

Convolution powers of singular-symmetric measures

By Keiji IZUCHI

(Received Jan. 17, 1976)

(Revised May 24, 1977)

1. Introduction.

Let G be a L.C.A. group and \hat{G} be its dual group. Let $M(G)$ be the measure algebra on G and $L^1(G)$ be the group algebra on G . In [7], Taylor showed that: There are a compact topological abelian semigroup S and an isometric isomorphism θ of $M(G)$ into $M(S)$ such that;

- (a) $\theta(M(G))$ is a weak-*dense subalgebra of $M(S)$;
- (b) \hat{S} , the set of all continuous semicharacters on S , separates the points of S ;
- (c) for $f \in \hat{S}$, $\mu \rightarrow \int_s f d\theta\mu$ ($\mu \in M(G)$) is a non-zero complex homomorphism of $M(G)$;
- (d) for a non-zero complex homomorphism F of $M(G)$, there is an $f \in \hat{S}$ such that $F(\mu) = \int_s f d\theta\mu$ for $\mu \in M(G)$.

We can consider that \hat{S} is the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$, $\hat{G} \subset \hat{S}$, and the Gelfand transform of $\mu \in M(G)$ is given by $\hat{\mu}(f) = \int_s f d\theta\mu$ ($f \in \hat{S}$). A closed subspace (ideal, subalgebra) $N \subset M(G)$ is called an L -subspace (L -ideal, L -subalgebra) if $L^1(\mu) \subset N$ for every $\mu \in N$, where $L^1(\mu) = \{\lambda \in M(G); \lambda \text{ is absolutely continuous with respect to } \mu (\lambda \ll \mu)\}$. We denote by $\text{Rad } L^1(G)$ the radical of $L^1(G)$ in $M(G)$, that is, $\text{Rad } L^1(G) = \{\mu \in M(G); \hat{\mu}(f) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in \hat{S} \setminus \hat{G}\}$. We put $\mathfrak{L}(G) = \sum_{\tau} \text{Rad } L^1(G_{\tau})$, where τ runs through over L.C.A. group topologies on G which are stronger than the original one. Then $\mathfrak{L}(G) \subset M(G)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is an L -subalgebra ([2]). For $\mu \in M(G)$, we put $\mu^*(E) = \overline{\mu(-E)}$ for every Borel subset E of G . We denote by \mathfrak{M} the set of all symmetric measures of $M(G)$, that is, $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mu \in M(G); \hat{\mu}^*(f) = \overline{\hat{\mu}(f)} \text{ for every } f \in \hat{S}\}$. Then it is easy to show that $\mathfrak{L}(G) \subset \mathfrak{M}$. A measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$ is called singular-symmetric if μ is singular with

$\mathfrak{L}(G)$ ($\mu \perp \mathfrak{L}(G)$). In [4], the author shows that if \bar{R} is the Bohr compactification of the real line R , then there exists a singular-symmetric measure μ on \bar{R} . Moreover it is easy to show that μ (constructed in [4]) has the property $\mu * \mu \in \mathfrak{L}(\bar{R})$. By the same method as in [4], we can construct μ on an infinite compact abelian group G whose dual group has an infinite independent subset, such that μ is singular-symmetric with $\mu * \mu \in \mathfrak{L}(G)$. In this paper, we show

THEOREM. *Let G be an infinite compact abelian group. If \hat{G} has an infinite independent subset, then there exists a singular-symmetric measure μ on G such that μ^n is singular-symmetric for every positive integer n , where $\mu^n = \mu^{n-1} * \mu$ ($n \geq 2$) and $\mu^1 = \mu$.*

2. Proof of theorem.

Let G be an infinite compact abelian group such that \hat{G} has an infinite independent subset E which we may suppose to generate \hat{G} without loss of generality. Then there is a family of infinite subsets of E , $\{E_{n,i}; n=1, 2, \dots, i=1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$, which satisfies the following properties:

- 1) For $n \geq 1$, $\cup \{E_{n,i}; 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} = E$;
- 2) for $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^n$, $E_{n,i} \not\subseteq E_{n,j}$ and $E_{n,j} \setminus E_{n,i}$ is an infinite set;
- 3) $E_{n+1,k} \subset E_{n,i}$ for $k < 2i$ and $E_{n+1,2i} = E_{n,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2^n$).

