. Repeating the process above, we have a desired orientable R-complex.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ be an orientable R-complex in the boundary of a 4-ball $B^{4}$, and $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{m}$ the ribbon singularities of $R$. For each $s_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots$, $m$ ), we may assume that a small neighborhood of $s_{j}$ in $R$ is a union of 2-disks $D_{j 1}$ and $D_{j 2}$ such that $D_{j 1} \cap D_{j 2}=s_{j}$ and $D_{j 1} \subset \operatorname{int}\left(F_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{int}\left(F_{n}\right)$. By pushing each $D_{j 1}$ into $B^{4}$, we obtain from $R$ a desired orientable surface in $B^{4}$.

Let $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ be an orientable R-complex. For each $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots$, $n$ ), we can define a quadratic function $q: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ as follows. Let $L=K_{1} \cup$ $K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be a link such that $K_{i}=\partial F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Suppose $\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ is represented by a simple closed curve $a$ in $F_{i}$ without intersecting the singularities contained in $\operatorname{int}\left(F_{i}\right)$. Define $q(\alpha) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ by

$$
q(\alpha) \equiv \operatorname{lk}\left(a, a^{*}\right)+\operatorname{lk}\left(a, L-K_{i}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

where $a^{*}$ denotes the result of pushing $a$ a very small amount into $S^{3}-F_{i}$ along the positive normal direction to $F_{i}$. This gives a well-defined function $q: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ that is a quadratic function with respect to the intersection pairing $\cdot: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes$ $H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$. Choose a symplectic basis $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$ of $H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ satisfying $\alpha_{k} \cdot \alpha_{l}=\beta_{k} \cdot \beta_{l}=0$ and $\alpha_{k} \cdot \beta_{l}=\delta_{k l}$ (Kronecker's delta). We define the Arf invariant $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ of $F_{i}$ to be $\sum_{k=1}^{g} q\left(\alpha_{k}\right) q\left(\beta_{k}\right)(\bmod 2)$. Note that $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is an
invariant of $R$ in $S^{3}$. Though $\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is not an invariant of a knot $K_{i}=\partial F_{i}$, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2. Let $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ be an orientable $R$-complex and $L=$ $\partial F_{1} \cup \partial F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \partial F_{n}$ a link. Then the following formula holds.

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)(\bmod 2)$ is an invariant of $L$.
Let $L$ be a proper link and $L^{\prime}$ a sublink of $L$. Suppose that there is an orientable, possibly disconnected surface $F$ such that $\partial F=L^{\prime}$ and $F \cap\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$. (Note that $L-L^{\prime}$ is also a proper link.) For this surface $F$, if $L^{\prime}$ is a proper link, then we can define a quadratic function $q: H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ as follows. Suppose $\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ is represented by a simple closed curve $a$ in $F$. Define $q(\alpha) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ by

$$
q(\alpha) \equiv \operatorname{lk}\left(a, a^{*}\right)+\operatorname{lk}\left(a, L-L^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

Let $V=\left\{\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \mid \alpha \cdot x=0\right.$ for any $\left.x \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)\right\}$. Then by Claim 3.4, $q$ vanishes on $V$. In this case, and $q$ induce well-defined nonsingular bilinear and quadratic forms on $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) / V$. Choose a symplectic basis $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$ of $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) / V$. We define the Arf invariant $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ of $F$ to be $\sum_{k=1}^{g} q\left(\alpha_{k}\right) q\left(\beta_{k}\right)$ $(\bmod 2)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $L$ be a proper link and $L^{\prime}$ a sublink of $L$. Suppose that $L^{\prime}$ is proper and it bounds an orientable, possibly disconnected surface $F$ with $F \cap\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varnothing$. Then we have $\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \operatorname{Arf}\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)(\bmod 2)$. Hence $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ is an invariant of $L$.

Note that if $L$ is an algebraically split link, then any sublink $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ is proper and $L^{\prime}$ bounds an orientable surface $F$ with $F \cap\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$.

