

Quasi-maximal ideals and quasi-primary ideals of weak-*Dirichlet algebras

By Takahiko NAKAZI^{*)}

(Received Feb. 1, 1978)

Let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$ and let $H^\infty(m)$ denote the weak-*closure of A in $L^\infty(m)$. Let B be a weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ which contains A and let $I_B = \{f \in B; \int fg dm = 0 \quad g \in B\}$ and let $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ be a conditional expectation for $B \cap \bar{B}$. When $B = H^\infty(m)$, I_B is a maximal ideal and $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is multiplicative on B . When $B \neq H^\infty$, it is not known whether $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is always multiplicative on B . It is easy to show that if $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is multiplicative on B , I_B is a quasi-maximal ideal of B (Definition 2, §4). It is known ([3]) that when $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is multiplicative on B , if M is a left continuous invariant subspace for B of $L^\infty(m)$, then $M = \chi_E q B$ for some unimodular q and some characteristic function χ_E in B . We show in this paper that the weak converse is valid, i. e., if any left continuous invariant subspace for B of $L^\infty(m)$ has the form $\chi_E q B$, then I_B is a quasi-maximal ideal of B . Secondly we show that if I is the weak-*closed linear span of functions in $H^\infty(m)$, vanishing on sets of positive measure, then it is a primary ideal of $H^\infty(m)$. When $B \neq H^\infty(m)$, there exist quasi-primary ideals of B (Definition 1, §3). Thirdly we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimum weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ that contains $H^\infty(m)$ properly. And we show that there exists at least one function in $H^\infty(m)$ that is not a weak-*limit of functions, vanishing on sets of positive measure if and only if there exists a minimum weak-*closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$ that contains $H^\infty(m)$ properly.

1. Preliminaries.

Recall that by definition [5] a weak-*Dirichlet algebra, is an algebra of essentially bounded measurable functions on a probability measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, m) such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$ (the bar denotes conjugation); (iii) for all f and g in A , $\int_X fg dm = \int_X f dm \int_X g dm$. The abstract Hardy space $H^p = H^p(m)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

^{*)} Work supported by Kakenhi 234004.

associated with A are defined as follows. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, H^p is the $L^p(=L^p(m))$ -closure of A , while H^∞ is defined to be the weak-*closure of A . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $H_0^p = \{f \in H^p; \int_X f dm = 0\}$.

A weak-*closed subalgebra B of L^∞ , containing A , is called a superalgebra (of A). A weak-*closed ideal of B is called an ideal simply. Let $B_0 = \{f \in B; \int_X f dm = 0\}$ and let I_B the largest weak-*closed ideal of B which is contained in B_0 . Then $I_B = \{f \in B; \int_X f g dm = 0 \quad g \in B\} = \{f \in L^\infty; \int_X f g dm = 0 \quad g \in B\}$.

For any subset $M \subseteq L^\infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by $[M]_p$ the norm closed linear span of M in L^p and by $[M]_\infty$ the weak-*closed linear span of M . For any measurable subset E of X , the function χ_E is the characteristic function of E . For any f in L^1 , denote by $E(f)$ the support set of f .

Suppose \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of measurable subsets E of X for which the characteristic functions χ_E lie in a superalgebra B . Then \mathcal{B} is the σ -subalgebra of A . Let $E^\mathcal{B}$ denote the conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} . Then $E^\mathcal{B}(fE^\mathcal{B}(g)) = E^\mathcal{B}(f)E^\mathcal{B}(g)$ for all f and g in L^∞ and so B . When $E^\mathcal{B}(fg) = E^\mathcal{B}(f)E^\mathcal{B}(g)$ for all f and g in B , we say that $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B . When $B = H^\infty$ or L^∞ , it is clear that $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B . In many examples which we know, $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on every superalgebra B which contains a weak-*Dirichlet algebra A . We don't know that there exists a superalgebra B on which $E^\mathcal{B}$ is not multiplicative. We call the measure m is quasi-multiplicative on B if $\int_X f^2 dm = 0$ for each f in B such that $\int_E f dm = 0$ for all χ_E in B . Theorem 4 in [3] shows that m is quasi-multiplicative on B if and only if $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B . Suppose $\mathcal{J}_B = \{f \in B; E^\mathcal{B}(f) = 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$, then $I_B \subseteq \mathcal{J}_B \subseteq B_0$. If $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B , then $I_B = \mathcal{J}_B$. If m is multiplicative on B , then $I_B = \mathcal{J}_B = B_0$.

