Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on topological balls

By Mitsuru NAKAI

(Received May 11, 1998) (Revised Nov. 13, 1998)

Abstract. Based upon an intuition from electrostatics one might suspect that there is no topological ball in Euclidean space of dimension $d \ge 2$ which carries a nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic measure. This guess is certainly true for d = 2. However, contrary to the above intuition, it is shown in this paper that there does exist a topological ball in Euclidean space of every dimension $d \ge 3$ on which there exists a nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic measure.

The purpose of this paper is to show rather unexpectedly the existence of a topological ball in Euclidean space of every dimension greater than or equal to three on which there exists a nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic measure. In order to clarify the significance of our result we begin with explaining definitions of harmonic measures, topological balls, and related notion.

Consider a bounded domain Ω in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of dimension $d \ge 2$ and an arbitrary subset E of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of Ω . We denote by 1_E the characteristic function of the set E. The upper class $\mathscr{U}_E(\Omega)$ of E on Ω is the upper class $\mathscr{U}_{1_E}(\Omega)$, which consists of all positive superharmonic functions s on Ω such that $\liminf_{x\to y} s(x) \ge$ $1_E(y)$ for all $y \in \partial \Omega$. Then the harmonic function $x \mapsto \omega(x; E, \Omega)$ on Ω given by

(1)
$$\omega(x; E, \Omega) := \overline{H}_{1_E}^{\Omega}(x) := \inf_{s \in \mathscr{U}_E(\Omega)} s(x) \quad (x \in \Omega)$$

is referred to as the *harmonic measure* of *E* with respect to Ω (cf. e.g. [1]). It is known that one of the following three exclusive cases occurs: $\omega(\cdot; E, \Omega) \equiv 0$ on Ω ; $\omega(\cdot; E, \Omega) \equiv$ 1 on Ω ; $\omega(\cdot; E, \Omega)$ is not constant and $0 < \omega(\cdot; E, \Omega) < 1$ on Ω . We say that $\omega = \omega(\cdot; E, \Omega)$ is *Dirichlet finite (infinite*, resp.) on Ω if its Dirichlet integral $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \omega(x)|^2 dx$ is finite (infinite, resp.). We denote by

 O_{HmD}

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31B05; Secondary 31B15, 30F20.

Key Words and Phrases. Condenser, Dirichlet finite, harmonic measure, topological ball.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 07640196, 08640194, 09640180, 09640230, 10640190, Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

the class of all bounded Euclidean domains Ω such that every harmonic measure $\omega(\cdot; E, \Omega)$ is Dirichlet infinite on Ω for every $E \subset \partial \Omega$ unless $\omega(\cdot; E, \Omega)$ is constant on Ω . Electrostatically speaking, especially in the case d = 3, $\Omega \notin O_{HmD}$ means that there is a subdivision of $\partial \Omega$ into two parts E and $\partial \Omega \setminus E$ such that if the electrode $\partial \Omega \setminus E$ is grounded and the other electrode E is positively charged suitably with a finite energy, then there produces a unit potential difference between these two electrodes so that the configuration $(\Omega; E, \partial \Omega \setminus E)$ functions as an electric condenser. Thus $\Omega \in O_{HmD}$ means that, no matter how we decompose $\partial \Omega$ into two parts E and $\partial \Omega \setminus E$, the configuration $(\Omega; E, \partial \Omega \setminus E)$ does not function as an electric condenser.

We say that a bounded domain M in \mathbb{R}^d $(d \ge 2)$ is a topological ball if there is a homeomorphism h of $\overline{M} = M \cup \partial M$ onto the unit closed ball $\overline{B}^d = B^d \cup S^{d-1}$ such that $h(M) = B^d$ and $h(\partial M) = S^{d-1}$, where B^d is the unit ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < 1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d and $S^{d-1} = \partial B^d$ is the unit sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = 1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Our study has been motivated by the feeling that topological balls must belong to O_{HmD} . This feeling comes from the following electrostatical guess in the case d = 3. Consider the decomposition of the boundary ∂M of a topological ball M in \mathbb{R}^3 into two electrodes E and $\partial M \setminus E$ and let $\partial M \setminus E$ be grounded. Since E and $\partial M \setminus E$ are put together very tightly no matter how we choose $E \subset \partial M$, all charges put on the electrode E must instantly go to the earth through the electrode $\partial M \setminus E$ so that any configuration $(M; E, \partial M \setminus E)$ cannot function as an electric condenser. The first evidence backing up the above feeling is the following result obtained in [6], [7], and Herron-Koskela [3]:

THEOREM A. If the topological ball $M = B^d$ $(d \ge 2)$, then M belongs to the class O_{HmD} .

We soon realized that what is important in the proof of the above result is, in addition to that B^d is a topological ball, the smoothness of $\partial B^d = S^{d-1}$. We then obtained the following result in [11]:

THEOREM B. If a topological ball M in \mathbf{R}^d $(d \ge 2)$ has a C^2 boundary ∂M , then M belongs to the class O_{HmD} .

