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In [2], [3], Eichler posed the question whether or not a ring of automorphic forms (particularly, Hilbert and Siegel modular forms) is Cohen-Macaulay (C.-M. for short). Freitag [4] first gave the negative answer to the question in the case of a ring of Hilbert modular forms of dimension $\geqq 3$. In our previous papers [18], [19] we have surveyed the question, and as for Siegel modular forms we have got the following results. Let $\Gamma_{n}:=S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{Z})$, and let $\Gamma_{n}(l)$ be its congruence subgroup of level $l ;\left\{M \in \Gamma_{n} \mid M \equiv 1_{2 n} \bmod l\right\}$. For a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of $S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ let $A(\Gamma)=\oplus_{k \geq 0} A(\Gamma)_{k}$ denote the graded ring of Siegel modular forms for $\Gamma, A(\Gamma)_{k}$ being the vector space of modular forms of weight $k$. Let $A(\Gamma)^{(r)}$ denote the ring $\oplus_{k=0(r)} A(\Gamma)_{k}$ for an integer $r$. Then
(i) $A\left(\Gamma_{2}(l)\right)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. for any $r$ if $l \geqq 6$.
(ii) Let $\Gamma$ be a neat congruence subgroup of $S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{R})$ with $n \geqq 3$. Then $A(\Gamma)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. for any $r$.
(iii) $A\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. for any $r$ if $n \geqq 4$.

Concerning $A\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)(n \geqq 1)$, it is only a remaining problem if $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is C.-M., since $A\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)^{(r)}, A\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{(r)}$ are known to be C.-M. for any $r$, or at least it is an easy consequence of the structure theorems of $A\left(\Gamma_{1}\right), A\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ (cf. Igusa [12], [13]). In the present paper we show that $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. for any $r$.
$A\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)(n \geqq 3)$ has been shown to be U.F.D. by Freitag [5], [6] (cf. Tsuyumine [20]), and so they furnish negative examples of the question whether U.F.D. is C.-M. which is posed by Samuel [16]. In the case of characteristic 0, Freitag and Kiehl [7] first gave the negative example to this question (see also S. Mori [15]).

Our method to prove that $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. is as follows. If $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is C.-M., then the Satake compactification $X_{3}^{*}$ of the quotient space $H_{3} / \Gamma_{3}$ would be a C.-M. variety, and so the Serre duality would hold on it. Then $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$ must be equal to one since $H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$ is dual to the group of global sections of the coherent sheaf on $X_{3}^{*}$ corresponding to modular forms of weight four, and since there is the unique modular form of weight four up to constant multiples. Thus to prove our assertion it is enough to show the
vanishing of $H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$, which is done by making use of Igusa's desingularization [14].
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1. Let $\boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{C}$ denote as usual, the ring of integers, the real number field, the complex number field respectively. We denote by $\boldsymbol{M}_{k, l}(*)$, the set of $k \times l$ matrices with entries in $*$, and by $\boldsymbol{M}_{k}(*), \boldsymbol{S M}_{k}(*)$, the set of square matrices of size $k$, the set of symmetric matrices of size $k$ respectively. $1_{k}$ denotes the identity matrix of size $k$.

Let $H_{n}$ be the Siegel space of degree $n ;\left\{\left.Z \in \boldsymbol{M}_{n}(\boldsymbol{C})\right|^{t} Z=Z, \operatorname{Im} Z>0\right\}$, and let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{R}) . \quad \Gamma$ acts on $H_{n}$ by the usual modular transformation

$$
Z \longrightarrow M Z=(A Z+B)(C Z+D)^{-1}, \quad M=\binom{A B}{C D} \in \Gamma
$$

Let $f$ be a holomorphic function on $H_{n} . \quad f$ is called a (Siegel) modular form of weight $k$ for $\Gamma$ if it satisfies

$$
f(M Z)=|C Z+D|^{k} f(Z) \quad \text { for } M \in \Gamma
$$

(when $n=1$, we need an additional condition that $f$ is holomorphic also at cusps). We denote by $A(\Gamma)=\oplus_{k \geq 0} A(\Gamma)_{k}$ (resp. $\left.S(\Gamma)=\oplus_{k>0} S(\Gamma)_{k}\right)$, the graded ring of modular forms (resp. the graded ideal of cusp forms).

Let $X$ be the quotient space $H_{n} / \Gamma$, and $X^{*}$ be its Satake compactification, which is a normal projective variety isomorphic to $\operatorname{Proj}(A(\Gamma))$. Set-theoretically $X^{*}$ is the union of $X$ and of the similar pieces $H_{n_{1}} / \Gamma^{\prime}\left(n_{1}<n\right)$ as $X . \quad H_{n_{1}} / \Gamma^{\prime}$ as a cusp of $X^{*}$, is called an $n_{1}-$ cusp. Up to conjugacy, we may assume that this cusp is corresponding to the limit of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda 1_{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right), \quad Z_{1} \in H_{n_{1}}, \quad n_{1}+n_{2}=n
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \infty$. Let us decompose $Z \in H_{n}$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1} & \tau  \tag{1}\\
t_{\tau} & Z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in H_{n}, \quad Z_{i} \in H_{n_{i}}, \quad \tau \in \boldsymbol{M}_{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{C})
$$