Let $H_{n,i}$ be the subgroup of \hat{G} generated by $E_{n,i}$, then $\{H_{n,i}\}_{n,i}$ has the following properties by 1), 2) and 3):

- 4) For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^n$, $H_{n,i} \not\subseteq H_{n,j}$, $H_{n,j}/H_{n,i}$ is an infinite group, and $H_{n,2^n} = \hat{G}$;
- 5) $H_{n,i} \supseteq H_{n+1,k}$ and $H_{n,i}/H_{n+1,k}$ is an infinite group for $k < 2i$, and $H_{n,i} = H_{n+1,2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$.

By the above facts 4) and 5), we have:

- 6) For $n \leq s$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^{s-n}j$, $H_{n,j} \supset H_{s,i}$ and $H_{n,j}/H_{s,i}$ is an infinite group if $i \neq 2^{s-n}j$, and $H_{n,j} = H_{s,2^{s-n}j}$.

Let $G_{n,i}$ be the annihilator of $H_{n,i}$ in G ($G_{n,i} = H_{n,i}^\perp \subset G$), then $G_{n,i}$ is a compact subgroup of G and $\{G_{n,i}\}_{n,i}$ satisfies the following by 4), 5) and 6):

- 7) For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^n$, $G_{n,i} \supseteq G_{n,j}$, $G_{n,i}/G_{n,j}$ is an infinite compact group, and $G_{n,2^n} = \{0\}$, where 0 is the unit element of G ;
- 8) $G_{n,i} \not\subseteq G_{n+1,k}$ and $G_{n+1,k}/G_{n,i}$ is an infinite compact group for $k < 2i$, and $G_{n,i} = G_{n+1,2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$;
- 9) for $n \leq s$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^{s-n}j$, $G_{n,j} \subset G_{s,i}$ and $G_{s,i}/G_{n,i}$ is an infinite compact group if $i \neq 2^{s-n}j$, and $G_{n,j} = G_{s,2^{s-n}j}$.

For a compact subgroup $G_0 \subset G$, we denote by $m(G_0)$ the normalized Haar measure on G_0 . We put

$$\mu_n = \sum \{(1/2)^n m(G_{n,i}); 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

then $\mu_n \geq 0$, $\|\mu_n\| = 1$, $\mu_n^* = \mu_n$. For a fixed $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, there is a non-negative integer p_n ($0 \leq p_n < 2^n$) such that $\gamma \notin H_{n,p_n}$ and $\gamma \in H_{n,p_n+1}$, where $H_{n,0} = \emptyset$. Then we have $\hat{\mu}_n(\gamma) = (1/2)^n (2^n - p_n) > 0$. Also there is p_{n+1} ($0 \leq p_{n+1} < 2^{n+1}$) such that $\hat{\mu}_{n+1}(\gamma) = (1/2)^{n+1} (2^{n+1} - p_{n+1})$. Since $p_{n+1} = 2p_n$ or $p_{n+1} = 2p_n + 1$ by 4) and 5), we have

$$\hat{\mu}_{n+1}(\gamma) = (1/2)^{n+1} (2^{n+1} - 2p_n) = (1/2)^n (2^n - p_n) = \hat{\mu}_n(\gamma)$$

or

$$\hat{\mu}_{n+1}(\gamma) = (1/2)^{n+1} (2^{n+1} - 2p_n - 1) = \hat{\mu}_n(\gamma) - (1/2)^{n+1}.$$

So that $\hat{\mu}_n(\gamma) \geq \hat{\mu}_{n+1}(\gamma)$ for every $n \geq 1$. This implies that $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has only one weak-*cluster point μ in $M(G)$ and μ has the following properties:

- 10) $\mu \geq 0$, $\|\mu\| = 1$, $\mu^* = \mu$ and $\{\hat{\mu}(\gamma); \gamma \in \hat{G}\}$ is dense in $\{x \in R; 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$;
- 11) $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{G}$.