As a response to the criticism that the C^2 assumption in the above result is too strong, we succeeded in weakening it to the C^1 condition or rather the Lipschitz condition. Actually these are special cases of the following more general result. We say that a boundary point $y \in \partial \Omega$ of a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d $(d \ge 2)$ is graphic if one of the following two conditions is satisfied: there are a neighborhood U of y, a Cartesian coordinate $x = (x^1, \dots, x^{d-1}, x^d) = (x', x^d)$, and a continuous function $\varphi(x')$ of x' such that $(\partial \Omega) \cap U$ is represented as the graph of $x^d = \varphi(x')$ and $\Omega \cap U$ is situated on only one side of the graph; there are a neighborhood U of y, a polar coordinate (r, ξ) $(r \ge 0, \xi \in S^{d-1})$, and a continuous function $\varphi(\xi) \ge 0$ of ξ such that $(\partial \Omega) \cap U$ is represented as the graph of $r = \varphi(\xi)$ and $\Omega \cap U$ is situated on only one side of the graph. A bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is referred to as a *continuous domain* if every boundary point of Ω is graphic. Clearly C^1 -domains or more generally Lipschitz domains are special continuous domains. Then we have the following result (cf. [9], [10]):

THEOREM C. If a topological ball M in \mathbf{R}^d $(d \ge 2)$ is a continuous domain, then M belongs to the class O_{HmD} .

In view of these results we are tempted to suspect that every topological ball belongs to the class O_{HmD} . Actually this is true for all topological balls in the two dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact, by the Riemann mapping theorem there is a conformal homeomorphism h of any topological ball (i.e. Jordan domain) $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ onto the unit disc B^2 and this mapping h can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{M} = M \cup$ ∂M onto the closed unit disc $\overline{B}^2 = B^2 \cup S^1$ by the Carathéodory theorem. This with the conformal invariance of the harmonicity and that of the Dirichlet finiteness and Theorem A instantaneously implies the following result (cf. e.g. [6]).

THEOREM D. Any topological ball in \mathbf{R}^2 belongs to the class O_{HmD} .

By virtue of this result, hereafter in this paper, we may and will assume that the dimension d of the base Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d is at least three: $d \ge 3$. To continue the study in the direction of Theorems A, B, and C, it is therefore of compelling importance to determine whether or not there is a topological ball M in \mathbf{R}^d ($d \ge 3$) that does not belong to O_{HmD} . Contrary to our intuition mentioned thus far it turned out that the following rather surprising result holds, to prove which is the chief object of this paper.

2. MAIN THEOREM. For every dimension $d \ge 3$ there exists a topological ball M in \mathbf{R}^d that does not belong to the class O_{HmD} .

A harmonic function w is said to be a harmonic measure on M in the sense of Heins [2] if the greatest harmonic minorant of w and 1 - w is the constant function zero. It is easy to see that a harmonic measure $\omega(\cdot; E, M)$ of any boundary set $E \subset \partial M$ with respect to M is a harmonic measure on M in the sense of Heins. It is known (cf. e.g. [6]) that the Royden harmonic boundary $\Delta(M)$ of M is connected if and only if there are no nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on M in the sense of Heins. Thus the main theorem 2 above implies the following: for every dimension $d \ge 3$ there exists a topological ball M in \mathbb{R}^d whose Royden harmonic boundary $\Delta(M)$ is disconnected. Actually it is known (cf. [6], [7], [9], [10], [11]) that topological balls M in Theorem A, B, C, and D all have connected Royden harmonic boundaries $\Delta(M)$. To prove the above main theorem 2 we only have to exhibit an example of an $M \notin O_{HmD}$. We will construct an example of M with a bit more properties than really required, which is inspired by the so called Keldysh ball obtained in the celebrated paper [4] to show a phenomenon related to the stability of the Dirichlet problem.

3. EXAMPLE. For each dimension $d \ge 3$ there exist a topological ball M in \mathbb{R}^d and a compact subset E of the boundary ∂M of M with the following properties:

(a) every point of the boundary ∂M of M is regular with respect to the harmonic Dirichlet problem on M;

(b) the surface area $|\partial M|$ of ∂M is finite;

(c) the surface areas |E| of E and $|\partial M \setminus E|$ of $\partial M \setminus E$ are both strictly positive;

(d) the harmonic measure $\omega(\cdot; E, M)$ of E relative to M is Dirichlet finite and is not constant, i.e.

(4)
$$0 < \int_{M} |\nabla \omega(x; E, M)|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

To construct an M and an E in the above example we need two simple lemmas concerning harmonic and superharmonic functions. We fix an \mathbb{R}^d $(d \ge 3)$ and identify the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d with \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . Let $a = (a^1, \ldots, a^{d-1})$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} $(= \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\})$ and r a positive number. We call the open set

$$Q(a,r) = \{x = (x^1, \dots, x^{d-1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1} : |x^i - a^i| < r \ (1 \le i \le d-1)\}$$

in \mathbf{R}^{d-1} a flat cube in \mathbf{R}^d or simply a *cube* in \mathbf{R}^{d-1} and *a* its *center* and *r* its interior radius or simply *radius*. The number $(d-1)^{1/2}r$ may be called the exterior radius of Q(a,r). We denote by $B(a,r) = B^d(a,r)$ the open ball in \mathbf{R}^d with radius *r* centered at *a*. Then

$$B^{d-1}(a,r) \subset Q(a,r) \subset B^{d-1}(a,(d-1)^{1/2}r).$$

We denote by $\overline{Q}(a,r)$ the closure of Q(a,r). We single out the particular boundary point $b = (a^1 + r, a^2, \dots, a^{d-1})$ of Q(a,r) in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , which will be referred to as the *distinguished* boundary point of Q(a,r).

Let G be an arbitrary domain in \mathbb{R}^d containing a $\overline{Q}(a,r)$. We will seriously use the following fact: every point of $\overline{Q}(a,r)$ is a regular boundary point of the domain $G \setminus \overline{Q}(a,r)$ with respect to the Dirichlet problem on $G \setminus \overline{Q}(a,r)$. This is assured by the following criterion of regularity (cf. e.g. Kuran [5] and also [8; Appendix]): a boundary point y of a domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d is regular for the Dirichlet problem if there is a truncated flat cone (i.e. (d-1)-dimensional cone) with vertex y contained in the complement $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$ of Ω .