Let us fix a point $x$ of an $n_{1}$-cusp corresponding to $Z_{1} \in H_{n_{1}}$. Here we assume
that $\Gamma$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{n}$. Then $\Gamma_{n}$ acts on $X^{*}$. The stabilizer subgroup $P_{n_{1}}$ at $x$ of $\Gamma_{n}$ is generated by $\Gamma$ and matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & 0 & B_{1} & B_{12}  \tag{2}\\
A_{21} & A_{2} & B_{21} & B_{2} \\
C_{1} & 0 & D_{1} & D_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & D_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
C_{1} & D_{1}
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{n_{1}}, \quad M_{1} Z_{1}=Z_{1}
$$

Let $W_{n_{1}}$ (resp. $U_{n_{1}}$ ) be the group generated by $\Gamma$ and by matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1_{n_{1}} & 0 & & { }^{t} b^{\prime} \\
v & 1_{n_{2}} & b & B_{2} \\
& 0 & 1_{n_{1}} & \overline{1}_{n_{2}}^{t} v
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{n} \quad\left(\text { resp. }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & B_{2} \\
0 & & 1_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

Then we have inclusions of normal subgroups;

$$
U_{n_{1}} \subset W_{n_{1}} \subset P_{n_{1}}
$$

If $\Gamma=\Gamma_{n}(l)$, then $U_{n_{1}} / \Gamma_{n}(l)$ (resp. $W_{n_{1}} / U_{n_{1}}$, resp. $P_{n_{1}} / W_{n_{1}}$ ) is canonically isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{M}_{n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z}) \cong(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z})^{n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) / 2}$ (resp. $(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z})^{2 n_{1} n_{2}}$, resp. \{the stabilizer subgroup of $\Gamma_{n_{1}} / \Gamma_{n_{1}}(l)$ at the image point of $Z_{1}$ in $\left.\left.H_{n_{1}} / \Gamma_{n_{1}}(l)\right\} \times G L_{n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z})\right)$.
2. We discuss the space of differential forms on the fiber space over $X^{*}$, which is of use to estimate the dimensions of cohomology groups later. Let $n$ (resp. $m$ ) be a positive (resp. nonnegative) integer. Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{R})$. On $H_{n} \times \boldsymbol{M}_{n, m}(\boldsymbol{C})$, there is a group of automorphisms ( $M, u), M \in \Gamma, u \in \boldsymbol{M}_{2 n, m}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ such that

$$
(Z, \zeta) \longrightarrow(M, u)(Z, \zeta)=\left(M Z,{ }^{t}(C Z+D)^{-1}\left(\zeta+\left(Z, 1_{n}\right) u\right)\right), \quad M=\binom{A B}{C D}
$$

The action is properly discontinuous and the quotient space $W^{\prime}$ is a normal algebraic variety. $W^{\prime}$ is a fiber space over $X=H_{n} / \Gamma$, whose generic fiber is a Kummer variety or an abelian variety according as $\Gamma$ contains $-1_{n}$ or not. In the latter case the fiber of a point in $X$ corresponding to a generic $Z \in H_{n}$, is an abelian variety which is a product of $m$ copies of an abelian variety $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} /\left(Z, 1_{n}\right) \boldsymbol{Z}^{2 n}$.

Let $Z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in H_{n}$. Let us put $\zeta=\left(\zeta^{1}, \cdots, \zeta^{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{M}_{n, m}(\boldsymbol{C})$ and $\zeta^{t}=\left(\zeta_{1}^{i}, \cdots, \zeta_{n}^{i}\right)$, and let $\omega$ be the differential form

$$
\omega=\left(d z_{11} \wedge d z_{12} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n n}\right) \wedge{ }_{i=1}^{m}\left(d \zeta_{1}^{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \zeta_{n}^{i}\right) .
$$

Then the formula $(M, u) \omega=|C Z+D|^{-m-n-1} \omega$ holds for $M=\binom{A B}{C D}$. Let $W_{0}^{\prime}$ be the smooth locus of $W^{\prime}$, and $W_{00}^{\prime}$, the complement of the set of images of fixed points. Then $W_{00}^{\prime} \subset W_{0}^{\prime}\left(W_{00}^{\prime} \subsetneq W_{0}^{\prime}\right.$ occurs possibly only when $\left.n \leqq 2\right)$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(m+n+1)$
denotes the coherent sheaf on $W^{\prime}$ which is the inverse image attached to the canonical projection of $W^{\prime}$ to $X$, of the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}(m+n+1)$ on $X$ corresponding to modular forms of weight $m+n+1$, then $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(m+n+1)\right|_{W_{00}}$ is isomorphic to the canonical invertible sheaf on $W_{00}^{\prime}$ by $f \rightarrow f \omega, f$ being a section of $\mathcal{L}(m+n+1)$. Further we have the canonical inclusion

$$
\left.K_{W_{0}^{\prime}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(m+n+1)\right|_{W_{0}^{\prime}},
$$

$K_{W_{0}^{\prime}}$ denoting the canonical invertible sheaf.
Lemma 1. Let $\phi: W \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a morphism of projective varieties which is an extension of $W^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. Suppose that $W$ is a C.-M. variety and that $W-W_{0}$ is of codimension at least two, $W_{0}$ being the smooth locus of $W$. Moreover suppose that the fiber of each ( $n-1$ )-cusp is of codimension one in $W$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{n(n+1) / 2+m n}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} S(\Gamma)_{m+n+1} .
$$