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 will be proved in the last section. Theorem 2.3 implies the following.

Corollary 2.4. Let $L$ be a proper link and $K$ a component of $L$. Suppose that $\operatorname{lk}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=0$ for any component $K^{\prime}(\neq K)$. Then for any orientable surface $F$ with $F \cap$ $L=\partial F=K, \operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \operatorname{Arf}(L-K)+\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)(\bmod 2)$.

Remark 2.5. For a non-proper link $L$, if there is a component $K$ of $L$ with $\mathrm{lk}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=0$ for any $K^{\prime}(\neq K)$, then there is an orientable surface $F$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=$


Figure 1.
$K$ and then we can define $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$. Though $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$ is an invariant of $F \cup(L-K)$ in $S^{3}$, it is not always an invariant of $L$. For example, the links as in Figure 1, (a) and (b) are ambient isotopic but $\operatorname{Arf}(F, L) \neq \operatorname{Arf}\left(F^{\prime}, L\right)$. Thus in Corollary 2.4 (Theorem 2.3), the condition that $L$ is proper is essential.
R. S. Beiss [2] has shown that the Sato-Levine invariant $[\mathbf{2 0 ]} \beta(L) \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ of a 2 component algebraically split link $L=K \cup K^{\prime}$ is $\bmod 2$ congruent to the sum of $\operatorname{Arf}(L)$, $\operatorname{Arf}(K)$ and $\operatorname{Arf}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Combining this and Corollary 2.4, we have

Proposition 2.6. Let $L=K \cup K^{\prime}$ be a 2-component algebraically split link. For any orientable surface $F$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=K, \operatorname{Arf}(F, L)-\operatorname{Arf}(K) \equiv \beta(L)(\bmod 2)$.
T. D. Cochran [4] defined the Sato-Levine invariant $\beta(L) \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ for a 3-component, algebraically split link $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup K_{3}$ and showed that $a_{4}(L)=(\beta(L))^{2}=\left(\bar{\mu}_{L}(123)\right)^{2}$, where $a_{4}(L)$ is the fourth coefficient of the Conway polynomial of $L$ and $\bar{\mu}_{L}(123)$ is Milnor's $\bar{\mu}$-invariant [1] of $L$. H. Murakami [13] and J. Hoste [8] showed that the sum $\operatorname{Arf}(L)+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\operatorname{Arf}\left(L-K_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}\right)\right) \quad$ is $\bmod 2$ congruent to $a_{4}(L)$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{3}$ $\left(\operatorname{Arf}(L)+\operatorname{Arf}\left(L-K_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}\right)\right)$ is mod 2 congruent to $\beta(L)\left(=\bar{\mu}_{L}(123)\right)$. Combining this and Theorem 2.3, we get

Proposition 2.7. Let $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup K_{3}$ be a 3-component, algebraically split link. For any orientable surfaces $F_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ with $F_{i} \cap L=\partial F_{i}=K_{i}$, and for any orientable surfaces $F_{i j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 3)$ with $F_{i j} \cap L=\partial F_{i j}=K_{i} \cup K_{j}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, L\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}\right)\right) & \equiv \sum_{i<j}\left(\operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i j}, L\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \equiv \beta(L) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L}(123)(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. $Z_{2}$-algebraically split links.

By the arguments similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have the following proposition. This proposition gives us a geometric definition of a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2^{-}}$ algebraically split link.

Proposition 3.1. The following conditions are mutually equivalent.
(1) $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-algebraically split link.
(2) There is an unoriented, possibly non-orientable $R$-complex $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ such that $\partial F_{i}=K_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$.
(3) There is a disjoint union $\Lambda$ of once punctured, unoriented, possibly non-orientable surfaces in a 4-ball $B^{4}$ such that $\left(\partial B^{4}, \partial \Lambda\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L\right)$.