The hyperplanes $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : x^i = a^i\}$ $(1 \le i \le d-1)$ divide Q(a,r) into 2^{d-1} congruent small cubes $Q(a_k, r/2)$ $(1 \le k \le 2^{d-1})$. The points a_k $(1 \le k \le 2^{d-1})$ are referred to as *subcenters* of Q(a,r). Let $\{a_k : 1 \le k \le 2^{d-1}\}$ be subcenters of a cube Q = Q(a,r) and let $Q_k = Q(a_k, (r/2)\lambda)$ $(1 \le k \le 2^{d-1})$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then the family $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_{2^{d-1}}\}$ is said to be *regularly distributed* in Q with *index* $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

We fix a cube $Q_0 := Q(0,1)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{d-1} (= \mathbf{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\})$ and a ball $B_0 := B^d(0,3(d-1)^{1/2})$ in \mathbf{R}^d , which contains $\overline{Q_0}$. The first auxiliary result is the following:

5. LEMMA. For any number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ with the following property: for any cube $Q \subset Q_0$ and for any family $\{Q_i : 1 \le i \le 2^{d-1}\}$ of congruent cubes Q_i regularly distributed in Q with any index $\lambda \in [\lambda_{\varepsilon}, 1)$, any continuous positive superharmonic function s on B_0 such that $s \ge 1$ on $\bigcup_{1 \le i \le 2^{d-1}} \overline{Q_i}$ satisfies $s \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ on \overline{Q} .

PROOF. Take a ball $B_1 = B^d(0, 2(d-1)^{1/2})$. Let $w \in C(\overline{B_1}) \cap H(B_1 \setminus \overline{Q_0})$ such that $w | \partial B_1 = 0$ and $w | \overline{Q_0} = 1$ as a result of every point in $\overline{Q_0}$ being regular. Here H(G) denotes the class of all harmonic functions on an open set G in \mathbb{R}^d . We set $Q(\lambda) = Q(0, \lambda^{-1})$ for $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ so that $\overline{Q_0} \subset Q(\lambda) \subset \overline{Q(\lambda)} \subset B_1$. Since $w | \overline{Q_0} = 1$, there is a $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \in (2/3, 1)$ such that $w | \overline{Q(\lambda_{\varepsilon})} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$. Fix an arbitrary $\lambda \in [\lambda_{\varepsilon}, 1)$ so that $Q(\lambda_{\varepsilon}) \supset Q(\lambda)$ and $w | \overline{Q(\lambda)} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$. Let $r \in (0, 1]$ be the radius of Q and a_k be the center of Q_k and set $Q'_k := Q(a_k, r/2)$. We consider a function w_k on $(r/2)\lambda\overline{B_1} + a_k \subset B_0$ given by

$$w_k(x) = w((r/2)^{-1}\lambda^{-1}(x-a_k))$$

Observe that $(r/2)\lambda Q(\lambda) + a_k = Q'_k$ and $(r/2)\lambda Q_0 + a_k = Q_k$. Therefore $w_k \in C(\overline{B'}) \cap H(B' \setminus \overline{Q_k})$ such that $w_k |\partial B' = 0$, $w_k |\overline{Q_k} = 1$, and $w_k |\overline{Q'_k} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$, where $B' = (r/2)\lambda B_1 + a_k$. Since $s \ge w_k$ on the boundary of $B' \setminus \overline{Q_k}$, the minimum (comparison) principle assures that $s \ge w_k$ on $B' \setminus \overline{Q_k}$. Thus we can conclude that $s |\overline{Q'_k} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ for every k. However $\bigcup_{1 \le k \le 2^{d-1}} \overline{Q'_k} = \overline{Q}$ and therefore we deduce $s |\overline{Q} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ as desired. \Box

With every $\varepsilon > 0$ we associate a number $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ which is the infimum of the set of λ_{ε} appeared in the above lemma.

Let G_0 be a bounded *regular domain* in the sense that every boundary point of G_0 is regular for the Dirichlet problem on G_0 . Take a compact subset K of G_0 such that $G := G_0 \setminus K$ is again a regular domain. Suppose there is a union L of a finite number of polygonal line segments contained in G except possibly for their end points such that

dis(L, K) > 0. Let $(T_i)_{i \ge 1}$ be a sequence of closed sets T_i which is the closure of an open set $T_i^{\circ} \subset G$ with piecewise smooth boundary ∂T_i° such that $T_i \supset T_{i+1} \supset L$ $(1 \le i < \infty)$, dis $(T_1, K) > 0$, and $\bigcap_{i \ge 1} T_i = L$. The second auxiliary result we need is the following:

6. LEMMA. Let $u \in C(\overline{G_0}) \cap H(G)$ with $u | \partial G_0 = 0$ and u | K = 1 and let $u_i \in C(\overline{G_0}) \cap H(G \setminus T_i)$ with $u_i | T_i \cup \partial G_0 = 0$ and $u_i | K = 1$ $(1 \le i < \infty)$. Then $(u_i)_{i \ge 1}$ converges to u on G in the Dirichlet integral $D_G(f) := \int_G |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx$:

