

## The Sylvester's law of inertia in simple graded Lie algebras

Dedicated to Professor Ichiro Satake on the occasion of his seventieth  
anniversally birthday

By Soji KANEYUKI

(Received Aug. 15, 1996)

### Introduction.

Let  $H_n(\mathbf{R})$  be the vector space of  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices. The group  $GL(n, \mathbf{R})^0$  (= the identity component of  $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ ) acts on  $H_n(\mathbf{R})$  by the rule:  $X \mapsto AX'A$ ,  $X \in H_n(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $A \in GL(n, \mathbf{R})^0$ . The Sylvester's law of inertia asserts that, by this action of  $GL(n, \mathbf{R})^0$ ,  $X$  is transformed into the canonical form  $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , which is uniquely determined by  $X$ . The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{R})$  has a unique gradation  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = H_n(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbf{R})$ . The  $GL(n, \mathbf{R})^0$ -module  $H_n(\mathbf{R})$  is imbedded in  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{R})$  as the  $G_0^0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , where  $G_0^0$  is the analytic subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . The Sylvester's law of inertia for  $H_n(\mathbf{R})$  is no other than obtaining the complete representatives of  $G_0^0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . As a generalization of this situation, one can pose:

**PROBLEM.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \mathfrak{g}_k$  be a real simple graded Lie algebra,  $G_0$  the group of grade-preserving automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $G_0^0$  be the identity component of  $G_0$ . Find the  $G_0^0$ -orbit decomposition and the  $G_0$ -orbit decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

When  $\nu = 1$ , this problem is equivalent to the problem of finding the orbits in a compact simple Jordan triple system under the structure group or the identity component of the structure group. Also it is equivalent to finding the orbit decomposition of a tangent space by the linear isotropy group for a symmetric  $R$ -space.

The purpose of this paper is to settle the above problem for the case  $\nu = 1$  by a unified method. Partial answers have been obtained by Satake [22, 23], Kaneyuki [9, 10] and Takeuchi [27]. In the following we shall describe briefly how to get the two kinds of orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . The sections 1 and 2 are preliminary sections. We give a quick review for the followings: classification and construction of gradations in semisimple Lie algebras [13, 12], the root theory in simple graded Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  ([13]), the Jordan triple system  $\mathfrak{B}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  (Loos [18]) and the root-theoretic version of a frame (= a maximal system of pairwise orthogonal idempotents)  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , and the Jordan algebra structure  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  ( $0 \leq p \leq r$ ) in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . In §3, applying a result of Matsumoto [19], we get a set of good representatives of  $G_0 \text{ mod } G_0^0$ , which allows us to get the  $G_0$ -orbit decomposition from the  $G_0^0$ -orbit decomposition. We consider the root system  $\Delta^*$  corresponding to a certain symmetric real flag domain  $M^*$ . It turns out that the Weyl group  $W(\Delta^*)$  of  $\Delta^*$ , viewed as a subgroup of  $G_0^0$ , acts on the frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  as signed permutations. Then we can choose the candidates  $o_{p,q}$  ( $0 \leq p, q \leq r, p + q \leq r$ ) of representatives of the  $G_0^0$ -orbits, which are defined in

terms of the frame. Let  $V_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq r$ ) be the union of the  $G_0^0$ -orbits through the points  $o_{p,q}$  with  $p+q=k$ . The sets  $V_k$  were introduced by Takeuchi [28] in a different way. Theorem 3.3 (Gindikin-Kaneyuki [6]) shows that each  $V_k$  is  $G_0$ -stable and that it consists of equi-dimensional  $G_0^0$ -orbits. Therefore, in order to find the orbit decomposition, we have only to separate the  $G_0^0$ -orbits in  $V_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq r$ ). In the sections 4 and 5, we carry out this procedure, by using the action of  $W(\mathcal{A}^*)$  and the reduced norm of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_r$ . The main results are Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2 and 5.5–5.7. In §6, we give a list of all open  $G_0^0$ -orbits whose ambient spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  are simple Jordan algebras. (Partial results have been obtained by D'Atri-Gindikin [4] and Kaneyuki [9].) This provides a classification of  $\omega$ -domains in the sense of Koecher [16] in simple Jordan algebras.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** This work was partially done, while the author had been in the Departments of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst and University of California, Berkeley during the spring semester and the summer, 1995. The author would like to express his hearty thanks to both institutions for their hospitality. The author is grateful to Professor Floyd L. Williams for stimulating conversations.

**Notation and Convention:**  $G^0$  or  $(G)^0$  denotes the identity component of a Lie group  $G$ .  $G_\theta$  or  $(G)_\theta$  denotes the subgroup of a group  $G$  consisting of elements left fixed by an involutive automorphism  $\theta$ . GLA (resp. JTS) is an abbreviation for “graded Lie algebra” (resp. Jordan triple system).  $E$  denotes a unit matrix.

### §1. Semisimple graded Lie algebras.

Let

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \mathfrak{g}_k$$

be a real semisimple GLA of the  $\nu$ -th kind (we are assuming that the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is not zero). We assume further that the gradation (1.1) is of type  $\alpha_0$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{g}^- := \sum_{k<0} \mathfrak{g}_k$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, Z, \tau)$  be the associated graded triple; more precisely,  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  is the characteristic element of the gradation (1.1), i.e., each subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  is the eigenspace of  $\text{ad } Z$  for the eigenvalue  $k$ , and  $\tau$  is a grade-reversing Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{h} = \sum_{k \text{ even}} \mathfrak{g}_k, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is expressed as a  $Z_2$ -GLA

$$(1.3) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m},$$

which is also the decomposition by the involution  $\sigma := \text{Ad exp } \pi i Z$ , in which case we have  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 1$  and  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{m}} = -1$ . Consider the Cartan decomposition by  $\tau$ :

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p},$$

where  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{k}} = 1$  and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$ . Since  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  commutes, we have the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -decomposition

$$(1.5) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $Z \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Choose a

maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $Z$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the root system for the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ , which is called a root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  compatible with the gradation. Let  $(, )$  denote the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we have a partition of  $\Delta$ :

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta = \coprod_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \Delta_k,$$

where  $\Delta_k = \{\alpha \in \Delta : (\alpha, Z) = k\}$ , and each graded subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  can be written as

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_k &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_k} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad k \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a})$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  denotes the root space for a root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Choose a linear order in  $\Delta$  in such a way that

$$(1.8) \quad \coprod_{k=1}^{\nu} \Delta_k \subset \Delta^+ \subset \coprod_{k=0}^{\nu} \Delta_k,$$

where  $\Delta^+$  denotes the set of positive roots with respect to this order. Let  $\Pi$  be the fundamental system for  $\Delta$ . Since the gradation is of type  $\alpha_0$ , it is known [13] that  $\Pi_k := \Pi \cap \Delta_k = \emptyset$  for  $k \geq 2$ , and hence we have a partition of  $\Pi$ :

$$(1.9) \quad \Pi = \Pi_0 \coprod \Pi_1, \quad \Pi_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us consider the reverse process. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal  $\mathbf{R}$ -split abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  be a fundamental system of the root system  $\Delta$  for the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . A root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  can be written as

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i(\alpha) \alpha_i.$$

Suppose that we are given a partition  $\Pi = \Pi_0 \coprod \Pi_1$  with  $\Pi_1 \neq \emptyset$ . For a root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , we define the height  $h_{\Pi_1}(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  relative to  $\Pi_1$  by putting

$$(1.11) \quad h_{\Pi_1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Pi_1} m_i(\alpha).$$

If we put

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_k = \{\alpha \in \Delta : h_{\Pi_1}(\alpha) = k\},$$

then we have a partition  $\Delta = \coprod_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \Delta_k$ , where  $\nu$  is equal to the the height  $h_{\Pi_1}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the highest root  $\mathfrak{g} \in \Delta$ . Let us define the subspaces  $(\mathfrak{g}_k)_{-\nu \leq k \leq \nu}$  by the equalities (1.7). Then we have a GLA  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \mathfrak{g}_k$  of type  $\alpha_0$  (cf. [13]).

**THEOREM 1.1 ([13]).** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real semisimple Lie algebra, and  $\Delta$  be a restricted root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Pi$  be a fundamental system of  $\Delta$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the highest root of  $\Delta$ . Then there exists a bijection between the set of gradations of the  $\nu$ -th kind of type  $\alpha_0$  in*

$\mathfrak{g}$  and the set of subsets  $\Pi_1$  of  $\Pi$  satisfying  $h_{\Pi_1}(\vartheta) = \nu$ . The bijection is compatible with the respective isomorphisms.

A gradation of the first kind in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is trivially of type  $\alpha_0$ ; any gradation of the second kind in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $\alpha_0$ , provided that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple (Tanaka [28]).