Recall that by definition [4], we say that the characteristic function χ_E is minimal for a superalgebra B in case any characteristic function χ_F in B which satisfies the strict inequality $\chi_F \leq \chi_E \leq 1$ on a set of positive measure must be zero a.e. Note that we do not assume that χ_E lies in B . Suppose $I(B)$ is a weak-*closed linear span of functions g in I_B with $\chi_{E(g)}$ being minimal for B . Then $I(B)$ is an ideal of B and $I(B) \subseteq I_B$. When $B = H^\infty$, $I = I(B)$ is a weak-*closed linear span of functions g in H^∞ , vanishing on sets of positive measure.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, a closed subspace M of L^p (weak-*closed for $p = \infty$) is called invariant if $f \in M$ and $g \in A$ imply that $fg \in M$. Let M and N be invariant subspaces of L^p such that $BM \subseteq M$, $BN \subseteq N$ and $M \subseteq N$. If $\chi_E M \subseteq \chi_E N$ for all χ_E in B with $\chi_E M \neq \{0\}$, then we write $M \prec_B N$. If $M_B \supset [I_B M]_p$, then M is

called left continuous for B [3]. If $M = \chi_E q[B]_p$ for some unimodular q and some $\chi_E \in B$, then M is called a Beurling subspace.

THEOREM 1. ([3]) *If $E^\mathfrak{B}$ is multiplicative on B , then every left continuous invariant subspace for B is a Beurling subspace.*

PROOF. Since m is quasi-multiplicative on B because $E^\mathfrak{B}$ is multiplicative on B , Theorem 2 in [3] implies this theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose every left continuous invariant subspace for a superalgebra B is a Beurling subspace. Then $I(B)$ is a weak-*closed linear span of functions g in B with $\chi_{E(g)}$ being minimal for B . And if $f \in [B]_2$ and $\chi_E f \in [I_B]_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then $\chi_{E(f)} \in B$.*

PROOF. By the definition of $I(B)$, it is sufficient to prove that if $f \in I_B$ is non-zero, then $\chi_{E(f)}$ is not minimal for B . If $f \in I_B$ is non-zero, set $M_f = [fB]_\infty$, then M_f contains a nontrivial left continuous invariant subspace. By the hypothesis, M_f contains a non-trivial Beurling subspace and hence $\chi_{E(f)}$ is not minimal for B .

If $f \in [B]_2$ and $\chi_E f \in [I_B]_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then M_f is a left continuous invariant subspace and hence M_f is a Beurling subspace. Thus $\chi_{E(f)} \in B$.

2. The ideals of a superalgebra of A .

For a superalgebra B , we define B_{\min} to be the intersection of all superalgebras $\{B_\alpha\}$ such that $B \subseteq B_\alpha$ and $\chi_{E_0} B \prec_B \chi_{E_0} B_\alpha$, χ_{E_0} being the essential function of B (cf. [4]). Then H_{\min}^∞ is the intersection of all superalgebras $\{B_\alpha\}$ which contains H^∞ properly.

THEOREM 2. $I(B) = I_{B_{\min}}$.

PROOF. For $f \in I_B$ with $\chi_{E(f)}$ being minimal for B , set $D = [\chi_{E(f)} B]_\infty + (1 - \chi_{E(f)}) L^\infty$. Then $\chi_{E_0} B \prec_B \chi_{E_0} D$ for the essential function χ_{E_0} of B . For if $\chi_F \in B$ with $\chi_F \leq \chi_{E_0}$ and $\chi_F B = \chi_F D$, then $\chi_F \leq \chi_{E(f)}$. It contradicts to $\chi_{E(f)}$ being minimal for B by Lemma 3 in [4]. By Lemma 5 in [4], f belongs to I_D . By the definition of B_{\min} , $D \supseteq B_{\min}$ and so $I_D \subseteq I_{B_{\min}}$. Thus $f \in I_{B_{\min}}$ and hence $I(B) \subseteq I_{B_{\min}}$.