Proof. Since $W$ is a C.-M. variety, we have an isomorphism $H^{n(n+1) / 2+m n}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)^{2} \cong H^{0}\left(W, \omega_{W}\right)$ by the Serre duality theorem where $\omega_{W}$ denotes the dualizing sheaf. If $K_{W_{0}}$ denotes the canonical invertible sheaf on $W_{0}$, then the homomorphism $H^{0}\left(W, \omega_{W}\right)$ to $H^{0}\left(W_{0}, K_{W_{0}}\right)$ induced by the restriction is an isomorphism by Grauert-Riemenschneider [8], Satz 3.1. So it sufficies to show $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(W_{0}, K_{W_{0}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} S(\Gamma)_{m+n+1}$. Let $\eta \in H^{0}\left(W_{0}, K_{W_{0}}\right)$. On $W_{0} \cap W^{\prime}$ we can write as $\eta=f \omega$ with $f \in A(\Gamma)_{m+n+1}$ since $\left.\left.K_{W_{0}}\right|_{W_{0} \cap W^{\prime}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(m+n+1)\right|_{W_{0} \cap W^{\prime}}$. By our assumption, for each ( $n-1$ )-cusp, there is a nonsingular point $w$ of $W$ which is mapped into the ( $n-1$ )-cusp by $\phi$. We may assume that $\phi(w)$ is a limit point as $Z_{2} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \infty$ using the notation (1) with $n_{2}=1 . f$ has the Fourier-Jacobi expansion $f(Z)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \theta_{k}\left(Z_{1}, \tau\right) \mu^{k}$ where $\mu=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} a z_{n n}\right)$ for a suitable rational number $a$. Then

$$
f \omega=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} a)^{-1}\left(\mu^{-1} f\right)\left(d z_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{n-1} \wedge d \mu\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(d \zeta_{1}^{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \zeta_{n}^{i}\right) .
$$

So $f \omega$ is not extendable to a neighborhood at $w$ unless $\theta_{0}\left(Z_{1}, \tau\right)=0$, in other words, $f$ vanishes at the ( $n-1$ )-cusp. Thus $f$ is a cusp form. Our assertion follows immediately from this. q.e.d.

Remark. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be a congruence subgroup of $S p_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{R})$ having $\Gamma$ as a normal subgroup such that $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma$ acts on $W$. Let $V$ be the quotient of $W$ by $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma$. Then we have

$$
H^{n(n+1) / 2+m n}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)^{\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma} \cong H^{n(n+1) / 2+m n}\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \subsetneq S\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{m+n+1}=S(\Gamma)_{m+n+1}^{\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}}
$$

(see Grothendieck [9], Cor. to Prop. 5.2.3. and Théorème 5.3.1. for the isomorphism between cohomology groups). In particular, if $S\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{m+n+1}$ is $\{0\}$, then no nontrivial sections of $H^{n(n+1) / 2+m n}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$ are $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma$-invariant.
3. We put $X_{3}=H_{3} / \Gamma_{3}, X_{3}(l)=H_{3} / \Gamma_{3}(l)(l>1) . \quad X_{3}^{*} \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.X_{3}^{*}(l)\right)$ denotes the Satake compactification of $X_{3}$ (resp. $X_{3}(l)$ ), and $\bar{X}_{3}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{3}(l)$ ) denotes Igusa's compactification [14] of $X_{3}$ (resp. $X_{3}(l)$ ). If $l \geqq 3$, then $\bar{X}_{3}(l)$ is the monoidal transform of $X_{3}^{*}(l)$ along $D^{*}(l)=X_{3}^{*}(l)-X_{3}(l)$ and it is nonsingular. By construction, obviously $\Gamma_{n}$ acts on $\bar{X}_{3}(l)$ as well as $X_{3}^{*}(l) . \bar{X}_{3}$ is given as a quotient space of $\bar{X}_{3}(l)$ by $\Gamma_{3} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$, which is independent of $l \geqq 3$. We put $D^{*}=X_{3}^{*}-X_{3}$, $D(l)=\bar{X}_{3}(l)-X_{3}(l) . \quad D^{*}$ equals $H_{2} / \Gamma_{2} \cup H_{1} / \Gamma_{1} \cup\{$ a point $\infty\}$ set-theoretically. $D(l)$ is a divisor with only normal crossings. Let us fix an irreducible component $D_{0}(l)$ of $D(l)$, and let $\tilde{D}$ be the quotient of the normalization of $\tilde{D}_{0}(l)$ of $D_{0}(l)$ by the stabilizer subgroup at $D_{0}(l)$ of $\Gamma_{n}$ where it should be noted that the stabilizer subgroup is regarded as a group of automorphisms of the normalization $\tilde{D}_{0}(l)$ of $D_{0}(l)$, and that it is a stabilizer subgroup of $\Gamma_{n}$ at the cusp $D_{0}^{*}(l)$ of $X_{3}^{*}(l)$ associated with $D_{0}(l)$. Then we have a morphism $\psi$ of $\tilde{D}$ to $D$ which is canonically determined by construction of $\tilde{D} . \psi$ is a morphism of normalization, and if $\pi$ denotes the morphism of $\bar{X}_{3}$ to $X_{3}^{*}$, then $\psi$ is an isomorphism on the open subset $\pi^{-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ of $D$ where $X_{2}=H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}$ is considered to be the 2 -cusp of $X_{3}^{*}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the composite of $\psi$ and of $\left.\pi\right|_{D}: D \rightarrow D^{*}$.

