

On Shimura's elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{29})$

By Tetsuo NAKAMURA

(Received Dec. 5, 1983)

Let k be the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{29})$. Then the class number of k is 1 and $\varepsilon=(5+\sqrt{29})/2$ is a fundamental unit of k . Let E_0 be an elliptic curve over k defined by the equation:

$$y^2+xy+\varepsilon^2y=x^3.$$

Let B be the elliptic curve over k which is obtained from the space $S_2(\Gamma_0(29), (\frac{\cdot}{29}))$ of cusp forms of "Neben"-type of weight 2 (see Shimura [4, §7.5, §7.7]). It is conjectured that B is isogenous to E_0 over k (see Serre [3, p. 323] and Shimura [5, p. 184]). It will be shown here that this is so, by reducing the problem to the solution of a certain diophantine equation over k .

§1. Let σ be the non-trivial automorphism of k and O_k the integer ring of k . Let E be an elliptic curve over k . For a natural number n , we denote by E_n the group of elements x of $E(\bar{k})$ with $nx=0$.

THEOREM. *Let E be an elliptic curve over k . Assume that E satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) E has everywhere good reduction over k .
- (ii) E has an isogeny onto E^σ over k whose degree is prime to 6.
- (iii) E has a k -rational point of order 3.
- (iv) $[k(E_2):k]$ is divisible by 2.
- (v) $[k(E_3):k]$ is divisible by 3.

Then E is k -isomorphic to either E_0 or E_0^σ .

REMARK. The condition (ii) of Theorem implies that $k(E_2)$ and $k(E_3)$ are Galois over \mathbf{Q} .

COROLLARY. *Shimura's elliptic curve B is isogenous to E_0 over k .*

PROOF OF COROLLARY. By Casselman [1], B has everywhere good reduction. It is known that B has an isogeny onto B^σ of degree 5. Since the number of the F_{p^2} -rational points of the reduction of B at $p=3$ is $1-(2p+a_p^2)+p^2=9$ ($a_p=-\sqrt{-5}$, cf. Yamauchi [6]), we have $k(B_2)\neq k$. By (i), $k(B_2)/k$ is unramified

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 59540008), Ministry of Education.

outside 2. Now the order of the ray class group of k of conductor 2 is prime to 3, so that we see that $[k(B_2):k] \neq 3$. Therefore $[k(B_2):k]$ is divisible by 2, since $[k(B_2):k]$ is a divisor of 6. Let $\varphi_3: \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B_3) \cong GL_2(F_3)$ be the representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ on B_3 . By Yamauchi [6], $\varphi_3(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k))$ is a half Borel subgroup. Therefore if B has a k -rational point of order 3, B satisfies all the conditions of Theorem. If B has no k -rational point of order 3, then B_3 contains a subgroup X of order 3 which is stable under $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Let $B' = B/X$. Then B' is an elliptic curve over k with a k -rational point of order 3. We see that B' has an isogeny onto B'^σ of degree 5. Since B and B' are isogenous over k , B' satisfies all the conditions of Theorem (cf. Serre [2, IV, 2.3]). Noting that E_0 and E_0^σ are isogenous by Serre [3, p. 323], Theorem shows that B is isogenous to E_0 .

Now admitting Proposition 2.3 in §2, we will give a proof of Theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let E be an elliptic curve which satisfies the conditions (i)~(v) of Theorem. Let Δ be the discriminant of a global minimal model of E over k . By (iv) and (v), we see that $\sqrt{\Delta}$, $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} \notin k$ (cf. Serre [3, p. 305]). Since $k(\sqrt{\Delta})$ is the unique quadratic extension of k contained in $k(E_2)$, it follows that $k(\sqrt{\Delta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois. By (i), Δ is a unit of k , so that we must have that $k(\sqrt{\Delta}) = k(\sqrt{-1})$, $k(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ or $k(\sqrt{-\varepsilon})$. As $k(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ and $k(\sqrt{-\varepsilon})$ are not Galois over \mathbf{Q} , we have $k(\sqrt{\Delta}) = k(\sqrt{-1})$. Therefore we may assume that $\Delta = -\varepsilon^2$, $-\varepsilon^4$, $-\varepsilon^8$ or $-\varepsilon^{10}$. If $\Delta = -\varepsilon^{10}$ (resp. $-\varepsilon^4$), then E^σ has a global minimal model with discriminant $-\varepsilon^2$ (resp. $-\varepsilon^8$). We see that E^σ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem, and hence we may assume that $\Delta = -\varepsilon^{10}$ or $-\varepsilon^4$. Now we can choose a model