Let $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ be an unoriented, possibly non-orientable R-complex. For each $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we can define a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function $\varphi: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ as follows. Let $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be a link such that $K_{i}=\partial F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Suppose $\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ is represented by a simple closed curve $a$ in $F_{i}$ without intersecting the singularities contained in $\operatorname{int}\left(F_{i}\right)$. Define $\varphi(\alpha) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ by

$$
\varphi(\alpha) \equiv \operatorname{lk}(a, \tau a)+2 \operatorname{lk}\left(a, L-K_{i}\right)(\bmod 4),
$$

where $\tau a$ denotes the result of pushing $2 a$ a very small amount into $S^{3}-F_{i}$. This gives a well-defined function $\varphi: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ that is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function with respect to the intersection pairing $\cdot: H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$. That is, $\varphi(x+y) \equiv \varphi(x)+$ $\varphi(y)+2(x \cdot y)(\bmod 4)$ for all $x, y \in H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$. We define the Brown invariant $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ $\in \boldsymbol{Z}_{8}$ to be the Brown invariant [3] of the $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function $\varphi$. That is, $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is defined by

$$
\sqrt{2}^{\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~B}\left(F_{i}, R\right) / 4\right)=\sum_{x \in H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)} \sqrt{-1}^{\varphi(x)}
$$

Let $\hat{K}_{i}$ be a parallel copy of $K_{i}$ on $F_{i}$ oriented in the same direction as $K_{i}$, and set

$$
\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right) \equiv \mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lk}\left(K_{i}, \hat{K}_{i}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

Since $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is an invariant, $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is also an invariant of $R$ in $S^{3}$. Though $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is not an invariant of a knot $K_{i}=\partial F_{i}$, we have

Theorem 3.2. Let $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ be an unoriented, possibly non-orientable $R$-complex and $L=\partial F_{1} \cup \partial F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \partial F_{n}$ a link. Then the following formula holds.

$$
4 \operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)-\sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(\partial F_{i}, \partial F_{j}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is an invariant of $L$.
Remark 3.3. For an R-complex $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$, if $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are mutually disjoint, then $\varphi(\alpha) \equiv 1 \mathrm{k}(a, \tau a)(\bmod 4)$ for any $\alpha$. This implies that $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$ is the same as an invariant of a knot $\partial F_{i}$, defined by P. Gilmer [6], [7]. Hence we have $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial F_{i}\right)$ 6].

Let $L$ be a proper link and $L^{\prime}$ a sublink of $L$. Suppose that $L^{\prime}$ is proper and it bounds an unoriented, possibly disconnected surface $F$ with $F \cap\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$. (Note that $L-L^{\prime}$ is also a proper link.) For this surface $F$, we can define a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function $\varphi: H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ as follows. Suppose $\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ is represented by a simple closed curve $a$ in $F$. Define $\varphi(\alpha) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ by

$$
\varphi(\alpha) \equiv \operatorname{lk}(a, \tau a)+2 \operatorname{lk}\left(a, L-L^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 4) .
$$

Claim 3.4. Let $V=\left\{\alpha \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \mid \alpha \cdot x=0\right.$ for any $\left.x \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)\right\}$. The $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}{ }^{-}$ quadratic function $\varphi: H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ above vanishes on $V$.

We call a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function on $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ proper [6] (or informative [10]) if it vanishes on $V$.

Proof. Set $\partial F=L^{\prime}=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{m}$ and let $\alpha_{i} \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ be 1-cycle that represented by $K_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$. Let $\alpha \in V$ and $a$ a simple closed curve in $F$ representing $\alpha$. Since $\alpha \cdot x=0$ for any $x \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$, we may assume that $a$ separates $F$. This implies that $V$ is generated by $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0 \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ for any $i(=1,2, \ldots, m)$. By the assumption that $L^{\prime}$ is proper and the fact that $K_{i}$ and $L^{\prime}-K_{i}$ cobound $F$, we have $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, \tau K_{i}\right)=2 \operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, \hat{K}_{i}\right) \equiv 2 \operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, L^{\prime}-K_{i}\right) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, for any $K_{i}$. Thus we have