Lemma 2. $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the cokernel of the canonical injective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{D} \rightarrow \hat{\psi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} ;$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D} \longrightarrow \psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { (exact). }
$$

Then $\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(X_{2}\right)}=0$. From this we derive a long exact sequence

$$
\longrightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{p} R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{D} \xrightarrow{q} R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow R^{2} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(p) \longrightarrow R^{2} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{D} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \longrightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \longrightarrow R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(q) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these we derive two long exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Ker}(p)\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{D}\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Ker}(q)\right) \rightarrow H^{4}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Ker}(p)\right) \rightarrow, \\
& \rightarrow H^{2}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Coker}(q)\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Ker}(q)\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Coker}(q)\right) \rightarrow .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \mathscr{F}$ are supported at the closure of the 1 -cusp, $\operatorname{Ker}(p), \operatorname{Coker}(q)$ are also. Then it follows from the above long exact sequence that

$$
H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) \cong H^{3}\left(D^{*}, \operatorname{Ker}(q)\right) \cong H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)
$$

So to show our assertion it is enough to prove $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}} \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{D}\right)\right.$. $R^{\nu} \pi_{*} \Theta_{\bar{X}_{3}}$ and $R^{\nu} \pi_{*} \Theta_{D}$ (extended by zero) are isomorphic for $\nu>0$ at least except
on the closure of 1-cusp (Tsuyumine [19], Prop. 2). So by the similar argument as above, $H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{D}\right)$ is shown to be isomorphic to $H^{3}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)$. So our problem is reduced to show $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)$.

Let $K_{\bar{X}_{3}}$ be the canonical coherent sheaf (in the sense of GrauertRiemenschneider [8]), which gives the dualizing sheaf on $\bar{X}_{3}$ since $\bar{X}_{3}$ has only quotient singularities. So we have

$$
H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{3}, K_{\tilde{X}_{3}}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\bar{X}_{3}, K_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right) \cong H^{6}\left(\bar{X}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\tilde{X}_{3}$ is a nonsingular model of $\bar{X}_{3}$. Since $\tilde{X}_{3}$ is unirational (see for instance, Tsuyumine [22]), the above cohomology groups vanish. So to prove our assertion, we show that $H^{6}\left(\bar{X}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)(=\{0\})$ is isomorphic to $H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}^{*} / d\left(H^{3}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} \Theta_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)\right)\right.$ where $d$ is some homomorphism of $H^{3}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{2} \pi_{*} O_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)$ to $H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$. Let $E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{q} \pi_{*} \Theta_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(\bar{X}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_{3}}\right)$ be the Leray spectral sequence. Since $\pi$ is a proper morphism and since $\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(x)=2$ if $x$ is a point of the 2-cusp, $\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(x)=3$ if $x$ is a point of the 1- or 0-cusp, $H^{p}\left(X_{3}^{*}, R^{q} \pi_{*} \Theta_{X_{3}}\right)$ vanishes for ( $p, q$ ) with $p+q=5$ except for $(p, q)=(3,2)$ or $(0,5)$. By an elementary consideration on the Leray spectral sequence, we get the desired homomorphism $d$. q.e.d.

Lemma 3. $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(D^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)$.
Proof. Let us consider the Leray spectral sequence $E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(D^{*}, R^{q} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)$ $\Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)=3$ if $x$ is in the 0 - or 1-cusp of $D^{*}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)=2$ if otherwise, we have an inequality

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(D^{*}, R^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{5}\left(\tilde{D}, \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)+\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(D^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)
$$

by the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2. $\tilde{D}$ is normal and C.-M. because it is a quotient of a smooth variety $\tilde{D}_{0}(l)$ by a finite group. Then Lemma 1 is applicable, and we get $\operatorname{dim} H^{5}\left(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}\right)=0$ because $\operatorname{dim} S\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{4}=0$. q.e.d.

Let us denote by $E^{*}$, the closure of $H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}$ in $D^{*}$ which is isomorphic to $X_{1}^{*}=\left(H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}\right)^{*}$, and by $\tilde{\pi}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$, some fiber space which is isomorphic to $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}\left(E^{*}\right) \rightarrow E^{*}$ except at the 0 -cusp. We want to reduce the computation of $H^{1}\left(D^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}\right)$ to that of $H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E}\right)$ by the similar method as in [19], Prop. 2. We do it in Sect. 5. Before it we make some preliminaries.
4. Let $D_{0}^{*}(l)$ be the cusp of $X_{3}^{*}(l)$ associated with $D_{0}(l) \subset \bar{X}_{3}(l)$. By taking normalization, we get a morphism $\pi(l): \widetilde{D}_{0}(l) \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$ from $D_{0}(l) \rightarrow D_{0}^{*}(l)$ where $\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$ denotes the normalization of $D_{0}^{*}(l)$. We observe the fibers of $\pi(l)$. In Igusa [14], the fiber at each point, of the morphism of $\bar{X}_{3}(l)$ to $X_{3}^{*}(l)$ was
completely described, and the following is a direct consequence of it. In this section we always suppose $l \geqq 3$.
$\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$ is isomorphic to the Satake compactification of $H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)$, and its 1-cusps are the unions of copies of $H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l) . \quad \pi(l)^{-1}\left(H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)\right) \rightarrow H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)$ is the universal family of 2-dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties with level $l$-structure, and $\pi^{-1}(l)(x)$ is an abelian variety

$$
\boldsymbol{C}^{2} /\left(Z_{1}, 1_{2}\right)(l \boldsymbol{Z})^{4}
$$

where $Z_{1}$ is a point of $H_{2}$ corresponding to $x \in H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)$. If $x \in X_{1}(l)=H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)$ $\subset \tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$, then $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ is a $\delta$-bundle over an abelian variety $A(l)^{2}$ where $\delta$ is an $l$-gon composed of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ and $A(l)$ is an elliptic curve

$$
A(l):=\boldsymbol{C} /\left(z_{1}, 1\right)(l \boldsymbol{Z})^{2},
$$

$z_{1}$ being a point of $H_{1}$ corresponding to $x$. We put $A:=A(1)$. If $x$ is a point of 0 -cusps, then $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ is a reducible rational variety whose irreducible component is smooth of dimension three.