$$y^2 = x^3 + b_2x^2 + 8b_4x + 16b_6$$

of E , where b_2, b_4, b_6 are in O_k and $(0, b)$ for some b in O_k is a point of order 3. The x -coordinates of the points of order 3 are the roots of the equation

$$3x^4 + 4b_2x^3 + 3 \cdot 2^4b_4x^2 + 3 \cdot 2^6b_6x + 2^8b_8 = 0$$

where $b_8 = (b_2b_6 - b_4^2)/4$ (cf. Serre [3, p. 305]). Then, since $b_8 = 0$ and $b^2 = 16b_6$, the curve E can be written in the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + c^2x^2 + 2bcx + b^2$$

where $c \in O_k$ with $c^2 = b_2 = b_4^2/b_6$. As $4^2b^3(4c^3 - 27b) = 2^{12}\Delta$, we can write $b = 4d$ with $d \in O_k$, and then $d^3(c^3 - 27d) = \Delta$. Hence d is a unit and $c^3 = 27d + \Delta d^{-3}$. Write $d = \pm \varepsilon^m$. If $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then we have $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, since $\Delta \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}$. Putting $m = 1 + 3n$, we have $(\pm \varepsilon^{-n}c)^3 = 27\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-3-12n}\Delta$. In case $\Delta = -\varepsilon^{10}$, we have $(\pm \varepsilon^{-n}c)^3 = 27\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{7-12n}$. Let \mathfrak{p}_{13} be the prime divisor of 13 such that $\varepsilon \equiv 11 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_{13}}$. Then $(\pm \varepsilon^{-n}c)^3 \equiv 9 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_{13}}$, but this is impossible for $c \in O_k$. In case $\Delta = -\varepsilon^4$, let \mathfrak{p}_7 be the prime divisor of 7 such that $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_7}$. Then $(\pm \varepsilon^{-n}c)^3 \equiv 3 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_7}$,

but this is also impossible. Therefore $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Then $c^3 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, so that we have $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3$. Put $m=2+3n$ and $C=\pm \varepsilon^{-n}c$. If $A=-\varepsilon^4$, we have $C^3=27\varepsilon^2-\varepsilon^{-2-12n}$. Let q_{13} be the prime divisor of 13 such that $\varepsilon \equiv 7 \pmod{q_{13}}$. Then $C^3 \equiv 6 \pmod{q_{13}}$, but this is impossible. It follows that $A=-\varepsilon^{10}$ and

$$C^3=27\varepsilon^2-\varepsilon^{4-12n}.$$

It will be shown in § 2 (Proposition 2.3) that for $C \in O_k$ and an integer n , the above equation has a unique solution $C=1, n=0$. Therefore the curve E takes the form

$$y^2=x^3+x^2+8\varepsilon^2x+16\varepsilon^4,$$

which is clearly isomorphic to E_0 over k . This completes the proof of Theorem.

§ 2. 2.1. Let $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}$ and $K=k(\alpha)$. Let η be the real root of $X^3=2X^2+X+1$. Then we have $\alpha^{-1}-\alpha=\eta(\eta-3)$ and $\eta=(-2\alpha^5+\alpha^4+11\alpha^2-3\alpha+2)/3$. Therefore $K=k \cdot F$, where $F=\mathbf{Q}(\eta)$. The discriminant of F is -87 and η is a fundamental unit of F . Let D_K be the discriminant of K . Since $|D_K|=29^3|N_k(D_{K/k})|=(-87)^2|N_F(D_{K/F})|$, D_K is divisible by $9 \cdot 29^3$. The discriminant of $\{1, \eta, \eta^2, \alpha, \alpha\eta, \alpha\eta^2\}$ is $9 \cdot 29^3$. Hence $|D_K|=9 \cdot 29^3$ and $\{1, \eta, \eta^2, \alpha, \alpha\eta, \alpha\eta^2\}$ is an integral basis of K over \mathbf{Q} . Let $L=K(\zeta)$ where $\zeta^2+\zeta+1=0$. Then L/\mathbf{Q} is Galois. Let ρ, τ, σ be the automorphisms of L such that ρ is the complex conjugation, $\alpha^\tau=\alpha\zeta, \zeta^\tau=\zeta, \alpha^\sigma=-\alpha^{-1}, \zeta^\sigma=\zeta^2$. We see that $\eta^\sigma=\eta, \rho\tau=\tau^2\rho, \rho^2=\sigma^2=\tau^3=1$ and $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})=\langle\sigma\rangle \times \langle\rho, \tau\rangle$. The different imbeddings of K into $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ are $\sigma_1=1, \sigma_2=\sigma, \sigma_3=\tau, \sigma_4=\tau^2, \sigma_5=\sigma\tau$ and $\sigma_6=\sigma\tau^2$. Clearly the unit group U_K of K has rank 3 over \mathbf{Z} . Let $\beta=1+(\alpha\eta)^{-1}$. Then $\beta \in U_K$ and $N_{K/k}(\beta)=1, N_{K/F}(\beta)=\eta^{-1}$.