**§2. Jordan triple systems on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .**

We retain the notation in §1. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$$

be a simple GLA (of the first kind), and  $(\mathfrak{g}, Z, \tau)$  be the associated graded triple. Let  $\Delta$  be a root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  compatible with the gradation. As a special case of (1.6), we have a partition  $\Delta = \Delta_{-1} \amalg \Delta_0 \amalg \Delta_1$ . Choose a linear order in  $\Delta$  satisfying (1.8). As is known in Takeuchi [26], one can choose a maximal system of strongly orthogonal roots  $\Gamma = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  in  $\Delta_1$  in such a way that  $(\beta_1, \beta_1) = \dots = (\beta_r, \beta_r)$ . The number  $r$  is equal to the split rank of the symmetric triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \sigma)$ . Choose a root vector  $E_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) in such a way that

$$(2.2) \quad [E_i, E_{-i}] = \check{\beta}_i = \frac{2}{(\beta_i, \beta_i)} \beta_i,$$

where  $E_{-i} = -\tau E_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Let

$$(2.3) \quad X_i = E_i + E_{-i} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Then the real span  $\mathfrak{c}$  of  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The root system  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  for the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is the split root system for the symmetric triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \sigma)$ . It is known (Oshima-Sekiguchi [20]) that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is either of type  $C$  or of type  $BC$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}$  spanned by  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$ , and  $\varpi$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\mathfrak{a}$  onto  $\alpha_0$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Then, by considering the inverse Cayley transformation ([8]) of  $\mathfrak{c}$  onto  $\alpha_0$  and by taking the inner products with  $Z$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \varpi((\Delta_0)^+) - (0) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq r \right\}, \\ \varpi(\Delta_1) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i + \beta_j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq r \right\}, \end{cases}$$

provided that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $C$ , or

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \varpi((\Delta_0)^+) - (0) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i - \beta_j) \ (1 \leq i < j \leq r); \frac{1}{2}\beta_i \ (1 \leq i \leq r) \right\}, \\ \varpi(\Delta_1) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i + \beta_j) \ (1 \leq i \leq j \leq r); \frac{1}{2}\beta_i \ (1 \leq i \leq r) \right\}, \end{cases}$$

provided that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $BC$ , where  $(\Delta_0)^+ = \Delta_0 \cap \Delta^+$ . We put

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{ij} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_1 \\ \varpi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i + \beta_j)}} \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}, \quad i \leq j, \\ \mathfrak{c}_i &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_1 \\ \varpi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_i}} \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  can be expressed as

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \mathfrak{a}_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathfrak{c}_i.$$

If  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $C$ , then the second term of the right-hand side of (2.7) does not appear. The dimensions  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_{ij}$  ( $i < j$ ),  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_{ii}$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{c}_i$  do not depend on the choice of  $i$  and  $j$  ([7]).

Let us consider a triple product  $B_\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ :

$$(2.8) \quad B_\tau(X, Y, U) = \frac{1}{2} [[\tau Y, X], U], \quad X, Y, U \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

It is known (Loos [17], Satake [21]) that the pair  $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, B_\tau)$  is a compact simple JTS and that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the Kantor-Tits-Koecher construction for  $\mathfrak{B}$  (These two facts can be obtained in more general setting of a simple GLA of the second kind and the corresponding compact generalized JTS; see [1, 13]). For simplicity we write  $e_i$  for  $E_{-i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $(XYU)$  for  $B_\tau(X, Y, U)$ . As usual, we define the linear operator  $L(X, Y)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  by

$$(2.9) \quad L(X, Y)U = (XYU), \quad U \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Let

$$(2.10) \quad o_{p,q} = \sum_{i=1}^p e_i - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} e_j, \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq r, \quad p + q \leq r.$$

By using the facts [6] that  $e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) is an idempotent of the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$  and that  $L(e_i, e_j) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ), we see that  $o_{p,q}$  is an idempotent of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and that

$$(2.11) \quad L(o_{p,r-p}, o_{p,r-p}) = L(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0}), \quad 0 \leq p \leq r.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\lambda)$  be the eigenspace of  $L(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) + \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ , and*

$$(2.12) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \mathfrak{a}_{ij},$$

$$(2.13) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathfrak{c}_i.$$

PROOF. Consider the Peirce decomposition (Satake [21]) of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  with respect to the operator  $L(o_{p,r-p}, o_{p,r-p}) = L(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0})$ :

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) + \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(0).$$

Choose a root  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$  such that  $\varpi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_i + \beta_j)$ ,  $i \leq j$ . We have  $\sum_{k=1}^r (\check{\beta}_k, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^r (\check{\beta}_k, \varpi(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r (\check{\beta}_k, \beta_i + \beta_j) = 2$ . Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0})(X) &= B_\tau(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0}, X) = \frac{1}{2} [[\tau(o_{r,0}), o_{r,0}], X] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r [[-E_k, E_{-k}], X] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r [\check{\beta}_k, X] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r (\check{\beta}_k, \alpha) \right) X = X, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the right-hand side of (2.12) is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1)$ . Similarly we have that the right-hand side of (2.13) is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ . Consequently the lemma follows from (2.14) and (2.7).  $\square$

We introduce a multiplication  $\square_p$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ :

$$(2.15) \quad X \square_p Y = B_\tau(X, o_{p,r-p}, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq r.$$

As a property of the Peirce decomposition of a JTS ([21]), we know that  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1)$  become a Jordan algebra with unit element  $o_{p,r-p}$  with respect to the multiplication  $\square_p$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a real simple GLA. Then the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p), 0 \leq p \leq r$ , is a Jordan algebra with  $o_{p,r-p}$  as unit element, if and only if the split root system  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C. In this case the Jordan algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p)$  is simple.*

**PROOF.** Suppose first that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C. Then we have (2.4). Therefore there are no roots  $\alpha \in \Delta$  such that  $\varpi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$ , and so we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\frac{1}{2}) = (0)$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p)$  is a Jordan algebra with unit element  $o_{p,r-p}$ . Then, for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , we have  $X = o_{p,r-p} \square_p X = B_\tau(o_{p,r-p}, o_{p,r-p}, X) = L(o_{r,0}, o_{r,0})X$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\frac{1}{2}) = (0)$ . Consequently  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C, by (2.4) and (2.5). To prove the second assertion, consider the involution  $*$  of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1)$ :

$$(2.16) \quad X^* = B_\tau(o_{p,r-p}, X, o_{p,r-p}), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Then  $B_\tau$  can be reconstructed as follows ([21]):

$$(2.17) \quad B_\tau(X, Y, U) = (X \square_p Y^*) \square_p U + X \square_p (Y^* \square_p U) - Y^* \square_p (X \square_p U).$$

Let  $W$  be an ideal of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then, by using (2.17), we have that  $B_\tau(W, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) + B_\tau(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, W) \subset W$ . This means that  $W$  is a  $K$ -ideal (cf. [13]) of the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$ .  $\mathfrak{B}$  is compact simple, and hence by a result of [1], it is  $K$ -simple. Therefore  $W = (0)$  or  $W = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Thus the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is simple.  $\square$

The simple Jordan algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p)$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ .

### § 3. Generalities on the orbit decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

We retain the notation in the previous sections. We will consider exclusively a simple GLA (2.1) :  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ . We denote by  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  the automorphism group of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and denote by  $G^0$  the identity component of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G_0$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  consisting of all grade-preserving automorphisms of the GLA  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We need the following subgroups of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ :

$G := G_0 G^0$ , which is an open subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$G'$  the Zariski connected component of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ , which is a subgroup of  $G$ ,

$G'_0 := G_0 \cap G'$ , which is the Zariski connected component of  $G_0$ ,

$G_0^0$  the (topological) identity component of  $G_0$ ,

$K := \{g \in G : g\tau = \tau g\}$ , which is the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{Lie } K = \mathfrak{k}$ .

$K_0 = G_0 \cap K$ ,

$K_0^0$  the identity component of  $K_0$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  compatible with the gradation and  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  be a fundamental system of  $\Delta$  with respect to an order satisfying (1.8). Let  $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell\}$  be the basis of a dual to  $\Pi$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Consider the involutive automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon_k = \text{Ad exp } \pi i Z_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \ell.$$

