

On the semisimplicity of Hecke algebras

By Akihiko GYOJA and Katsuhiko UNO

(Received July 6, 1987)

0. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system [2], t an indeterminate, $q=t^2$, and $H(W, t)$ a free $\mathbf{C}[t]$ -module with a basis $\{T(w)\}_{w \in W}$ parametrized by the elements of W . Here \mathbf{C} denotes the field of complex numbers. Then $H(W, t)$ has an associative $\mathbf{C}[t]$ -algebra structure characterized by the conditions

$$(T(s)+1)(T(s)-q) = 0, \quad \text{if } s \in S,$$

and

$$T(w)T(w') = T(ww'), \quad \text{if } l(w)+l(w')=l(ww'),$$

where l is the length function [2]. See [2; Chap. 4, §2, Ex. 23] for the algebra structure of $H(W, t)$. See [5] for the significance of $H(W, t)$ in the representation theory. Let α be a complex number, $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbf{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $\varphi_\alpha(t) = \alpha$, and $H(W, \alpha) = H(W, t) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} (\mathbf{C}, \varphi_\alpha)$.

From now on, we assume that W is finite, and (except in the final remark) not of type $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$. Let w_0 be the longest element of W , $N = l(w_0)$, and $G(q) = q^N \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}$.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *The \mathbf{C} -algebra $H(W, \alpha)$ is semisimple if and only if $G(\alpha^2) \neq 0$.*

1. Let

$$R_i: H(W, t) \longrightarrow M_{n_i \times n_i}(\mathbf{C}[t]) \quad (i=1, 2)$$

be $\mathbf{C}[t]$ -algebra homomorphisms. Here $M_{m \times n}$ denotes the set of $m \times n$ -matrices. Let $T^\wedge(w) = q^{N-l(w)} T(w^{-1})$, $A \in M_{n_1 \times n_2}(\mathbf{C}[t])$ and

$$B = \sum_{w \in W} R_1(T(w)) A R_2(T^\wedge(w)).$$

LEMMA. *For $x \in W$, $R_1(T(x))B = BR_2(T(x))$.*

PROOF. We may assume that $x = s \in S$. Let $X = \{w \in W \mid l(sw) > l(w)\}$. Since W is a disjoint union of X and sX , it is enough to prove that

This research was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 62740037), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

$$\begin{aligned} & R_1(T(s))\{R_1(T(w))AR_2(T^\wedge(w))+R_1(T(sw))AR_2(T^\wedge(sw))\} \\ &= \{R_1(T(w))AR_2(T^\wedge(w))+R_1(T(sw))AR_2(T^\wedge(sw))\}R_2(T(s)) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $w \in X$. The verification of this equality is easy and omitted.

2. Let $K = \mathbf{C}(t)$. Assume that $R_i \otimes K$ ($i=1, 2$) are irreducible representations.

If $R_1 \otimes K$ is not isomorphic to $R_2 \otimes K$, then as a consequence of the above lemma, we have

$$\sum_w R_1(T(w))_{ij} R_2(T^\wedge(w))_{kl} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, l.$$

Here $()_{ij}$ etc. mean matrix components. Hence if we put $\chi_i = \text{trace } R_i$,

$$\sum_w \chi_1(T(w)) \chi_2(T^\wedge(w)) = 0.$$

3. Assume that $R_1 \otimes K = R_2 \otimes K = R \otimes K$, and is irreducible. Let $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$ and $d_\chi = d_\chi(q)$ be the generic degree of R : The generic degree is characterized by the conditions

$$d_\chi(1) = \chi(1),$$

and

$$d_\chi(1)/d_\chi(q) = G(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(T(w)) \chi(T^\wedge(w)).$$

4. We now show the following well known result. However the proof given here seems to be simpler than known ones.

LEMMA. *The K -algebra $H(W, t) \otimes K$ is semisimple.*

PROOF. Assume that there is a non-zero element h of the Jacobson radical of $H(W, t) \otimes K$. By multiplying an element of $\mathbf{C}[t]$, we may assume that $h \in H(W, t)$. Furthermore, dividing by a power of $t-1$, we may assume that h is not contained in $(t-1)H(W, t)$. Let $\varphi: H(W, t) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}W$ be the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism characterized by $\varphi(t)=1$ and $\varphi(T(w))=w$. Then for any $(c_{xy}) \in \mathbf{C}^{W \times W}$,

$$\sum_{x, y} c_{xy} x \varphi(h) y = \varphi(\sum_{x, y} c_{xy} T(x) h T(y))$$

is a nilpotent element. Hence $\varphi(h)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $\mathbf{C}W$. Hence $\varphi(h)=0$ and $h \in \ker(\varphi) = (t-1)H(W, t)$, which contradicts our assumption. Hence the Jacobson radical of $H(W, t) \otimes K$ is zero.