(7)
$$\lim_{i\to\infty} D_G(u_i-u)=0.$$

PROOF. We denote by $W^{1,2}(G)$ the Sobolev space on G with exponent 2, i.e. $W^{1,2}(G)$ is the space of functions $f \in L^2(G)$ having distributional gradients ∇f with $|\nabla f| \in L^2(G)$ so that the Dirichlet integral $D_G(f) = \int_G |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx = ||\nabla f|; L^2(G)||^2$ of fcan be defined. The space $W^{1,2}(G)$ is a Banach space with the norm $||f; W^{1,2}(G)|| :=$ $(||f; L^2(G)||^2 + D_G(f))^{1/2}$. We denote by $W_0^{1,2}(G)$ the Sobolev null space on G, i.e. the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(G)$ in the Banach space $W^{1,2}(G)$. For convenience we also use the mutual Dirichlet integral $D_G(f,g) := \int_G \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) dx$ for two functions f and g in $W^{1,2}(G)$. It is easy to see that $u_i \in W^{1,2}(G)$ for every $i \ge 1$. Let i < j and observe that $u_i - u_j = 0$ on $\partial(G \setminus T_j)$. Hence we easily see that $u_i - u_j \in W_0^{1,2}(G \setminus T_j)$. Since $u_j \in$ $W^{1,2}(G \setminus T_i) \cap H(G \setminus T_i)$, u_i is a weak solution of $\Delta u_i = 0$ on $G \setminus T_i$ and a fortiori

$$\int_{G\setminus T_j} \nabla u_j(x) \cdot \nabla (u_i - u_j)(x) \, dx = 0$$

or $D_{G\setminus T_j}(u_j, u_i - u_j) = 0$. Clearly $D_G(u_j, u_i - u_j) = D_{G\setminus T_j}(u_j, u_i - u_j)$ since $u_i = u_j = 0$ on T_j and ∂T_j is piecewise smooth. Hence we have $D_G(u_j, u_i) = D_G(u_j)$. Observe that

$$D_G(u_i - u_j) = D_G(u_i) - 2D_G(u_i, u_j) + D_G(u_j) = D_G(u_i) - D_G(u_j).$$

This shows that $(D_G(u_i))_{i\geq 1}$ is a decreasing convergent sequence and so is $(D_G(u_i - u_j))_{j\geq i}$ and

(8)
$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \left(\lim_{j\to\infty} D_G(u_i-u_j)\right) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \left(\lim_{j\to\infty} (D_G(u_i)-D_G(u_j))\right) = 0.$$

By the minimum principle we see that $(u_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence dominated by u on G_0 . Hence $u_{\infty} := \lim_{i\to\infty} u_i \in H(G \setminus L)$ and $0 \le u_i \le u_{\infty} \le u$ on $G_0 \setminus L$, which shows that $u_{\infty} \in C(\overline{G_0} \setminus L)$, $u_{\infty} | \partial G_0 = 0$, and $u_{\infty} | K = 1$. Since the Newtonian capacity of L is zero because of $d \ge 3$, there is a continuous map V of the one point compactification $\overline{\mathbf{R}^d}$ of \mathbf{R}^d to the extended half interval $[0, \infty]$ such that $V \in H(\mathbf{R}^d \setminus L)$ with $V | L = \infty$ and

 $V(\infty) = \lim_{x\to\infty} V(x) = 0$. The maximum principle yields $u \le u_{\infty} + \varepsilon V$ on $G \setminus L$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $u_{\infty} = u$ on $G \setminus L$ so that $\lim_{j\to\infty} u_j = u$ locally uniformly on $G \setminus L$. This shows that $(|\nabla u_j - \nabla u_i|)_{j\ge i}$ converges to $|\nabla u - \nabla u_i|$ a.e. on G. Hence by the Fatou lemma

$$D_G(u_i - u) = \int_G \left(\liminf_{j \to \infty} |\nabla u_i(x) - \nabla u_j(x)|^2 \right) dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_G |\nabla u_i(x) - \nabla u_j(x)|^2 dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} D_G(u_i - u_j)$$

This with (8) implies (7), which is to be shown.

We turn now to the construction of M and $E \subset \partial M$ in Example 3. Reversing the process we first construct E before determining M. These sets E and M will be subsets of $B_0 := B^d(0, 3(d-1)^{1/2})$. We will construct cubes $Q_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ in $B_0 \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\})$ for each $n \ge 1$, where $i_1 = 1$ and $1 \le i_k \le 2^{d-1}$ $(2 \le k \le n)$. The construction is by induction. First let $Q_{i_1} = Q(0, 1)$, where i_1 runs over $\{1\}$ so that $i_1 = 1$. Consider a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \ge 2}$ given by

$$\lambda_n = \max\{\lambda(2^{-n}), 1 - 2^{-n}\} \quad (n \ge 2).$$

Here recall that the number $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is introduced right after the proof of Lemma 5. Next take the family $\{Q_{i_1i_2}: 1 \le i_2 \le 2^{d-1}\}$ of congruent cubes $Q_{i_1i_2}$ regularly distributed in Q_{i_1} with index λ_2 . Suppose congruent cubes $Q_{i_1\cdots i_k}$ $(i_1 = 1, 1 \le i_j \le 2^{d-1} \ (2 \le j \le k))$ have been constructed for each $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then let $\{Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}: 1 \le i_n \le 2^{d-1}\}$ be regularly distributed in $Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}}$ with index λ_n for each $i_1\cdots i_{n-1}$. Now we define the set E by

$$E = \bigcap_{1 \le n < \infty} \left(\bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \right),$$

where the union is taken over $i_1 = 1$ and $1 \le i_k \le 2^{d-1}$ $(2 \le k \le n)$. The set *E* is a *compact, totally disconnected* and *perfect* subset of $B_0 \cap (\mathbf{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\})$. We compute the area (i.e. the (d-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure in essence) |E| of *E* and show that

$$(9) 0 < |E| < \infty.$$

To see this let *r* be the radius of $Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$. Then the radius of $Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}$ is $(r/2)\lambda_n$ and thus $|Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}| = (2r)^{d-1}$ and $|Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}| = (r\lambda_n)^{d-1}$. Hence

$$\left|\bigcup_{1\leq i_n\leq 2^{d-1}} Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}\right|=\lambda_n^{d-1}|Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}}|.$$