LEMMA 1. $W=\{u \in U_K \mid N_{K/k}(u)=N_{K/F}(u)=1\}=\langle\eta\beta^2\rangle$.

PROOF. We see easily that W is \mathbf{Z} -free of rank 1 and $\eta\beta^2 \in W$. First we note that η is not a square in K . In fact, let $\eta=(A+B\alpha)^2$, with $A, B \in O_F$; this means that $\eta=A^2+B^2$ and $(2A-\eta(\eta-3)B)B=0$. Since η is a fundamental unit of F , we have $B \neq 0$; hence $2A=\eta(\eta-3)B$. Then $\eta(2\eta-3)B^2=4\eta$. As $2\eta-3$ is prime to 4, this is a contradiction. Therefore in order to prove Lemma 1, it suffices to show that there exists no $\gamma \in W$ such that $\eta\beta^2=\gamma^n$ for $n \geq 3$. Let $\theta_1=\alpha\eta^2, \theta_2=\alpha\eta, \theta_3=\alpha, \theta_4=\eta^2, \theta_5=\eta, \theta_6=1$. Write $x^{(i)}=x^{\sigma_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) for $x \in K$ and let $D=\det(\theta_i^{(j)})$. Then $D^2=9 \cdot 29^3$. We denote by $D_{i,j}$ the cofactor of $\theta_i^{(j)}$ of D . Let $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i\theta_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $\gamma^n=\eta\beta^2$ for $n \geq 3$. By the simultaneous linear equations $\gamma^{(j)}=\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i\theta_i^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 6$), we have

$$|a_i| \leq |D^{-1}| \sum_{j=1}^6 |\gamma^{(j)}| |D_{i,j}| \quad (i=1, \dots, 6).$$

Put $v = \eta\beta^2$. Then $N_{K/F}(v) = v^{(1)}v^{(2)} = 1$, $|v^{(3)}|^2 = v^{(3)}v^{(4)} = v^{-1}$ and $|v^{(5)}|^2 = v^{(5)}v^{(6)} = v$. Some computations give the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.73 < \alpha < 1.74, \quad 2.54 < \eta < 2.55, \quad v < 3.99, \\ |\gamma^{(1)}| \leq v^{1/3} < 1.59, \quad |\gamma^{(2)}| < 1, \quad |\gamma^{(3)}| = |\gamma^{(4)}| < 1, \\ |\gamma^{(5)}| = |\gamma^{(6)}| \leq v^{1/6} < 1.26, \quad |D_{1,1}| = |D_{1,2}| < 25.88, \\ |D_{1,3}| = |D_{1,4}| = |D_{1,5}| = |D_{1,6}| < 96.34, \\ |D_{2,1}| = |D_{2,2}| < 14.23, \quad |D_{2,3}| = \cdots = |D_{2,6}| < 226.39, \\ |D_{3,1}| = |D_{3,2}| < 10.35, \quad |D_{3,3}| = \cdots = |D_{3,6}| < 157.23. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get $|a_1| < 1.08$, $|a_2| < 2.27$ and $|a_3| < 1.58$. Since $\gamma^n - (\gamma^\sigma)^n = \eta(\beta^2 - (\beta^\sigma)^2) = (\eta - 1)(\alpha^{-1} + \alpha)$, we see that $a_1\eta^2 + a_2\eta + a_3 = (\gamma - \gamma^\sigma)(\alpha^{-1} + \alpha)^{-1}$ is a divisor of $\eta - 1$. It is easily seen that the divisors $A = a_1\eta^2 + a_2\eta + a_3$ of $\eta - 1$ such that $|a_1| \leq 1$, $|a_2| \leq 2$ and $|a_3| \leq 1$ are the followings: $\pm A = 1, \eta, \eta^2, \eta^{-1} (= \eta^2 - 2\eta - 1), \eta - 1, \eta(\eta - 1), \eta^2(\eta - 1)$. Noticing that $N_{K/F}(\gamma) = B^2 - \eta(\eta - 3)BA - A^2 = 1$ where $B = a_4\eta^2 + a_5\eta + a_6$, we get, after some calculations, $A = \pm(\eta - 1)$ and $\gamma = \pm v, \pm v^{-1}$. However this is a contradiction. Thus our lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. $V = \{u \in U_K | N_{K/k}(u) = 1\} = \langle \eta, \beta \rangle$.