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \equiv 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, \tau K_{i}\right)+2 \operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, L-L^{\prime}\right) \equiv 2 \operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, L-K_{i}\right)(\bmod 4) .
$$

Since $L$ is a proper link, we have $2 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, L-K_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
We define the Brown invariant $B(F) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{8}$ to be the Brown invariant of the proper $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function $\varphi$. That is, $B(F)$ is defined by

$$
\sqrt{2}^{\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)+\operatorname{dim} V} \exp (\pi \sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~B}(F, L) / 4)=\sum_{x \in H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)} \sqrt{-1}^{\varphi(x)} .
$$

This formula is due to E. H. Brown [3] in the case that $V=\{0\}$. Its extension to proper forms is due to V. M. Kharlamov and O. Ya. Viro [10]. Let $\hat{L}^{\prime}$ be a parallel copy of $L^{\prime}$ on $F$ oriented in the same direction as $L^{\prime}$, and set

$$
\mathrm{A}(F, L) \equiv \mathrm{B}(F, L)-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lk}\left(L^{\prime}, \hat{L}^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $L$ be a proper link and $L^{\prime}$ a sublink of $L$. Suppose that $L^{\prime}$ is proper and it bounds an unoriented, possibly disconnected surface $F$ with $F \cap\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varnothing$. Then we have

$$
4 \operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv 4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{A}(F, L)-1 \mathrm{k}\left(L^{\prime}, L-L^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

Hence $\mathrm{A}(F, L)$ is an invariant of $L$.
Remark 3.6. Let $L, L^{\prime}$ and $F$ be as in the theorem above.
(1) Since $1 \mathrm{k}\left(L^{\prime}, L-L^{\prime}\right)(=1 \mathrm{k}(\partial F, L-\partial F))$ is even, by Theorem 3.5, $\mathrm{A}(F, L) / 2$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-valued link invariant. Let $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{-1}$, and $L_{2}$ be the 2-component trivial link, $(2,4)$-torus link, its mirror image, and the Whitehead link, respectively. Let $F_{i}$ be an unoriented surface bounding one component of $L_{i}$ without intersecting the other component $(i=0, \pm 1,2)$. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, L\right) \equiv i(\bmod 4)$. This implies $\mathrm{A}(*) / 2$ can take any value in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$.
(2) If $L-L^{\prime}$ and $F$ are separated by a 2 -sphere, then by $\varphi(\alpha) \equiv 1 \mathrm{k}(a, \tau a)(\bmod 4)$ for any $\alpha$. This implies that $\mathrm{A}(F, L)$ is the same as an invariant of a link $\partial F=L^{\prime}$, defined by P. Gilmer [6], [7]. Hence we have $\mathrm{A}(F, L)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ [6].

We shall prove Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 in the last section. Theorem 3.5 implies the following.

Corollary 3.7. Let $L$ be a proper link and $K$ a component of $L$. Suppose that $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$ is even for any component $K^{\prime}(\neq K)$. Then for any unoriented surface $F$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=K$,

$$
4 \operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv 4 \operatorname{Arf}(L-K)+\mathrm{A}(F, L)-1 \mathrm{k}(K, L-K)(\bmod 8)
$$

In [19], M. Saito defined the unoriented Sato-Levine invariant $\beta^{*}(L) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ for a 2-component proper link $L$. Note that when $L$ is a 2 -component link, $L$ is proper if and only if $L$ is $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-algebraically split. By using Corollary 3.7, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. Let $L=K \cup K^{\prime}$ be a 2 -component proper link. For any unoriented surface $F$ with $F \cap L=\partial F=K, \mathrm{~A}(F, L)-4 \operatorname{Arf}(K)-21 \mathrm{k}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right) \equiv 2 \beta^{*}(L)(\bmod 8)$.
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Since $\beta^{*}(L)$ is in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$, by the proposition above, we can identify $\mathrm{A}(F, L) / 2-$ $2 \operatorname{Arf}(K)-\operatorname{lk}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$ with $\beta^{*}(L)$.