LEMMA 3.1 (Matsumoto [19]). *Let  $Q_1$  be the free abelian subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell$ , and let  $Q_0 := Q_1 \cap G^0$ . Then  $Q_1$  is a subgroup of  $G'$ , and*

$$(3.2) \quad G'/G^0 \simeq Q_1/Q_0,$$

in particular,

$$(3.3) \quad G' = Q_1 G^0.$$

Since  $\varepsilon_k$  is  $+1$  or  $-1$  on each root space  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta \cup (0)$ , it follows from (1.7) that  $\varepsilon_k$  is grade-preserving for any gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This implies, in particular, that  $Q_1$  is a subgroup of  $G_0$ , and hence we have

$$(3.4) \quad Q_1 G_0^0 \subset G'_0.$$

Look at the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -decomposition (1.5) for the GLA  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{g}^* := \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_p$  is a reductive subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The center of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is at most one-dimensional and the semisimple part of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is simple ([7]). The triple  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{k}_0, \tau)$  is a Riemannian symmetric triple, the noncompact dual of  $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_0, \sigma)$ . Let  $G^*$  be the connected Lie subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then  $K_0^0$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G^*$ .  $M^* = G^*/K_0^0$  is the symmetric space corresponding to  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{k}_0, \tau)$ . We have the Cartan decomposition

$$(3.5) \quad G^* = K_0^0 \exp \mathfrak{m}_p.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}_p$ , one can consider the root system  $\Delta^*$  for the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{c})$  (or for the symmetric space  $M^*$ ). In Table I, we give a list of real simple GLA's of the first kind and the corresponding subset  $\Pi_1$  of  $\Pi$  ([13, 12, 14, 18]). In Table II, we give the root systems  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  and  $\Delta^*$  for each simple GLA's of the first kind ([20, 25, 18]). The following notations are used in Table I:  $H$  the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{O}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{O}'$ ) the Cayley (resp. the split Cayley) algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $\mathbf{O}^C = \mathbf{O} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ .  $M_{p,q}(K)$  the vector space of  $p \times q$  matrices with entries in  $K$ , where  $K = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, H, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{O}'$  or  $\mathbf{O}^C$ ;  $H_n(K)$  the vector space of hermitian matrices of degree  $n$  with entries in  $K$ ;  $SH_n(H)$  the vector space of skew-hermitian quaternion matrices of degree  $n$ ;  $\text{Alt}_n(K)$  the vector space of skew-symmetric matrices of degree  $n$  with entries in  $K$ ;  $\text{Sym}_n(\mathbf{C})$  the vector space of complex symmetric matrices of degree  $n$ . We employ the numbering of simple roots used in Bourbaki [2].

By the property  $[\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{m}] \subset [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , the group  $K_0^0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{m}$  by the adjoint representation. Moreover, since  $[\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{m}_p] \subset \mathfrak{m}_p$  and  $[\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , it follows that this  $K_0^0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{m}$  leaves both  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  stable.

Table I

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1})$		$\Pi$	$\Pi_1$
I1 $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbf{R}) + \mathfrak{sl}(n-p, \mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{R}, M_{p, n-p}(\mathbf{R}))$ ,	$n \geq 3, 1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$	$A_{n-1}$	$\{\alpha_p\}$
I2 $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{H}), \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbf{H}) + \mathfrak{sl}(n-p, \mathbf{H}) + \mathbf{R}, M_{p, n-p}(\mathbf{H}))$ ,	$n \geq 3, 1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$	$A_{n-1}$	$\{\alpha_p\}$
I3 $(\mathfrak{su}(n, n), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{R}, H_n(\mathbf{C}))$ ,	$n \geq 3$	$C_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I4 $(\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{R}, H_n(\mathbf{R}))$ ,	$n \geq 3$	$C_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I5 $(\mathfrak{sp}(n, n), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{H}) + \mathbf{R}, SH_n(\mathbf{H}))$ ,	$n \geq 2$	$C_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I6 $(\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1), \mathfrak{so}(p, q) + \mathbf{R}, M_{1, p+q}(\mathbf{R}))$ ,	$0 \leq p < q$ or $3 \leq p = q$	$\begin{cases} B_{p+1} (p < q) \\ D_{p+1} (p = q) \end{cases}$	$\{\alpha_1\}$ $\{\alpha_1\}$
I7 $(\mathfrak{so}^*(4n), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{H}) + \mathbf{R}, H_n(\mathbf{H}))$ ,	$n \geq 3$	$C_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I8 $(\mathfrak{so}(n, n), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{R}, \text{Alt}_n(\mathbf{R}))$ ,	$n \geq 4$	$D_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I9 $(E_{6(6)}, \mathfrak{so}(5, 5) + \mathbf{R}, M_{1,2}(\mathcal{O}'))$		$E_6$	$\{\alpha_1\}$
I10 $(E_{6(-26)}, \mathfrak{so}(1, 9) + \mathbf{R}, M_{1,2}(\mathcal{O}))$		$A_2$	$\{\alpha_1\}$
I11 $(E_{7(7)}, E_{6(6)} + \mathbf{R}, H_3(\mathcal{O}'))$		$E_7$	$\{\alpha_7\}$
I12 $(E_{7(-25)}, E_{6(-26)} + \mathbf{R}, H_3(\mathcal{O}))$		$C_3$	$\{\alpha_3\}$
I13 $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbf{C}) + \mathfrak{sl}(n-p, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C}, M_{p, n-p}(\mathbf{C}))$ ,	$n \geq 3, 1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$	$A_{n-1}$	$\{\alpha_p\}$
I14 $(\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C}, \text{Sym}_n(\mathbf{C}))$	$n \geq 3$	$C_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I15 $(\mathfrak{so}(n+2, \mathbf{C}), \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C}, M_{1,n}(\mathbf{C}))$	$n \geq 3, n \neq 4$	$\begin{cases} B_{[(n+2)/2]} \\ D_{(n+2)/2} \end{cases}$	$\{\alpha_1\}$ $\{\alpha_1\}$
I16 $(\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbf{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C}, \text{Alt}_n(\mathbf{C}))$	$n \geq 4$	$D_n$	$\{\alpha_n\}$
I17 $(E_6^{\mathbf{C}}, \mathfrak{so}(10, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C}, M_{1,2}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{C}}))$		$E_6$	$\{\alpha_1\}$
I18 $(E_7^{\mathbf{C}}, E_6^{\mathbf{C}} + \mathbf{C}, H_3(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{C}}))$		$E_7$	$\{\alpha_7\}$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let us define a linear endomorphism  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$  by*

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X - IX), \quad X \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where  $I = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} Z$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a  $K_0^0$ -isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

PROOF. The inclusion  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  follows from the fact  $I^2 = 1$ . Since  $I$  interchanges  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ ,  $\varphi$  sends  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  isomorphically. Since  $K_0^0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as grade-preserving automorphisms, the element  $Z$  is left fixed by  $K_0^0$ . Hence we have  $[\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} K_0^0, I] = 0$ , which implies that  $\varphi$  commutes with the  $K_0^0$ -action.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{-1} := \varphi(\mathfrak{c}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}$  is spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ , since  $\varphi(X_i) = e_i$ . Let  $W(\Delta^*)$  be the Weyl group for the root system  $\Delta^*$  (or, for the symmetric space  $M^*$ ). Then we have

$$(3.7) \quad W(\Delta^*) \simeq N_{K_0^0}(\mathfrak{c}) / C_{K_0^0}(\mathfrak{c}),$$

where  $N_{K_0^0}(\mathfrak{c})$  (resp.  $C_{K_0^0}(\mathfrak{c})$ ) is the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of  $\mathfrak{c}$  in  $K_0^0$ .  $W(\Delta^*)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{c}$  as signed permutations:

$$(3.8) \quad X_i \mapsto \pm X_{\rho(i)}, \quad \rho \in \mathfrak{S}_r,$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  is the permutation group of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . By Lemma 3.2, this action of  $W(\Delta^*)$  is transferred onto  $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}$  via  $\varphi$  as the signed permutations:

$$(3.9) \quad e_i \mapsto \pm e_{\rho(i)}, \quad \rho \in \mathfrak{S}_r.$$

Table II

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1})$	$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$	$\Delta^*$
I1 $\begin{cases} p = n/2 = 1 \\ p = n/2 > 1 \\ 1 \leq p < n - p \end{cases}$	$A_1$ $C_p$ $BC_p$	$A_0$ $D_p$ $B_p$
I2 $\begin{cases} p = n/2 \\ 1 \leq p < n - p \end{cases}$	$C_p$ $BC_p$	$C_p$ $BC_p$
I3	$C_n$	$A_{n-1}$
I4	$C_n$	$A_{n-1}$
I5	$C_n$	$C_n$
I6 $\begin{cases} p = 0 \text{ \& } 3 \leq q \neq 4 \\ p = 1 \text{ \& } 2 \leq q (\neq 3) \\ 2 \leq p \leq q \end{cases}$	$C_1$ $C_2$ $C_2$	$C_1$ $A_1$ $D_2$
I7	$C_n$	$A_{n-1}$
I8 $\begin{cases} n \text{ even} \\ n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$	$C_{n/2}$ $BC_{[n/2]}$	$D_{n/2}$ $B_{[n/2]}$
I9	$BC_2$	$B_2$
I10	$BC_1$	$BC_1$
I11	$C_3$	$D_3$
I12	$C_3$	$A_2$
I13 $\begin{cases} p = n/2 \\ 1 \leq p < n - p \end{cases}$	$C_p$ $BC_p$	$C_p$ $BC_p$
I14	$C_n$	$C_n$
I15	$C_2$	$C_2$
I16 $\begin{cases} n \text{ even} \\ n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$	$C_{n/2}$ $BC_{[n/2]}$	$C_{n/2}$ $BC_{[n/2]}$
I17	$BC_2$	$BC_2$
I18	$C_3$	$C_3$

Recall the quadratic representation  $P$  of the compact simple JTS  $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, B_\tau)$ :

$$(3.10) \quad P(X)Y = (XYX), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