PROOF. Clearly V is \mathbf{Z} -free of rank 2 and $\eta, \beta \in V$. Now assume that $u^n = \eta$ ($n \geq 2$) for some $u \in V$. Let $N_{K/F}(u) = \eta^e$. Then $\eta^{en} = \eta^2$ and therefore $n = 2$. This shows that η is not a power of another unit in V , since η is not a square in K . Then we can choose a basis $\{\eta, \delta\}$ of V such that $N_{K/F}(\delta) = \eta^{-1}$. Since $\delta/\beta \in W$, we have $\delta \in \langle \eta, \beta \rangle$ by Lemma 1. Therefore $V = \langle \eta, \beta \rangle$.

2.2. We describe here the decomposition of 3 in L , which can be checked by simple calculations. Obviously 3 remains prime in k . Since $3 = \eta^{-2}(\eta - 1)(\eta + 1)^2$, 3 decomposes in F as $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}^2$ where $\mathfrak{p} = (\eta - 1)$ and $\mathfrak{q} = (\eta + 1)$. We see that \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} remain prime in K . Let P_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be ideals of L such that $P_3 = (\eta + 1, \eta^\tau + 1)$, $P_1 = P_3^2$ and $P_2 = P_1^2$. Then P_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are prime ideals and we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} P_i^\sigma &= P_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad P_1^\rho = P_1, \quad P_2^\rho = P_3, \\ \mathfrak{p} &= P_1^2, \quad \mathfrak{q} = P_2P_3, \quad (3) = (P_1P_2P_3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. PROPOSITION. The equation $\varepsilon^{4+12m} - x^3 = 27\varepsilon^2$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ has exactly one solution in k , namely $x = -1$ and $m = 0$.

PROOF. Let $A = \varepsilon^{1+4m}\alpha - x$ for $x \in k$. Then we easily have the following relations:

- (1) $A + \zeta A^\tau + (\zeta A^\tau)^\rho = 0$.
- (2) $(A - A^\tau)(A - A^\tau)^\sigma = 3$.

Now $N_{K/k}(A) = \varepsilon^{4+12m} - x^3 = 27\varepsilon^2$ implies that the ideal (A) of K is either \mathfrak{p}^3 , $\mathfrak{p}^2\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}^2$ or \mathfrak{q}^3 . In view of (2) we must have $(A) = \mathfrak{p}^2\mathfrak{q} = (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2})$. Then, by Lemma 2, A can be written as $(\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2})\alpha^2\eta^p\beta^q = (1 + \alpha^4)\eta^p\beta^q$ with $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$. In order to complete the proof, it suffices to show that $p = q = 0$, i.e., $A = 1 + \varepsilon\alpha$.

