

On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space

By Yoshiaki MAEDA

(Received June 17, 1975)

§ 0. Introduction.

Let $P^m(C)$ denote a complex projective space equipped with the Fubini-Study metric normalized so that the maximum sectional curvature is 4. We consider a real hypersurface M of $P^m(C)$. It is well-known that there does not exist a totally umbilical real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ (See Tashiro-Tachibana [7].) More generally, there does not exist a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ with the parallel second fundamental tensor. This is immediately seen from the Codazzi equation of the immersion of M . From this point of view, in this paper, we will estimate the norm of the derivative of the second fundamental tensor, and we get.

THEOREM A. *Let M be a complete real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$. Then $\|\nabla H\|^2 \geq 4(m-1)$, the equality holds if and only if M is congruent to $M_{p,q}^c$ for some p, q .*

The model space $M_{p,q}^c$ in the above theorem is described in the following.

Let S^{2m+1} be a Euclidean $(2m+1)$ -sphere of curvature 1. We consider the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi}$:

$$S^1 \longrightarrow S^{2m+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} P^m(C),$$

which is the Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres.

Let \bar{M} and M be Riemannian manifolds of dimension $2m, 2m-1$ respectively and $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable map. (\bar{M}, M, π) is called a *Riemannian submersion compatible with the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi}$* if the following conditions are satisfied.

(S1) \bar{M} and M are (real) hypersurfaces of S^{2m+1} and $P^m(C)$ respectively.

(S2) $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & S^{2m+1} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ M & \xrightarrow{i} & P^m(C) \end{array}$$

where \bar{i} and i denote the immersions in (S1).

To consider a model space $M_{p,q}^c$ in this situation, we take a family of the products of spheres $M_{n,n'}=S^n \times S^{n'}$, where $n+n'=2m$. Choosing n and n' to be odd, namely $n=2p+1, n'=2q+1$, we put $\bar{M}=M_{2p+1,2q+1}$. Then we get a fibration π :

$$S^1 \longrightarrow M_{2p+1,2q+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} M_{p,q}^c.$$

$(M_{2p+1,2q+1}, M_{p,q}^c, \pi)$ satisfies (S1) and (S2) (cf. [2], [3]).

$M_{p,q}^c$ thus obtained has a characteristic property, which can be used to prove M to be congruent to $M_{p,q}^c$ for some p, q . In general, a real hypersurface M of $P^m(C)$ has two structures, namely the contact structure induced from $P^m(C)$ and the submanifold structure represented by the second fundamental tensor of M on $P^m(C)$. It might be interesting to study the relations between the two structures. In particular, for the model space $M_{p,q}^c$, the relation is precisely obtained through the study of the submersion π . Okumura [3] proved the following theorem which is a characterization of $M_{p,q}^c$.

THEOREM 0. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ and $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ the submersion which is compatible with the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi} : S^1 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \rightarrow P^m(C)$. Then the second fundamental tensor of \bar{M} is parallel if and only if the contact structure of M induced from $P^m(C)$ commutes with the second fundamental tensor of M .*

Subsequently, a further observation on $M_{p,q}^c$ will be made. By use of the compatible submersion π , the hypersurface M of $P^m(C)$ related to \bar{M} has been studied in [2], [3] and [6]. Namely, Lawson [2] studied the pinching problem of the second fundamental tensor when M is a minimal hypersurface of $P^m(C)$, and Okumura [3] also studied the pinching problem on the more general condition that the hypersurface M has the constant mean curvatute.

When \bar{M} is 1) an Einstein space or 2) a locally symmetric space, it is well known that \bar{M} has parallel second fundamental tensor. Projecting the quantities on \bar{M} onto M in $P^m(C)$, we can consider the hypersurface with the conditions corresponding to 1) or 2). Using Theorem 0, we will study the above hypersurfaces in § 4 and § 5.