To prove that $I_{B_{\min}} \subseteq I(B)$, it is sufficient to show that $I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B)$ for a superalgebra B_α with $\chi_{E_0} B \prec_B \chi_{E_0} B_\alpha$. For $B_{\min} = \bigcap_\alpha B_\alpha$ for such B_α and hence $I_{B_{\min}}$ is a weak-*closed linear span of $\bigcup_\alpha I_{B_\alpha}$ by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 in [4]. To prove that $I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B)$, set $\beta = \{m(E); \chi_E I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B) \text{ and } \chi_E \in B_\alpha\}$. Then there exists χ_{E_1} in B_α such that $\beta = m(E_1)$ and $\chi_{E_1} I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B)$. $1 - \chi_{E_1} \in B_\alpha$ and $1 - \chi_{E_1} \leq \chi_{E_0}$. Suppose $1 - \chi_{E_1} \neq 0$. When $1 - \chi_{E_1} \in B$, there exists $\chi_{E_2} \in B_\alpha$ such that $\chi_{E_2} \leq 1 - \chi_{E_1}$ and χ_{E_2} is minimal for B . Hence $\chi_{E_2} I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B)$. This contradicts

to the assumption on χ_{E_1} . When $1-\chi_{E_1} \in B$, since $\chi_{E_0} B \prec_B \chi_{E_0} B_\alpha$, $(1-\chi_{E_1})B \cong (1-\chi_{E_1})B_\alpha$ and so there exists $\chi_{E_3} \in B_\alpha$ such that $\chi_{E_3} \prec 1-\chi_{E_1}$ and χ_{E_3} is minimal for B by Lemma 8 in [4]. This contradicts to the assumption on χ_{E_1} again. Thus $1-\chi_{E_1}=0$ a. e. and hence $I_{B_\alpha} \subseteq I(B)$.

3. The quasi-primary ideal I_B .

It is well-known that H_0^∞ is a primary ideal of H^∞ . If a superalgebra B is different from H^∞ , then I_B is not a primary ideal of B . For $\chi_E(1-\chi_E) \in I_B$, $\chi_E \notin I_B$ and $1-\chi_E \notin I_B$ where $\chi_E \in B$ and $0 \prec m(E) \prec 1$.

DEFINITION 1. Let J_1 and J_2 be ideals of a superalgebra B with $J_1 \supseteq J_2$ and let $B = \{g \in L^\infty; gJ_1 \subseteq J_1\}$. We say that J_2 is a quasi-primary ideal of J_1 when J_2 has the following property; Suppose $f, g \in J_1$ and $fg \in J_2$. If $\chi_E f \notin J_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then $\chi_{E(f)} \in B$ and $\chi_{E(f)} g \in J_2$.

LEMMA 2. The necessary and sufficient condition for that J_2 is a quasi-primary ideal of J_1 is that J_2 has the following property. If $f, g \in J_1$ and $fg \in J_2$, then $f = \chi_{E_1} f + (1-\chi_{E_1})f$ and $g = \chi_{E_2} g + (1-\chi_{E_2})g$ where χ_{E_1} and χ_{E_2} satisfy the properties (1)~(4).

- (1) $(1-\chi_{E_1})f \in J_2$ and $(1-\chi_{E_2})g \in J_2$,
- (2) $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_1} f \notin J_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_1} f \neq 0$ and $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_2} g \notin J_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_2} g \neq 0$,
- (3) $\chi_{E_1} f \cdot \chi_{E_2} g = 0$ a. e.,
- (4) $\chi_{E_1}, \chi_{E_2} \in B$ and $\chi_{E_1} \leq \chi_{E(f)}, \chi_{E_2} \leq \chi_{E(g)}$.

PROOF. Suppose $f, g \in J_1$ and $fg \in J_2$. Suppose J_2 is a quasi-primary ideal. There exists $\chi_F \in B$ such that $\chi_E \cdot \chi_F f \notin J_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_F f \neq 0$ and $(1-\chi_F)f \in J_2$. Since $fg \in J_2$, $\chi_F fg \in J_2$. Since J_2 is a quasi-maximal ideal of J_1 , $\chi_{E_1} = \chi_F \cdot \chi_{E(f)} \in B$. Similarly there exists $\chi_{E_2} \in B$ with the properties (1), (2) and (4) for g . Since $fg \in J_2$, $\chi_{E_1} f \cdot \chi_{E_2} g \in J_2$. By the quasi-primarity of J_2 , $\chi_{E_1} \cdot \chi_{E_2} g \in J_2$ and so $\chi_{E_1} \cdot \chi_{E_2} g = 0$ a. e. This shows that $\chi_{E_1} f \cdot \chi_{E_2} g = 0$ a. e. and so (3) is valid.