Step I. Since $A^\tau \equiv 0 \pmod{P_2^4}$, (2) implies $AA^\sigma \equiv 3 \pmod{P_2^4}$. Now $AA^\sigma = 3\{1 - 3(\eta + 1)^2 + 18\eta\}\eta^{2p-q}$ and this shows that $\eta^{2p-q} \equiv 1 \pmod{P_2^2}$. Noticing $\eta \equiv -1 \pmod{P_2}$ and $\eta \not\equiv -1 \pmod{P_2^2}$, we see that q is even and $2p - q \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We put $\pi = \eta^\tau + 1$ and $J = \zeta(1 + \zeta\alpha^4)$. It is easily shown that $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{P_1}$ and $\beta^\tau \equiv -\alpha^\tau(1 - \alpha^\tau\pi) \pmod{P_1^2}$. Then we have $\zeta A^\tau = J(\eta^\tau)^p(\beta^\tau)^q \equiv (-1)^{p+q}\alpha^q\{\zeta^q J - pJ\pi - q\alpha J\pi\} \pmod{P_1^3}$. Since $\zeta A^\tau + (\zeta A^\tau)^\rho \equiv 0 \pmod{P_1^3}$ by (1), this shows $\zeta^q J + (\zeta^q J)^\rho \equiv (p + q\alpha)(J\pi + (J\pi)^\rho) \pmod{P_1^3}$. Now we have $J\pi + (J\pi)^\rho = 3(-\eta^2 + \eta + 4) - 3(4\eta^2 - 11\eta + 2)\alpha \equiv 3(1 - \alpha) \pmod{P_1^3}$. Since $\alpha - 1 \equiv \varepsilon^2 \pmod{P_1^2}$ and $q \equiv 2p \pmod{3}$, we see $(p + q\alpha)(J\pi + (J\pi)^\rho) \equiv -3q\varepsilon^4 \equiv 3q \pmod{P_1^3}$. On the other hand, we get easily $\zeta^q J + (\zeta^q J)^\rho \equiv -3q \pmod{P_1^3}$. Therefore we must have $q \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, hence $p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Step II. By Step I, A can be written as $(1 + \alpha^4)\eta^{3p}\beta^{6q}$, where $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have easily $3 = (\eta - 1) + \eta^{-1}(\eta - 1)^3 = (\eta + 1)^2 + (\eta + 1)^4(\eta^2 - \eta - 4)$ and $1 + \alpha^4 = -\alpha^2\{(\eta - 1)^2 - (\eta - 1)^4\}$. The following congruences are checked by some calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &\equiv \pi^2 + \pi^4 - \pi^7, \quad 1 + \alpha^4 \equiv -\alpha^2\pi^4(1 - \pi^2) \pmod{P_1^8}, \\ (1 + \zeta\alpha^4)(1 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4}) &\equiv 3(1 - \pi^3 + \pi^6) \pmod{P_1^9}, \\ \varepsilon^4 (= 27\varepsilon^2 - 1) &\equiv -1 \pmod{P_1^6}, \quad (\eta\eta^\tau)^3 \equiv -(1 + \pi^3) \pmod{P_1^4}, \\ \beta^6 &\equiv -1, \quad (\beta^\tau)^6 \equiv \varepsilon^2(1 - \pi^3) \pmod{P_1^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $r = 2(p - q)$, we get $AA^\sigma = (1 + \alpha^4)(1 + \alpha^{-4})\eta^{3r} \equiv \pi^8 \pmod{P_1^9}$ and $(AA^\sigma)^\tau = (1 + \zeta\alpha^4)(1 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4})(\eta^\tau)^{3r} \equiv 3(1 - \pi^3 + \pi^6)\left(1 + rs + \binom{r}{2}s^2\right) \pmod{P_1^9}$ where $s = -(\pi - 1)^3 - 1 \equiv \pi^3 + \pi^4 + \pi^5 + \pi^6 \pmod{P_1^7}$. Further we have $AA^{\tau\sigma} + A^\sigma A^\tau = (1 + \alpha^4)(1 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4})(\eta\eta^\tau)^{3p}\Phi$, where $\Phi = (\beta\beta^\tau)^{6q} + \zeta(\beta^\sigma\beta^\tau)^{6q} \equiv (-1)^q(1 - q\pi^3)(\varepsilon^{-2q} + \zeta\varepsilon^{2q}) \pmod{P_1^4}$. If q is odd, then $\Phi \equiv (1 - \zeta)\varepsilon^{2q} \pmod{P_1^4}$. This gives $AA^{\tau\sigma} + A^\sigma A^\tau \equiv (-1)^p\alpha^{6q+2}3\pi^4(1 - \pi^2) \equiv \pm\pi^6 \pmod{P_1^9}$. Then by (2), we have $3(1 - \pi^3 + \pi^6)\left(1 + rs + \binom{r}{2}s^2\right) \pm \pi^6 + \pi^8 \equiv 3 \pmod{P_1^9}$. In particular, we have $3(1 - \pi^3)(1 + rs) \equiv 3 \pmod{P_1^9}$ and this implies $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$; then $\binom{r}{2}s^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{P_1^8}$. Putting $r = 1 + 3r'$, we obtain $\pi^6 + \pi^7 + \pi^7(1 + \pi)r' \pm \pi^6 \equiv 0 \pmod{P_1^9}$. However this last congruence is impossible for $r' \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore q must be even. Then we have $\Phi \equiv (1 - q\pi^3)\varepsilon^{2q}(1 + \zeta) \pmod{P_1^4}$, so that $AA^{\tau\sigma} + A^\sigma A^\tau \equiv (-1)^{p+q}(\zeta\alpha^4 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4} - 1) \pmod{P_1^4}$ where $q = 2q'$. Now we want to prove that $p + q' \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Assume $p + q'$ is odd. By (2), we have $(1 + \zeta\alpha^4)(1 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4})(1 + s)^r + (\zeta\alpha^4 + \zeta^2\alpha^{-4} - 1) \equiv 3 \pmod{P_1^4}$ and this implies $-2\pi^5 + \pi^5(1 + \pi)r \equiv 0 \pmod{P_1^4}$. This congruence is also impossible for $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore $p + q'$ is even and then we