The author thanks Prof. M. Obata for his valuable suggestions and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

§ 1. Preliminaries.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ and $i : M \rightarrow P^m(C)$ denote the isometric immersion. In a neighborhood of each point, we choose a unit normal vector field N in $P^m(C)$. The Riemannian connections D in $P^m(C)$ and ∇ in M are related by the following formulas for arbitrary vector fields X and Y

on M :

$$(1.1) \quad D_{i_*X}i_*Y = i_*(\nabla_X Y) + g(HX, Y)N,$$

$$(1.2) \quad D_{i_*X}N = -i_*(HX),$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric induced from the Fubini-Study metric G on $P^m(C)$, i.e., $g(X, Y) = G(i_*X, i_*Y)$, and H is the second fundamental tensor of M in $P^m(C)$.

The mean curvature μ of M in $P^m(C)$ is defined by $\mu = \text{trace } H$. If $\mu = 0$, then M is called a *minimal hypersurface*.

An eigenvector X of the second fundamental tensor H is called a *principal curvature vector*, or simply a *P.C. vector*. Also an eigenvalue r of H is called a *principal curvature*. In what follows, we denote V_r the eigenspace of H with eigenvalue r .

It is known that M has an almost contact metric structure induced from the complex structure F on $P^m(C)$, (cf. [3]), i.e., we define a tensor f of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field U and a 1-form u on M by the following:

$$g(fX, Y) = G(Fi_*X, i_*Y), \quad g(U, X) = u(X) = G(Fi_*X, N).$$

Then we have

$$(1.3) \quad f^2X = -X + u(X)U, \quad g(U, U) = 1, \quad fU = 0.$$

From the above remark and (1.1), we have easily

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla_X f)Y = u(Y)HX - g(HY, X)U,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_Y U = fHY.$$

Let \bar{R} and R be the curvature tensors of $P^m(C)$ and M respectively. Since the curvature tensor \bar{R} has a nice form, we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations.

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(fY, Z)g(fX, W) - g(fX, Z)g(fY, W) \\ &\quad - 2g(fX, Y)g(fZ, W) + g(HY, Z)g(HX, W) \\ &\quad - g(HX, Z)g(HY, W) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad (\nabla_X H)Y - (\nabla_Y H)X = u(X)fY - u(Y)fX - 2g(fX, Y)U.$$

Using (1.3), (1.6) and (1.7), we get

$$(1.8) \quad g(R_0X, Y) = (2m+1)g(X, Y) - 3u(X)u(Y) + \mu g(HX, Y) - g(H^2X, Y),$$

where $\mu = \text{trace } H$ and R_0 denotes the Ricci tensor on M .

$$(1.9) \quad g((\nabla_X H)Y, U) - g((\nabla_Y H)X, U) = -2g(fX, Y).$$

§ 2. The fundamental lemmas on a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ and assume that the trajectories of the induced vector field U are geodesics, i. e.,

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_U U = 0,$$

because U is a unit vector. Using (1.5), (2.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad fHU = 0.$$

Applying f to (2.2) and using (1.3), we get

$$(2.3) \quad HU = \alpha U,$$

where $\alpha = g(HU, U)$. Thus we have

LEMMA 2.1. *In order that the trajectories of U be geodesics, it is necessary and sufficient that U be a P.C. vector.*

Differentiating (2.3) covariantly along X and making use of (1.4), we have

$$g((\nabla_X H)Y, U) + g(HfHX, Y) = (X\alpha)g(U, Y) + \alpha g(fHX, Y).$$

Making a similar equation by changing X and Y in the last equation and using (1.9), we get

$$(2.4) \quad 2g(HfHX - fX, Y) = (X\alpha)u(Y) - (Y\alpha)u(X) + g((fH + Hf)X, Y).$$

If we replace X by U in (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad Y\alpha = (X\alpha)u(Y).$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.4), we have

$$(2.6) \quad 2HfH - 2f = \alpha(Hf + fH).$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that the trajectories of U are geodesics. If X belongs to V_r and is orthogonal to U , then fX belongs to $V_{(\alpha r + 2)/(2r - \alpha)}$.*

PROOF. From (2.6), we get for a P.C. vector X which is orthogonal to U ,

$$(2r - \alpha)HfX = (\alpha r + 2)fX.$$

If $2r-\alpha=0$, then $\alpha r+2=0$. Hence we have the Lemma.