Let $G$ be the stabilizer subgroup at $D_{0}^{*}(l) \subset X_{3}^{*}(l)$ of $\Gamma_{3} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$. Then $G$ acts on $\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$, also on $D_{0}(l)$ and on $\tilde{D}_{0}(l) . G$ contains a subgroup $\Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)$ whose element corresponds to $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & B_{1} \\ C_{1} & D_{1}\end{array}\right)$ if we use the notation (2). For $x \in \widetilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l), G_{x}$ denotes the stabilizer subgroup at $x$ which acts on the fiber $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$. We can see how $G_{x}$ acts on $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ by virtue of [14]. Let $x$ be a point of 1-cusp which, we may assume, is given by $Z_{2} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \propto 1_{2}$ in (1). Then $G_{x}$ is a subgroup of $P_{1}$ (see Sect. 1 for definition) of index ( $1 / 2$ ) $l^{2} \Pi_{p l l}\left(1-p^{2}\right)$ since the same number of analytic components meets at each of 1-cusps (Cartan seminar [1], 13). $G_{x}$ contains $U_{1} / \Gamma_{3}(l), W_{1} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$. The group $U_{1} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$, which is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{M}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z}) \cong(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z})^{3}$, acts only on $\delta$ as follows. Let $t$ be a coordinate on $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ which takes $0, \infty$ at points of intersections with other components. Then there is a character of the additive group $U_{1} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$ such that $M^{*} t=\chi(M) t$ for $M \in U_{1}$. So the quotient of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ by $U_{1} / \Gamma_{3}(l)$ is again $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ and the quotient of $\delta$ is also isomorphic to $\delta$ itself. The group $W_{1} / U_{1}$, which is isomorphic to $(\boldsymbol{Z} / l \boldsymbol{Z})^{4}$, acts only on $A(l)^{2}$ as

$$
\binom{\tau_{1}}{\tau_{2}} \longrightarrow\binom{\tau_{1}+v_{1} z_{1}+b_{1}}{\tau_{2}+v_{2} z_{1}+b_{2}}, \quad b_{i}, v_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\bmod l) .
$$

Thus the quotient of $A(l)^{2}$ by $W_{1} / U_{1}$ is $A^{2}$ with $A=A(1)$, which is isomorphic to $A(l)^{2}$. Hence the quotient of $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ by $W_{1}$ is isomorphic to itself. The group $G_{x} / W_{1}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of the stabilizer subgroup at $z_{1} \in H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)$ of $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l), z_{1}$ being a point corresponding to $x$, and of the dihedral group $\Delta_{l}$ of an $l$-gon. $G_{x} / W_{1}$ acts simultaneously on $A(l)^{2}$ and on $\delta$. $\Delta_{l}$, whose element corresponds to the part ' $A_{2}$ ' or ' $D_{2}$ ' in (2), acts on $A(l)^{2}$ as

$$
\binom{\tau_{1}}{\tau_{2}} \longrightarrow U\binom{\tau_{1}}{\tau_{2}}, \quad U \in G L_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z}) \bmod l
$$

and acts on an $l$-gon $\delta$ in the usual manner. We denote by $\Delta_{l}^{\prime}$ the cyclic subgroup of $\Delta_{l}$ of order $l$ which acts on $\delta$ as rotations.

Let $\infty$ be a 0 -cusp of $\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)\left(\cong\left(H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}(l)\right)^{*}\right)$. Then $\pi(l)^{-1}(\infty)$ is covered by an open affine varieties which are product of open affine subvarieties of $\delta$, and of those of a limit variety of $A(l)^{2}$ where an elliptic curve $A(l)$ degenerates also to an $l$-gon of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ in the natural way as in Shioda [16]. This can be easily seen, indeed we can take coordinates at $\pi(l)^{-1}(\infty)$ explicitly by the observation of Igusa [14].
5. Let $E^{*}(l)$ denote a closure of the set of l-cusps of $\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)$, and let $E(l)=\pi(l)^{-1}\left(E^{*}(l)\right)$. Further let $E_{0}(l)$ be an irreducible component of $E(l)$, and $E_{0}^{*}(l)$, the corresponding irreducible component of $E^{*}(l)$. The normalization $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$ of $E_{0}^{*}(l)$ is isomorphic to compactified modular curve $X_{1}^{*}(l)=\left(H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)\right)^{*}$.