Suppose χ_{E_1} and χ_{E_2} satisfy the properties (1)~(4). If $\chi_E f \notin J_2$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then $\chi_{E_1} = \chi_{E(f)} \in B$. Since $\chi_{E_1} f \cdot \chi_{E_2} g = 0$ a. e., $\chi_{E(f)} \cdot \chi_{E_2} g = 0$ a. e. Since $\chi_{E(f)}(1-\chi_{E_2})g \in J_2$, $\chi_{E(f)} g \in J_2$.

When $B = H^\infty$, if J_2 is a quasi-primary ideal, then J_2 is a primary ideal.

THEOREM 3. Suppose every left continuous invariant subspace for B is a Beurling subspace. Then I_B is a quasi-primary ideal of B .

PROOF. Since $[B]_2 = [B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}]_2 \oplus [I_B]_2$, for $u, v \in [B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}]_2$ and $f_0, g_0 \in [I_B]_2$, $f = u + f_0$ and $g = v + g_0$. Since $B + \bar{I}_B$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ by Lemma 2 in [3] and $fg \in I_B$, it follows that $uv = 0$ a. e. By Lemma 1, $\chi_{E(u)} \in B$ and $\chi_{B(v)} \in B$. Since $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E(u)} f \notin I_B$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E(u)} f \neq 0$, by Lemma

1, $\chi_{E_1} = \chi_{E(u)} \cdot \chi_{E(f)} \in B$. Similarly $\chi_{E_2} = \chi_{E(v)} \cdot \chi_{E(g)} \in B$. Then χ_{E_1} and χ_{E_2} satisfy the properties (1)~(4) in Lemma 2. Thus I_B is a quasi-primary ideal of B .

If E^\sharp is multiplicative on B , then every left continuous invariant subspace for B is a Beurling subspace by Theorem 1 and hence I_B is a quasi-primary ideal of B by Theorem 3. $\{0\}$ is a quasi-primary ideal of L^∞ .

4. The quasi-maximal ideal I_B .

It is well-known that H_0^∞ is a maximal ideal of H^∞ . If a superalgebra B is different from H^∞ , then I_B is not a maximal ideal of B . For $B \cong \chi_E B + (1 - \chi_E)I_B \cong I_B$ where $\chi_E \in B$ and $0 \leq m(E) \leq 1$, and $\chi_E B + (1 - \chi_E)I_B$ is an ideal of B .

DEFINITION 2. Let J be an ideal of a superalgebra B . We say that J is a quasi-maximal ideal of B when any ideal J' of B with $J' \supseteq J$ has the form

$$J' = \chi_E B + (1 - \chi_E)J$$

for some $\chi_E \in B$.

When $B = H^\infty$, if J is a quasi-maximal ideal, then J is a maximal one.

If E^\sharp is multiplicative on B , then I_B is a quasi-maximal ideal of B . For since E^\sharp is multiplicative on B , $I_B = \mathcal{J}_B$. If J is an ideal of B with $J \supseteq I_B$, $J = E^\sharp(J) + I_B$ and $E^\sharp(J)$ is an ideal of $E^\sharp(B) = B \cap \bar{B}$. Since $B \cap \bar{B}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra of operators on L^2 which is contained in L^∞ , $E^\sharp(J) = \chi_E B \cap \bar{B}$ for some $\chi_E \in B \cap \bar{B}$. In particular, by that $B = B \cap \bar{B} + I_B$, it follows that $J = \chi_E B + (1 - \chi_E)I_B$.

THEOREM 4. Suppose every left continuous invariant subspace for B is a Beurling subspace. Then I_B is a quasi-maximal ideal of B .

PROOF. Let J be an ideal of B with $J \supseteq I_B$. Then there exists χ_{E_1} in B such that $J = \chi_{E_1} J + (1 - \chi_{E_1})I_B$ and $\chi_{E_1} J \supset \chi_{E_1} I_B$ when $\chi_{E_1} J \neq \{0\}$. We may assume $\chi_{E_1} J \neq \{0\}$. Since $\chi_{E_1} I_B \cong [I_B \chi_{E_1} J]_\infty$, $\chi_{E_1} J$ is left continuous and by the hypothesis, $\chi_{E_1} J = \chi_{E_2} q B$ for some unimodular q and some non-zero $\chi_{E_2} \in B$.