have by (2) that $(1+\zeta\alpha^4)(1+\zeta^2\alpha^{-4})sr \equiv 0 \pmod{P_1^2}$; this implies that $r=2(p-2q') \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then obviously we have $p+q' \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Step III. We easily see that $\alpha^4\beta^4\eta^{-1}=3\alpha^2-1$. As $A=(1+\alpha^4)\eta^{3p}\beta^{12q'} = (1+\alpha^4)\eta^{3(p+q')}\alpha^4\beta^4\eta^{-1})^{3q'}\alpha^{-12q'}$, by Step II we can write A as $\varepsilon^{-4y}(1+\alpha^4)\eta^{18x} \cdot (3\alpha^2-1)^{3y}$ where $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$. Put $\eta^6=(33\eta^2+18\eta+13)=1+3M$. Then $M=30+(13\varepsilon-50)\alpha+(-15\varepsilon+88)\alpha^2$. Putting $\eta^{18}=1+9\phi$, we get

$$\phi = M+3M^2+3M^3 \equiv (4-2\varepsilon)\alpha + (6\varepsilon+4)\alpha^2 \pmod{9O_k[\alpha]}.$$

We have $(1-3\alpha^2)^3=1+9\psi$, where $\psi=-3\varepsilon^2+3\varepsilon\alpha-\alpha^2$. For $G=a+b\alpha+c\alpha^2$ ($a, b, c \in O_k$), let

$$T(G) = (1+\alpha^4)G + \zeta((1+\alpha^4)G)^{\tau} + \zeta^2((1+\alpha^4)G)^{\tau^2}.$$

Then $T(G)=3\alpha^2(b\varepsilon+c)$. By (1), we have $T(\eta^{18x}(1-3\alpha^2)^{3y})=0$. Now consider the following 9-adic expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{18x}(1-3\alpha^2)^{3y} &= (1+9\phi)^x(1+9\psi)^y \\ &= \left(1+9x\phi+9^2\binom{x}{2}\phi^2+\dots\right)\left(1+9y\psi+9^2\binom{y}{2}\psi^2+\dots\right) \\ &= 1+9(x\phi+y\psi)+9^2\left(\binom{x}{2}\phi^2+\binom{y}{2}\psi^2+xy\phi\psi\right)+\dots \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$9(xT(\phi)+yT(\psi))+9^2\left(\binom{x}{2}T(\phi^2)+\binom{y}{2}T(\psi^2)+xyT(\phi\psi)\right)+\dots=0.$$

If either x or y is not zero, then we can put $x=3^em, y=3^n, (m, n, 3)=1$ ($e \geq 0$). Since $9^i\binom{x}{i}T(\phi^i), 9^i\binom{y}{i}T(\psi^i)$ ($i \geq 2$) are divisible by $9^2 \cdot 3^{e+1}$, we obtain

$$9(xT(\phi)+yT(\psi)) \equiv 0 \pmod{9^2 \cdot 3^{e+1}}$$

or

$$mT(\phi)+nT(\psi) \equiv 0 \pmod{27}.$$

Noticing $T(\phi) \equiv 6\alpha^2 \pmod{27}$ and $T(\psi)=3(2+15\varepsilon)\alpha^2$, we have $2(m+n)+15\varepsilon n \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, and this implies $m+n \equiv 0, 15n \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, so that $m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $x=y=0$ and this completes the proof.

References

- [1] W. Casselman, On abelian varieties with many endomorphisms and a conjecture of Shimura, *Invent. Math.*, **12** (1971), 225-236.
- [2] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [3] J.-P. Serre, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.*, **15** (1972), 259-331.

- [4] G. Shimura, Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- [5] G. Shimura, Class fields over real quadratic fields and Hecke operators, *Ann. of Math.*, **95** (1972), 130-190.
- [6] M. Yamauchi, On the fields generated by certain points of finite order on Shimura's elliptic curves, *J. Math. Kyoto univ.*, **14** (1974), 243-255.

Tetsuo NAKAMURA
Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Tohoku University
Kawauchi, Sendai 980
Japan