Since $|Q_{i_1}| = 2^{d-1}$, we conclude that

$$\left|\bigcup_{i_1\cdots i_n} Q_{i_1\cdots i_n}\right| = 2^{d-1} \left(\prod_{2\leq j\leq n} \lambda_j\right)^{d-1}$$

and a fortiori we deduce

$$|E| = \left| igcap_{1 \le n < \infty} \left(igcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} Q_{i_1 \cdots i_n}
ight)
ight| = 2^{d-1} \left(\prod_{2 \le j < \infty} \lambda_j
ight)^{d-1}.$$

By the choice of λ_n we have $1 \ge \prod_{2 \le j < \infty} \lambda_j \ge \prod_{2 \le j < \infty} (1 - 2^{-j}) > 0$, which assures the validity of (9).

For each $n \ge 1$ let u_n be such that $u_n \in C(\overline{B_0}) \cap H(B_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$ and $u_n | \partial B_0 = 0$ and $u_n | \bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n} = 1$. Observe that u_n is positive and superharmonic on B_0 . Since $\lambda_n \in [\lambda(2^{-n}), 1)$, Lemma 5 assures that $u_n \ge 1 - 2^{-n}$ on every $\overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ on which $u_{n-1} = 1$. By the maximum principle we conclude that $(u_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is decreasing on B_0 and

$$|u_n(x) - u_{n-1}(x)| \le 2^{-n} \quad (x \in \overline{B_0}, n \ge 2).$$

Hence $(u_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is uniformly convergent on $\overline{B_0}$ and we denote by u the limit function of $(u_n)_{n\geq 1}$ on $\overline{B_0}$. Then $u \in C(\overline{B_0}) \cap H(B_0 \setminus E)$, $u \mid \partial B_0 = 0$, and $u \mid E = 1$. The function 1 - u plays the role of barrier (in the wider sense) at each point of E for the region $B_0 \setminus E$ with respect to the harmonic Dirichlet problem on $B_0 \setminus E$.

We next define a system of polygonal line segments $l_{i_1\cdots i_n}$ as follows: l_{i_1} is the straight line segment joining the point $b_0 = (3(d-1)^{1/2}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ of the boundary of B_0 with the distinguished boundary point b_{i_1} of Q_{i_1} , where $i_1 = 1$; $l_{i_1i_2}$ is a simple polygonal line segment joining the point b_{i_1} with the distinguished boundary point $b_{i_1i_2}$ of $Q_{i_1i_2}$. The arcs $l_{i_1i_2}$ $(1 \le i_2 \le 2^{d-1})$ lie on $Q_{i_1} \setminus \bigcup_{i_2} \overline{Q}_{i_1i_2}$ except for their end points; the arcs $l_{i_1i_2}$ do not intersect one another anywhere except at b_{i_1} . The simple polygonal line segment $l_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$ connect the distinguished boundary point $b_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$ of $Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}}$ of $Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}}$ with the distinguished boundary point $b_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$. Here the arcs $l_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$ $(1 \le i_n \le 2^{d-1})$ remain within the domain $Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$ of $Q_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n}$. Moreover we assume that $\{l_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}i_n} : 1 \le i_n \le 2^{d-1}\}$ is congruent with $\{l_{j_1\cdots j_{n-1}j_n} : 1 \le j_n \le 2^{d-1}\}$ for every pair of $i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}$ and $j_1 \cdots j_{n-1}$.

Let Δ_0 be the set of points not further away from l_{i_1} than a number $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$ and belonging to $\overline{B_0} \setminus Q_{i_1} \times (-\infty, \infty)$; let $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ $(n \ge 2)$ be the set of points not further away from $\bigcup_{i_n} l_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}$ than a number $\delta_n \in (0, 1)$ and belonging to $\overline{B_0} \cap ((\overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \setminus \bigcup_{i_n} Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}) \times (-\infty, \infty))$. By choosing $\delta_n > 0$ further small we may suppose that the following conditions are satisfied: $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ is contained in $B_0 \cap ((Q_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \setminus \bigcup_{i_n} \overline{Q}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}) \times$ $(-\infty, \infty))$ except for the points of $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ lying on the hyperplanes $x^1 = (b_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}})^1$ and $x^1 = (b_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n})^1$ $(1 \le i_n \le 2^{d-1})$; the set $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ is the closure of a topological ball; the surface area $|\partial \Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}|$ of $\partial \Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ is not greater than $(2^{-d+1})^{n-2}2^{-n}$ $(n \ge 2)$ and also $|\partial \Delta_0| \le 2^{-1}$. Finally we set $F_0 := \Delta_0$, $F_1 := F_0 \cup \Delta_{i_1}$, and $F_n := F_{n-1} \cup (\bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$ $(n \ge 2)$. We also set $F_{\infty} := \bigcup_{0 \le n < \infty} F_n$. Clearly $E = \overline{F_{\infty}} \setminus F_{\infty}$.