We shall show that $\chi_{E_2} q^2 B \supseteq \chi_{E_2} I_B$. If $f \in \chi_{E_2} I_B$, since $\chi_{E_2} q B \supseteq \chi_{E_2} I_B$, $f = \chi_{E_2} q h$ for some $h \in B$. $\chi_{E_2} q \cdot h \in I_B$. Since $\chi_{E_2} q B \supset \chi_{E_2} I_B$, $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_2} q \in \chi_{E_2} I_B$ for any $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_2} \neq 0$. By Theorem 3, I_B is a quasi-primary ideal of B and so $\chi_{E_2} h \in I_B$. Since $\chi_{E_2} q B \supseteq \chi_{E_2} I_B$, this shows $f \in \chi_{E_2} q^2 B$.

We shall show that $\chi_{E_2} \bar{q} \in B$ and so $\chi_{E_1} J = \chi_{E_2} B$. Set $J_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_2} q^n B$, then $J_0 \supseteq \chi_{E_2} I_B$. For we can show that $\chi_{E_2} q^n B \supseteq \chi_{E_2} I_B$ for $n \geq 3$ similarly as in $n=2$. There exists $\chi_{E_3} \in B$ such that $J_0 = \chi_{E_3} J_0 + (1 - \chi_{E_3})\chi_{E_2} I_B$ and $\chi_{E_3} J_0 \supset \chi_{E_3} \cdot \chi_{E_2} I_B$ when $\chi_{E_3} J_0 \neq \{0\}$. If $\chi_{E_3} \cdot \chi_{E_2} \bar{q} \in B$, since $\bar{q} \chi_{E_3} J_0 = \chi_{E_3} J_0$, there exists a non-zero $\chi_{E_4} \in B$ such that $\chi_{E_4} \cdot \chi_{E_3} J_0 \subseteq \chi_{E_3} J_0$ and $\chi_{E_4} \leq \chi_{E_3} \cdot \chi_{E_2}$. By Lemma 1, $\chi_{E_4} J_0 \subseteq I(B) \subseteq I_B$ and so $\chi_{E_4} I_B \subseteq I_B$. By Lemma 2 in [3], $\chi_{E_4} \in B$. This contradicts that $\chi_{E_4} \in B$ and so $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{E_2} \bar{q} \in B$. Since $\bar{q} J_0 = J_0$ and so $(1 - \chi_{E_3})\chi_{E_2} \bar{q} I_B \subseteq I_B$ by Lemma

2 in [3], $(1-\chi_{E_3})\chi_{E_2}\bar{q} \in B$. Thus $\chi_{E_2}\bar{q} = \chi_{E_3} \cdot \chi_{E_2}\bar{q} + (1-\chi_{E_3})\chi_{E_2}\bar{q} \in B$.
 It is clear that $\{0\}$ is a quasi-maximal ideal of L^∞ .

5. The primary ideal.

If H^∞ is a maximal superalgebra of A , then $I = I(H^\infty) = \{0\}$ is a primary ideal of H^∞ [1]. In this section, we shall show that in general I is a primary ideal of H^∞ .

THEOREM 5. *$I(B)$ is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B .*

PROOF. We shall show that if $f \in [I_B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}_{\min}]_2$, then $\chi_{E(f)} \in B$. We may assume $\chi_{E(f)} \neq 1$. Suppose $\chi_{E(f)}$ is minimal for B . There exists an outer function h in H^∞ such that $hf \in I_B$. Since $\chi_{E(hf)}$ is minimal for B , $hf \in I(B)$. By Theorem 2, $hf \in I_{B_{\min}}$ and so $f \in [I_{B_{\min}}]_2$. While f belongs to $[I_B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}_{\min}]_2$, by Lemma 1 in [4], it follows that $f = 0$ a. e. and $\chi_{E(f)} (= 0 \text{ a. e.}) \in B$. Suppose $\chi_{E(f)}$ is not minimal for B . Set $\alpha = \sup\{m(E); \chi_E \leq \chi_{E(f)} \text{ and } \chi_E \in B\}$, then there exists $\chi_{E_3} \in B$ such that $\chi_{E_3} \leq \chi_{E(f)}$ and $m(E_3) = \alpha$. Set $g = (1 - \chi_{E_3})f$, then $g \in [I_B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}_{\min}]_2$, and $\chi_{E(g)}$ is minimal for B . By what was just proved, $\chi_{E(g)} = 0$ a. e. and so $\chi_{E(f)} = \chi_{E_3}$.