We will show that μ satisfies the conditions of our theorem. At first, we show that $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$. For $1 \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ and $n \leq k$, we put

$$\mu_{n,k,i} = \sum \{(1/2)^k m(G_{k,j}); 2^{k-n}(i-1) < j \leq 2^{k-n}i\}.$$

Then

$$\mu_{n,k,i} \geq 0, \|\mu_{n,k,i}\| = (1/2)^k 2^{k-n} = (1/2)^n$$

and

$$12) \quad \mu_k = \sum \{\mu_{n,k,i}; 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\}.$$

By the same way as in the previous part, $\{\mu_{n,k,i}\}_{k=n}^\infty$ has only one weak-*cluster point $\lambda_{n,i}$ in $M(G)$ and which satisfies

$$13) \quad \lambda_{n,i} \geq 0, \|\lambda_{n,i}\| = (1/2)^n, \hat{\lambda}_{n,i}(\gamma) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{n,k,i}(\gamma) \text{ for } \gamma \in \hat{G}, \text{ and } \lambda_{n,i} \in M(G_{n,i})$$

by 8) and 9).

Since $\hat{\mu}_{n,k,i}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \notin H_{n,i}$ by the definition of $\mu_{n,k,i}$, we have

$$14) \quad \hat{\lambda}_{n,i}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ if } \gamma \notin H_{n,i}.$$

By 11), 12) and 13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(\gamma) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_k(\gamma) = \sum \{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{n,k,i}(\gamma); 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \\ &= \sum \{\hat{\lambda}_{n,i}(\gamma); 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \hat{G}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$15) \quad \mu = \sum \{\lambda_{n,i}; 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots.$$

Let $f \in \hat{S} (f \geq 0)$ and $n \geq 1$. Since $m(G_{n,i}) * m(G_{n,j}) = m(G_{n,i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2^n$ by 7), there exists $j_n (1 \leq j_n \leq 2^n)$ such that

$$16) \quad m(G_{n,k}) \hat{\lambda}(f) = 1 \text{ if } j_n \leq k \leq 2^n \text{ and} \\ m(G_{n,k}) \hat{\lambda}(f) = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq k < j_n.$$

Then we have the following :

$$17) \quad \text{For } 1 \leq k < j_n, \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f) = 0; \\ 18) \quad \text{for } j_n < k \leq 2^n, \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f) = \|\lambda_{n,k}\|.$$

Because, let $1 \leq k < j_n$, then we have $\lambda_{n,k} * m(G_{n,j_n-1}) = \lambda_{n,k}$ by 4) and 14). By 16), we have $\hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f) = \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f) m(G_{n,j_n-1}) \hat{\lambda}(f) = 0$. This implies 17). Let $j_n < k \leq 2^n$. Since $\mu_{n,q,k} \in M(G_{n,j_n})$ for $n \leq q$ by 9) and the definition of $\mu_{n,q,k}$, we have

$$19) \quad \lambda_{n,k} \in M(G_{n,j_n}).$$

Since $m(G_{n,j_n}) \hat{\lambda}(f) = 1$ by 16), we have that $\hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f) = \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(1) = \|\lambda_{n,k}\|$. This shows 18).

Let M be a prime L -subalgebra generated by $\{m(G_{n,j_n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $M \subset M(G)$ is called a prime L -subalgebra if M is an L -subalgebra and $M^\perp = \{\lambda \in M(G); \lambda \perp M\}$ is an L -ideal. Then there is a $\pi_f \in \hat{S}$ such that $\pi_f^2 = \pi_f$ and $M = \{\lambda \in M(G); \theta \lambda \text{ is concentrated on } O(\pi_f)\}$, where $O(\pi_f) = \{x \in S; \pi_f(x) = 1\}$ (see [7]). By Dunkl and Ramirez [1], we have $\pi_f \in cl(\hat{G}) \setminus \hat{G}$, where $cl(\hat{G})$ is the closure of \hat{G} in \hat{S} . Since $m(G_{n,j_n}) \hat{\lambda}(\pi_f) = 1$, we have

$$20) \quad \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(\pi_f) = \|\lambda_{n,k}\| \quad (j_n < k \leq 2^n) \text{ by 19)}.$$

Since $f \geq \pi_f$, we have

$$21) \quad \hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(\pi_f) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k < j_n \text{ by 17)}.$$