As we saw in the preceding section, $E_{0}(l) \rightarrow E_{0}^{*}(l)$ is a fiber space of relative dimension three whose generic fiber is a $\delta$-bundle over an abelian variety $A(l)^{2}$. $E(l)$ is a Cartier divisor of $\tilde{D}_{0}(l) . \quad\left(D_{0}(l)\right.$ and other irreducible components of $D(l)$, or $D_{0}(l)$ and itself are crossing normally at the image of $E_{0}(l)$ by $\tilde{D}_{0}(l) \rightarrow D_{0}(l)$. Hence the image of $E_{0}(l)$ is a Cartier divisor of $D_{0}(l)$. For detail we refer the reader to Igusa [14]. Our statement follows from this.) Let $G$ be the sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{0}(l)}$ defining $E(l)$, which is invertible. Let $\mathscr{M}:=\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{2}$, which is supported on $E(l)$. From an exact sequence on $\tilde{D}_{0}(l)$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{j+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{j} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}^{j} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

we get an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\longrightarrow R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} G^{j+1} \longrightarrow R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} G^{j} \longrightarrow R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathscr{M}^{j} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R^{3} \pi(l)$ (coherent sheaf) is supported at $E(l)$ since the dimension of the fiber $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ is two for $x \in \widetilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)-E^{*}(l)$, and so it is an $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{0}^{*}(l)} / \mathscr{K}^{M}$-module for a sufficiently large $M$ where $\mathcal{K}:=\pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf of ideals concentrated at $E^{*}(l)$. By Grothendieck [10], (3.3.1), (3.3.2), we have

$$
R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{G}^{i+i_{0}}=\mathcal{K}^{i} R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{G}^{i_{0}} \subset R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{G}^{i_{0}}
$$

for some $i_{0} \geqq 0$. Hence $R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{G}^{j}$ vanishes if $j$ is large enough, and so $R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathscr{M}^{j}$
does. We note that $E^{*}(l)$ is a reducible curve with singularities only at 0 -cusps, and that $E(l) \rightarrow E^{*}(l)$ is flat outside of 0 -cusps. Taking a point $x$ from there, we have an isomorphism

$$
\left(R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathscr{M}^{j}\right)_{x} \cong H^{3}\left(\pi(l)(x),\left.\mathscr{M}^{j}\right|_{\pi(l)^{-1}(x)}\right) .
$$

Here we need to prove that the $\delta$-bundle $\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$ over $A(l)^{2}$ has the structure sheaf as its dualizing sheaf. Let us put $Z:=\pi(l)^{-1}(x)$, and denote by $\gamma: Z \rightarrow A(l)^{2}$, the projection. Since $Z$ is locally of complete intersection, the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{Z}$ is invertible and is given by $\omega_{Z}=\omega_{Z / A(l)} \otimes r^{*} \omega_{A(l)} \cong \omega_{Z / A(l)^{2}}$ (Hartshorne [11], Chap. III, Sect. 1) because $\omega_{A(l)^{2}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A(l)^{2}}$, where $\omega_{Z / A(l)^{2}}$ denotes the relative dualizing sheaf. The dualizing sheaf of $\delta$ is trivial, and hence the restriction of $\omega_{Z / A(l)^{2}}$ to each fiber is trivial. So there is the invertible sheaf $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ on $A(l)^{2}$ such that $\gamma^{*} \Omega \cong \omega_{Z / A(l)^{2}} \cong \omega_{Z}$. Noticing that $Z$ is locally a direct product of $\delta$ and an affine open subset of $A(l)^{2}$, we have $R^{1} \gamma_{*} \Theta_{Z}=H^{1}\left(\delta, \mathcal{O}_{\delta}\right) \otimes_{c} \mathcal{O}_{Z}=\mathcal{O}_{Z}$. Then for $\mathcal{G}$ any locally free sheaf on $A(l)^{2}$, there are isomorphisms $H^{0}\left(A(l)^{2}, \mathscr{I}^{-1}\right)$ $\cong H^{0}\left(Z, \gamma^{*} \Im^{-1}\right) \cong H^{3}\left(Z, \gamma^{*} \varsubsetneqq \otimes \gamma^{*} \Re\right)^{2} \cong H^{2}\left(A(l)^{2}, R^{1} \gamma_{*}\left(\gamma^{*} \varsubsetneqq \otimes \gamma^{*} \Re\right)\right)^{2} \cong H^{2}\left(A(l)^{2}, \mathscr{(} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)^{2}$, where we have applied to the argument, the Leray spectral sequence $E_{2}^{p, q}=$ $H^{p}\left(A(l)^{2}, R^{q} \gamma_{*}\left(\gamma^{*} \mp \otimes \gamma^{*} \Re\right)\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(Z, \gamma^{*} \subseteq \otimes \gamma^{*} \Re\right)$. In particular, we have $H^{0}\left(A(l)^{2}, \Re\right)$ $\cong H^{2}\left(A(l)^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{A(l))^{2}}\right)^{2} \cong \boldsymbol{C} \cong H^{2}\left(A(l)^{2}, \Re_{\otimes} \otimes \Re^{-1}\right)^{2} \cong H^{0}\left(A^{2}(l), \Re^{-1}\right)$. So $\Upsilon \cong \mathcal{O}_{A(l)^{2}}$ and $\omega_{Z} \cong O_{Z}$.