Now we shall show that $I_{B_{\min}}$ is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B . Then by Theorem 2, $I(B)$ is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B . Suppose $f, g \in I_B$ and $fg \in I_{B_{\min}}$. Since $[I_B]_2 = [I_B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}_{\min}]_2 \oplus [I_{B_{\min}}]_2$, for $u, v \in [I_B]_2 \cap [\bar{B}_{\min}]_2$ and $f_0, g_0 \in [I_{B_{\min}}]_2$, $f = u + f_0$ and $g = v + g_0$. Since $B_{\min} + \bar{I}_{B_{\min}}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ by Lemma 2 in [3] and $fg \in I_{B_{\min}}$, it follows that $uv = 0$ a. e. By the first proof of this theorem, $\chi_{E(u)}$ and $\chi_{E(v)}$ belong to B . By the definition of $I(B)$, $\chi_{E_1} = \chi_{E(u)} \cdot \chi_{E(f)}$ and $\chi_{E_2} = \chi_{E(v)} \cdot \chi_{E(g)}$ belong to B . Then χ_{E_1} and χ_{E_2} satisfy the properties (1)~(4) in Lemma 2.

COROLLARY 1. *I is a primary ideal of H_0^∞ .*

PROOF. Apply Theorem 5 with $B = H^\infty$.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose every left continuous invariant subspace for B is a Beurling subspace. Then $I(B)$ is a quasi-primary ideal of B .*

PROOF. If $I(B) = I_B$, Theorem 3 implies this theorem. Suppose $I(B) \neq I_B$, $f, g \in B$ and $fg \in I(B)$. Then by Lemma 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 5, there exist $\chi_{F_i} \in B$ and $\chi_{G_i} \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with the following properties: (1) $\chi_{F_3}f, \chi_{G_3}g \in I(B)$. (2) $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in I_B$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \neq 0$ and $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_1}g \in I_B$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_1}g \neq 0$, and $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_1}g = 0$ a. e. and $\chi_{F_1} \leq \chi_{E(f)}$, $\chi_{G_1} \leq \chi_{E(g)}$. (3) $\chi_{F_2}f, \chi_{G_2}g \in I_B$, and $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{F_2}f \in I(B)$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{F_2}f \neq 0$ and $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \in I(B)$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \neq 0$, and $\chi_{F_2}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g = 0$ a. e. and $\chi_{F_2} \leq \chi_{E(f)}$, $\chi_{G_2} \leq \chi_{E(g)}$. (4) $\chi_{F_1} + \chi_{F_2} + \chi_{F_3} = 1$ and $\chi_{G_1} + \chi_{G_2} + \chi_{G_3} = 1$. To prove this theorem, it is sufficient to show that $\chi_{F_2}f \cdot \chi_{G_1}g = 0$ a. e. and $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g = 0$ a. e. Since $fg \in I(B)$, $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g + \chi_{F_2}f \cdot \chi_{G_1}g \in I(B)$. Since $\chi_{F_1} \cdot \chi_{G_1} = 0$ a. e., $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g$

$\in I(B)$ and $\chi_{F_2}f \cdot \chi_{G_1}g \in I(B)$.

We shall show that $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g = 0$ a. e. Suppose $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \neq 0$. If $h \in I_B$, then $\chi_{F_1}fh \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \in I(B)$. Since $\chi_{F_1}fh, \chi_{G_2}g \in I_B$ and $I(B)$ is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B and $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \in I(B)$ for every $\chi_E \in B$ with $\chi_E \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \neq 0$, it follows that $\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}fh \in I(B)$. Thus $\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f I_B \subset I(B)$. By Theorem 2, $\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f B_{\min} I_B \subset I(B)$ and so $\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f B_{\min} \subset B$. Since we may assume $I(B) \neq I_B$ and so $B_{\min} \cong B$, $B_{\min} = (1 - \chi_{F_4})B + \chi_{F_4}B_{\min}$ for some non-zero $\chi_{F_4} \in B$ and $\chi_{F_4}B_{\min} \succ \chi_{F_4}B$. If $\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f = 0$ a. e., since $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g \neq 0$, then $(1 - \chi_{F_4})\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \neq 0$. Since $(1 - \chi_{F_4})I_{B_{\min}} = (1 - \chi_{F_4})I(B) = (1 - \chi_{F_4})I_B$, $(1 - \chi_{F_4})\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in I_B$. This contradicts to the assumption on χ_{F_1} and so $\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \neq 0$. Let χ_{F_5} be the largest characteristic function in B_{\min} such that $\chi_{F_5} \leq \chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}$ and $\chi_{F_5} \cdot \chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in I(B)$. Since $\chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f B_{\min} \subseteq B$, $(1 - \chi_{F_5})\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in B$. By the assumption on χ_{F_1} and χ_{F_5} , $(1 - \chi_{F_5})\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \neq 0$ and $(1 - \chi_{F_5})\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in I(B)$. By Lemma 1, $(1 - \chi_{F_5})\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}$ is not minimal for B . Since $\chi_{F_4}B_{\min} \succ \chi_{F_4}B$, there exist $\chi_{F_6} \in B_{\min}$ such that $0 \leq \chi_{F_6} < (1 - \chi_{F_5})\chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}$ and χ_{F_6} is minimal for B . By Lemma 1, $\chi_{F_6} \cdot \chi_{F_4} \cdot \chi_{G_2} \cdot \chi_{F_1}f \in I(B)$. This contradicts to the assumption on χ_{F_5} . Thus $\chi_{F_1}f \cdot \chi_{G_2}g = 0$ a. e. Similarly $\chi_{F_2}f \cdot \chi_{G_1}g = 0$ a. e. Set $\chi_{E_1} = \chi_{F_1} + \chi_{F_2}$ and $\chi_{E_2} = \chi_{G_1} + \chi_{G_2}$. Then χ_{E_1} and χ_{E_2} satisfy the properties in Lemma 2 and hence $I(B)$ is a quasi-primary ideal of B .