Then we have that for $n \geq 1$,

$$|\hat{\mu}(f) - \hat{\mu}(\pi_f)| = \left| \sum \{\hat{\lambda}_{n,i}(f); 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} - \sum \{\hat{\lambda}_{n,i}(\pi_f); 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \right| \\ = |\hat{\lambda}_{n,j_n}(f) - \hat{\lambda}_{n,j_n}(\pi_f)| \leq \|\lambda_{n,j_n}\| = (1/2)^n,$$

by 13), 15), 17), 18), 20) and 21). This implies

$$22) \quad \hat{\mu}(f) = \hat{\mu}(\pi_f) \text{ for every } f \in \hat{S} (f \geq 0).$$

Here we note that

$$23) \quad \hat{\mu}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum \{\hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f); j_n < k \leq 2^n\} \text{ for } f \in \hat{S} (f \geq 0).$$

We put $J(f)=\{x\in S; f(x)\neq 0\}$ and $\mu=\eta_1+\eta_2$, where $\theta\eta_1$ is concentrated on $S\setminus J(f)$ and $\theta\eta_2$ is concentrated on $J(f)$. Then $\theta\eta_2$ is concentrated on $O(\pi_f)$ by 22). This implies that $\hat{\mu}(g)=\hat{\mu}(g\cdot\pi_{1g})$ for every $g\in\hat{S}$. Since $0\leq\hat{\mu}(\gamma)\leq 1$ for $\gamma\in\hat{G}$ and $g\cdot\pi_{1g}\in cl(\hat{G})\setminus\hat{G}$ (this fact is proved easily by [1]), we have $0\leq\hat{\mu}(g\cdot\pi_{1g})\leq 1$. This shows

$$24) \quad \hat{\mu}(g)\geq 0 \text{ for every } g\in\hat{S}.$$

Since $\mu^*=\mu$ and $\mu\geq 0$ by 10), we have $\mu\in\mathfrak{M}$.

In the rest of this paper, we will show that $\mu^n\perp\mathfrak{L}(G)$ for every positive integer n .

Suppose that $\mu^{n_0}\not\perp L^1(G_\tau)$ for a positive integer n_0 and a L.C.A. group topology τ on G which is stronger than the original one. Since $M(G_\tau)$ is a prime L -subalgebra of $M(G)$, there exists $f(\tau)\in\hat{S}$ such that $f(\tau)^2=f(\tau)$ and $M(G_\tau)=\{\lambda\in M(G); \theta\lambda \text{ is concentrated on } O(f(\tau))\}$. We put $\mu=\nu_1+\nu_2$ and $a_1=\|\nu_1\|$, where $\nu_1\in M(G_\tau)$ and $\nu_2\perp M(G_\tau)$, then $\hat{\mu}(f(\tau))=a_1$. Since $M(G_\tau)$ is a prime L -subalgebra and $L^1(G_\tau)\subset M(G_\tau)$, we have $\|\nu_1\|=a_1>0$. Since $\|\mu\|=1$, we have $0<a_1\leq 1$. Let $\nu_1^{n_0}=\lambda_1+\lambda_2$, where $\lambda_1\in L^1(G_\tau)$ and $\lambda_2\perp L^1(G_\tau)$. Then λ_1 is the part of μ^{n_0} which is contained in $L^1(G_\tau)$, and put $a_2=\|\lambda_1\|$. Then we have $a_1\geq a_2>0$. By 16), there is $1\leq j_n\leq 2^n$ (depending on $f(\tau)$ and n) such that

$$25) \quad M(G_{n,j_n})\subset M(G_\tau) \text{ and } M(G_{n,k})\not\subset M(G_\tau) \text{ for } k<j_n.$$

Since $\hat{\mu}(f(\tau))\neq 0$, we have that $j_n<2^n$ for sufficient large positive integers n by 23). Since $\lambda_{n,p}\in M(G_{n,q})$ and $M_{(n,p)}\cong M(G_{n,q})$ for $1\leq q<p\leq 2^n$ by 7) and 13), we have that by 25)

$$26) \quad \lambda_{n,k}\in M(G_{n,j_n+1}), M(G_{n,j_n+1})\perp L^1(G_{n,j_n}), M(G_{n,j_n+1})\perp L^1(G_\tau) \text{ and } \\ \lambda_{n,k}\perp L^1(G_\tau) \text{ for } j_n+1<k\leq 2^n.$$