5. For two linear functionals φ_1, φ_2 of $H(W, t) \otimes K$, let

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = G(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \varphi_1(T(w)) \varphi_2(T^\wedge(w)).$$

THEOREM. Let χ_1, \dots, χ_n be the irreducible characters of $H(W, t) \otimes K$. Then

$$\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle = \begin{cases} d_{\chi_i}(1)/d_{\chi_i}(q), & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. (i) The above theorem can be considered as a q -analogue of the first orthogonality relation of the character values of a finite group. Note that in the case where W is a Weyl group, this formula was obtained in [3; (2.4)].

(ii) It is known that K is a splitting field for $H(W, t) \otimes K$. See [6], its references, and [1].

(iii) The generic degrees d_χ are calculated explicitly. See [1] and its references. From these calculations, we can see that $d_\chi(q)$ is always a polynomial in q . If W is a Weyl group, this phenomenon can be explained by the following fact: If q_0 is a prime power, then $d_\chi(q_0)$ is a degree of an irreducible representation of a finite Chevalley group, and, is an integer. But no unified explanation of this phenomenon (including the cases of type H_3, H_4 and $I_2(p)$) seems to be known.

7. Define a linear functional δ on $H(W, t) \otimes K$ by

$$\delta(T(x)) = \begin{cases} \sum_w q^{l(w)}, & \text{if } x=1, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

The following equality can be proved easily.

$$\delta(T(x)T^\wedge(y)) = \begin{cases} G(q), & \text{if } x=y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $\delta(hh') = \delta(h'h)$ for $h, h' \in H(W, t) \otimes K$. Since $H(W, t) \otimes K$ is semisimple and since K is a splitting field for it, δ can be expressed as a linear combination

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_i \quad (c_i \in K).$$

By the orthogonality relation, we have

$$\langle \delta, \chi_i \rangle = c_i d_{\chi_i}(1)/d_{\chi_i}(q).$$

On the other hand

$$\langle \delta, \chi_i \rangle = G(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \delta(T(w)) \chi_i(T^\wedge(w)) = \chi_i(1) = d_{\chi_i}(1).$$

Hence

$$(7.1) \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{\chi_i}(q) \chi_i.$$

8. Let $\delta_\alpha = \delta|_{t \rightarrow \alpha}$ and $\chi_{i,\alpha} = \chi_i|_{t \rightarrow \alpha}$. Here $|_{t \rightarrow \alpha}$ means the specialization $t \rightarrow \alpha$,

which is possible since the values of δ and χ_i on $H(W, t)$ are polynomials in t . (This fact can be proved by a standard argument on representations over quotient fields of principal ideal domains, and by (7.1). Furthermore, using the notion of W -graphs, the first author [3] proved that all the values of χ_i at $T(w)$ are polynomials in t whose coefficients are algebraic integers.) We can also show that $\chi_{i, \alpha}$ is a trace of some representation of $H(W, \alpha)$. By (7.1), we get

$$(8.1) \quad \delta_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{\chi_i}(\alpha^2) \chi_{i, \alpha}.$$

Let $\text{rad } H(W, \alpha)$ be the Jacobson radical of $H(W, \alpha)$. Since $\text{rad } H(W, \alpha)$ is nilpotent, $\chi_{i, \alpha}(\text{rad } H(W, \alpha))=0$. Hence by (8.1), $\delta_\alpha(\text{rad } H(W, \alpha))=0$.