COROLLARY 2. I is a primary ideal of H^∞ .

PROOF. Apply Theorem 6 with $B = H^\infty$, using Theorem 1.

6. The minimum superalgebra of A which contains H^∞ properly.

If $H_{\min}^\infty \neq H^\infty$, then H_{\min}^∞ is the minimum superalgebra which contains H^∞ properly. Under a condition that $H_{\min}^\infty \neq H^\infty$ in [4, Corollary 3], we gave two necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimum superalgebra. In this section, we shall omit the condition such that $H_{\min}^\infty \neq H^\infty$ and we shall give a new necessary and sufficient condition.

THEOREM 7. Let B and D be superalgebras of A such that $B \subseteq D$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) If f is in I_B and $\chi_{E\langle f \rangle}$ is minimal for B , then f lies in I_D .
- (2) If f and g are in I_B , if both $\chi_{E\langle f \rangle}$ and $\chi_{E\langle g \rangle}$ are minimal for B , and if $fg = 0$ a. e., then either f or g lies in I_D .
- (3) I_D is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B .
- (4) $D \subseteq B_{\min}$.
- (5) Each superalgebra C such that $B \subseteq C$ has the form

$$C = \chi_{E_1}B + (1 - \chi_{E_1})C$$

where $(1 - \chi_{E_1})C \subseteq (1 - \chi_{E_1})D$ and χ_{E_1} is in B .

PROOF. (3) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial. (2) \Rightarrow (1) is known in [4, Theorem 4]. (1) \Rightarrow (4). Since $I_D \supseteq I(B)$, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 2 in [3], $B_{\min} \supseteq D$. (4) \Rightarrow (5). Since $B \subseteq C$, there exists $\chi_{E_1} \in B$ such that $C = \chi_{E_1}B + (1 - \chi_{E_1})C$ and $(1 - \chi_{E_1})C \succ (1 - \chi_{E_1})B$. By the definition of B_{\min} , $(1 - \chi_{E_1})C \supseteq (1 - \chi_{E_1})B_{\min}$ and hence $(1 - \chi_{E_1})C \supseteq (1 - \chi_{E_1})D$. (5) \Rightarrow (3). Let χ_{E_0} be an essential function of B and let K be a superalgebra such that $\chi_{E_0}B <_B \chi_{E_0}K$, then $K \supseteq D$ by (5). Hence $B_{\min} \supseteq D$. Since $D = \chi_{E_2}B + (1 - \chi_{E_2})B_{\min}$, $I_D = \chi_{E_2}I_B + (1 - \chi_{E_2})I_{B_{\min}}$ by Lemma 1 in [4]. By Theorem 2 and Theorem 5, it follows that I_D is a quasi-primary ideal of I_B .

COROLLARY 3. *Let D be a superalgebra which contains H^∞ properly. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *If f in H^∞ vanishes on a set of positive measure, then f lies in I_D .*
- (2) *If f and g in H^∞ and $fg = 0$ a. e., then f lies in I_D or g lies in I_D .*
- (3) *I_D is a primary ideal of H^∞ .*
- (4) *$D = H_{\min}^\infty$.*

PROOF. Apply Theorem 7 with $B = H^\infty$.