The structure group  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$  of the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$  is, by definition, the totality of the elements  $g \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$  satisfying the condition:

$$(3.11) \quad g(XYU) = ((gX)(g^{*-1}Y)(gU)), \quad X, Y, U \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1},$$

where  $g^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $g$  with respect to the trace form of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . A computation shows that

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Str } \mathfrak{B} = \{g \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) : P(gX) = gP(X)g^*, X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\}.$$

Noting that the GLA  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the Kantor-Tits-Koecher construction for  $B_\tau$ , we conclude from Satake [21] that the group  $G_0$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$  and that this isomorphism is given by taking the restriction of the  $G_0$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . As a result, the rank of the operator  $P(X)$  is constant on each  $G_0$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , when  $X$  varies through that orbit. Let  $V_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq r$ ) be the union of  $G_0^0$ -orbits through the points  $o_{p,q}$

with  $p + q = k$ , that is,

$$(3.13) \quad V_k = \bigcup_{p+q=k} G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,q} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

**THEOREM 3.3** (Gindikin-Kaneyuki [6]). *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a real simple GLA and  $r$  be the split rank of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ . Then (1)  $V_k$  is expressed as*

$$(3.14) \quad V_k = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : \text{rk } P(X) = i_k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r,$$

where  $\text{rk}$  denotes the rank and  $i_k = \text{rk } P(o_{k,0})$ . The closure  $\bar{V}_k$  of  $V_k$  is given by

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{V}_k = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : \text{rk } P(X) \leq i_k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

(2) Each  $V_k$  is  $G_0$ -stable and

$$(3.16) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = V_0 \amalg V_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg V_r.$$

(3) An orbit  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,q}$  is open if and only if it is contained in  $V_r$ , or equivalently,  $p + q = r$ .

The assertion (2) was obtained also by Takeuchi [27] by a different method.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$  denote the automorphism group of the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then*

$$(3.17) \quad \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B} = K_0.$$

**PROOF.** The trace form  $\gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is positive definite, since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is compact.  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$  is, by definition, the subgroup of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B} = G_0$  consisting of all elements  $g \in \text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$  satisfying the condition

$$(3.18) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}(gX, gY) = \gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

On the other hand, we have (cf. [1] and Lemma 3.10 [13])

$$(3.19) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{B}}(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}(X, \tau Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Now let  $g \in K_0$ . Then, since  $g$  commutes with  $\tau$ , we have that  $g$  satisfies (3.18), which implies that  $K_0 \subset \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ . By the definition,  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$ . But  $K_0$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_0$ . Hence we have that  $K_0 = \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ .  $\square$

#### § 4. The orbit decompositions of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a real simple GLA, and  $r$  be the split rank of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ . Suppose that  $\Delta^*$  is of type  $A$ . Then the orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  under the groups  $G_0^0$  and  $G_0$  are given by*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \prod_{p+q \leq r} G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,q} = \prod_{\substack{p+q \leq r \\ p \geq q}} G_0 \cdot o_{p,q}.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $\Delta^*$  is of type  $A$ , it follows (Tables I and II) that  $\mathfrak{A}_r = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_r)$  is a compact simple Jordan algebra. In this case, the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$  comes from the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_r$ . As a result,  $G_0$ , identified with the structure group  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$ , coincides with the struc-

ture group of  $\mathfrak{A}_r$ . Therefore the first equality in (4.1) is the one proved by Kaneyuki [9, 10] and Satake [23]. Since  $\mathfrak{A}_r$  is compact simple, it is known (Koecher [15], Vinberg [29]) that  $V_{r,0} := G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0}$  is a homogeneous irreducible self-dual convex cone in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Let  $G(V_{r,0})$  be the automorphism group of the cone  $V_{r,0}$ . By Satake [21], we have

$$(4.2) \quad G_0|_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} = \text{Str } \mathfrak{B} = G(V_{r,0}) \times \{\pm 1\}.$$

As was shown in [10], any  $G(V_{r,0})$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  coincides with a  $G_0^0$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Therefore the second equality in (4.1) follows from (4.2).  $\square$

Now let

$$(4.3) \quad \Gamma_k = \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^k \delta_{i_\ell} e_{i_\ell} \in \mathfrak{a}_{-1} : \delta_{i_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_k} = \pm 1, \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq r \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r, \\ \Gamma_0 = \{0\}.$$

Then the Weyl group  $W(\Delta^*)$  acts on  $\Gamma_k$  by (3.9) and we have

$$(4.4) \quad \Gamma_k = \bigcup_{p+q=k} W(\Delta^*) \cdot o_{p,q}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

Therefore it follows from (3.7) and (3.13) that

$$(4.5) \quad V_k = G_0^0 \Gamma_k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $r$  be the same as in Theorem 4.1. Suppose that  $\Delta^*$  is of type B, BC or C. Then the orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  under  $G_0^0$  and  $G_0$  are given by*

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \prod_{k=0}^r G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} = \prod_{k=0}^r G_0 \cdot o_{k,0}.$$

*In particular, there is a single open orbit  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0} = G_0 \cdot o_{r,0}$ .*

**PROOF.** In view of (3.16), it suffices to show that

$$(4.7) \quad V_k = G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} = G_0 \cdot o_{k,0}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

By the assumption for  $\Delta^*$ , the Weyl group  $W(\Delta^*)$  consists of all signed permutations of the form (3.9). Consequently,  $W(\Delta^*)$  acts on  $\Gamma_k$  transitively, i.e.,  $\Gamma_k = W(\Delta^*) \cdot o_{k,0}$ . Hence (4.5) implies the first equality in (4.7). The second equality in (4.7) follows from the fact that  $V_k$  is  $G_0$ -stable (Theorem 3.3).  $\square$

**REMARK.** The second equality in (4.7) was obtained also by Takeuchi [27].

In the following we will be concerned exclusively with the case where  $\Delta^*$  is of type D.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Suppose that  $\Delta^*$  is of type  $D_r$ . Then*

$$(4.8) \quad V_r = G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0} \cup G_0^0 \cdot o_{r-1,1}.$$

$$(4.9) \quad V_k = G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} = G_0 \cdot o_{k,0}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r - 1.$$

PROOF. In view of (4.5), it suffices to prove that

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_r &= W(\Delta^*) \cdot o_{r,0} \coprod W(\Delta^*) \cdot o_{r-1,1}, \\ \Gamma_k &= W(\Delta^*) \cdot o_{k,0}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r-1. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption for  $\Delta^*$ , a signed permutation  $e_i \mapsto \delta_i e_i$ ,  $\delta_i = \pm 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) lies in  $W(\Delta^*)$  if and only if  $\prod_{i=1}^r \delta_i = 1$ . Therefore  $o_{p,q}$  with  $q$  even (resp. odd) is conjugate to  $o_{r,0}$  (resp.  $o_{r-1,1}$ ) under  $W(\Delta^*)$ . Hence (4.10)<sub>1</sub> follows from (4.4). Let us next consider  $o_{p,q}$  with  $p+q = k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ . If  $q$  is even, then  $o_{p,q}$  is conjugate to  $o_{k,0}$  under  $W(\Delta^*)$ . Suppose  $q$  is odd. Let  $\mu$  be the signed permutation defined by  $\mu(e_\ell) = \delta_\ell e_\ell$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ ), where  $\delta_\ell = -1$  for  $p+1 \leq \ell \leq p+q+1$ , otherwise  $\delta_\ell = 1$ . Then  $\mu$  belongs to  $W(\Delta^*)$  and  $\mu(o_{p,q}) = o_{k,0}$ . This implies (4.10)<sub>2</sub>.  $\square$

Back to the situation in §2, suppose that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $C$ , and consider the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_p = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p)$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq r$ . Let  $P_p : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be the quadratic representation of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then we have

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $0 \leq p \leq r$ . Then*

$$(4.11) \quad P(X) = P_p(X)P(o_{p,r-p}), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Moreover the operator  $P(o_{p,r-p})$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

PROOF. Let  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . By using (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} P(X)Y &= (XYX) = (X \square_p Y^*) \square_p X + X \square_p (Y^* \square_p X) - Y^* \square_p (X \square_p X) \\ &= 2X \square_p (X \square_p Y^*) - (X \square_p X) \square_p Y^* \\ &= P_p(X)Y^* = P_p(X)P(o_{p,r-p})Y. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $C$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  (cf. §2). On the other hand, by Satake [21],  $\pm 1$  are the only eigenvalues of  $P(o_{p,r-p})$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(1)$ , which yields the second assertion.  $\square$

Consider the JTS  $(\ )_p$  coming from  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  ( $0 \leq p \leq r$ ):

$$(4.13) \quad (XYU)_p = (X \square_p Y) \square_p U + X \square_p (Y \square_p U) - Y \square_p (X \square_p U),$$

where  $X, Y, U \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , and define the linear operator  $L_p(X, Y)$  by

$$(4.14) \quad L_p(X, Y)U = (XYU)_p.$$

LEMMA 4.5. *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then*

$$(4.15) \quad L_p(X, Y) = L(X, P(o_{p,r-p})Y).$$

PROOF. For simplicity we write  $f_p$  for  $o_{p,r-p}$ . By the definition of a JTS, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} L(X, P(f_p)Y)U &= (X(f_p Y f_p)U) \\ &= ((Y f_p X) f_p U) + (X f_p (Y f_p U)) - (Y f_p (X f_p U)) \\ &= (X \square_p Y) \square_p U + X \square_p (Y \square_p U) - Y \square_p (X \square_p U) \\ &= (XYU)_p = L_p(X, Y)U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Suppose that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C. Let  $(\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0$  and  $(\text{Str } \mathfrak{B})^0$  denote the identity components of the structure groups  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$ , respectively. Then we have*

$$(4.17) \quad (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0 = (\text{Str } \mathfrak{B})^0 = G_0^0.$$

PROOF. Lie  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$  (resp. Lie  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{B}$ ) is generated by  $L_p(X, Y)$  (resp.  $L(X, Y)$ ), when  $X$  and  $Y$  vary through  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Therefore the proposition follows from Lemma 4.5 and the non-degeneracy of  $P(o_{p,r-p})$ .  $\square$

Table II tells us that if  $\Delta^*$  is of type  $D_r$ , then  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C. In this case one has the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_r = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_r)$  (Proposition 2.2).