From Lemma 2.2, we easily obtain

PROPOSITION 2.3. *There exists no open set O of M such that at every point of O , $fH+Hf=0$.*

LEMMA 2.4. *If the trajectories of U are geodesics, then α is locally constant.*

PROOF. Since U is a P.C. vector of M , from Lemma 2.2 we get by (2.5), $\text{grad } \alpha = \beta U$, where $\beta = U\alpha$. Differentiating this equation covariantly along X , we have

$$\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha = (X\beta)U + \beta fHX,$$

from which, together with the fact that

$$g(\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha, Y) = g(\nabla_Y \text{grad } \alpha, X),$$

we get

$$(2.7) \quad (Y\beta)u(Y) - (X\beta)u(X) = \beta g((fH+Hf)X, Y).$$

Replacing X by U and making use of (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(2.8) \quad Y\beta = (U\beta)g(U, Y).$$

Substituting (2.8) into (2.7), we obtain

$$\beta \cdot g((fH+Hf)X, Y) = 0.$$

Thus we have the lemma by Proposition 2.3.

At each point, we can take orthonormal vectors U, X_a, fX_a ($a=1, \dots, m-1$) which are P.C. vectors. Then any tangent vector can be expressed in the following form:

$$X = xU + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} x^a X_a + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} y^a fX_a.$$

Using the above expression of X , we get

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ and assume that the trajectories of U are geodesics. Assume that fX belongs to V_r for any $X \in V_r$. Then f and H are commutative. Furthermore by Theorem 0, for the submersion (\bar{M}, M, π) compatible with $\tilde{\pi}$, \bar{M} has the parallel second fundamental tensor.*

§ 3. Proof of Theorem A.

For a compatible submersion (\bar{M}, M, π) with the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi}$, it is well known (cf. Ishihara and Konishi [1]) that if \bar{M} has the parallel second

fundamental form, M satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad g((\nabla_{\mathbf{z}}H)X, Y) = -u(X)g(fZ, Y) - u(Y)g(fZ, X).$$

Now, we consider the converse problem, namely we determine the hypersurface M satisfying (3.1).

From (3.1) and the commutativity of the trace and the derivation, we have

LEMMA 3.1. *If M satisfies (3.1), then the mean curvature is constant.*

Using the Ricci identity, (3.1) and (1.9), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(HY, W)g(LX, Z) + g(HY, Z)g(LX, W) - g(HX, W)g(LY, Z) \\ &- g(HX, Z)g(LY, W) - g(fX, W)g(AY, Z) - g(fX, Z)g(AY, W) \\ &+ g(fY, Z)g(AX, W) + g(fY, W)g(AX, Z) - 2g(fX, Y)g(AZ, W) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where L and A are tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$ which are respectively defined by the following:

$$LX = X - u(X)U - H^2X,$$

$$AX = (fH - Hf)X.$$

Then L and A are symmetric linear operators. If $A=0$, then f and H are commutative.

Contracting (3.2) with X and W , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mu g(LY, Z) - (2m+2 - \text{trace } H^2)g(HY, Z) + 2g(HZ, U)u(Y) \\ &+ 2g(HY, U)u(Z) - 4g(fHfY, Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y by U in (3.3) and using (1.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mu g(H^2X, U) = 2\alpha u(X) - (2m - \text{trace } H^2)g(HX, U),$$

where $\alpha = g(HU, U)$.

On the other hand, replacing X and Z by U in (3.2) and exchanging Y and W , we get

$$(3.5) \quad g(HY, U)g(H^2W, U) = g(HW, U)g(H^2Y, U).$$

Considering (3.5), we get, for some scalar a ,

$$(3.6) \quad g(H^2X, U) = ag(HX, U),$$

because of Schwarz's inequality.

Substituting (3.6) into (3.4), we have

$$(3.7) \quad bg(HX, U) = 2g(HU, U)u(X),$$

where $b = a\mu + 2m - \text{trace } H^2$.

LEMMA 3.2. For any point $p \in M$, U is a P.C. vector.