Now we return to our argument. $\left(R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathscr{M}^{j}\right)_{x}$ and the dual of $H^{0}\left(\pi(l)^{-1}(x),\left.\mathscr{M}^{-j}\right|_{\pi(l)^{-1}(x)}\right)$ are isomorphic, and they vanish for $j \gg 0$ by the above argument. It is easily deduced from this that $H^{0}\left(\pi(l)^{-1}(x),\left.\mathscr{M}^{-j}\right|_{\pi(l))^{-1}(x)}\right)$ vanishes for any $j>0$. Then it follows that the homorphism $R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} G^{j+1}$ $\rightarrow R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} G^{j}$ is surjective for any $j>0$ outside of 0 -cusps, and hence $R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} G^{j}$ vanishes there by descending induction. Using (3) for $j=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{3} \pi(l) * \Theta_{\tilde{D}_{0}(l)} \cong R^{3} \pi(l)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E(l)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

at least except at 0 -cusps, since $\mathcal{O}_{E(l)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{0}(l)} / G$.
We have got (4) for $l \geqq 3$. But it can be proved also for ' $l=1$ '. Let $E^{*}$ be the closure of the 1-cusp $X_{1}=H_{1} / \Gamma_{1}$ in $D^{*}=\left(H_{2} / \Gamma_{2}\right)^{*}$, and let $E^{\prime}=\tilde{\pi}^{-1}\left(E^{*}\right)$. Let us take any point $x \in X_{1}(l)=E^{*}(l)-\{0$-cusps $\}$, and the stabilizer subgroup $G_{x}$ at $x$ of $G$ (cf. Sect. 4), and a sufficiently small neighborhood $U$ at $x$ stable under $G_{x}$. Then if $V$ is a sufficiently small neighborhood at the image point of $x$ by the map $X_{1}(l) \rightarrow X_{1} \subset D^{*}$, then $\left.\left.\left(R^{3} \pi(l)\right)_{*} \theta_{\tilde{D}(l)}\right|_{U}\right)\left.\left.^{G_{x}}\right|_{V} \cong\left(R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right)\right|_{V}$ and $\left.\left.\left(\left.R^{3} \pi(l) * \mathcal{O}_{E(l)}\right|_{V}\right)^{G_{x}}\right|_{V} \cong\left(R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{V}$ (Grothendieck [9], Cor. to Prop. 5.2.3, Théorème 5.3.1 and its Cor.). Hence by (4),

$$
R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{D}} \cong R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E^{\prime}}
$$

at least except at the 0-cusps. Since we have the canonical surjection
$\alpha: R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} O_{\tilde{D}} \rightarrow R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E^{\prime}}$ (because the fibers of $\tilde{\pi}$ are of dimension $\leqq 3$ ), there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)$ is supported possibly at the 0 -cusp. So

$$
H^{1}\left(D^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi} * \Theta_{\tilde{D}}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(D^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E^{\prime}}\right)=H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Combining this with Lemma 3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \Theta_{X_{8}^{*}}^{*}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \Theta_{E^{\prime}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G_{0}$ be the stabilizer subgroup at $E_{0}^{*}(l)$ of $G$ where $G_{0}$ is considered to be also a group of automorphisms of $E_{0}^{*}(l)$, or of its normalization $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$, or of $E_{0}(l) . \quad G_{0}$ contains a subgroup $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)$ whose elements correspond to matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & B_{1} \\ C_{1} & D_{1}\end{array}\right)$ if we use the notation (2) with $n_{1}=1$. Let $\tilde{E}_{0}(l):=E_{0}(l) \times_{E_{0}^{*}(l)} \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$.

$\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$ possesses naturally an action of $G_{0}$. Let $E$ be the quotient of $\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$ by $G_{0}$. Then $E$ is a fiber space over $E^{*}$, and we denote it by $\tilde{\pi}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. By construction there is a finite morphism of $E$ to $E^{\prime}$ as fiber spaces over $E^{*}$, which is an isomorphism except at the fiber of the 0 -cusp. Then the similar argument as above shows that $H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right)$. By (5) we get

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) .
$$

Let $E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(E^{*}, R^{q} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ be the Leray spectral sequence. It is easy to see that $H^{1}\left(E^{*}, R^{3} \tilde{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{4}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ since $E$ is a fiber space over $E^{*}$ of relative dimension three. We have proved the following:

Lemma 4. $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dim} H^{4}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$.
6. $\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$ is a fiber space over $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l) \cong X_{1}^{*}(l) . \quad E_{0}(l)$ is a C.-M. variety since it is a complete intersection of two nonsingular varieties. So $\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$ is also C.-M.
(see the figure above). We see the action of $G_{0}$ on $\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$. Let $x \in E_{0}^{*}(l)-\{0$-cusps $\}$ and let $U_{1}, W_{1}$ be the subgroups of the stabilizer group as in Sect. 1, which acts on the fibers, and trivially on the base space $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$. The quotient of $\tilde{E}_{0}(l)$ by $W_{1}$ is isomorphic to itself. We saw it for each fiber over $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)-\{0$-cusps $\}$, and hence it is true for the fibers at 0 -cusps because they are limit varieties. $G_{0} / W_{1}$ has a cyclic subgroup $\Delta_{l}^{\prime}$ of order $l$ as its normal subgroup which acts effectively on the fibers, especially on $\delta$ as rotations, trivially on the base space $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$. Let $H$ be the composite of $\Delta_{l}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1}$, and let $B=\tilde{E}_{0}(l) / H$. $B$ is a fiber space

$$
\rho: B \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)=X_{1}^{*}(l) .
$$