PROPOSITION 4.7. *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a real simple GLA. Suppose that  $\Delta^*$  is of type  $D_r$ . Let  $N$  be the reduced norm of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_r = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_r)$ . Suppose  $N(o_{r,0})N(o_{r-1,1}) < 0$ . Then*

$$(4.18) \quad V_r = G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0} \amalg G_0^0 \cdot o_{r-1,1}.$$

*In particular, there are exactly two open  $G_0^0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .*

PROOF. By the assumption,  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type C. Therefore, by Corollary 2.11 [6], we have that  $V_r = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : \det P(X) \neq 0\}$ . Lemma 4.4 implies that  $X \in V_r$  if and only if  $\det P_r(X) \neq 0$  if and only if  $N(X) \neq 0$ . We have thus

$$(4.19) \quad V_r = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : N(X) \neq 0\}.$$

Let  $V_r^+$  (resp.  $V_r^-$ ) be the totality of elements  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  satisfying  $N(X) > 0$  (resp.  $< 0$ ). Then

$$(4.20) \quad V_r = V_r^+ \amalg V_r^-.$$

Suppose for simplicity that  $N(o_{r,0}) > 0$ . Then  $N(o_{r-1,1}) < 0$ . We have  $o_{r,0} \in V_r^+$  and  $o_{r-1,1} \in V_r^-$ . The reduced norm  $N$  is a relative invariant polynomial on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , that is,

$$(4.21) \quad N(gX) = \chi(g)N(X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \quad g \in \text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_r,$$

where  $\chi$  is an  $\mathbf{R}^*$ -valued character of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_r$ . Suppose now that  $g \in G_0^0 = (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_r)^0$  (cf. Proposition 4.6). Then we have  $N(g o_{r,0}) = \chi(g)N(o_{r,0}) > 0$ , and hence  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0} \subset V_r^+$ . Similarly  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{r-1,1} \subset V_r^-$ . These two imply (4.18).  $\square$

COROLLARY 4.8. *Under the situation in Proposition 4.7, suppose that  $N(o_{r,0}) > 0$  (resp.  $< 0$ ) and  $N(o_{r-1,1}) < 0$  (resp.  $> 0$ ). Then*

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} G_0^0 \cdot o_{r,0} &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : N(X) > 0 \text{ (resp. } < 0)\}, \\ G_0^0 \cdot o_{r-1,1} &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : N(X) < 0 \text{ (resp. } > 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**§ 5. The orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  (continued).**

In this section we consider the case where  $\Delta^*$  is of type D.

5.1.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (\mathfrak{sl}(2p, \mathbf{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbf{R}) + \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{R}, M_p(\mathbf{R}))$ . Then the orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  under the groups  $G_0^0$  and  $G_0$  are given by*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} \amalg \prod G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,0} \amalg \prod G_0^0 \cdot o_{p-1,1},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \prod_{k=0}^p G_0 \cdot o_{k,0}.$$

There are exactly two open orbits  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,0}$  and  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p-1,1}$  which are mutually diffeomorphic.

**PROOF.** In this case,  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_{2p-1}$  and is given by

$$(5.3) \quad \Delta = \{ \pm(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq 2p \}.$$

The simple root system  $\Pi$  is given by

$$(5.4) \quad \Pi = \{ \alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq 2p - 1 \}.$$

Since  $\Pi_1 = \{ \alpha_p \}$  (cf. Table I), we have

$$(5.5) \quad \Delta_1 = \{ \lambda_i - \lambda_{p+j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p \}.$$

The corresponding gradation of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2p, \mathbf{R})$  is

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ &\quad \begin{array}{cc} p & p \\ \leftrightarrow & \leftrightarrow \end{array} \\ &= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline * & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} \uparrow p \\ \downarrow p \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} * & 0 \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array} \right) \right\} + \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(5.7) \quad \Gamma = \{ \beta_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{p+i} : 1 \leq i \leq p \}.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a maximal system of strongly orthogonal roots in  $\Delta_1$ . Let  $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = M_p(\mathbf{R})$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ) be the matrix whose  $(k, \ell)$ -entry is  $\delta_{ik}\delta_{j\ell}$ . It can be seen that the root vector  $E_{-i} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\beta_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) is given by the matrix  $E_{ii} \in M_p(\mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Therefore

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} o_{k,0} &= \sum_{i=1}^k E_{ii} \in M_p(\mathbf{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ o_{p-1,1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} E_{ii} - E_{pp}. \end{aligned}$$

The reduced norm  $N$  of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_p = M_p(\mathbf{R})$  is given by  $N(X) = \det X$ ,  $X \in M_p(\mathbf{R})$ . Hence  $N(o_{p,0}) = 1$  and  $N(o_{p-1,1}) = -1$ . Consequently, by Proposition 4.7, we have that  $V_p = G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,0} \amalg G_0^0 \cdot o_{p-1,1}$ . Combining this with (4.9) and (3.16), we get (5.1).

Let us next consider the  $G_0$ -orbit decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2p, \mathbf{R})$ , it is known (Matsumoto [19]) that  $Q_1 \bmod Q_0$  is generated by  $\varepsilon_1$ . Since  $\varepsilon_1$  is not in  $G^0$ , we have  $\varepsilon_1 \in G_0 - G_0^0$  (cf. (3.4)). Choose the subset  $\Pi'_1 = \{ \alpha_1 \}$  of  $\Pi$ . Then

$h_{\Pi'_1}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ . Let

$$(5.9) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}'_0 + \mathfrak{g}'_1$$

be the gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $\Pi'_1$  (cf. § 1), and let

$$(5.10) \quad \Delta = \prod_{k=-1}^1 \Delta'_k$$

be the corresponding partition of  $\Delta$ . Since  $\beta_1 \in \Delta'_1$  and  $\beta_k \in \Delta'_0$  for  $k \geq 2$ , we have that  $E_{-1}$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$  and  $E_{-k}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) lies in  $\mathfrak{g}'_0$ . On the other hand  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_0$  and  $\varepsilon_1 = -1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}'_1$  (cf. (3.1), (1.7), (1.11), (1.12)). Hence  $\varepsilon_1$  sends  $E_{-1}$  to  $-E_{-1}$  and leaves  $E_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) fixed. Consequently  $\varepsilon_1(o_{p,0}) = -E_{-1} + \sum_{i=2}^p E_{-i}$ . Let  $a \in W(\Delta^*)$  be the element interchanging  $E_{-1}$  with  $E_{-p}$  and leaving all other  $E_{-k}$  ( $k \neq 1, p$ ) fixed. Then it follows that  $a\varepsilon_1(o_{p,0}) = o_{p-1,1}$ , and hence  $G_0 \cdot o_{p-1,1} = G_0 a \varepsilon_1(o_{p,0}) = G_0 \cdot o_{p,0}$ , which proves (5.2). Since  $\varepsilon_1$  normalizes  $G_0^0$ , it is easily seen that  $\varepsilon_1$  sends  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,0}$  to  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p-1,1}$ .  $\square$

### 5.2.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (\mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n), \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbf{R}), \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}))$ . Then the orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  under the groups  $G_0^0$  and  $G_0$  are given by (5.1) and (5.2) with  $p$  replaced by  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n)$  is realized as

$$(5.11) \quad \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(4n, \mathbf{R}) : {}^tAS + SA = 0\} \\ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} : {}^tA_1 + A_4 = 0, \quad A_2, A_3 \in \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) \right\},$$

where  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The root system  $\Delta$  is of type  $D_{2n}$ .

$$(5.12) \quad \Delta = \{\pm(\lambda_i \pm \lambda_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}, \\ \Pi = \{\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1), \alpha_{2n} = \lambda_{2n-1} + \lambda_{2n}\}.$$

Since  $\Pi_1 = \{\alpha_{2n}\}$  (cf. Table I), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \Delta_1 = \{\lambda_i + \lambda_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}.$$

The gradation  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  corresponding to  $\Pi_1$  is given by (5.6) with  $p$  replaced by  $2n$ . Put

$$(5.14) \quad \Gamma = \{\beta_i = \lambda_{2i-1} + \lambda_{2i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a maximal system of strongly orthogonal roots in  $\Delta_1$ . It can be seen that the root vector  $E_{-i} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\beta_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is given by the matrix  $-E_{2i-1,2i} + E_{2i,2i-1} \in \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . If we denote by  $\text{Pff}(X)$  the Pfaffian of an alternating matrix  $X$ , then the above matrix realization of  $E_{-i}$  shows that  $\text{Pff}(o_{n,0}) = (-1)^n$  and  $\text{Pff}(o_{n-1,1}) = (-1)^{n-1}$ . Since the Pfaffian is the reduced norm of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ , it follows from Proposition 4.7 that  $V_n = G_0^0 \cdot o_{n,0} \amalg G_0^0 \cdot o_{n-1,1}$ . Therefore we get (5.1) with  $p$  replaced by  $n$ .