PROOF. If $b \neq 0$, then U is a P.C. vector by (3.7). If $b = 0$, then $g(HU, U) = 0$, and we easily obtain $HU = 0$ by (3.5).

We can put $HU = \alpha U$ for any point $p \in M$ because of Lemma 3.2. Then by Lemma 2.4, we see that α is constant.

Differentiating this equation and using (3.2), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha g(fHX, Y) = -g(fX, Y) + g(HfHX, Y).$$

Interchanging X and Y in (3.8), we have $\alpha g(AX, Y) = 0$.

Now we prove

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ satisfying (3.1). Then f and H are commutative.

PROOF. If $\alpha \neq 0$, it is clear from (3.8). In case $\alpha = 0$, replacing W by fW in (3.2) and contracting X and W , we get

$$(2m+2)g(AX, Y) = 0.$$

This means $A = 0$. By Theorem 0, we have

THEOREM 3.4. For a submersion (\bar{M}, M, π) compatible with the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi}: S^1 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \rightarrow P^m(C)$, the second fundamental tensor of \bar{M} is parallel if and only if M satisfies (3.1).

From this fact and theorems in Ryan's paper [4], we have

THEOREM 3.5. $M_{p,q}^c$ are only complete hypersurfaces of $P^m(C)$ satisfying (3.1).

Define a tensor T by

$$T(X, Y)Z = g((\nabla_Z H)X, Y) + u(X)g(fZ, Y) + u(Y)g(fZ, X).$$

Calculating the norm of T and using (1.4) and (1.7), we get $\|\nabla H\|^2 \geq 4(m-1)$. Theorem A is thereby proved by Theorem 3.5.

§ 4. C-Einstein hypersurface of $P^m(C)$.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$. If the Ricci tensor R_0 of M satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad g(R_0X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bu(x)u(Y),$$

where u is the induced 1-form defined in § 1, we call M a C-Einstein hypersurface. When $b = 0$, M is an Einstein space. Now we will consider a C-

Einstein hypersurface.

We define a symmetric tensor K of type $(1, 1)$ by

$$(4.2) \quad K = H^2 - \mu H,$$

where H is the second fundamental tensor of M .

LEMMA 4.1. *If M satisfies (4.1) and $b \neq -3$ at every point of M , then U is an eigenvector of K whose eigenvalue is equal to $(2m-2-a-b)$. Furthermore the other eigenvalues of K are equal to $(2m+1-a)$.*

PROOF. By the above assumption and (1.8), we get

$$KX = (2m+1-a)X - (b+3)u(X)U.$$

This equation implies the lemma.

On the other hand, at each point we can take X_1, \dots, X_{2m-1} which are P.C. vectors with principal curvature r_1, \dots, r_{2m-1} respectively and form an orthonormal bases. From (4.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad KX_i = (r_i^2 - \mu r_i)X_i.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, U is a P.C. vector whose multiplicity is equal to 1.*

PROOF. (4.3) means that each X_i is the eigenvector of K . Then there exists a unique vector X with eigenvalue $(2m-2-a-b)$. It follows that the eigenspace of X coincides with the space of U . We get the lemma.

We can take an orthonormal basis $\{U, X_2, \dots, X_{2m-1}\}$ each of which is a P.C. vector with principal curvature α, r_i ($i=2, \dots, 2m-1$) respectively. From Lemma 4.1 and (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad r_i^2 - \mu r_i - (2m+1-a) = 0, \quad (i=2, \dots, 2m-1),$$

$$(4.5) \quad \alpha^2 - \mu\alpha - (2m-2-a-b) = 0.$$

Thus we have proved

LEMMA 4.3. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 4.1, M has at most three distinct principal curvature at each point of M .*

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 we find that the only possibilities are the following cases at any point p of M .

Case 1) fX belongs to V_r for any P.C. vector $X \in V_r$.

Case 2) there exists a P.C. vector $X \in V_r$ such that fX does not belong to V_r .

We assume that there exists a point p of M in Case 2). Fix the above point p of M . From Lemma 2.2 and (4.4), we get

$$(4.6) \quad 2(r_i^2 + 1) - \mu(2r_i - \alpha) = 0,$$

where r_i denotes the principal curvature of X_i .