Proof. It follows from [19, Theorem 4.1] that $2 \beta^{*}(L) \equiv 4 a_{3}(L)-\operatorname{lk}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$ $(\bmod 8)$, where $a_{3}(L)$ is the third coefficient of the Conway polynomial of $L . K$. Murasugi [16], H. Murakami [13] and J. Hoste [8] have shown that the sum of $\operatorname{Arf}(L)$, $\operatorname{Arf}(K)$ and $\operatorname{Arf}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ is mod 2 congruent to $a_{3}(L)$. Combining these and Corollary 3.7, we get

$$
4 a_{3}(L) \equiv 4 \operatorname{Arf}(L)+4 \operatorname{Arf}(K)+4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \equiv \mathrm{A}(F, L)-4 \operatorname{Arf}(K)-1 \mathrm{k}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 3.9. If $F$ is an orientable surface, then $\mathrm{A}(F, L)=\mathrm{B}(F, L)$ and then by [3, Theorem 1.20, (vii)], $\mathrm{B}(F, L)=4 \operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$. By [19, Remark 2.3], $2 \beta(L) \equiv \beta^{*}(L)$ $(\bmod 4)$. It follows from $\operatorname{Proposition~} 3.8$ that $4 \operatorname{Arf}(F, L)-4 \operatorname{Arf}(K) \equiv 4 \beta(L)(\bmod 8)$. This gives us an alternate proof of Proposition 2.6.

## 4. Local moves.

Let $L$ be a link in $S^{3}$, and $D^{2}$ a disk intersecting $L$ in its interior. Let $l=$ $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(\partial D^{2}, L\right)\right|$, and $\varepsilon=1$ or $=-1$. An $\varepsilon$-Dehn surgery along $\partial D^{2}$ changes $L$ into a new link $L^{\prime}$ in $S^{3}$ (Figure 2). We say that $L^{\prime}$ is obtain from $L$ by $(\varepsilon, l)$-twisting.

Proposition 4.1. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be links such that $L_{2}$ is obtained from $L_{1}$ by a single $(\varepsilon, l)$-twisting. If $L_{1}$ is a proper link and $l$ is odd, then $L_{2}$ is proper and

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{2}\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{1}\right) \equiv \frac{l^{2}-1}{8}(\bmod 2)
$$

A local move on a link diagram as shown in Figure 3 is called a $\sharp(l, m)$-move. If both $l$ and $m$ are multiples of a prime $p$, then a $\sharp(l, m)$-move is called a $\not \sharp^{p}$-move [12]. A
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$\sharp^{p}$-move is a generalized $\sharp$-move, where the $\sharp$-move is a local move, defined by H . Murakami [14], as in Figure 4.

Proposition 4.2. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be links such that $L_{2}$ is obtained from $L_{1}$ by a single $\sharp(l, m)$-move. If $L_{1}$ is a proper link and $l, m$ are even, then $L_{2}$ is proper and

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{2}\right)-\operatorname{Arf}\left(L_{1}\right) \equiv \frac{l m}{4}(\bmod 2)
$$

If a link $L$ is proper, then by $[\mathbf{1 5}$, Theorem A.2], $L$ is deformed into a trivial link by $\sharp$-moves. Since the $\sharp$-move is an example of $\sharp(2,2)$-move and Arf invariant of a trivial link is equal to (Corollary 1.3), Proposition 4.2 gives the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3 ([14, Theorem 3.5]). Let $L$ be a proper link and $m$ a number of $\sharp$-moves needed to deform $L$ into a trivial link. Then $\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv m(\bmod 2)$.