The fiber $\rho^{-1}(x), x \in X_{1}(l)$, is an extension of an abelian variety $A^{2}$ (cf. Sect. 4) by $\gamma$ where $\gamma$ denotes a projective line with one node. For a point $\infty$ of 0 -cusps, $\rho^{-1}(\infty)$ is its limit variety where $A$ degenerates to an $l$-gon $\delta$ of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ at $\infty$. Let us consider the closed subfiber space $B^{\prime}$ of $B$ given by \{a point of a node $\} \times A^{2}$ or its limit variety. $B^{\prime}$ is a C.-M. variety because it is locally defined by a single element in the C.-M. variety $B . \quad \rho$ is a projective morphism, i. e., $\rho$ is factored as $B \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{P}_{\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)}^{M} \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$, where $\boldsymbol{P}_{\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)}^{M}$ is a projective $M$-space over $\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$. Let us take a blowing up along $B^{\prime}$, of $P_{\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)}^{M}$, and moreover take the strict transform $\tilde{B}$ of $B$. If $\sigma: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ denotes the projection, then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B} \longrightarrow \sigma_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and hence a long exact sequence derived from this;

$$
\longrightarrow R^{2} \rho_{*} \Theta_{B^{\prime}} \longrightarrow R^{3} \rho_{*} \Theta_{B} \longrightarrow R^{3} \rho_{*} \sigma_{*} \Theta_{\tilde{B}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Each of $(\rho \cdot \sigma)^{-1}(x), x \in \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$, is a $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$-bundle over two dimensional variety. Then by using the Leray spectral sequence, it is shown that ( $\left.R^{3} \rho_{*} \sigma_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\dot{B}}\right)_{x}$ vanishes at each point $x \in \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)$, hence $R^{3} \rho_{*} \sigma_{*} \sigma_{\tilde{B}}$ vanishes. Then

$$
H^{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l), R^{2} \rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l), R^{3} \rho_{*} \Theta_{B}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Here $H^{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l), R^{2} \rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{3}\left(B^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ by using the Leray spectral sequence. Since $B^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l)=X_{1}^{*}(l)$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 1, $\operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(B^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ is at most equal to $\operatorname{dim} S\left(\Gamma_{1}(l)_{4}\right)$ and further

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{3}\left(B^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\right)^{\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)} \leqq \operatorname{dim} S\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{4}=0 .
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l), R^{3} \rho_{*} \Theta_{B}\right)^{\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)}=0
$$

Since $H^{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}^{*}(l), R^{3} \rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{B}\right), H^{4}\left(B, \mathcal{O}_{B}\right)$ and $H^{4}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}(l), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_{0}(l)}\right)^{H}$ are all isomorphic as $G_{0} / H$-modules, $H^{4}\left(\tilde{E}_{0}(l), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_{0}(l)}\right)$ has no nontrivial invariant sections under $G_{0}$ since $G_{0}$ contains $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{1}(l)$. So $H^{4}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ vanishes. By Lemma 4 we have proved the following :

Proposition 1. $\operatorname{dim} H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)=0$.
7. We show that the graded ring $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is not C.-M. for any integer $r$.

Let $\mathcal{L}(4)$ be the coherent sheaf on $X_{3}^{*}$ corresponding to modular forms of weight four. Let $X_{3}^{\circ}$ be the smooth locus of $X_{3}^{*}$. Then $\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{3}^{*}-X_{3}^{\circ}\right)=2$. $\left.\mathcal{L}(4)\right|_{X_{3}^{\circ}}$ is isomorphic to the canonical invertible sheaf $K_{X_{3}^{\circ}}$ on $X_{3}^{\circ}$. By GrauertRiemenschneider [8], the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X_{3}^{*}}$ is given by $i_{*} K_{X_{3}^{0}}=\left.i_{*} \mathcal{L}(4)\right|_{x_{3}^{\mathrm{o}}}, i$ being the inclusion of $X_{3}^{0}$ into $X_{3}^{*}$. By the extendability of holomorphic functions across a subvariety of codimension two, and by Koecher's principle $\left.i_{*} \mathcal{L}(4)\right|_{x_{3}^{\circ}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{L}(4)$, hence

$$
\omega_{X_{3}^{*}} \cong \mathcal{L}(4)
$$

Theorem. $X_{3}^{*}$ is not a Cohen-Macaulay variety, and the ring $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay for any integer $r$.

Proof. If $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}$ is C.-M., then $X_{3}^{*}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{(r)}\right)$ is a C.-M. variety. So it is enough to prove the first assertion. Suppose that $X_{3}^{*}$ is a C.-M. variety. Then $H^{6}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$ is just dual to $H^{0}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{L}(4)\right)$, however the former is of dimension 0 by Proposition 1, and the latter is of dimension one since there is the unique modular form of weight four up to constant multiples, a contradiction. So $X_{3}^{*}$ is not a C.-M. variety. q.e.d.

By the dimension formula for the space of modular forms of degree three (Tsuyumine [21]), the arithmetic genus of $X_{3}^{*}$ is known to be two. So some cohomology group $H^{k}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)$ with even $k>0$ does not vanish. Also by the result of [21], it is shown that the depth of $A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ is at least five. From these we get the following (cf. Watanabe [23], Cor. (2.3));

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{4}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right) \neq 0, \quad \operatorname{depth} A\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)=5
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} H^{k}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)=0 \quad(1 \leqq k \leqq 3) \\
& \operatorname{dim} H^{4}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)-\operatorname{dim} H^{5}\left(X_{3}^{*}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{3}^{*}}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$
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