By the equation (4.6), we see easily that only Case 1) occurs when M is minimal. Using this fact and the Proposition 2.5, we have easily

THEOREM 4.4. *Let M be a complete minimal C-Einstein hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ such that $b \neq -3$. Then M is congruent to $M_{p,q}^c$ for some p, q .*

THEOREM 4.5. *Let M be a complete C-Einstein hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ with $m \geq 3$. If $b \neq -3$ and $a+b \geq 2(m-1)$ at each point of M , then M is congruent to $M_{p,q}^c$ for some p, q .*

PROOF. Let r, r' be the two real roots of (4.4). We only consider the following case by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4:

For any point p of M , the tangent space T_pM at p can be written as $T_pM = V_\alpha \oplus V_r \oplus V_{r'}$ (direct sum), where $\dim V_\alpha = 1$, $r \neq r'$ and $\dim V_r = s$ ($0 \leq s \leq 2m-2$).

From (4.5), the mean curvature μ and α have the same sign. If there exists a P.C. vector $X \in V_r$ such that $fX \in V_r$, then by (4.6) we have $\mu r = 2(r^2+1) + \mu\alpha$. Similarly we get the same equation for r' . We see that μ, r , and r' are non-zero and have the same sign. By the definition of μ , we get

$$\mu = \text{trace } H = \alpha + \mu + (s-1)r + (2m-3-s)r',$$

because $r+r'=\mu$.

We have $s=1$ and $2m-3=s$. This is a contradiction for $m \geq 3$. Then V_r and $V_{r'}$ are invariant under f . This completes the proof by Proposition 2.5.

REMARK 1. We can consider the following special case of Case 2).

Case 2')

$$fX \in V_r \quad \text{for any } X \in V_r.$$

Using the compatible submersion (\bar{M}, M, π) in Case 2'), the second fundamental tensor of \bar{M} has four principal curvatures whose multiplicities are 1, 1, $n-1$ and $n-1$. In this case if all the principal curvatures of M are constant, then so are the principal curvatures of \bar{M} . The hypersurfaces \bar{M} of S^{m-1} with the above condition have been determined by R. Takagi [5].

REMARK 2. Through an Einstein space is a C-Einstein space with $b=0$, there exists no such hypersurface in the class of $M_{p,q}^c$ (cf. Proposition 5.5).

§ 5. The real hypersurfaces satisfying certain conditions.

We consider the compatible submersion (\bar{M}, M, π) . Using the Co-Gauss and the Co-Codazzi equations for this submersion (cf. [1], p. 31), we have easily the following:

LEMMA 5.1. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ and (\bar{M}, M, π) a compatible submersion with the Hopf-fibration $\tilde{\pi}$. If \bar{M} is a locally symmetric space,*

then M satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad fHU = 0,$$

$$(5.2) \quad f \cdot R = 0,$$

where \cdot means that f operates on R as a derivation, i. e., for any vector fields X, Y, Z and W on M

$$\begin{aligned} g((f \cdot R)(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(R(fX, Y)Z, W) + g(R(X, fY)Z, W) \\ &\quad + g(R(X, Y)fZ, W) + g(R(X, Y)Z, fW). \end{aligned}$$

In this section we want to discuss the converse problem. Namely the hypersurface M with the condition (5.1) and (5.2) will be determined.

The equation (5.1) implies that U is a P.C. vector with constant principal curvature by (2.3) and Lemma 2.1. So we can apply the results in § 2.