Let us next study the open  $G_0$ -orbits. For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n)$ , it is known (Matsumoto [19]) that  $\varepsilon_1$  is one of representatives of  $Q_1 \bmod Q_0$ . Similarly as before, we have  $\varepsilon_1 \in G_0 - G_0^0$ . Choose a subset  $\Pi'_1 = \{\alpha_1\}$  of  $\Pi$ . Then  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\vartheta) = 1$ .

Consider the gradation (5.9) of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, 2n)$  corresponding to  $\Pi'_1$  and the partition (5.10) of  $\Delta$ . Since  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\beta_1) = 1 \neq 0$  and  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\beta_k) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ , we have that  $E_{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$  and  $E_{-k} \in \mathfrak{g}'_0$  for  $k \geq 2$ . On the other hand  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_0$  and  $= -1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}'_1$ . Hence  $\varepsilon_1$  sends  $E_{-1}$  to  $-E_{-1}$  and leaves  $E_{-k}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) fixed. Let  $a \in W(\Delta^*)$  be the element interchanging  $E_{-1}$  with  $E_{-n}$  and leaving all other elements  $E_{-k}$  ( $k \neq 1, n$ ) fixed. Then we have that  $a\varepsilon_1(o_{n,0}) = o_{n-1,1}$ , and hence  $G_0 \cdot o_{n-1,1} = G_0 \cdot o_{n,0}$ , which proves (5.2) with  $p$  replaced by  $n$ . Since  $\varepsilon_1$  normalizes  $G_0^0$ , we see that  $\varepsilon_1(G_0^0 \cdot o_{n,0}) = G_0^0 \cdot o_{n-1,1}$ .  $\square$

**5.3** Let us now consider the case  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (E_{7(7)}, E_{6(6)} + \mathbf{R}, H_3(\mathcal{O}'))$ . There is only one possibility of gradations of the first kind for  $\mathfrak{g} = E_{7(7)}$ . That gradation corresponds to  $\Pi_1 = \{\alpha_7\}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ , where

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_1 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7, \\ \beta_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7, \\ \beta_3 = \alpha_7. \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that  $\Gamma$  is a maximal system of strongly orthogonal roots in  $\Delta_1$ . As was shown in [6],  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}, e_i = E_{-i}$ , is a frame (= a maximal system of orthogonal primitive idempotents) of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . In the present case, the triple product  $B_\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  comes from the natural Jordan algebra structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = H_3(\mathcal{O}')$  (cf. Loos [18]), that is,

$$(5.16) \quad B_\tau(X, U, Y) = X \circ (U \circ Y) + (X \circ U) \circ Y - U \circ (X \circ Y),$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the Jordan multiplication in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Therefore the two structure groups coincide:

$$(5.17) \quad \text{Str } \mathfrak{A} = \text{Str } \mathfrak{B}.$$

Let  $e_{ii}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) be the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(\delta_{1i}, \delta_{2i}, \delta_{3i}) \in H_3(\mathcal{O}')$ . Then  $\{e_{11}, e_{22}, e_{33}\}$  is a frame in  $H_3(\mathcal{O}')$ .

**LEMMA 5.3.**  $o_{3,0}$  is an invertible element in the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A} := H_3(\mathcal{O}')$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $P_{\mathfrak{A}}$  be the quadratic representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then (5.16) implies that  $P_{\mathfrak{A}}(X) = P(X)$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = H_3(\mathcal{O}')$ , and hence  $P_{\mathfrak{A}}(o_{3,0}) = P(o_{3,0})$ . The operator  $P(o_{3,0})$  is nondegenerate, by Lemma 4.4. Therefore  $o_{3,0}$  is an invertible element in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\square$

Recall the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_3 = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_3)$  in §2. By (5.16),  $\mathfrak{A}_3$  is a mutant of  $\mathfrak{A}$  by the invertible element  $o_{3,0}$ .

**LEMMA 5.4.**  $N(o_{3,0})N(o_{2,1}) < 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $N_{\mathfrak{A}}$  be the reduced norm of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then we have (Braun-Koecher [3])

$$(5.18) \quad N(X) = N_{\mathfrak{A}}(X)N_{\mathfrak{A}}(o_{3,0}), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Since  $o_{3,0}$  is invertible in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have  $N_{\mathfrak{A}}(o_{3,0}) \neq 0$ . Now consider the two frames  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  and  $\{e_{11}, e_{22}, e_{33}\}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . By Proposition 11.8 in Loos [18] and Lemma 3.4 here, there exists an element  $k \in K_0^0$  such that

$$(5.19) \quad ke_{3,0} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_i e_{ii},$$

where  $\delta_i = \pm 1$ .  $N_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a relative invariant polynomial for the group  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}$ . Therefore there exists an  $\mathbf{R}^*$ -valued character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A} = \text{Str } \mathfrak{B} = G_0$  such that

$$(5.20) \quad N_{\mathfrak{A}}(gX) = \chi(g)N_{\mathfrak{A}}(X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, g \in G_0.$$

Since  $K_0$  is contained in the commutator subgroup  $[G_0, G_0]$ ,  $\chi(K_0) = 1$ . Therefore we have

$$(5.21) \quad N_{\mathfrak{A}}(o_{3,0}) = N_{\mathfrak{A}}(ko_{3,0}) = N_{\mathfrak{A}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_i e_{ii}\right) = \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3.$$

Similarly we have  $N_{\mathfrak{A}}(o_{2,1}) = -\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3$ . Therefore, in view of (5.18), we have  $N(o_{3,0})N(o_{2,1}) < 0$ . □

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (E_{7(7)}, E_{6(6)} + \mathbf{R}, H_3(\mathbf{O}'))$ . Then the orbit decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  under the groups  $G_0^0$  and  $G_0$  are given by*

$$(5.22) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \coprod_{k=0}^2 G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} \coprod G_0^0 \cdot o_{3,0} \coprod G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,1},$$

$$(5.23) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \coprod_{k=0}^3 G_0 \cdot o_{k,0}.$$

*There are exactly two open orbits  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{3,0}$  and  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,1}$  which are mutually diffeomorphic. There is a single open  $G_0$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .*

**PROOF.** (5.22) follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 5.4 and Proposition 4.7. Let us consider the  $G_0$ -orbit decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . In the present case  $\mathfrak{g} = E_{7(7)}, \mathcal{Q}_1 \bmod \mathcal{Q}_0$  is generated by  $\varepsilon_2$  (Matsumoto [19]), and hence  $\varepsilon_2 \in G_0 - G_0^0$ . Consider the subset  $\Pi'_1 = \{\alpha_2\}$  of  $\Pi_1$ . Then  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\mathcal{G}) = 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=-2}^2 \mathfrak{g}'_k$  be the gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $\Pi'_1$  and let  $\mathcal{A} = \coprod_{k=-2}^2 \mathcal{A}'_k$  be the corresponding partition of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By the same reason as for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2p, \mathbf{R})$ , we have that  $\varepsilon_2 = 1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}'_0 + \mathfrak{g}'_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = -1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}'_1$ . On the other hand, we have  $\beta_1 \in \mathcal{A}'_2, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{A}'_1$  and  $\beta_3 \in \mathcal{A}'_0$  (cf. (5.15)). Consequently  $\varepsilon_2(o_{3,0}) = e_1 - e_2 + e_3$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}^*)$  be the element interchanging  $e_2$  with  $e_3$  and leaving  $e_1$  fixed. Then it follows that  $a\varepsilon_2(o_{3,0}) = o_{2,1}$ , which implies  $\varepsilon_2(G_0^0 \cdot o_{3,0}) = G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,1}$ . This proves (5.23). □

**5.4.** Let us consider the final case  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1), \mathfrak{so}(p, q) + \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{p+q})$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq q$ , in which case  $r = 2$  (cf. Table II). The root system  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $B_{p+1}$  or  $D_{p+1}$ , according as  $p < q$  or  $p = q$ , respectively.  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$(5.24) \quad \mathcal{A} = \{\pm(\lambda_i \pm \lambda_j) \mid (1 \leq i < j \leq p+1); \lambda_i \mid (1 \leq i \leq p+1)\}, \quad p < q,$$

or

$$\Delta = \{ \pm(\lambda_i \pm \lambda_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq p + 1 \}, \quad p = q.$$

The gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponds to the subset  $\Pi_1 = \{ \alpha_1 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \}$  of  $\Pi$ .  $\Delta_1$  is given by

$$(5.25) \quad \Delta_1 = \{ \lambda_1 \pm \lambda_i \ (2 \leq i \leq p + 1); \lambda_1 \},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  occurs only when  $p < q$ . The subset of  $\Delta_1$

$$(5.26) \quad \Gamma = \{ \beta_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \beta_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \}$$

is a maximal system of strongly orthogonal roots in  $\Delta_1$ . In this situation we get the simple Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_2)$  of rank 2 with unit element  $e := o_{2,0}$  (cf. §2). We need some results on simple Jordan algebras of rank 2 due to Braun-Koecher [3]: The reduced norm  $N$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  is of signature  $(p, q)$ , and the multiplication  $\square_2$  can be expressed as

$$(5.27) \quad x \square_2 y = N(e, x)y + N(e, y)x - N(x, y)e, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1},$$

where  $N(x, y) = (1/2)(N(x + y) - N(x) - N(y))$ . From this it follows that

$$(5.28) \quad N(e_1, e_1) = N(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad N(e_1, e_2) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**THEOREM 5.6.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = (\mathfrak{so}(p + 1, q + 1), \mathfrak{so}(p, q) + \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{p+q})$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq q$ . Then the  $G_0^0$ -orbit decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is given by*

$$(5.29) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \coprod_{k=0}^1 G_0^0 \cdot o_{k,0} \coprod G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,0} \coprod G_0^0 \cdot o_{1,1}.$$

**PROOF.** By using (5.28), we see that  $N(o_{2,0}) = 1$  and  $N(o_{1,1}) = -1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.7, the assertion follows.  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 5.6, the  $G_0$ -orbit decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is given as follows:*

$$(5.30) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \coprod_{k=0}^2 G_0 \cdot o_{k,0} \quad \text{for } p = q,$$

$$(5.31) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \coprod_{k=0}^1 G_0 \cdot o_{k,0} \coprod G_0 \cdot o_{2,0} \coprod G_0 \cdot o_{1,1} \quad \text{for } p < q.$$

**PROOF.** Suppose first  $p = q$ . In this case, one of generators of  $\mathcal{Q}_1 \bmod \mathcal{Q}_0$  is  $\varepsilon_{p+1}$  (Matsumoto [19]). Note that  $\varepsilon_{p+1} \in G_0 - G_0^0$ . Choose the subset  $\Pi'_1 = \{ \alpha_{p+1} \}$  of  $\Pi$ . Then  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\vartheta) = 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{k=-1}^1 \mathfrak{g}'_k$  be the gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $\Pi'_1$ , and let  $\Delta = \coprod_{k=-1}^1 \Delta'_k$  be the corresponding partition of  $\Delta$ . We have  $\varepsilon_{p+1} = 1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_0$ , and  $\varepsilon_{p+1} = -1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}'_1$ . We also have  $\beta_1 \in \Delta'_1$  and  $\beta_2 \in \Delta'_0$ , since  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\beta_1) = 1$  and  $h_{\Pi'_1}(\beta_2) = 0$ . As a result,  $\varepsilon_{p+1}(o_{2,0}) = -e_1 + e_2$ . Choose an element  $a \in \mathcal{W}(\Delta^*)$  interchanging  $e_1$  with  $e_2$ . Then  $a\varepsilon_{p+1}(o_{2,0}) = o_{1,1}$ , which implies that  $\varepsilon_{p+1}(G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,0}) = G_0^0 \cdot o_{1,1}$ . This, together with Lemma 4.3, proves (5.30).

Next consider the case  $p < q$ . Put  $C_{pq}^+ = G_0^0 \cdot o_{2,0}$  and  $C_{pq}^- = G_0^0 \cdot o_{1,1}$  for simplicity. Choose a coordinate system  $(x_i)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathbf{R}^{p+q}$  such that the reduced norm  $N(X)$  is expressed as the canonical form  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$ . Then

$$(5.32) \quad C_{pq}^\pm = \left\{ (x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{p+q} : \sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} x_j^2 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $S_{pq}^\pm$  be the level surfaces of  $N$ , that is,

$$(5.33) \quad S_{pq}^\pm = \{(x_i) \in C_{pq}^\pm : N(X) = \pm 1\}.$$

Then  $C_{pq}^\pm$  are diffeomorphic to  $S_{pq}^\pm \times \mathbf{R}^+$ , respectively. An easy argument shows that  $S_{pq}^+$  (resp.  $S_{pq}^-$ ) is diffeomorphic to  $S^{p-1} \times \mathbf{R}^q$  (resp.  $S^{q-1} \times \mathbf{R}^p$ ), where  $S^k$  denotes a  $k$ -sphere. Consider the  $i$ -th homology groups  $H_i(C_{pq}^\pm, \mathbf{Z}), 0 \leq i \leq p+q$ . Then the above argument shows that  $H_i(C_{pq}^+, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_i(S^{p-1}, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $H_i(C_{pq}^-, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_i(S^{q-1}, \mathbf{Z})$ . Suppose that  $C_{pq}^\pm$  are homeomorphic to each other. Then we have  $H_i(S^{p-1}, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_i(S^{q-1}, \mathbf{Z})$  for any  $i, 0 \leq i \leq p+q$ , which implies  $p = q$ . This contradicts the hypothesis  $p < q$ . Therefore  $C_{pq}^+$  is not homeomorphic to  $C_{pq}^-$ . Suppose now that there exists only one open  $G_0$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then there exists  $a \in G_0 - G_0^0$  such that  $ao_{2,0} = o_{1,1}$ . We then have  $a(C_{pq}^+) = C_{pq}^-$ , and hence  $C_{pq}^+$  is homeomorphic to  $C_{pq}^-$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore there are exactly two open  $G_0$ -orbits.  $\square$

### 6. Open $G_0^0$ -orbits

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a real simple GLA. Suppose that the split root system  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is of type  $C_r$ . Then we have the simple Jordan algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_p = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \square_p)$  with unit element  $o_{p,r-p} (0 \leq p \leq r)$  (cf. §2). For an element  $g \in \text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$ , we define

$$(6.1) \quad \theta(g) := (g^*)^{-1},$$

where  $g^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $g$  with respect to the trace form  $\gamma_p$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then  $\theta$  is an involutive automorphism of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$ . We denote by  $\text{Aut}_{\text{JTS}} \mathfrak{A}_p$  the automorphism group of the JTS (4.13) coming from the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ , and we denote by  $(\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)_\theta$  the subgroup of  $\theta$ -fixed elements of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then, by the definition of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{JTS}} \mathfrak{A}_p$ , we have

$$(6.2) \quad (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)_\theta = \text{Aut}_{\text{JTS}} \mathfrak{A}_p,$$

**PROPOSITION 6.1.** *Suppose that the split root system  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  is of type  $C_r$ . Then the open orbit  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,r-p} (0 \leq p \leq r)$  is expressed as a symmetric coset space:*

$$(6.3) \quad G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,r-p} = (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0 / (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0 \cap \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p,$$

where  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p$  denotes the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . (Note that  $G_0^0 = (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0$  by (4.17)).

PROOF.  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p$  is an open subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{JTS}} \mathfrak{A}_p$  (cf. Satake [21]). Consequently, noting (6.2), we have the inclusions

$$(6.4) \quad ((\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)_\theta)^0 \subset \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p \subset (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)_\theta.$$

By taking the intersection of each term in (6.4) with  $(\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0$ , it follows that

$$(6.5) \quad (((\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)_\theta)^0)^0 \subset (\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0 \cap \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p \subset ((\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p)^0)_\theta,$$

which implies that the coset space in the right-hand side of (6.3) is a symmetric coset space. Since  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}_p$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $\text{Str } \mathfrak{A}_p$  at the unit element  $o_{p,r-p}$ ,  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,r-p}$  has the coset space expression (6.3).  $\square$

Every open orbit  $G_0^0 \cdot o_{p,r-p}$  is an  $\omega$ -domain in the sense of Koecher [16], since that orbit is a connected component of  $V_r$  (note that  $V_r$  coincides with the totality of invertible elements in  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ , by Lemma 4.4). As a result, open  $G_0^0$ -orbits exhaust all  $\omega$ -domains in real simple Jordan algebras. The results similar to Proposition 6.1 were obtained also by Faraut-Gindikin [5] and Vinberg [29].