To prove Proposition 4.1, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.4. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be links. Let $M$ be a twice punctured $\varepsilon C P^{2}$. If $L_{2}$ is obtained from $L_{1}$ by a single $(\varepsilon, l)$-twisting, then there exists a disjoint union $F$ of annuli in $M$ such that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $F$ represents a homology class $l \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is a standard generator of $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ with $\gamma \cdot \gamma=\varepsilon$.
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Proof. Set $\left(M_{0}, F\right)=\left(S^{3}, L_{1}\right) \times I$. We may assume that $\left(\partial M_{0}, \partial F\right)=\left(-S^{3} \times\{0\}\right.$, $\left.-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3} \times\{1\}, L_{1}\right)$. Let $D^{2}$ be a disk in $S^{3} \times\{1\}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(\partial D^{2}, L_{1}\right)\right|=l$ and an $\varepsilon$-Dehn surgery along $\partial D^{2}$ changes $L_{1}$ into $L_{2}$. Attach 2-handle $H$ to $M_{0}$ along $\partial D^{2}$ with framing $\varepsilon$. The resulting 4-manifold $M=M_{0} \cup H$ is a twice punctured $\varepsilon C P^{2}$. It is not hard to see that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $F$ represents a homology class $l \gamma$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a disjoint union $F$ of annuli in a twice punctured $\varepsilon C P^{2}$, say $M$, such that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $[F]=l \gamma$. Note that $l \gamma$ is characteristic because $l$ is odd. If $L_{2}$ is a proper link, then the proposition follows from Proposition 1.4. We shall prove that $L_{2}$ is proper.

Since $L_{1}$ is a proper link, by Theorem 1.1, there exist a compact, simply connected 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ with $\partial M^{\prime} \cong S^{3}$, and a disjoint union $\Delta$ of 2-disks in $M^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ and $\left(\partial M^{\prime}, \partial \Delta\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L_{1}\right)$. Set $M^{\prime \prime}=M \cup_{f} M^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=F \cup_{f} \Delta$, where $f$ is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism from $\left(\partial M^{\prime}, \partial \Delta\right)$ to $\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right)$. Then we note that $\left(\partial M^{\prime \prime}, \partial \Delta^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right), \Delta^{\prime}$ is a disjoint union of 2-disks in $M^{\prime \prime}$ and [ $\Delta^{\prime}$ ] is characteristic. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $L_{2}$ is proper. We have completed the proof.

To prove Proposition 4.2, we use the following lemma.
Lemma 4.5. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be links. Let $M$ be a twice punctured $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. If $L_{2}$ is obtained from $L_{1}$ by a single $\sharp(l, m)$-move, then there exists a disjoint union $F$ of annuli in $M$ such that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $F$ represents a homology class either $l \alpha+m \beta$ or $l \alpha-m \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta$ are standard generators of $H_{2}(M, \partial M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ with $\alpha \cdot \alpha=$ $\beta \cdot \beta=0$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta=1$.

Proof. Set $\left(M_{0}, F\right)=\left(S^{3}, L_{1}\right) \times I$. We may assume that $\left(\partial M_{0}, \partial F\right)=\left(-S^{3} \times\{0\}\right.$, $\left.-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3} \times\{1\}, L_{1}\right)$. Figure 5 shows that doing 0 -surgeries along $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ have the same effect on $L_{1}$ as $\sharp(l, m)$-move. Attach 2-handles $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ to $M_{0}$ with framing 0 along $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ respectively. The resulting 4-manifold $M=M_{0} \cup H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ is a twice
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punctured $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. It is not hard to see that $(\partial M, \partial F) \cong\left(-S^{3},-L_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{3}, L_{2}\right)$ and $F$ represents a homology class either $l \alpha+m \beta$ or $l \alpha-m \beta$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By Lemma 4.5 and the arguments similar to that in Proof of Proposition 4.1, we obtain the proposition.

A link is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-boundary link if the components bounds mutually disjoint (not necessarily orientable) surface in $S^{3}$.
T. Shibuya [22] and M. Saito [19] proved the following proposition. T. Shibuya showed that the proposition below holds in more general situation. Here we give a proof by using Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.6. ([22, Theorem], [19, Proposition 5.3]). If $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-boundary link, then the following formula holds.