9. LEMMA. *Assume that $G(\alpha^2) \neq 0$. Let h be an element of $H(W, \alpha)$. If $\delta_\alpha(hT^\wedge(x))=0$ for any x in W , then $h=0$.*

PROOF. Let $h = \sum_{x \in W} c(x)T(x)$ with $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$0 = \delta_\alpha(hT^\wedge(x)) = c(x)G(\alpha^2).$$

Hence $c(x)=0$ for any x in W . Hence $h=0$.

10. PROOF OF THEOREM ("if part"). Assume that $G(\alpha^2) \neq 0$ and $h \in \text{rad } H(W, \alpha)$. Then for any $x \in W$, we have $hT^\wedge(x) \in \text{rad } H(W, \alpha)$. Hence

$$\delta_\alpha(hT^\wedge(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } x \in W,$$

and $h=0$. Hence $\text{rad } H(W, \alpha)=0$, i. e., $H(W, \alpha)$ is semisimple.

11. PROOF OF THEOREM ("only if part"). First, let us consider the case where $\sum_w \alpha^{2l(w)}=0$. Assume that $H(W, \alpha)$ is semisimple. Define a linear function ind on $H(W, \alpha)$ by $\text{ind } T(w)=\alpha^{2l(w)}$. Then as is easily seen, ind is a linear character of $H(W, \alpha)$. Let E be the primitive idempotent corresponding to ind . This E satisfies

$$T(s)E = \alpha^2 E \quad \text{for } s \in S.$$

Hence

$$E = c \sum_{w \in W} T(w)$$

with a non-zero constant $c (\in \mathcal{C})$. But then we get the equality

$$E = E^2 = c \sum_{w \in W} T(w)E = c \sum_{w \in W} \alpha^{2l(w)} E = 0,$$

which is absurd.

To consider the remaining case, we assume $\alpha=0$. Arrange the elements of W in a sequence w_1, w_2, \dots so that $l(w_1) \geq l(w_2) \geq \dots$. For any $s \in S$, let $\{a_{ij}\}_{ij}$

be complex numbers such that $T(s)T(w_j) = \sum_i T(w_i)a_{ij}$. Then (a_{ij}) is an upper triangular matrix. In fact

$$T(s)T(w) = \begin{cases} T(sw), & \text{if } l(sw) > l(w), \\ -T(w), & \text{if } l(sw) < l(w). \end{cases}$$

Hence every irreducible representation of $H(W, 0)$ is one dimensional. Since we are assuming that W is not of type $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$, there are two elements s, s' of S such that $ss' \neq s's$. Then $T(s)T(s') - T(s')T(s) (\neq 0)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $H(W, 0)$. Hence $H(W, 0)$ is not semisimple.

12. REMARK. Let us consider the excluded case where W is of type $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$ (l factors).

Since $H(W, \alpha)$ is commutative, it is semisimple if and only if it has 2^l ($= \dim H(W, \alpha)$) linear characters. Note that every linear character φ of $H(W, \alpha)$ satisfies $(\varphi(s)+1)(\varphi(s)-\alpha^2)=0$ for $s \in S$. For each subset I of S , a linear functional φ_I of $H(W, \alpha)$ given by

$$\varphi_I(T(s)) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \in I, \\ \alpha^2, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is in fact a character of $H(W, \alpha)$, and thus $\{\varphi_I \mid I \subset S\}$ is the totality of the linear characters. Hence the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $H(W, \alpha)$ is semisimple.
- (2) $\varphi_I \neq \varphi_J$ if $I \neq J$.
- (3) $\alpha^2 \neq -1$.

References

- [1] D. Alvis and G. Lusztig, The representations and generic degrees of the Hecke algebra of type H_4 , *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **336** (1982), 201-212.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [3] C. W. Curtis and T. V. Fossom, On centralizer rings and characters of representations of finite groups, *Math. Z.*, **107** (1968), 402-406.
- [4] A. Gyoja, On the existence of a W -graph for an irreducible representation of a Coxeter group, *J. Algebra*, **86** (1984), 422-438.
- [5] N. Iwahori, On the structure of the Hecke ring of a Chevalley group over a finite field, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **10** (1964), 215-236.
- [6] G. Lusztig, On a theorem of Benson and Curtis, *J. Algebra*, **71** (1981), 490-498.

Akihiko GYOJA

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560
Japan

Katsuhiko UNO

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560
Japan