REMARK 6.2. Assuming that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c})$  is of type  $C$ , let us consider the quadratic representation  $P(X)$  of the JTS  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $P(X)$  is nondegenerate for  $X \in V_r$  ([6]).  $\det P(X)$  has a constant sign on each connected component of  $V_r$ . Put

$$(6.6) \quad \Phi(X) = \log |\det P(X)|, \quad X \in V_r.$$

Then, by Koecher [16] together with Lemma 4.4, the Hessian  $\text{Hess}(\Phi(X))$  is nondegenerate on each open  $G_0^0$ -orbit. Hence  $\text{Hess}(\Phi(X))$  is a  $G_0^0$ -invariant pseudo-riemannian metric on it. As a conclusion, an open  $G_0^0$ -orbit provides with an example of pseudo-Hessian symmetric space (For the definition of a Hessian symmetric space, see Shima [24]).

In the following, we give the explicit forms of open  $G_0^0$ -orbits and their coset space expression (6.3) for each simple  $\text{GLA}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1})$  with split root system of type  $C$ . Partial results have been obtained by Kaneyuki [11] and d'Atri-Gindikin [4].

(I1) with  $p = n/2$ ,

$$\{X \in M_p(\mathbf{R}) : \det X > 0\}, \quad \{X \in M_p(\mathbf{R}) : \det X < 0\}.$$

Both are expressed as  $GL(p, \mathbf{R})^0 \times GL(p, \mathbf{R})^0 / \text{diagonal}$ .

(I2) with  $p = n/2$ ,

$$\{X \in M_p(\mathbf{H}) : \det X \neq 0\} = GL(p, \mathbf{H}) \times GL(p, \mathbf{H}) / \text{diagonal}.$$

$$(I3) \quad H_{n-i,i}(\mathbf{C}) = GL(n, \mathbf{C}) / U(n-i, i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$(I4) \quad H_{n-i,i}(\mathbf{R}) = GL(n, \mathbf{R})^0 / SO(n-i, i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$(I5) \quad \{X \in SH_n(\mathbf{H}) : \det X \neq 0\} = GL(n, \mathbf{H}) / SO^*(2n).$$

(I6) i)  $p = 0$ ,

$$\{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^q : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_q^2 \neq 0\} = \mathbf{R}^+ \times SO(q) / SO(q-1).$$

ii)  $p = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{q+1} : x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_{q+1}^2 > 0, x_1 > 0\}, \\ & \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{q+1} : x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_{q+1}^2 > 0, x_1 < 0\}, \\ & \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{q+1} : x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_{q+1}^2 < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

The first two are expressed as  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times SO(1, q)^0 / SO(q)$ . The third one is expressed as  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times SO(1, q)^0 / SO(1, q - 1)^0$ .

iii)  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{q+p} : \sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} x_j^2 > 0 \right\} = \mathbf{R}^+ \times SO(p, q)^0 / SO(p - 1, q)^0, \\ & \left\{ (x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{q+p} : \sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2 - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} x_j^2 < 0 \right\} = \mathbf{R}^+ \times SO(p, q)^0 / SO(p, q - 1)^0. \end{aligned}$$

(I7)  $H_{n-i,i}(\mathbf{H}) = GL(n, \mathbf{H}) / Sp(n - i, i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$

(I8)  $\{X \in \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) : \text{Pff}(X) > 0\}, \quad \{X \in \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) : \text{Pff}(X) < 0\}.$

Both are expressed as  $GL(2n, \mathbf{R})^0 / Sp(n, \mathbf{R})$ .

(I11)  $\{X \in H_3(\mathbf{O}') : N(X) > 0\}, \quad \{X \in H_3(\mathbf{O}') : N(X) < 0\},$

where  $N$  denotes the reduced norm of  $H_3(\mathbf{O}')$ . Both are expressed as  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times E_{6(6)} / F_{4(4)}$ .

(I12)  $H_{3-i,i}(\mathbf{O}), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$

$H_{3,0}(\mathbf{O})$  and  $H_{0,3}(\mathbf{O})$  are expressed as  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times E_{6(-26)} / F_4$ .

$H_{2,1}(\mathbf{O})$  and  $H_{1,2}(\mathbf{O})$  are expressed as  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times E_{6(-26)} / F_{4(-20)}$ .

(I13) with  $p = n/2$ ,

$$\{X \in M_p(\mathbf{C}) : \det X \neq 0\} = GL(p, \mathbf{C}) \times GL(p, \mathbf{C}) / \text{diagonal}.$$

(I14)  $\{X \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbf{C}) : \det X \neq 0\} = GL(p, \mathbf{C}) / SO(n, \mathbf{C}).$

(I15)  $\{(z_i) \in \mathbf{C}^n : z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2 \neq 0\} = \mathbf{C}^* \times SO(n, \mathbf{C}) / SO(n - 1, \mathbf{C}).$

(I16)  $\{X \in \text{Alt}_{2n}(\mathbf{C}) : \text{Pff}(X) \neq 0\} = GL(2n, \mathbf{C}) / Sp(n, \mathbf{C}).$

(I18)  $\{X \in H_3(\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{C}}) : N(X) \neq 0\} = \mathbf{C}^* \times E_6^{\mathbf{C}} / F_4^{\mathbf{C}},$

where  $N$  denotes the reduced norm of the Jordan algebra  $H_3(\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{C}})$ .

In the above list,  $H_{n-i,i}(\mathbf{K})$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$   $\mathbf{K}$ -hermitian matrices of signature  $(n - i, i)$ , where  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{O}$ .

### References

[ 1 ] H. Asano and S. Kaneyuki, On compact generalized Jordan triple systems of the second kind, Tokyo J. Math., 11 (1988), 105-118.

- [ 2 ] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre 4, 5 et 6, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [ 3 ] H. Braun and M. Koecher, *Jordan-Algebren*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
- [ 4 ] J. E. D'Atri and S. Gindikin, Siegel domain realization of pseudo-Hermitian symmetric manifolds, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **46** (1993), 91–125.
- [ 5 ] J. Faraut and S. Gindikin, Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type, in *Topics in Geometry, Honoring the Memory of Joe D'Atri*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1996, 123–154.
- [ 6 ] S. Gindikin and S. Kaneyuki, On the automorphism group of the generalized conformal structure of a symmetric R-space, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, **8** (1998), 21–33.
- [ 7 ] S. Kaneyuki, On orbit structure of compactifications of parahermitian symmetric spaces, *Japan. J. Math.*, **13** (1987), 333–370.
- [ 8 ] S. Kaneyuki, A decomposition theorem for simple Lie groups associated with parahermitian symmetric spaces, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **10** (1987), 363–373.
- [ 9 ] S. Kaneyuki, The Sylvester's law of inertia for Jordan algebras, *Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A*, **64** (1988), 311–313.
- [10] S. Kaneyuki, On the causal structures of Šilov boundaries of symmetric bounded domains, in *Prospects in Complex Geometry*, Lect. Notes in Math., 1468, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1991, 127–159.
- [11] S. Kaneyuki, Pseudo-hermitian symmetric spaces and Siegel domains over nondegenerate cones, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **20** (1991), 213–239.
- [12] S. Kaneyuki, On the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{ev}$  of semisimple graded Lie algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **45** (1993), 1–19.
- [13] S. Kaneyuki and H. Asano, Graded Lie algebras and generalized Jordan triple systems, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **112** (1988), 81–115.
- [14] S. Kobayashi and T. Nagano, On filtered Lie algebras and geometric structures I, *J. Math. Mech.*, **13** (1964), 875–908.
- [15] M. Koecher, Positivitätsbereiche im  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , *Amer. J. Math.*, **79** (1957), 575–596.
- [16] M. Koecher, *Jordan Algebras and their Applications*, Lect. Notes, Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, 1962.
- [17] O. Loos, Jordan triple systems, R-spaces and bounded symmetric domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77** (1971), 558–561.
- [18] O. Loos, *Bounded Symmetric Domains and Jordan Pairs*, Math. Lect. Univ. Calif., Irvine, 1977.
- [19] H. Matsumoto, Quelques remarques sur les groupes de Lie algébriques réels, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **16** (1964), 419–446.
- [20] T. Oshima and J. Sekiguchi, The restricted root system of a semisimple symmetric pair, in *Group Representations and Systems of Differential Equations*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math., 4, Kinokuniya, Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 433–497.
- [21] I. Satake, *Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo and Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1980.
- [22] I. Satake, A formula in simple Jordan algebras, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **36** (1984), 611–622.
- [23] I. Satake, On zeta functions associated with self-dual homogeneous cones, in *Reports on Symposium of Geometry and Automorphic Functions*, Tohoku Univ., Sendai, 1988, 145–168.
- [24] H. Shima, Symmetric spaces with invariant locally Hessian structures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **29** (1977), 581–589.
- [25] M. Takeuchi, Cell decompositions and Morse equalities on certain symmetric spaces, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **12** (1965), 81–192.
- [26] M. Takeuchi, On conjugate loci and cut loci of compact symmetric spaces II, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **3** (1979), 1–29.
- [27] M. Takeuchi, Basic transformations of symmetric R-spaces, *Osaka J. Math.*, **25** (1988), 259–297.
- [28] N. Tanaka, On nondegenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections, *Japan. J. Math.*, **2** (1976), 131–190.
- [29] E. B. Vinberg, Homogeneous cones, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk, SSSR*, **133** (1960), 9–12.

Soji KANEYUKI

Department of Mathematics  
Sophia University  
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan