

Spectral synthesis on the algebra of Hankel transforms

By Yūichi KANJIN and Enji SATO

(Received March 6, 1986)

1. Introduction.

Let $\nu \geq -1/2$. The Hankel transform of order ν is given by

$$\hat{g}(y) = \int_0^\infty g(x) J_\nu(xy) x^{\nu+1} y^{-\nu} dx, \quad y \geq 0$$

for a function $g(x)$ on $[0, \infty)$, where $J_\nu(t)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν . Let

$$A^{(\nu)} = \left\{ \hat{g} ; \int_0^\infty |g(x)| x^{2\nu+1} dx < \infty \right\},$$

and introduce a norm to $A^{(\nu)}$ by

$$\|\hat{g}\| = \frac{1}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)} \int_0^\infty |g(x)| x^{2\nu+1} dx.$$

Then the followings are known (cf. [10], [7]):

- (i) $A^{(\nu)}$ consists of continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ vanishing at infinity.
- (ii) $A^{(\nu)}$ is a semisimple regular Banach algebra with the product of point-wise multiplication, and the maximal ideal space of $A^{(\nu)}$ is identified with the interval $[0, \infty)$.

Let $A(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Fourier algebra given by $A(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{\tilde{g}; g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$, $\|\tilde{g}\| = \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)}$, where \tilde{g} is the Fourier transform of g , that is, $\tilde{g}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(v) e^{-v\xi} dv$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Denote by $A_r(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the Fourier transforms of the radial functions g , $g(v) = g(|v|)$ a.e. $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$, in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. From the well-known formula $\tilde{g}(\xi) = \hat{g}(|\xi|)$ for a radial function g , it follows that $A_r(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is isomorphic and isometric to $A^{(\nu)}$ if $\nu = (n-2)/2$, $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$.

L. Schwartz [11] showed that the unit sphere S^{n-1} in \mathbf{R}^n is not a set of spectral synthesis for $A(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n \geq 3$. Reiter [7] proved that, if $n \geq 3$, then the singleton $\{y_0\}$, $y_0 > 0$ is not a set of spectral synthesis for $A_r(\mathbf{R}^n)$, that is, for $A^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = (n-2)/2$. This implies L. Schwartz's result. For, if $\tilde{g}_j \rightarrow \tilde{g}$ in $A(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then the Fourier transforms of the means of g_j on S^{n-1} converge to the Fourier transform of the mean of g on S^{n-1} in $A_r(\mathbf{R}^n)$. A. Schwartz [10] showed that

Reiter's result holds good for all $\nu \geq 1/2$.

On the other hand, Herz [4] proved that S^1 is a set of spectral synthesis for $A(\mathbf{R}^2)$, which implies that $\{y_0\}$, $y_0 > 0$ is a set of spectral synthesis for $A^{(0)}$. Since S^0 is a set of spectral synthesis for $A(\mathbf{R}^1)$, the set $\{y_0\}$, $y_0 > 0$ is a set of spectral synthesis for $A^{(-1/2)}$.

For $y_0 = 0$, Reiter [7] proved that, for every $n \geq 1$, the set $\{0\}$ is a set of spectral synthesis for $A_n(\mathbf{R}^n)$, that is, for $A^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = (n-2)/2$.

The purpose of this paper is to show the following:

THEOREM. *If $-1/2 \leq \nu < 1/2$ and $y_0 > 0$, then $\{y_0\}$ is a set of spectral synthesis for $A^{(\nu)}$. The set $\{0\}$ is a set of spectral synthesis for $A^{(\nu)}$ for every $\nu \geq -1/2$.*

Related results will be found in Igari and Uno [5], Cazzaniga and Meaney [1], and Wolfenstetter [12]. They are concerned with spectral synthesis for the algebra of absolutely convergent Jacobi polynomial series.

2. A lemma.

First we will prove a lemma.

LEMMA. *Let $\nu > -1$ and let m be the least integer exceeding $\nu + 3/2$. Let f be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support contained in $[-K, K]$. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(u)| u^{2\nu+1} du \leq C \sum_{j=0}^m K^j \sup_{0 \leq y} |f^{(j)}(y)|,$$

where C is a constant depending only on ν .

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(u)| u^{2\nu+1} du &= \left(\int_0^{1/K} + \int_{1/K}^\infty \right) |\hat{f}(u)| u^{2\nu+1} du \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|J_\nu(t)| \leq Ct^\nu$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^{1/K} \left| \int_0^K f(y) J_\nu(yu) y^{\nu+1} u^{-\nu} dy \right| u^{2\nu+1} du \\ &\leq C \int_0^{1/K} \int_0^K |f(y)| (yu)^\nu y^{\nu+1} dy u^{\nu+1} du \\ &\leq C(2\nu+1)^{-2} \sup_{0 \leq y} |f(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, the letter C means positive constants depending only on ν , and it may be different in each occasion.

Next we will estimate I_2 . By the formula $(d/dt)^n [t^\nu J_\nu(t)] = t^{\nu-n} J_{\nu-n}(t)$ (cf. [2, 7.2.8 (52)]), we have

$$\hat{f}(u) = u^{-2(\nu+m)} \int_0^\infty y f(y) \left(\frac{d}{ydy}\right)^m \{(yu)^{\nu+m} J_{\nu+m}(yu)\} dy.$$

Noting the fact $(d/ydy)^{m-j} \{(yu)^{\nu+m} J_{\nu+m}(yu)\} |_{y=0} = 0, j=1, 2, \dots, m$, and repeating integration by parts, we have

$$\hat{f}(u) = (-1)^m u^{-2(\nu+m)} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{d}{ydy}\right)^{m-1} f(y) \right\} (yu)^{\nu+m} J_{\nu+m}(yu) dy,$$

and thus we have

$$I_2 \leq \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_0^K \left| \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{d}{ydy}\right)^{m-1} f(y) \right| (yu)^{\nu+m} |J_{\nu+m}(yu)| dy u^{-(2m-1)} du.$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{d}{ydy}\right)^{m-1} f(y) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j y^{j-2m+1} f^{(j)}(y),$$

where every c_j is a constant depending only on j . Thus we have

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m (\sup_{0 \leq y} |f^{(j)}(y)|) \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_0^K y^{j-m+\nu+1} |J_{\nu+m}(yu)| dy u^{-m+\nu+1} du.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_0^K y^{j-m+\nu+1} |J_{\nu+m}(yu)| dy u^{-m+\nu+1} du \\ &= \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_0^{1/u} + \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_{1/u}^K = S_{1j} + S_{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1j} &\leq C \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_0^K y^{j-m+\nu+1} (yu)^{\nu+m} dy u^{-m+\nu+1} du \\ &= C \{(j+2\nu+2)j\}^{-1} K^j. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality $|J_{\nu+m}(t)| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$ for $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2j} &\leq C \int_{1/K}^\infty \int_{1/u}^K y^{j-m+\nu+1} (yu)^{-1/2} dy u^{-m+\nu+1} du \\ &= C \int_0^K \int_{1/y}^\infty u^{-m+\nu+(1/2)} du y^{j-m+\nu+1} dy \\ &= C \{(m-\nu-(3/2))j\}^{-1} K^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m (S_{1j} + S_{2j}) \sup_{0 \leq y} |f^{(j)}(y)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m K^j \sup_{0 \leq y} |f^{(j)}(y)|,$$

and therefore the proof is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem.

Let I be a closed ideal in $A^{(\nu)}$ and let $Z(I) = \{y \in [0, \infty) ; f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$. Theorem is an immediate consequence of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\nu \geq -1/2$ and let I be a closed ideal in $A^{(\nu)}$ such that $Z(I) = \{y_0\}$, $y_0 \geq 0$. If $y_0 > 0$, then $I = \{f \in A^{(\nu)} ; f^{(j)}(y_0) = 0, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ for some $M \leq \nu + 1/2$. If $y_0 = 0$, then $I = \{f \in A^{(\nu)} ; f(0) = 0\}$.*

PROOF. Let I be a closed ideal in $A^{(\nu)}$ such that $Z(I) = \{y_0\}$, $y_0 \geq 0$, and let ϕ be a continuous linear functional on $A^{(\nu)}$ such that $\phi(f) = 0$ for all $f \in I$. Let $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ be the test function space on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with usual topology. For $f \in \mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$, put $f_P(y) = f(y)$, $y \geq 0$ and $f_N(y) = f(-y)$, $y \geq 0$. Then, by the inversion formula of the Hankel transform and Lemma, we have that f_P and f_N are in $A^{(\nu)}$. We define $\Phi_+(f) = \phi(f_P) + \phi(f_N)$ and $\Phi_-(f) = \phi(f_P) - \phi(f_N)$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$. By Lemma we have