Since $\hat{\lambda}_{n,j_n+1}(f(\tau))=\|\lambda_{n,j_n+1}\|=(1/2)^n\rightarrow 0$ ($n\rightarrow\infty$) by 13) and 18), we have

$$27) \quad \lim_{n\rightarrow\infty} \sum \{\hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f(\tau)); j_n+1<k\leq 2^n\}=\hat{\mu}(f(\tau))=a_1 \text{ by 23)}.$$

Since $\hat{\lambda}_{n,k}(f(\tau))=\|\lambda_{n,k}\|$ ($k>j_n$) and $a_1\geq\sum\{\|\lambda_{n,k}\|; j_n+1<k\leq 2^n\}$ by 7), 25) and the definition of a_1 , there is a positive integer n_1 such that

$$28) \quad 0\leq a_1^{n_0}-\left(\sum\{\|\lambda_{n_1,k}\|; j_{n_1}+1<k\leq 2^{n_1}\}\right)^{n_0}<a_2 \text{ by 27)}.$$

Since $\sum\{\lambda_{n,k}; j_n+1<k\leq 2^n\}\in M(G_{n_1,j_{n_1}+1})$ by 26) and $M(G_{n_1,j_{n_1}+1})$ is an L -subalgebra, we have that

$$\|\lambda_1\|\leq\|\nu_1^{n_0}-\left(\sum\{\lambda_{n_1,k}; j_{n_1}+1<k\leq 2^{n_1}\}\right)^{n_0}\| \\ =a_1^{n_0}-\left(\sum\{\|\lambda_{n_1,k}\|; j_{n_1}+1<k\leq 2^{n_1}\}\right)^{n_0}<a_2,$$

because $\nu_1 - \sum \{\lambda_{n_1, k}; j_{n_1} + 1 < k \leq 2^{n_1}\}$ is a positive measure, and by 25) and 28). This contradicts $\|\lambda_1\| = a_2$. Thus we have that $\mu^n \perp L^1(G_\tau)$ for every positive integer n and L.C.A. group topology τ on G . Moreover we have $\mu^n \perp \text{Rad } L^1(G_\tau)$ by [8]. This shows that $\mu^n \perp \mathfrak{L}(G)$ for every positive integer n . This completes the proof.

REMARK 1. We denote by $\sigma(\lambda)$ the spectrum of $\lambda \in M(G)$, that is, $\sigma(\lambda) = \{\hat{\lambda}(f); f \in \hat{S}\}$. By 10) and 24), we have

$$\sigma(\mu) = \{x \in R; 0 \leq x \leq 1\}.$$

REMARK 2. In [5], it is proved that for a positive integer n , there exists $\mu \in M(G)$ such that $\mu^k \perp \mathfrak{L}(G)$ for $k < n$ and $\mu^q \in \mathfrak{L}(G)$ for $q \geq n$, under the same assumptions of G .

References

- [1] C.F. Dunkl and D.E. Ramirez, Locally compact subgroups of the spectrum of the measure algebra, *Semigroup Forum*, 3 (1971), 95-107.
- [2] J. Inoue, Some closed subalgebras of measure algebras and a generalization of P.J. Cohen's theorem, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 23 (1971), 278-294.
- [3] J. Inoue, Some closed subalgebras of measure algebras and a generalization of P.J. Cohen's theorem II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 25 (1973), 169-187.
- [4] K. Izuchi, On a problem of J.L. Taylor, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 53 (1975), 347-352.
- [5] K. Izuchi, Convolution powers of singular-symmetric measures II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 65 (1977), 313-317.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [7] J.L. Taylor, The structure of convolution measure algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 119 (1965), 150-166.
- [8] J.L. Taylor, Convolution measure algebras with group maximal ideal spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (1967), 257-263.
- [9] J.L. Taylor, L -subalgebras of $M(G)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135 (1969), 105-113.
- [10] J.L. Taylor, *Measure algebras*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser., 1972.

Keiji IZUCHI
Kanagawa University
Yokohama, Japan