We choose $(\delta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ further small so as to have the following situation. Set v := u, the function defined above. Recall that $v \in C(\overline{B_0}) \cap H(B_0 \setminus E)$ with $v | \partial B_0 = 0$ and v | E = 1. Clearly $0 < \delta < \infty$ for $\delta = D_{B_0}(v)$. Let $v_n \in C(\overline{B_0}) \cap H(B_0 \setminus E \cup F_n)$ with $v_n | F_n \cup \partial B_0 = 0$ and $v_n | E = 1$ $(n \ge 0)$. We maintain that $(\delta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ can be made so small that

(10)
$$D_{B_0}(v_n - v_{n-1}) \le \delta/4^{n+2} \quad (n \ge 0),$$

where we understand that $v_{-1} = v$. We first use Lemma 6 for $G = B_0$, $L = l_{i_1}$, and $T_i = \Delta_0$ with $\delta_1 < 1/i$ to conclude that by choosing $\delta_1 > 0$ small enough we can deduce that $D_{B_0}(v_0 - v) \le \delta/4^2$. Again we use Lemma 6 for $G = B_0 \setminus \Delta_0$, $L = \bigcup_{i_1 i_2} l_{i_1 i_2}$, and $T_i = \Delta_{i_1}$ with $\delta_2 < 1/i$ to conclude that by choosing $\delta_2 > 0$ sufficiently small we have $D_{B_0}(v_1 - v_0) = D_{B_0 \setminus F_0}(v_1 - v_0) \le \delta/4^3$. Assume that by repeating the same process we have chosen positive numbers $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$ so small that $D_{B_0}(v_k - v_{k-1}) \le \delta/4^{k+2}$ $(0 \le k \le n-1)$. Then, by making $\delta_{n+1} > 0$ smaller, using Lemma 6 again for $G = B_0 \setminus F_{n-1}$, $L = \bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}} l_{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}}$, and $T_i = \Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ with $\delta_{n+1} < 1/i$ we conclude that $D_{B_0}(v_n - v_{n-1}) \le \delta/4^{n+2}$. We have thus completed the induction of choosing $(\delta_n)_{n \ge 1}$ further so small as to make (10) valid. We can of course moreover assume that $(\delta_n)_{n \ge 1}$ is a strictly decreasing zero sequence.

We are now ready to define the required topological ball M in Example 3 as follows:

(11)
$$M := B_0 \setminus (E \cup F_\infty)$$

It is not difficult to see that M is in fact a topological ball in \mathbb{R}^d only by taking a close look at the construction of M. For the sake of completeness, however, we will ascertain in the sequel that M is certainly a topological ball in \mathbb{R}^d . For each $\xi \in \partial B_0$ and $r \in$ $(0, 6(d-1)^{1/2})$, the set $\{x \in \partial B_0 : |x - \xi| < r\}$ is referred to as a spherical cap on ∂B_0 of chordal radius, or simply radius, r centered at ξ . In the sequel spherical caps considered are all on ∂B_0 . We see that $F_{\infty} \cap \partial B_0$ is the closure of a spherical cap S_0 centered at $(3(d-1)^{1/2}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \partial B_0 : F_{\infty} \cap \partial B_0 = \overline{S_0}$. Observe that

$$\partial M = (\partial B_0 \setminus \overline{S}_0) \cup (\partial F_\infty \setminus S_0).$$

We will show that there is a homeomorphism h of $\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0$ onto \overline{S}_0 fixing the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 so that, by setting $h|(\partial B_0 \setminus \overline{S}_0) =$ identity, h is a homeomorphism of ∂M onto ∂B_0 . By the construction of M, we will then see that h is extended to a homeomorphism of \overline{M} onto \overline{B}_0 such that $h(M) = B_0$ so that we can conclude that M is a topological ball. In other words, by specifically deforming $\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0$ topologically to \overline{S}_0 , \overline{M} (M, resp.) is deformed topologically to \overline{B}_0 (B_0 , resp.). Now we start the construction of a homeomorphism h of $\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0$ onto \overline{S}_0 fixing the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 . For the purpose we choose spherical caps $S_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ in S_0 for each $n \ge 1$, where $i_1 = 1$ and $1 \le n$ $i_k \leq 2^{d-1}$ $(2 \leq k \leq n)$. The choice is by induction. First let S_{i_1} be a spherical cap of radius $r_1 > 0$ such that $\overline{S}_{i_1} \subset S_0$ where $i_1 = 1$. Next take a family $\{S_{i_1i_2} : 1 \le i_2 \le 2^{d-1}\}$ of spherical caps $S_{i_1i_2}$ of the same radii $r_2 > 0$ such that $\overline{S}_{i_1i_2}$ are mutually disjoint and $\overline{S}_{i_1i_2} \subset S_{i_1}$. Suppose spherical caps $S_{i_1\cdots i_k}$ $(i_1 = 1, 1 \le i_j \le 2^{d-1} \ (2 \le j \le k))$ have been chosen for each $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then let $\{S_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}i_n} : 1 \le i_n \le 2^{d-1}\}$ be a family of spherical caps $S_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}$ of the same radii $r_n > 0$ such that $\overline{S}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n}$ are mutually disjoint and $\overline{S}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} i_n} \subset S_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}}$ for each $i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}$. It automatically follows that $r_n \downarrow 0$. We then set $X_0 := S_0$ and $X_n := \bigcup_{i_1 \cdots i_n} S_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ for each $n \ge 1$, and finally set $Y := \bigcap_{n \ge 1} X_n$. We decompose

$$\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0 = \left((\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_0 \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{1 \le i < \infty} \left((\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap (F_i \setminus F_{i-1}) \right) \right) \cup E$$

and similarly

$$\overline{S}_0 = (\overline{X}_0 \backslash X_1) \cup \left(\bigcup_{1 \le i < \infty} (\overline{X}_i \backslash X_{i+1}) \right) \cup Y.$$