$$|\Phi_{\pm}(f)| \leq \|\phi\|(\|f_P\| + \|f_N\|) \leq C\|\phi\| \sum_{j=0}^m K^j \sup_{-\infty < y < \infty} |f^{(j)}(y)|,$$

where K is a positive number such that $\text{supp } f \subset [-K, K]$, and m is the least integer exceeding $\nu + 3/2$. Thus Φ_{\pm} are continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ with order not exceeding m . Since $A^{(\nu)}$ is semisimple and regular, the ideal I contains the ideal of functions in $A^{(\nu)}$ which vanish on a neighborhood of y_0 (cf. [6, Chapter VIII, 5.7]). This implies that the supports of Φ_{\pm} are the singleton $\{y_0\}$. Thus Φ_{\pm} have the forms

$$\Phi_+ = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j^+ \delta_{y_0}^{(j)}, \quad \Phi_- = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j^- \delta_{y_0}^{(j)},$$

where a_j^{\pm} are constants, and δ_{y_0} is the Dirac measure with mass at $\{y_0\}$ (cf. [8, 6.25]).

Now we will show that $a_j^{\pm} = 0$ for j exceeding $\nu + 1/2$ if $y_0 > 0$. Let $\nu, \mu > -1$ and put

$$g_{s,\mu}(x) = \frac{2^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + \mu + 2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) s^{2(\nu + \mu + 1)}} (s^2 - x^2)^{\mu} \chi_{[0,s)}(x),$$

where $\chi_{[0,s)}(x)$ is the characteristic function of $[0, s)$. Then $\|\hat{g}_{s,\mu}\| = 1$ and

$$\hat{g}_{s,\mu}(y) = \frac{2^{\nu+\mu+1} \Gamma(\nu + \mu + 1)}{(sy)^{(\nu+\mu+1)}} J_{\nu+\mu+1}(sy) \quad [3, 8.5(33)].$$

Let $q(y)$ be a function in $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $q(y) = 1$ on a neighborhood of y_0 and $\text{supp } q \subset (0, \infty)$. Then $q\hat{g}_{s,\mu}$ is in $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ and $|\Phi_{\pm}(q\hat{g}_{s,\mu})| \leq |\phi(q\hat{g}_{s,\mu})| \leq$

$\|\phi\| \|q\|$. On the other hand, by the formula $(d/dt)(t^{-\nu}J_{\nu}(at)) = -at^{-\nu}J_{\nu+1}(at)$ and the asymptotic formula

$$J_{\nu}(t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{1/2} \cos(t - \nu\pi/2 - \pi/4) + O(t^{-3/2}) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

we have $\delta_{y_0}^{(j)}(q\hat{g}_{s,\mu}) = O(s^{j - (\nu+1/2) - (\mu+1)})$ ($s \rightarrow \infty$) and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} |\delta_{y_0}^{(j)}(q\hat{g}_{s,\mu})| s^{-(j - (\nu+1/2) - (\mu+1))} > 0$$

for $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that $\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi_{\pm}(q\hat{g}_{s,\mu})| = \infty$ if $a_{\pm j} \neq 0$ for some $j > (\nu+1/2) + (\mu+1)$. Since $\mu > -1$ is arbitrary, we have $a_{\pm j} = 0$ for $j > \nu+1/2$.

Next we will show that $a_{\pm j} = 0$ for $j > 0$ if $y_0 = 0$. First we note that Φ_{\pm} have the forms

$$\Phi_+ = \sum_k a_{2k}^+ \delta_0^{(2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_- = \sum_k a_{2k-1}^- \delta_0^{(2k-1)}.$$

Let $q_0(y)$ be an even function in $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $q_0(y) = 1$ for $y \in [-1, 1]$ and $q_0(y) = 0$ for $y \notin (-2, 2)$. Put $q_s(y) = (sy/2)q_0(sy/2)$. Then we have $\|(q_s)_P\| = O(1)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma. Let $g_{s,0}$ be the function $g_{s,\mu}$ with $\mu = 0$. Then $|\Phi_+(q_0\hat{g}_{s,0})| = O(1)$ and $|\Phi_-(q_s\hat{g}_{s,0})| = O(1)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, it follows from the power series expansion of the Bessel function that

$$\delta_0^{(2k)}(q_0\hat{g}_{s,0}) = \frac{(-1)^k (2k)! \Gamma(\nu+1)}{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(\nu+\mu+2)} s^{2k},$$

$$\delta_0^{(2k-1)}(q_s\hat{g}_{s,0}) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} (2k-1)! \Gamma(\nu+1)}{2^{2k-1} (k-1)! \Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)} s^{2k-1}.$$