Contracting (5.2) we have

$$(5.3) \quad fR_0 = R_0f.$$

By (1.6) we get for any vectors X, Y, Z and W on M

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad (f \cdot R)(X, Y, Z, W) &= g(HY, Z)g(HfX, W) - g(HfX, Z)g(HY, W) \\ &\quad + g(HfY, Z)g(HX, W) - g(HX, Z)g(HfY, W) \\ &\quad + g(HY, fZ)g(HX, W) - g(HX, fZ)g(HY, W) \\ &\quad + g(HY, Z)g(HX, fW) - g(HX, Z)g(HY, fW). \end{aligned}$$

So we have by (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad &g(HY, Z)g((Hf-fH)X, W) + g(HX, W)g((Hf-fH)Y, Z) \\ &- g(HY, W)g((Hf-fH)X, Z) - g(HX, Z)g((Hf-fH)Y, W) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the equation (5.3) is equivalent to

$$(5.6) \quad \mu(Hf-fH)X - (H^2f-fH^2)X = 0.$$

LEMMA 5.2. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ with $m \geq 3$ satisfying (5.1) and (5.3). If $\alpha = g(HU, U) = 0$ at some point p of M , there exists a P.C. vector $X \in V_r$ such that $g(X, U) = 0$ and $fX \in V_r$.*

PROOF. We remarked that fX is also a P.C.-vector if X is a P.C. vector (see § 2). Take the orthonormal basis $\{U, X_a, fX_a, (a=1, \dots, m-1)\}$ consisting of P.C. vectors and denote their principal curvatures by $\alpha, r_a, 1/r_a$ respectively, because of Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $r_a \neq 1/r_a$, for all $a=1, \dots, m-1$. In (5.6), replacing X by X_i , we get

$$(5.7) \quad (r_a - 1/r_a)(r_a + 1/r_a - \mu) = 0.$$

It follows $r_a + 1/r_a = \mu$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= g(HU, U) + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} g(HX_a, X_a) + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} g(HfX_a, fX_a) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} (r_a + 1/r_a) = (m-1)\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mu = 0$, which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.2, the principal curvature of fX_a is equal to that of X_a ($a=1, \dots, m-1$).*

PROOF. There exists a P.C. vector X with principal curvature β such that $\beta^2 = 1$ because of Lemma 5.2. If we take any P.C. vector X_a with principal curvature r_a , then from (5.5), we have

$$\beta(1/r_a - r_a)(g(X, W)g(X_a, Z) - g(X, Z)g(X_a, W)) = 0,$$

where Z and W are any vectors on M . It follows that $r_a = 1/r_a$. When $\alpha \neq 0$, replacing Y and Z by U in (5.5), we see that f and H are commutative.

With the above fact and the above lemmas, we have

THEOREM 5.4. *Let M be a complete real hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ ($m \geq 3$). If M satisfies (5.1) and (5.2), then M is congruent to $M_{p,q}^c$.*

As a final remark, we will show that in $P^m(C)$ that there exists no real hypersurface with parallel Ricci tensor in the class of $M_{p,q}^c$. Assume that there exists a hypersurface $M_{p,q}^c$ with parallel Ricci tensor for some p, q . Since U is a P.C. vector with constant principal curvature, using Theorem 0 and (3.1), we have $2fH + (\mu - \alpha)f = 0$, where $\mu = \text{trace } H$. Multiplying this equation by f and contracting, we get $\mu = \alpha$. Consequently, $M_{p,q}^c$ has the parallel second fundamental tensor. It follows from (3.1) again that f vanishes identically. This is a contradiction.

Using Theorem 4.5 and the above fact, we have

PROPOSITION 5.5. *There exists no Einstein hypersurface of $P^m(C)$ ($m \geq 3$) with scalar curvature $\geq 2(m-1)(2m-1)$.*

References

- [1] S. Ishihara and M. Konishi, Differential geometry of fibred space, Publ. S.G.G. 8, 1973.
- [2] H. B. Lawson, Rigidity theorems in rank-1 symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geometry, 4 (1970), 349-357.
- [3] M. Okumura, On the real hypersurfaces of complex projective space, to appear.
- [4] J. Ryan, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, Tôhoku Math. J., 1969, 363-388.

- [5] R. Takagi, A class of hypersurfaces with constant principal curvature in a sphere, to appear.
- [6] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 43-53.
- [7] Y. Tashiro and S. Tachibana, On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds, Kōkai Math. Semi. Rep., 1963, 176-183.

Yoshiaki MAEDA

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukazawa, Setagaya-ku
Tokyo, Japan