Since $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_0$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{X}_0 \setminus X_1$ and these two sets have the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 in common, we can construct a homeomorphism h of $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_0$ onto $\overline{S}_0 \setminus X_1 = \overline{X}_0 \setminus X_1$ fixing the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 such that h induces a natural correspondence $\Delta_0 \to s_0 := S_{i_1}$. Since $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap (F_1 \setminus F_0)$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{X}_1 \setminus X_2$, hcan be continued to a homeomorphism of $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_1$ onto $\overline{S}_0 \setminus X_2$ such that h induces a natural correspondence $\Delta_{i_1} \to s_{i_1} := \bigcup_{1 \le j \le 2^{d-1}} S_{i_1 j}$. Suppose h can be continued to a homeomorphism of $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_n$ onto $\overline{S}_0 \setminus X_{n+1}$ such that h induces a natural correspondence $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \to s_{i_1 \cdots i_n} := \bigcup_{1 \le j \le 2^{d-1}} S_{i_1 \cdots i_n j}$ for every $i_1 \cdots i_n$. Then, since $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0)$ $\cap (F_{n+1} \setminus F_n)$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{X}_{n+1} \setminus X_{n+2}$, h can be continued to a homeomorphism of $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cap F_{n+1}$ onto $\overline{S}_0 \setminus X_{n+2}$ such that h induces a natural correspondence $\Delta_{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}} \rightarrow s_{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{d-1}} S_{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}j}$ for every $i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}$. Hence we can extend h to a homeomorphism of $(\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \setminus E$ onto $\overline{S}_0 \setminus Y$ in a special manner described above. By the construction of E there exists a bijective correspondence between points $x \in E$ and sequences $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \cdots (i_1 = 1, 1 \leq i_k \leq 2^{d-1} \ (k \geq 2))$ such that the sequence of sets Δ_{i_1} , $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}, \ldots$ converges to x. In this case we write $x = x(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \cdots)$. Similarly by the way Y is constructed there exists a bijective correspondence between points $y \in Y$ and sequences $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \cdots$ as above such that the intersection of $s_{i_1}, s_{i_1 i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}, \ldots$ is $\{y\}$. In this case we also write $y = y(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \cdots)$. By the fashion h is determined, h induces the natural correspondence $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \rightarrow s_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$.

$$h(x(i_1i_2\cdots i_n\cdots))=y(i_1i_2\cdots i_n\cdots)$$

for every sequence $i_1i_2 \cdots i_n \cdots (i_1 = 1, 1 \le i_k \le 2^{d-1} (k \ge 2))$, then $h : \partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0 \to \overline{S}_0$ is seen to be a homeomorphism of $\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0$ onto \overline{S}_0 fixing the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 . By extending h to ∂M on setting h as identity on $\partial B_0 \setminus \overline{S}_0$, we have thus constructed a homeomorphism h of ∂M onto ∂B_0 . Since we have seen that $\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0$ is topologically deformed to \overline{S}_0 fixing the boundary of S_0 in ∂B_0 , $\partial F_{\infty} = (\partial F_{\infty} \setminus S_0) \cup S_0$ is seen to be homeomorphic to a sphere. Hence $\partial (E \cup F_{\infty}) = \partial F_{\infty}$ is homeomorphic to a sphere. By the construction of $E \cup F_{\infty}$, we see that $E \cup F_{\infty}$ is the closure of a region homeomorphic to a ball bounded by the topological sphere $\partial (E \cup F_{\infty}) = \partial F_{\infty}$. Thus $E \cup F_{\infty}$ is the closure of a topological ball, and again by the construction of $M = B_0 \setminus (E \cup F_{\infty})$, we see that M is homeomorphic to a ball bounded by the topological sphere ∂M . Because of this we can extend h to a homeomorphism h of \overline{M} onto \overline{B}_0 with $h(M) = B_0$. Hence we have ascertained that M is a topological ball.

Since $\partial M \setminus E$ is piecewise smooth, every point in $\partial M \setminus E$ is regular, which is seen by e.g. the cone condition criterion. As before, 1 - u = 1 - v plays the role of barrier on Mfor every point of E. Thus M is a regular domain and a fortiori the condition (a) of Example 3 is satisfied. Observe that, in addition to (9),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial F_{\infty}| &\leq |\partial \varDelta_{0}| + \sum_{2 \leq n < \infty} \left| \bigcup_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \partial \varDelta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq 2^{-1} + \sum_{2 \leq n < \infty} (2^{d-1})^{n-2} \cdot (2^{-d+1})^{n-2} 2^{-n} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that $|\partial M| \le |\partial B_0| + |\partial F_{\infty}| + |E| < \infty$ so that the condition (b) of

Example 3 is fulfilled. It is clear that $|\partial M \setminus E| > |\partial B_0|/2 > 0$. This with (9) assures the validity of (c) in Example 3.