This implies that $|\Phi_+(q_0\hat{g}_{s,0})| \rightarrow \infty$ ($s \rightarrow \infty$) if $a_{2k}^+ \neq 0$ for some $k > 0$, and $|\Phi_-(q_s\hat{g}_{s,0})| \rightarrow \infty$ ($s \rightarrow \infty$) if $a_{2k-1}^- \neq 0$ for some $k > 0$. Thus we have $a_{\pm j} = 0$ for $j > 0$, and therefore we have that $\phi(f_P)$ is of the form

$$\phi(f_P) = (\Phi_+(f) - \Phi_-(f))/2$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \delta_{y_0}^{(j)}(f), & N \leq \nu+1/2 \quad (y_0 > 0), \\ a_0 \delta_0(f) & (y_0 = 0) \end{cases}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$. A. Schwartz [9] showed that if f is in $A^{(\nu)}$, then f has p continuous derivatives and $|f^{(r)}(y)| \leq C \|f\|$, $r=0, 1, 2, \dots, p$, where p is the greatest integer not exceeding $\nu+1/2$. From this and the fact that $\{f_P; f \in \mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)\}$ is dense in $A^{(\nu)}$, it follows that ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(f) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \delta_{y_0}^{(j)}(f), & N \leq \nu+1/2 \quad (y_0 > 0), \\ a_0 \delta_0(f) & (y_0 = 0) \end{cases}$$

for $f \in A^{(\nu)}$. We define $N(\phi) = \max\{j; a_j \neq 0\}$. Let L_I be the space of continuous linear functionals ϕ on $A^{(\nu)}$ such that $\phi(f) = 0$ for all $f \in I$. Put $M = \max\{N(\phi); \phi \in L_I\}$. Then we note that $M = 0$ for $y_0 = 0$ and $0 \leq M \leq \nu + 1/2$ for $y_0 > 0$. Let f be in I and let ϕ_0 be a functional in L_I such that $M = N(\phi_0)$. For $h \in A^{(\nu)}$, we have

$$0 = \phi_0(fh) = \sum_{k=0}^M \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^M \binom{j}{k} a_j f^{(j-k)}(y_0) \right\} h^{(k)}(y_0).$$

Since there exist functions $h_m \in A^{(\nu)}$ such that $h_m^{(k)}(y_0) = \delta_{mk}$, $k, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M$, we have $\sum_{j=k}^M \binom{j}{k} a_j f^{(j-k)}(y_0) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M$. Thus $f^{(k)}(y_0) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M$. This implies that $I = \{f \in A^{(\nu)}; f^{(k)}(y_0) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ since I is a space of $f \in A^{(\nu)}$ such that $\phi(f) = 0$ for all $\phi \in L_I$. Therefore the proof is complete.

References

- [1] F. Cazzaniga and C. Meaney, A local property of absolutely convergent Jacobi polynomial series, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **34** (1982), 389-406.
- [2] A. Erdélyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [3] A. Erdélyi et al., *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- [4] C. S. Herz, Spectral synthesis for the circle, *Ann. of Math.*, **68** (1958), 709-712.
- [5] S. Igari and Y. Uno, Banach algebra related to the Jacobi polynomials, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **21** (1969), 668-673.
- [6] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968, reprint by Dover.
- [7] H. Reiter, Contributions to harmonic analysis IV, *Math. Ann.*, **135** (1958), 467-476.
- [8] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [9] A. Schwartz, The smoothness of Hankel transforms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **28** (1969), 500-507.
- [10] A. Schwartz, The structure of the algebra of Hankel transforms and the algebra of Hankel-Stieltjes transforms, *Canad. J. Math.*, **23** (1971), 236-246.
- [11] L. Schwartz, Sur une propriété de synthèse spectrale dans les groupes non compacts, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **227** (1948), 424-426.
- [12] S. Wolfenstetter, Spectral synthesis on algebras of Jacobi polynomial series, *Arch. Math.*, **43** (1984), 364-369.

Yûichi KANJIN

Department of Mathematics
College of Liberal Arts
Kanazawa University
Kanazawa 920
Japan

Enji SATO

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Yamagata University
Yamagata 990
Japan