Set $H_{\min,0}^\infty = H_{\min}^\infty$ and let $H_{\min,k+1}^\infty = (H_{\min,k}^\infty)_{\min}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Define H_{\max}^∞ to be the superalgebra generated by H^∞ and $\chi_{E(f)}$ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose $L^\infty = [\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty H_{\min,k}^\infty]_\infty$. If B is any superalgebra of A , then $B = H^\infty$ or*

$$B = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k} H_{\min,k}^\infty + (1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k}) L^\infty$$

for $\chi_{F_k} \in H_{\min,k}^\infty$. In particular, if $L^\infty = \bigcup_{k=0}^n H_{\min,k}^\infty$, then $H_{\min,n-1}^\infty = H_{\max}^\infty$.

PROOF. Let χ_{E_k} be the essential function of $H_{\min,k}^\infty$, since $L^\infty = [\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty H_{\min,k}^\infty]_\infty$, it follows that $\chi_{E_k} H_{\min,k}^\infty < \chi_{E_{k+1}} H_{\min,k+1}^\infty$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $B \neq H^\infty$ then $B \supseteq H_{\min,0}^\infty$. By Theorem 7, $B = \chi_{F_0} H_{\min,0}^\infty + (1 - \chi_{F_0})B$ where $\chi_{F_0} \in H_{\min,0}^\infty$ and $(1 - \chi_{F_0})B \supseteq (1 - \chi_{F_0})H_{\min,1}^\infty$. Set $B' = \chi_{F_0} H_{\min,1}^\infty + (1 - \chi_{F_0})B$, then $B' \supseteq H_{\min,1}^\infty$. Again applying Theorem 7, $B' = \chi_{F_1} H_{\min,1}^\infty + (1 - \chi_{F_1})B'$ where $\chi_{F_1} \in H_{\min,1}^\infty$ and $(1 - \chi_{F_1})B' \supseteq (1 - \chi_{F_1})H_{\min,2}^\infty$. Set $\chi_{F_1} = \chi_{F_0} - \chi_{F_0}$, then $B = \chi_{F_0} H_{\min,0}^\infty + \chi_{F_1} H_{\min,1}^\infty + (1 - \chi_{F_0} - \chi_{F_1})B$ where $\chi_{F_1} \in H_{\min,1}^\infty$ and $(1 - \chi_{F_0} - \chi_{F_1})B \supseteq (1 - \chi_{F_0} - \chi_{F_1})H_{\min,2}^\infty$. Thus B has the form

$$B = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k} H_{\min,k}^\infty + (1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k}) B$$

where $(1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k})B \supseteq (1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k})H_{\min,n}^\infty$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since $L^\infty = [\sum_{k=0}^\infty H_{\min,k}^\infty]_\infty$, $(1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k})B = (1 - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \chi_{F_k})L^\infty$.

7. The existence of the minimum superalgebra of A .

Corollary 3 in §6 shows the necessary and sufficient conditions for a superalgebra B to be a minimum superalgebra which contains H^∞ properly, but

it does not show the existence of the minimum superalgebra. We shall show the existence theorem.

THEOREM 8. *Let B be a superalgebra of A . There exists at least one function in I_B that is not a weak-*limit of functions g in I_B with $\chi_{E(g)}$ being minimal for B if and only if $B=B_{\min}$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2, it is trivial.

COROLLARY 5. *There exists at least one function in H_0^∞ that is not a weak-*limit of functions, vanishing on sets of positive measure if and only if there exists a minimum superalgebra that contains H^∞ properly, i. e. $H^\infty \neq H_{\min}^\infty$.*

PROOF. Apply Theorem 8 with $B=H^\infty$.

References

- [1] P. S. Muhly, Maximal weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **36** (1972), 515-518.
- [2] T. Nakazi, Nonmaximal weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Hokkaido Math. J., **5**(1976), 88-96.
- [3] T. Nakazi, Invariant subspaces of weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Pacific J. Math., **69** (1977), 151-167.
- [4] T. Nakazi, Superalgebras of weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Pacific J. Math., **68**(1977), 197-207.
- [5] T. P. Srinivansan and Ju-Kwei Wang, Weak-*Dirichlet algebras, Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott-Foresman, Chicago, III., 1966, 216-249.

Takahiko NAKAZI
 Research Institute of Applied Electricity
 Hokkaido University
 Sapporo 060
 Japan