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

Proof. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ be mutually disjoint surfaces with $\partial F_{i}=K_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots$, $n)$. We may assume that each $F_{i}$ is non-orientable and that each $F_{i}$ is an image of embedding of a surface as in Figure 6. (When a surface $F_{i}$ is orientable, attach a 1handle $H^{1}$ to $F_{i}$ so that $F_{i} \cup H^{1}$ is non-orientable and $H^{1} \cap\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}-F_{i}\right)=$ $\varnothing$.) By $\sharp$-move as in Figure 7, we can deform $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ into a split sum without
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changing each $F_{i}$. Let $p$ be a number of $\sharp$-moves needed in this deformations. Note that

$$
p \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)(\bmod 2) .
$$

Let $q_{i}$ be a number of $\sharp$-moves needed to deform $K_{i}$ into a trivial knot $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Hence, a number of $\sharp$-moves needed to deform $L$ into a trivial link is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}+$ p. By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$
\operatorname{Arf}(L) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}-p \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(K_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Deform R-complex $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ into a disjoint union $R^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime} \cup F_{2}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}^{\prime}$ of surfaces by $( \pm 1,1)$-twistings as in Figure 8. Set $\partial F_{i}^{\prime}=$ $K_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. It is not hard to see that neither the $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic functions on $H_{1}\left(F_{i} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ nor the value of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, \hat{K}_{i}\right) / 2+\sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)$ changes
under ( $\pm 1,1$ )-twistings. Hence we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)-\sum_{i<j} \mathrm{lk}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, K_{j}^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 8) .
$$

By Proposition 4.1, $\operatorname{Arf}(L)=\operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial R^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\partial R^{\prime}$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-boundary link, by Remark 3.3, $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial F_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, and by Proposition 4.6,

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial R^{\prime}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial F_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i<j} 1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, K_{j}^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

Thus we have the desired formula.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. By $( \pm 1,1)$-twistings as in Figure 8 , deform $F$ into $F^{\prime}$ so that $L-L^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}$ are separated by a 2 -sphere. Since $( \pm 1,1)$-twistings preserve both the $\boldsymbol{Z}_{4}$-quadratic function on $H_{1}\left(F ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ and the value of $1 \mathrm{k}\left(L^{\prime}, \hat{L}^{\prime}\right) / 2+\operatorname{lk}\left(L^{\prime}, L-L^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathrm{A}(F, L)-\mathrm{lk}\left(L^{\prime}, L-L^{\prime}\right) \equiv \mathrm{A}\left(F^{\prime},\left(L-L^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 8)
$$

By Proposition 4.1, $\operatorname{Arf}(L)=\operatorname{Arf}\left(\left(L-L^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right)$. Since $L-L^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}$ are separated, by Remark 3.6, (2), $\mathrm{A}\left(F^{\prime},\left(L-L^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial F^{\prime}\right)$, and by Proposition 4.3,

$$
\operatorname{Arf}\left(\left(L-L^{\prime}\right) \cup \partial F^{\prime}\right) \equiv p+q \equiv \operatorname{Arf}\left(L-L^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Arf}\left(\partial F^{\prime}\right)(\bmod 2)
$$

where $p$ (resp. $q$ ) is a number of $\sharp$-moves needed to deform $L-L^{\prime}$ (resp. $\partial F^{\prime}$ ) to be trivial. Hence we have the desired formula.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. If an R-complex $R=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$ is orientable, then $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, \hat{K}_{i}\right)=0$, and then by [3, Theorem 1.20, (vii)], $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{i}, R\right)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)(i=1$, $2, \ldots, n)$. Hence we have $\mathrm{A}\left(F_{i}, R\right)=4 \operatorname{Arf}\left(F_{i}, R\right)$. Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. If $F$ is orientable, then $\operatorname{lk}\left(L^{\prime}, \hat{L}^{\prime}\right)=0$, and then by [3, Theorem 1.20, (vii)], $\mathrm{B}(F, L)=4 \operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$. Hence we have $\mathrm{A}(F, L)=4 \operatorname{Arf}(F, L)$. Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 3.5.
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