To complete the construction for Example 3 only the proof of (4) (i.e. the condition (d) in Example 3) is left. We first claim that

(12)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(x) = \omega(x; E, M) \quad (x \in M)$$

Observe that $v \ge v_n \ge v_{n+1} \ge 0$ on M $(n \ge 0)$. Therefore $(v_n)_{n\ge 1}$ converges to a function $v_{\infty} \in C(\overline{B_0} \setminus E) \cap H(M)$ such that $0 \le v_{\infty} \le 1$ on $\overline{B_0} \setminus E$ and $v_{\infty} | F_{\infty} \cup \partial B_0 = 0$. To prove (12) we need to recall the definition (1) of $\omega(\cdot; E, M)$. Clearly $v_k | M \in \mathcal{U}_E(M)$ and hence $v_k \ge \omega(\cdot; E, M)$ on M. On letting $k \uparrow \infty$ we deduce $v_{\infty} \ge \omega(\cdot; E, M)$ on M. To show the reversed inequality, take an arbitrary $s \in \mathcal{U}_E(M)$ and any number $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Since $\liminf_{x \to y} s(x) \ge 1$ for each $y \in E$, there is a ball $B(y, r_y) = B^d(y, r_y)$ $(r_y > 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that $s > \lambda$ on $B(y, r_y) \cap M$. Then the set $U = \bigcup_{y \in E} B(y, r_y)$ is open, $U \supset E$, and $s > \lambda$ on $U \cap M$. Because $E = \bigcap_{1 \le k < \infty} (\overline{F_{\infty}} \setminus F_k)$ is compact and $E \subset U$, there is a number k_0 such that $\overline{F_{\infty}} \setminus F_k \subset \overline{F_{\infty}} \setminus F_k \subset U$ for each $k \ge k_0$. Fix an arbitrary $k \ge k_0$. If $y \in (\partial M) \setminus U$, then, since $v_k(y) = 0$, $\liminf_{x \in M, x \to y} \lambda^{-1} s(x) \ge 0 = v_k(y)$. If $y \in (\partial M) \cap U$, then, since $\lambda^{-1}s > 1$ and $v_k \le 1$ on $M \cap U$, $\liminf_{x \in M, x \to y} \lambda^{-1} s(x) \ge 1 \ge v_k(y)$. Hence

$$\liminf_{x \in M, x \to y} \lambda^{-1} s(x) \ge \limsup_{x \in M, x \to y} v_k(x)$$

for every $y \in \partial M$, which implies, in view of the minimum (comparison) principle, that $\lambda^{-1}s \ge v_k$ on M. On letting $k \uparrow \infty$ and then $\lambda \uparrow 1$, we obtain $s \ge v_\infty$ on M. By the arbitrariness of $s \in \mathscr{U}_E(M)$, we finally conclude that $\omega(\cdot; E, M) \ge v_\infty$ on M. The proof of (12) is thus over.

Finally we turn to the proof of (4). Since $D_M(v_n - v_{n-1}) \le D_{B_0}(v_n - v_{n-1}) \le \delta/4^{n+2}$ $(n \ge 0)$, we have for every j > 1 that

$$D_M(v_j - v)^{1/2} \le \sum_{0 \le i \le j} D_M(v_i - v_{i-1})^{1/2} \le \sum_{0 \le i < \infty} \delta^{1/2}/2^{i+2} = \delta^{1/2}/2.$$

In view of (12) the Fatou lemma yields

$$D_M(\omega(\cdot; E, M) - v)^{1/2} \le \liminf_{j \to \infty} D_M(v_j - v)^{1/2} \le \delta^{1/2}/2$$

and a fortiori we obtain that

$$|D_M(\omega(\cdot; E, M))^{1/2} - D_M(v)^{1/2}| \le D_M(\omega(\cdot; E, M) - v)^{1/2} \le \delta^{1/2}/2.$$

Since $D_M(v) = \delta > 0$, the above inequality implies that

$$\frac{1}{4}D_M(v) \le D_M(\omega(\cdot; E, M)) \le \frac{9}{4}D_M(v),$$

which yields (4). The construction of M and $E \subset \partial M$ in Example 3 is completed.

References

- [1] J. HEINONEN, T. KILPELÄINEN and O. MARTIO, Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Clarendon Press, Oxford-New York-Tokyo, 1993.
- [2] M. HEINS, On the Lindelöf principle, Ann. Math., 61 (1955), 440–473.
- [3] A. HERRON and P. KOSKELA, Continuity of Sobolev functions and Dirichlet finite harmonic measures, Potential Analysis, 6 (1997), 347-353.
- [4] M. V. KELDYSH, On the solvability and stability of the Dirichlet problem, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 8 (1941), 171–231 (in Russian); English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2), 51 (1966), 1–73.
- [5] Ü. KURAN, A new criterion of Dirichlet regularity via quasi-boundedness of the fundamental superharmonic function, J. London Math. Soc., 19 (1979), 301–311.
- [6] M. NAKAI, Riemannian manifolds with connected Royden harmonic boundaries, Duke Math. J., 67 (1992), 589–625.
- [7] M. NAKAI, Existence of Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on Euclidean balls, Nagoya Math. J., 133 (1994), 85–125.
- [8] M. NAKAI, Brelot spaces of Schrödinger equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 48 (1996), 275–298.
- [9] M. NAKAI, Harmonic boundaries of Lipschitz domains, Abstract in Function Theory Branch, 1996 Annual Meeting of Math. Soc. Japan, 29–30 (in Japanese).
- [10] M. NAKAI, Connectedness of Royden harmonic boundaries, RIMS Koukyuuroku (Seminar Note at Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.), 946 (1996), 110–124 (in Japanese).
- [11] M. NAKAI, Boundary continuity of Dirichlet finite harmonic measures on compact bordered Riemannian manifolds, Hiroshima Math. J., 27 (1997), 105–139.

Mitsuru NAKAI

Professor Emeritus at:
Department of Mathematics
Nagoya Institute of Technology
Gokiso, Showa, Nagoya 466-8555
Japan
Mailing Address:
52 Eguchi, Hinaga
Chita 478-0041
Japan
E-mail: nakai@daido-it.ac.jp