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## § 0. Introduction.

By a quadratic lattice $L$ we understand a finitely generated module over $\boldsymbol{Z}$, the ring of rational integers in which a metric is given in the sense of M. Eichler [2]. The bilinear form associated to the metric is denoted by $(x, y)$ where $x$ and $y$ are elements of $L$. If for any $x \neq 0$ in $L$ we have ( $x, x$ ) $>0$, we shall say $L$ is positive definite, and if it holds that $(x, y) \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ for any pair $x$ and $y$ in $L$, we shall say $L$ is integral. Since we shall confine ourselves to the positive definite integral quadratic lattice only, we shall call such a lattice merely a lattice. Since for any element $x$ of a lattice $L(x, x)$ is a positive rational integer, we shall say $x$ is $m$-vector when $(x, x)$ is equal to a positive rational integer $m$. It is known that the sublattice generated by 2 vectors in a lattice plays an important role in the classification theory of positive definite integral quadratic lattices (E. Witt [8], M. Kneser [4], H.-V. Niemeier [5]).

The first purpose of this paper is to show that when $n$ is an integer not smaller than 17 among all lattices of fixed rank $n$ a lattice has the largest number of 2 -vectors if and only if $L$ contains $D_{n}$ or $L$ is equal to $B_{n}$ ( $D_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are defined in $\S 1$ ). Roughly speaking, the set of 2 -vectors and 1 -vectors in a lattice exibits the order of the subgroup generated by reflections in the group of units of that lattice.

Our second purpose in this paper is to prove Theorem 2 which says that if the determinant of a lattice $L$ exceeds $2^{n}$, where $n$ equals to the rank of $L$, then the rank of the sublattice of $L$ generated by 2 -vectors is smaller than $n$.

Though a fair part of the results in $\S 2$ is not new, we think it is not worthless to expose it with abbreviated proofs because some of the standpoints is not found in previous literatures as far as we know.

## § 1. Some basic notations and definitions.

We shall use $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{m}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{k}$ or $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{p}$ as orthonormal vectors in an Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ of sufficiently large dimension $n(n=1,2,3, \cdots)$. We
shall order $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ s in a canonical manner ;

$$
R^{1} \subset R^{2} \subset R^{3} \subset \cdots
$$

Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two lattices, we understand by an isomorphism a bijective $Z$-linear map $\sigma$ from $L_{1}$ to $L_{2}$ satisfying the following condition;

$$
(\sigma x, \sigma y)=(x, y)
$$

holds for any pair $x, y$ in $L_{1}$. Since $Z$ is a principal ideal ring, any finitely generated torsion free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module is a free module of finite rank, then a lattice has $\boldsymbol{Z}$-basis. Let $L$ be a lattice of rank $n$ and let $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}$ be its basis, then any element $x$ in $L$ can be written in the form;

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} v_{i} \quad \xi_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z} .
$$

If we think $\xi_{i}$ 's as scalar variables, the form

$$
(x, x)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}
$$

becomes a quadratic form. We shall denote this quadratic form by $Q(L)$, and this is uniquely determined from $L$ up to integral equivalence. The determinant of the matrix $\left\|\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right\|, i, j=1, \cdots, n$ is called the determinant of $Q(L)$ or of $L$. We denote it by $d(L)$. We should remark that $Q(L)$ is always a positive definite integral quadratic form, because $L$ is integral and has a positive metric. Conversely any positive definite integral quadratic form is expressed as $Q(L)$ for some lattice in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, and to two integrally equivalent quadratic forms correspond isomorphic lattices. (As to the precise exposition see [2].) An isomorphism from $L$ onto itself is called an automorphism or a unit of $L$. All the automorphisms of $L$ form a group and we denote it by $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$. Let $L$ be a lattice, then the dual $L^{\#}$ of $L$ is defined by;

$$
L^{\#}=\left\{y \in L \otimes_{z} Q \mid(x, y) \in \boldsymbol{Z}, \forall x \in L\right\}
$$

where $L \otimes_{\boldsymbol{z}} Q$ is the tensor product of $L$ and $Q$, the field of rational numbers, over $\boldsymbol{Z}$. This lattice contains $L$ and is not necessarily integral but this is useful for our later consideration.

We shall make a list of basic lattices namely;

$$
A_{n}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{3}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right]_{z}
$$

This is a lattice in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ of rank $n$ with its generater $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}$. In the following by [ $]_{z}$ we shall express similar meaning as above.

$$
B_{n}=\left[e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right]_{z}
$$

In the usual expression in the theory of Lie algebra, $B_{n}$ may be differently expressed, but both expressions are equivalent in the sense of quadratic lattice.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{n}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n-1}-e_{n}, e_{n-1}+e_{n}\right]_{z}, \quad n \geqq 4 . \\
& E_{6}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{4}-e_{5}, e_{4}+e_{5}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i}+\sqrt{3} e_{6}\right)\right]_{z} . \\
& E_{7}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{5}-e_{6}, e_{5}+e_{6}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} e_{i}+\sqrt{2} e_{7}\right)\right]_{z} . \\
& E_{8}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{6}-e_{7}, e_{6}+e_{7}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_{i}\right]_{z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall call these lattices basic lattices, these are all integral lattices but have different determinants. In the basic lattices the generators used in the above are also the basis of those lattices and we shall call them canonical basis. For each one of these basic lattices the structure of its automorphism group is known and [1] is a standard reference. A lattice $L$ is an orthogonal sum of sublattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of $L$ and we write as $L=L_{1} \oplus L_{2}$ if any $x$ in $L$ is expressed as $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ with $x_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in L_{2}$ such that the equation ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) $=0$ holds for any $x_{1} \in L_{1}$ and for any $x_{2} \in L_{2}$. A lattice $L$ is called irreducible if there is no non-trivial orthogonal decomposition. Otherwise $L$ is called reducible.

## § 2. Some preliminary results.

Lemma 2-1. If a lattice $L$ has a 1-vector $x$, then $L$ is reducible and is expressed as $L=\boldsymbol{Z} x \oplus L_{1}$, where $\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is a rank one sublattice of $L$ generated by $x$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ be the basis of $L$. Then $L$ is also generated by $\alpha_{1}-\left(\alpha_{1}, x\right) x, \cdots, \alpha_{n}-\left(\alpha_{n}, x\right) x$ and $x$. Now we see that;

$$
\left(\alpha_{i}-\left(\alpha_{i}, x\right) x, x\right)=\left(\alpha_{i}, x\right)-\left(\alpha_{i}, x\right)(x, x)=0, \quad i=1, \cdots, n
$$

Set $L^{\prime}=\left[\alpha_{1}-\left(\alpha_{1}, x\right) x, \cdots, \alpha_{n}-\left(\alpha_{n}, x\right) x\right]_{z}$. Then we have $L=L^{\prime} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} x$. Q. E. D.
For the given lattice $L$ by repeating use of Lemma 2-1 we get the following decomposition;

$$
L=\boldsymbol{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}_{x_{r}} \oplus L^{\prime},
$$

where $x_{i}$ 's are mutally orthogonal 1 -vectors and $\boldsymbol{Z} x_{i}$ is a rank one sublattice of $L$ generated by $x_{i}$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ and $L^{\prime}$ does not contain any 1 -vector. Apparently $\boldsymbol{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} x_{r}$ is isomorphic to $B_{r}$, so we can write without loss of generality as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=B_{r} \oplus L^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{\prime}$ is 1 -vector free. As to 2 -vectors, we must take precise care. After the chain of lemmas we shall establish the following;

Proposition 2-2. If a lattice $L$ is generated by 2-vectors over $\boldsymbol{Z}$, then $L$ has basis consisting of 2 -vectors.

To establish the above proposition we pose the following problem;
(P) When $L$ is a basic lattice or an orthogonal sum of basic lattices and $x$ is a 2 -vector with the condition that $(x, y) \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ holds for any $y \in L$ (henceforth we shall write this condition symbolically as $(x, L) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z})$, how becomes the lattice $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$, generated by $L$ and $x$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ ?

The answer to this problem is that $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is also isomorphic to a basic lattice or an orthogonal sum of basic lattices as the following consideration shows. First we can set $x=u+v$ with $(u, L) \cong Z,(u, v)=0$ and $v$ is orthogonal to $L$ (we shall write this condition as $(v, L)=0$ ). For the basic lattice we have the following;

Lemma 2-3. (i) Let $L$ be a basic lattice other than $A_{n}$ type and $u$ be an element of $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}(\supseteq L)$ such that $(u, L) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$, then $u$ can be taken from $L^{\#}$, (ii) in the case of $A_{n}(n \supseteqq 1)$ an element $u$ of $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}\left(\supseteq A_{n}\right)$ such that $\left(u, A_{n}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$ can be taken from $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying certain condition specified in the proof.

Proof. If $L$ be a basic lattice other than $A_{n}$ type, then we can say that;

$$
L \otimes_{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{k}}
$$

where $k$ is the rank of $L$. In this case $u$ can be taken from $R^{k}$ and we write $u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} w_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}(1 \leqq i \leqq k)$ and $w_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq k)$ are the canonical basis of $L$. The condition $(u, L) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$ implies that;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u, w_{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{Z} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \cdots, k . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each case of basic lattice $L$ other than $A_{n}$ type we can easily verify that (2) implies $a_{i} \in Q(1 \leqq i \leqq k)$ and then $u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} w_{i}$ belongs to $L^{\#}$. The part (i) of the lemma is thus proved. In case of $A_{n}, A_{n}$ can be embedded into $B_{n+1}$ and without loss of generality we can take $u$ from $B_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ and we put $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i} e_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}(1 \leqq i \leqq n+1)$, where $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n+1}$ are the canonical basis of $B_{n+1}$. The given condition $\left(u, A_{n}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$ is equivalent to the condition;

$$
\left(u, e_{i}-e_{i+1}\right)=a_{i}-a_{i+1} \in \boldsymbol{Z} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \cdots, n .
$$

We rewrite this condition as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \equiv \cdots \equiv a_{n+1} \quad(\bmod 1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The part (ii) of the lemma is proved.
Q. E. D.

Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices and $x=u+v$ be a 2 -vector in the problem ( P ) such that $(u, L) \cong \boldsymbol{Z},(u, v)=0$ and $(v, L)=0$, then we can write $u$ as $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ with the following conditions;

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=0 & (i \neq j),  \tag{4}\\
\left(u_{i}, L_{j}\right)=0 & (i \neq j) \text { and } \\
\left(u_{i}, L_{i}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z} & i=1, \cdots, t
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We shall justify the above settings. If $L_{i}$ is a basic other than $A_{n}$ type, then we know $L_{i} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n_{i}}$, where $n_{i}$ is the rank of $L_{i}$. If $L_{i}$ is $A_{n}$ type, then we can not say that $A_{n} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ as far as we adopt $\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ as the model of $A_{n}$. But there is another model of $A_{n}$, namely;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{A}_{n}=\left[\sqrt{2} e_{i},-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} e_{1},+\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} e_{2}, \cdots,-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} e_{r-1}+\sqrt{\frac{r+1}{r}} e_{r}, \cdots\right. \\
\left.\cdots,-\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} e_{n-1}+\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n}} e_{n}\right]_{z}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easily seen that;

$$
\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right]_{z} \cong \tilde{A}_{n}
$$

This time we can say that ;

$$
\tilde{A}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{rank} \tilde{A}_{n}=n .
$$

If we can use $\tilde{A}_{n}$ as the model of $A_{n}$ type, then the settings (4) can be easily justified because $L_{i}$ and $L_{j}(i \neq j)$ are separated by the ambient spaces $\boldsymbol{R}^{n_{i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}^{n_{j}}$, where $n_{i}$ (resp. $n_{j}$ ) is the rank of $L_{i}$ (resp. $L_{j}$ ). Though $\tilde{A}_{n}$ is theoretically simpler model than $A_{n}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right]_{Z}$, the calculations attached to $\tilde{A}_{n}$ are more complicated than those of $A_{n}$ and we shall not use $\tilde{A}_{n}$. Let $L$ be a basic lattice other than $A_{n}$ type, then it is known that each element $\sigma$ of Aut ( $L$ ) is naturally extended to an orthogonal transformation of $L \otimes_{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$, where $k$ is the rank of $L$. In $A_{n}$ case Aut $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is generated by the reflections with respect to 2 -vectors in $A_{n}$ and $(-1) \times$ identity, and each element of Aut $\left(A_{n}\right)$ is extended to an orthogonal transformation of $B_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$. This remark will be used later.

Let $L$ be a lattice and $x=u+v$ be a 2 -vector in the problem ( P ) (the decomposition of $x$ is like as above). Since our metric is positive, $(x, x)=2$ implies;

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, u) \leqq 2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides $A_{n}$ type the vector $u$ can be taken from $L^{\#}$ by Lemma 2-3. As to $A_{n}$ type we must take precise care and we shall discuss the case of $A_{n}$ later.

We shall call an element $u$ of $L^{\#}$ ( $L$ is a lattice) is a minimal representative (we shall abbreviate as m.r.) of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ if $u$ satisfies the following condition;

$$
(u, u) \leqq(u+y, u+y) \quad \forall y \in L .
$$

When $L$ is a basic lattice, the structure of $L^{\#} / L$ is known and Niemeier [5] remarked at pages 148 -150 the following (the notations are a little different from his);

Lemma 2-4. (i) $A_{n}{ }^{\#} / A_{n} \cong \boldsymbol{Z} /(n+1) \boldsymbol{Z}$ and complete m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ are given by 0 and $u_{r}=\frac{r}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-r+1} e_{i}-\frac{n-r+1}{n+1} \sum_{i=n-r+2}^{n+1} e_{i}$ for $r=1, \cdots, n$ and $b y$ calculation we have;

$$
\left(u_{r}, u_{r}\right)=\frac{r(n+1-r)}{n+1} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqq r \leqq n
$$

(ii) $D_{2 n+1} \# / D_{2 n+1} \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / 4 \boldsymbol{Z}(n \geqq 2)$ and complete $m$. $r$. of $D_{2 n+1} \#$ modulo $D_{2 n+1}$ are $u_{0}, u_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} e_{i}, u_{2}=e_{2 n+1}$ and $u_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} e_{i}-e_{2 n+1}$ and we have;

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\left(u_{3}, u_{3}\right)=\frac{2 n+1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(u_{2}, u_{2}\right)=1
$$

(iii) $D_{2 n}{ }^{\#} / D_{2 n} \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z} \times \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}(n \geqq 2)$ and complete $m$.r. of $D_{2 n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $D_{2 n}$ are $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n} e_{i}, u_{2}=e_{2 n}$ and $u_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n} e_{i}-e_{2 n}$ and we haue;

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\left(u_{3}, u_{3}\right)=\frac{2 n}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(u_{2}, u_{2}\right)=1
$$

(iv) $E_{8}{ }^{\#}=E_{8}, \quad$ (v) $\quad B_{n}{ }^{\#}=B_{n}$,
(vi) $E_{7}^{\#} / E_{7} \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z}$ and complete m.r. of $E_{7}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $E_{7}$ are 0 and $e_{6}+\frac{e_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and we have;

$$
\left(e_{6}+\frac{e_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}, e_{6}+\frac{e_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{3}{2},
$$

(vii) $E_{6}^{\#} / E_{6} \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / 3 \boldsymbol{Z}$ and complete m.r. of $E_{6}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $E_{6}$ are $0, e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $e_{5}-\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}$ and we have;

$$
\left(e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}, e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=\left(e_{5}-\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}, e_{5}-\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=\frac{4}{3} .
$$

Remark 1. The values ( $u_{r}, u_{r}$ ) ( $1 \leqq r \leqq n$ ) of $u_{r}$, m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ are necessary for our later argument and we give some as the table.

| $r^{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{6}{7}$ | $\frac{7}{8}$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\frac{9}{10}$ | $\frac{10}{11}$ | $\frac{11}{12}$ | $\frac{12}{13}$ | $\ldots$ |
| 2 |  | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 1 | $\frac{6}{5}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\frac{10}{7}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{9}$ | $\frac{8}{5}$ | $\frac{18}{11}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{22}{13}$ |  |
| 3 |  |  | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{6}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{12}{7}$ | $\frac{15}{8}$ | 2 | . | . | . | . | $\ldots$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\frac{12}{7}$ | 2 | . | . | . | . | .. |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{10}{7}$ | $\frac{15}{8}$ | . | . | $\cdots$ | . | . |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{6}{7}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |

The following lemma may simplify later arguments.
Lemma 2-5. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}(t \geqq 1)$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices and $x=u+v$ and $x^{\prime}=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ be a 2-vectors in the problem ( P ), where $u=$ $u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ and $u^{\prime}=u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\cdots+u_{t}{ }^{\prime}$ be the decompositions of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ in the manner of (4) and $(v, L)=\left(v^{\prime}, L\right)=0$ and $\left(v, u^{\prime}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}, u\right)=0$.
(i) It is clear that Aut $(L)$ contains the direct product Aut $\left(L_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Aut}\left(L_{2}\right) \times$ $\cdots \times \operatorname{Aut}\left(L_{t}\right)$ as the subgroup. If there exist $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(L_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, t)$ such that $u_{i}{ }^{\prime}=\sigma_{i} u_{i}(i=1, \cdots, t)$, then $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.
(ii) If there exist $w_{i} \in L_{i}(i=1, \cdots, t)$ such that $u_{i}{ }^{\prime}=u_{i}+w_{i}$ and $\left(u_{i}{ }^{\prime}, u_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=$ ( $u_{i}, u_{i}$ ) for $i=1, \cdots, t$, then $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.
(iii) If the equation $\left(u_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\left(u_{i}{ }^{\prime}, y_{i}\right)$ holds for any element $y_{i}$ of $L_{i}$ and for $i=1, \cdots, t$, then $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.

Proof of (i). Let $y=y_{1}+\cdots+y_{t}$ be the general element of $L$ with $y_{i} \in L_{i}$ ( $1 \leqq i \leqq t$ ) and $\varphi$ be the mapping from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$ defined by;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x)=x^{\prime}, \\
& \varphi(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sigma_{i} y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(y+k x)=\varphi(y)+k \varphi(x) \quad \text { for } \quad k \in \boldsymbol{Z},
$$

then we can easily verify that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.
Proof of (ii). By the given condition we can say $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime \prime}$, where $x^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i}{ }^{\prime}+v$. Let $\varphi$ be the mapping from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime \prime}$ to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$ defined by;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(y)=y \quad \text { for } \quad \forall y \in L, \\
& \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\varphi\left(y+k x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi(y)+k \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \forall k \in \boldsymbol{Z}
$$

then we can say that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime \prime}$ to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.
Proof of (iii). Let $\varphi$ be the mapping from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$ defined by;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(y)=y \quad \text { for } \quad \forall y \in L, \\
& \varphi(x)=x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(y+k x)=\varphi(y)+k \varphi(x) \quad \text { for } \quad \forall k \in \boldsymbol{Z},
$$

then we can say that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
Q. E. D.

We shall treat $u$ part of 2 -vector $x$ in $A_{n}$ case (described in Lemma 2-3 (ii)) more precisely. By Lemma 2-3 we can set $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i} e_{i}$ with the conditions $a_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}(1 \leqq i \leqq n+1)$ and (3). Moreover $u$ satisfies the inequality (5), so we have;

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, u)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i}{ }^{2} \leqq 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(I) Suppose that one of $a_{i}$ 's is an integer, then by (3) each $a_{i}$ is also integer for $i=1, \cdots, n+1$. By the inequality (6) at most two of $a_{i}$ 's are not zero.
(I)-(i) When exactly two of $a_{i}$ 's are not zero (say $a_{i_{1}}$ and $a_{i_{2}}, i_{1} \neq i_{2}$ ), then we can say that $\left|a_{i_{1}}\right|=\left|a_{i_{2}}\right|=1$ and $x=u=a_{i_{1}} e_{i_{1}}+a_{i_{2}} e_{i_{2}}$. Since we aim the solution of the problem (P) and the lattice $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is identical to $L+\boldsymbol{Z}(-x)$, we can assume that $a_{i_{1}}=1$. $u$ must be one of the forms $e_{i_{1}}-e_{i_{2}}$ and $e_{i_{1}}+e_{i 2}$. Since there exists an element $w$ (resp. $w^{\prime}$ ) of $A_{n}$ such that $w+e_{i_{1}}-e_{i_{2}}=e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ (resp. $w^{\prime}+e_{i_{1}}+e_{i_{2}}=e_{n}+e_{n+1}$ ) and ( $\left.e_{i_{1}}-e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{1}}-e_{i_{2}}\right)=\left(e_{n}-e_{n+1}, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right.$ ) (resp. ( $e_{i_{1}}+e_{i_{2}}$, $\left.e_{i_{1}}+e_{i_{2}}\right)=\left(e_{n}+e_{n+1}, e_{n}+e_{n+1}\right)$, by Lemma 2-5, (ii) we can set $u=e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ (resp. $\left.e_{n}+e_{n+1}\right)$. When $x=u=e_{n}-e_{n+1}$, then $A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x=A_{n}$ and we shall neglect this case henceforth. When $x=u=e_{n}+e_{n+1}$, then $A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x=D_{n+1}(n \geqq 3), A_{2}+\boldsymbol{Z}\left(e_{2}+e_{3}\right)$ $\cong A_{3}$ and $A_{1}+\boldsymbol{Z}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \cong A_{1} \oplus A_{1}$. We shall call this vector $e_{n}+e_{n+1}$ singular vector of first kind for $A_{n}$.
(I)-(ii) When only one $a_{i_{0}}\left(1 \leqq i_{0} \leqq n+1\right)$ is not zero, then we have $\left|a_{i_{0}}\right|=1$ and $u= \pm e_{i_{0}}$. Since $-1 \times$ identity is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{n}\right)$, by Lemma 2-5, (i) we can set $u=e_{i 0}$. Since $e_{i_{0}}-e_{n+1} \in A_{n}$ and ( $\left.e_{i 0}, e_{i_{0}}\right)=\left(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}\right)$, by Lemma $2-5$, (ii) we can set $u=-e_{n+1}$. We shall call this vector singular vector of second kind for $A_{n}$.
(II) Suppose that one of $a_{i}$ 's is not integer, then by (3) each $a_{i}$ is not
integer for $i=1, \cdots, n+1$. In this case we can take $a_{1}$ as positive by Lemma $2-5$ (ii). By (3) we can set $a_{i}$ as;

$$
a_{i}=a_{1}+k_{i} \quad(2 \leqq i \leqq n+1),
$$

where $k_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $a_{1} \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.
By the inequality (6) at most one of $a_{i}$ 's has the absolute value larger than one and less than two.
(II)-(i) When each $\left|a_{i}\right|(1 \leqq i \leqq n+1)$ takes the value between zero and one, we can set as;

$$
0<a_{1}<1
$$

and

$$
a_{i}=a_{1}+k_{i} \text { with } k_{i}=0 \text { or }-1 \quad \text { for } i=2, \cdots, n+1 .
$$

Let $a_{1}$ appear $m$ times and $a_{1}-1$ appear $l$ times among $a_{i}$ 's with $l+m=n+1$ and $l, m \geqq 0$, then taking Lemma 2-5, (i) into account we can set;

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{1}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{m}\right)+\left(a_{1}-1\right)\left(e_{m+1}+\cdots+e_{m+l}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case that $m<l$, we take $-u$ instead of $u$ (this is justified by Lemma $2-5$, (i)), so that we can assume that $m \geqq l$. By (7) we have;

$$
\begin{align*}
(u, u) & =m a_{1}^{2}+l\left(a_{1}-1\right)^{2} \\
& =(m+l)\left(a_{1}-\frac{l}{m+l}\right)^{2}+\frac{m l}{m+l} \\
& \geqq \frac{m l}{m+l} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In (8) the equality holds if and only if $a_{1}=\frac{l}{m+l}$ and then $u$ is a m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$. We shall call the vector $u$ of the form (7) satisfying the inequality (6) the singular vector of third kind for $A_{n}$. In this case $a_{1}$ may vary continuously in the interval which is determined from (6). The vector $u$ of the form (7) for fixed $m$ and $l$ with $a_{1}=\frac{l}{m+l}$ will be called the bottom vector (as we remarked above this vector is a m.r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ ).
(II)-(ii) When only one $\left|a_{i}\right|$ lies between one and two and other $\left|a_{i}\right|$ 's lie between zero and one, then by Lemma 2-5, (i) we can set as;
and

$$
1<a_{1}<2
$$

$$
a_{i}=a_{1}+k_{i} \quad \text { with } k_{i}=-1 \text { or }-2 \quad \text { for } i=2, \cdots, n+1 .
$$

If there is a $k_{i_{0}}=-2$, then we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, u) & =a_{1}^{2}+\left(a_{1}-2\right)^{2} \\
& =2\left(a_{1}-1\right)^{2}+2>2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts the inequality (6), so $u$ must be of the form;

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{1} e_{1}+\left(a_{1}-1\right)\left(e_{2}+\cdots+e_{n+1}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(u, u) & =a_{1}^{2}+n\left(a_{1}-1\right)^{2} \\
& >1 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The vector $u$ of the form (9) satisfying the inequality (6) the singular vector of fourth kind for $A_{n}$. In the above we have enumerated the singular vectors for the completeness of the discussion of type $A_{n}$, but the following lemma will show that we can manage the later discussions without singular vectors.

LEMMA 2-6. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices. Let $x=u+v$ be a 2-vector in the problem (P) and $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ be the decomposition of $u$ in the manner of (4). If one of $L_{i}$ is of type $A_{n}$ (say $L_{1}=A_{n}$ ) and $u_{1}$ is the singular vector of $j$-th kind $(2 \leqq j \leqq 4)$, then there exists a m.r. $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ and a 2-vector $x^{\prime}=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ with the following conditions;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leqq\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right) \\
& u^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t} \\
& u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{t} \text { and } L_{1}, \cdots, L_{t} \text { satisfies (4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
L+\boldsymbol{Z} x \cong L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}
$$

Proof. When $u_{1}$ is the singular vector of second kind for $A_{n}$, then we put $u_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}-\frac{n}{n+1} e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{i}-e_{n+1}$ and we have;

$$
\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{n}{n+1}<1=\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2-4, (i) $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is a m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ and we put $u^{\prime}=u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}+$ $\cdots+u_{t}$. We shall verify the third condition of the lemma. If $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, L_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for some $j(2 \leqq j \leqq t)$, then there exists $b$ in $L_{j}$ such that $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, b\right) \neq 0$. By the assumption of the lemma that $\left(u_{1}, L_{i}\right)=0$ for $2 \leqq i \leqq t$ we have $\left(u_{1}, b\right)=-\left(e_{n+1}, b\right)=0$ and consequently $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, b\right)=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{i}, b\right) \neq 0$. So we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{i}, b\right) & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}, b\right)+\left(e_{n+1}, b\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}, b\right) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we can write $b$ as;

$$
b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} e_{i}+b^{\prime}
$$

where $a_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $\left(b^{\prime}, e_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$ and $a_{i_{0}} \neq 0$ for some $i_{0}\left(1 \leqq i_{0} \leqq n\right)$, and we have $\left(e_{i_{0}}-e_{n+1}, b\right)=\left(e_{i_{0}}, b\right)=a_{i_{0}} \neq 0$. But $e_{i_{0}}-e_{n+1}$ belongs to $A_{n+1}$. This contradicts to the assumption of the lemma, that is, $\left(A_{n}, L_{j}\right)=0$, so we can conclude that $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{t}$ and $L_{1}, \cdots, L_{t}$ satisfy the second condition of (4). By the similar reasoning we can say that;

$$
\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{j}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad 2 \leqq j \leqq t
$$

and consequently the first condition in (4) holds for $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{t}$. We know;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1}, e_{r}-e_{r+1}\right)=\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, e_{r}-e_{r+1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqq r \leqq n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equations (11) implies $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, L_{1}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$, and we can verify the last condition in (4). It remains to prove the last condition of the lemma. Since we know $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)<\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$, we get $\left(u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)<(u, u)$. Let $v^{\prime}$ be determined so that the conditions, $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(u_{2}, v^{\prime}\right)=\cdots=\left(u_{t}, v^{\prime}\right)=0,\left(L_{1}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(L_{2}, v^{\prime}\right)=\cdots=\left(L_{t}, v^{\prime}\right)$ $=0$ and $x=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ is a 2 -vector, are all satisfied. The choice of such $v^{\prime}$ is always possible in a sufficiently large Euclidean space. Since the assumptions of Lemma 2-5, (iii) is satisfied, we can conclude that;

$$
L+\boldsymbol{Z} x \cong L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}
$$

Thus we have proved the lemma in the case of the singular vector of second kind.

When $u_{1}$ is the singular vector of third kind for $A_{n}$, then we take the bottom vector as $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and the process of the proof is similar to that in the case of the singular vector of second kind and we omit it. The case where $u_{1}$ is the singular vector of fourth kind is proved in the similar manner and we also omit it for the sake of brevity.
Q.E.D.

When we consider the problem ( P ) in the situation that $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ is an orthogonal sum of basic lattices and $x=u+v$ is a 2 -vector such that $u=u_{1}+$ $\cdots+u_{t}$ is the decomposition of $u$ in the manner of (4). If some of $L_{i}$ 's are $A_{n}$ type and $u_{i}$ 's are the singular vectors of $j$-th kind $(2 \leqq j \leqq 4)$, then by repeating use of Lemma 2-6 we can replace such $u_{i}$ 's by m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$. If in the decomposition of $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ the singular vector of the first kind for $A_{n}$ appears (say $u_{1}$ ), then by the fact $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=2$ we can say $u_{2}=\cdots=u_{t}=0$, $\boldsymbol{x}=u$ and $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=\left(L_{1}+\boldsymbol{Z} x\right) \oplus L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t} . \quad A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z}\left(e_{n}+e_{n+1}\right)$ is already determined. In this case $L_{2}, \cdots, L_{t}$ are irrelevant to the problem (P). In general we shall call a component $L_{i}$ of $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \bigoplus L_{t}$, an orthogonal sum of basic lattices, irrelevant to the problem (P) with respect to a 2 -vector $x$ if $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=L_{i} \oplus\left(L_{1} \oplus\right.$ $\cdots \oplus L_{i-1} \oplus L_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\boldsymbol{t}}+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ ) holds. We call a 2-vector $x$ trivial for $L$ if
$L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=L$ holds. This happens when and only when $x$ belongs to $L$.
Lemma 2-7. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices and $x=u+v$ be a 2-vector in the problem (P) and $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ be the decomposition of $u$ in the manner of (4). If one of $E_{8}$ and $B_{n}$ appears among $L_{i}$ 's, then it is irrelevant to the problem ( P ) or $x$ is trivial for $L$.

Proof. If say $L_{1}$ is $E_{8}$, then by Lemma 2-4, (iv) $u_{1}$ belongs to $E_{8}$ and by the inequality (5) $u_{1}$ satisfies ( $u_{1}, u_{1}$ ) $\leqq 2$. Since any element $y$ of $E_{8}$ satisfies $(y, y) \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ is either 0 or 2 . When $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ is $0, u_{1}$ is zero and $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=E_{8} \oplus\left(L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}+\boldsymbol{Z} x\right)$. This implies that $E_{8}$ is irrelevant. When $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=2, u_{1}$ is a 2 -vector in $E_{8}$ and $u_{2}=\cdots=u_{t}=v=0$. This implies that $x=u_{1}$ is trivial for $L$. If $L_{1}$ is $B_{n}(n \geqq 1)$ (by (1) and by the fact $B_{n_{1}} \oplus B_{n_{2}}=$ $B_{n_{1}+n_{2}}$ we can assume without loss of generality that each $L_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq t)$ is not of type $B_{n}$ ), then by Lemma 2-4, (v) $u_{1}$ belongs to $B_{n}$ and by the inequality (5) $u_{1}$ satisfies $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right) \leqq 2$. Since $B_{n}$ contains both 1 -vector and 2 -vector (the latter occurs only when $n \geqq 2),\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ is 0 or 1 or 2 . When $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ is $0, u_{1}$ is zero and in this case the lattice $L+\boldsymbol{Z}_{x}$ in ( P ) has the form $B_{n} \oplus\left(L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}\right.$ $+\boldsymbol{Z} x)$. This implies that $B_{n}$ is irrelevant to the problem. When $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ is 1 , $u_{2}=\cdots=u_{t}=0$ and $v$ is such that $(v, v)=1$ bceause we assumed that each $L_{i}$ ( $2 \leqq i \leqq t$ ) is not of type $B_{n}$ and hence $L_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq t)$ does not contain any 1 -vector. In this case the lattice $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ in (P) has the form $B_{n} \oplus L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t} \oplus B_{1}$ and $B_{n}$ is irrelevant to the problem (P). When ( $u_{1}, u_{1}$ ) is $2, u_{2}=\cdots=u_{t}=v=0$ and $x=u_{1}$ belongs to $B_{n}$ (so we know $n \geqq 2$ ). Since $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x=L, x$ is trivial for $L$.
Q. E. D.

From now on we can assume that neither $E_{8}$ nor $B_{n}$ appears as an orthogonal component of the lattice $L$ for the problem ( P ).

Lemma 2-8. Let $L$ be a basic lattice other than $B_{n}$ type and $L^{\#}$ be its.dual. If an element $u$ of $L^{\#}$ is not m.r., then we have;

$$
(u, u) \geqq 2,
$$

where the equality in the above estimate holds only if $u$ belongs to $L$.
Proof. Let $u_{0}$ be a m. r. of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ equivalent to $u$ modulo $L$, then there exists $y$ in $L$ such that $u_{0}=u+y$ and we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, u) & =\left(u_{0}-y, u_{0}-y\right) \\
& =\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right)-2\left(u_{0}, y\right)+(y, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L$ is a basic lattice other than $B_{n}, L$ has basis consisting of 2 -vectors and $(y, y)$ is an integer divisible by 2 for each $y$ in $L$. The value ( $u_{0}, y$ ) is also an integer, so ( $u, u$ ) differs from $\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ by a multiple of 2 . Hence we have;

$$
(u, u) \geqq\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right)+2 \geqq 2
$$

When $(u, u)$ is 2 , then $\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is 0 and $u_{0}=0$. Such $u$ is equal to $y$ and $u \in L$.

> Q. E. D.

Lemma 2-9. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be the orthogonal decomposition of a lattice $L$. The element $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$, where $u_{i}$ is in $L_{i}{ }^{\#}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq t$, of $L^{\#}$ is a m.r. of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ if and only if each $u_{i}$ is a m. $r$. of $L_{i}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $L_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq t)$.

The proof of this lemma is easy and we omit it. The m.r. of each class of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ is not necessarily unique, but we can prove the;

Lemma 2-10. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices, where each $L_{i}$ is not of type $B_{n}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$. Let $x=u+v$ and $x^{\prime}=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ be 2 -vectors for $L$ in the problem ( P ), where $u=u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}$ and $u^{\prime}=u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}+$ $\cdots+u_{t}$ are elements of $L^{\#}$ with $u_{i} \in L_{i}{ }^{\#}(2 \leqq i \leqq t)$ ond $u_{1}$ and $u_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in L_{1}{ }^{\#}$. If $u_{1}$ and $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are two m.r. of the same class of $L_{1}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $L_{1}$, then $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.

Proof. By the condition there is $y$ in $L_{1}$ such that $u_{1}=u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y$. Since $u_{1}$ and $L_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are m.r. of the same class of $L_{1}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $L_{1}$, we have $\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=$ ( $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ ). Put $x^{\prime \prime}=u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}+v^{\prime}$, then it clearly holds that $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}=L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=(x, x)=2$. It is easy to see that $L+Z x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime \prime}$.
Q.E.D.

Let $L$ be a basic lattice other than $B_{n}$ type. Two m. r. $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ are called complementary to each other if they satisfy the condition $u+u^{\prime} \in L$. The m. r. $u_{r}$ and $u_{n+1-r}$ in Lemma 2-4 of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ are complementary to each other. In the $D_{2 n+1}$ case m. r. $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ of $D_{2 n+1} \#$ modulo $D_{2 n+1}$ are complementary to each other. The m. r. $e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $e_{5}-\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}$ of $E_{6}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $E_{6}$ are complementary to each other.

Lemma 2-11. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices, where each $L_{i}$ is not of type $B_{n}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$. Let $x=u+v$ and $x^{\prime}=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ be 2-vectors for $L$ in the problem ( P ), where $u=u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}$ and $u^{\prime}=u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}+$ $\cdots+u_{t}$ are elements of $L^{\#}$ with $u_{i} \in L_{i}{ }^{\#}(2 \leqq i \leqq t)$ and $u_{1}$ and $u_{1}^{\prime} \in L_{1}{ }^{\#}$ and decompositions of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ satisfy the conditions of (4). If $u_{1}$ and $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are complementary m.r. of $L_{1}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $L_{1}$, then $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$ is isomorphic to $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$.

Proof. By the assumption there is $y_{1}$ in $L_{1}$ such that $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}=-u_{1}+y_{1}$, and by noting the fact $\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ we have ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime} & =L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}+\boldsymbol{Z}\left(-u_{1}+y_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}+v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}+\boldsymbol{Z}\left(-u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}+v^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that a mapping $\varphi$ defined by ;

$$
\varphi\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\cdots+y_{t}\right)=-y_{1}+y_{2}+\cdots+y_{t}
$$

and

$$
\varphi\left(-u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}+v^{\prime}\right)=u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{t}+v
$$

where $y_{i} \in L_{i}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq t$, can be extended to an isomorphism from $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x^{\prime}$ onto $L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 2-12. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices, where each $L_{i}$ is not of type $B_{n}$ or of $E_{8}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$. Let $u=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{t}$ be a m.r. of $L^{\#}$ modulo $L$ in the manner of (4). The forms of $L$ and 2-vector $x$ in the problem $(\mathrm{P})$ which satisfy none of two conditions;
(a) each $L_{i}$ is irrelevant to the problem with respect to 2 -vector $x=u+v$ and
(b) $x$ is trivial for $L$,
have the following possibilities, namely;
(i) $L=D_{n}(n \geqq 4), u=e_{n}$ and $x=u+v$,
(here and henceforth $v$ is an arbitrarily chosen vector with $(v, L)=0$ and $(x, x)=(u, u)+(v, v)=2)$,
(ii) $L=D_{n}, \quad u=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}(4 \leqq n \leqq 8)$ and $x=u+v$,
(iii) $L=E_{6}, \quad u=e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $x=u+v$,
(iv) $L=E_{7}, u=e_{6}+\frac{e_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $x=u+v$,
(v) $L=A_{n}, \quad u=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}-\frac{n}{n+1} e_{n+1}(n \geqq 1)$ and $x=u+v$,
(vi) $L=A_{n}, \quad u=\frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i}-\frac{n-1}{n+1}\left(e_{n}+e_{n+1}\right)(n \geqq 2) \quad$ and $x=u+v$,
(vii) $L=A_{n}, \quad u=\frac{3}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e_{i}-\frac{n-2}{n+1}\left(e_{n-1}+e_{n}+e_{n+1}\right) \quad(3 \leqq n \leqq 8)$ and $x=u+v$,
(vii) $\quad L=A_{7}, \quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{5}+e_{6}+e_{7}+e_{8}\right), \quad x=u$,
(viii) $L=E_{7} \oplus A_{1}, \quad u=e_{6}+\frac{e_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)$ and $x=u+v$, where $A_{1}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}$,
(ix) $L=E_{6} \oplus A_{1}, \quad u=e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right) \quad$ and $x=u+v$, where $A_{1}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{z}$,
(x) $L=E_{6} \oplus A_{2}, \quad u=e_{5}+\frac{e_{6}}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{1}{3}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-2 f_{3}\right)=x$, where $A_{2}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}-f_{3}\right]_{z}$,
(xi) $L=D_{n} \oplus D_{m}(n, m \geqq 4), \quad u=e_{n}+f_{m}=x$, where $D_{m}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}-f_{3}, \cdots, f_{m-1}-f_{m}, f_{m-1}+f_{m}\right]_{z}$,
(xii) $L=D_{n} \oplus D_{4}(n \geqq 4)$ and $u=e_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}+f_{4}\right)=x$, where $D_{4}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}-f_{3}, f_{3}-f_{4}, f_{3}+f_{4}\right]_{z}$,
(xiii) $L=D_{4} \oplus D_{4}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{3}, e_{3}-e_{4}, e_{3}+e_{4}\right]_{2} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}-f_{3}\right.$, $\left.f_{3}-f_{4}, f_{3}+f_{4}\right]_{z}$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{4}+f_{1}+\cdots+f_{4}\right)=x$,
(xiv) $L=D_{n} \oplus A_{m}(n \geqq 4, m \geqq 1), \quad u=e_{n}+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$, where $A_{m}=\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, \cdots, f_{m}-f_{m+1}\right]_{z}$,
(xv) $L=D_{4} \oplus A_{m}(m \geqq 1), \quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
(xvi) $L=D_{5} \oplus A_{m}(1 \leqq m \leqq 3), \quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{5}\right)+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}$ $-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
(xvii) $L=D_{6} \oplus A_{1}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{5}-e_{6}, e_{5}+e_{6}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{6}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)=x$,
(xviii) $L=D_{n} \oplus A_{3} \quad$ and $u=e_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}-f_{4}\right)=x$,
(xix) $L=A_{n} \oplus A_{m}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}+\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, \cdots, f_{m}-f_{m+1}\right]_{z}$, $u=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}-\frac{n}{n+1} e_{n+1}+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
(xx) $\quad L=A_{3} \oplus A_{m}(m \geqq 1), \quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
(xxi) $L=A_{4} \oplus A_{m}(1 \leqq m \leqq 4), \quad u=\frac{2}{5}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}\right)-\frac{3}{5}\left(e_{4}+e_{5}\right)$

$$
+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1} \quad \text { and } x=u+v,
$$

(xxii) $L=A_{5} \oplus A_{m}(1 \leqq m \leqq 2), \quad u=\frac{1}{3}\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{4}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(e_{5}+e_{6}\right)$
$+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}-\frac{m}{m+1} f_{m+1} \quad$ and $x=u+v$,
(xxiii) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{m}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{z} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, \cdots, f_{m}-f_{m+1}\right]_{z}$, $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{2}{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} f_{j}-\frac{m-1}{m+1}\left(f_{m}+f_{m+1}\right)(6 \leqq m \leqq 7)$ and $x=u+v$,
(xxiv) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{5} \quad$ and $\quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}-f_{4}-f_{5}-f_{6}\right)=x$, (xxv) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{m}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[g_{1}-g_{2}, \cdots, g_{m}-g_{m+1}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}$, $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}-\frac{m}{m+1} g_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
(xxvi) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{3} \quad$ and $\quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}+f_{1}-f_{2}+g_{1}+g_{2}-g_{3}-g_{4}\right)=x$,
(xxvii) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus D_{4}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{z}+\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}+\left[g_{1}-g_{2}, g_{2}-g_{3}\right.$, $\left.g_{3}-g_{4}, g_{3}+g_{4}\right]_{z}$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{1}+\cdots+g_{4}\right)=x$,
(xxviii) $L=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus D_{n} \quad$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)+g_{n}=x$,
(xxix.) $\quad L=A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \oplus A_{m}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, f_{2}-f_{3}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[g_{1}-g_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.g_{m}-g_{m+1}\right]_{z}(1 \leqq m \leqq 5), u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-2 f_{3}\right)$ $+\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}-\frac{m}{m+1} g_{m+1}$ and $x=u+v$,
$(\mathbf{x x x}) \quad L=A_{1} \oplus A_{3} \oplus A_{3}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[f_{1}-f_{2}, \cdots, f_{3}-f_{4}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \oplus\left[g_{1}-g_{2}, \cdots\right.$,
$\left.g_{3}-g_{4}\right]_{z}$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}-3 f_{4}\right)$ $+\frac{1}{4}\left(g_{1}+g_{2}+g_{3}-3 g_{4}\right)=x$,
(xxxi) $L=A_{2} \oplus A_{2} \oplus A_{2}$ and $u=\frac{1}{3}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-2 e_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-2 f_{3}\right)$ $+\frac{1}{3}\left(g_{1}+g_{2}-2 g_{3}\right)=x$,
(xxxii) $\quad L=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1}=\left[e_{1}-e_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}+\left[f_{1}-f_{2}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}}+\left[g_{1}-g_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{z}}+\left[h_{1}-h_{2}\right]_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ and $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}+f_{1}-f_{2}+g_{1}-g_{2}+h_{1}-h_{2}\right)=x$.

This lemma is easily proved by using of Lemma 2-4, Remark 1, Lemma $2-7,2-8$ and Lemma 2-9 and we omit it. In the above lemma some of possibilities are omitted but this omission is justified by Lemma 2-5, Lemma 2-10 and Lemma 2-11.

Lemma 2-13. Let $\mathcal{L}=A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x$, where $x$ is a 2 -vector such that $\left(x, A_{n}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$, then the structure of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined according to the value of $n$ in the follow. ing way;
(i) when $1 \leqq n \leqq 4$ or $n \geqq 9$, then $\mathcal{L}=A_{n}$ or $\mathcal{L}=A_{n} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong A_{n+1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong$ $\cong D_{n+1}$, (ii) when $5 \leqq n \leqq 7$, then $\mathcal{L}=A_{n}$ or $\mathcal{L}=A_{n} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong A_{n+1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{n+1}$, (iii) when $n=8, \mathcal{L}=A_{8}$ or $\mathcal{L}=A_{8} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong A_{9}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{9}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$.

Proof. Let $u$ be the $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ part of 2 -vector $x$ and $x=u+v$ with $\left(v, A_{n}{ }^{\#}\right)=0$. If $u$ is zero, then we have $(v, v)=2$ and $A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x=A_{n} \oplus A_{1}$. If $(u, u)=2$ and $u \in A_{n}$, then we have $v=0$ and $A_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x=A_{n}$. So we have only to consider the remaining possibilities, namely, $(u, u) \leqq 2$ and $u \in A_{n}{ }^{\#}-A_{n}$. By Lemma 2-8 we can assume that $u$ is a m. r. of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$. M. r. $u \neq 0$ of $A_{n}{ }^{\#}$ modulo $A_{n}$ with ( $u, u$ ) $\leqq 2$ are listed at Lemma 2-12, (v), (vi) and (vii). In case of (v) $v$ is not zero and $x$ is linearly independent over $Q$ from $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$, the basis of $A_{n}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ has the basis $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ and $-x$ over $Z$, and $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $A_{n+1}$ by Lemma 2-5, (iii). In case of (vi) $v$ is not zero and $x$ is linearly independent over $Q$ from $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$, the basis of $A_{n}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ has the basis $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ and $-x$ over $Z$, so $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $D_{n+1}$ by Lemma 2-5, (iii). (Note that $D_{3} \cong A_{3}$ ). In case of (vii) $v$ is not zero for $3 \leqq n \leqq 7$ and then $x$ is linearly independent from $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}-e_{n+1}$ over $Q$. When $n=3$, with the basis $-x, e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{3}$ and $e_{3}-e_{4} \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $A_{4}$ by Lemma 2-5, (iii). (For the reason one should recall of the canonical basis of $A_{4}$.) When $n=4$, with the basis $e_{4}-e_{5}, e_{3}-e_{4}, e_{2}-e_{3}, e_{1}-e_{2}$ and $-x \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $D_{5}$ by Lemma 2-5, (iii). When $5 \leqq n \leqq 7$, with the basis $e_{1}-e_{2}$, $e_{2}-e_{3}, \cdots, e_{n-2}-e_{n-1},-x, e_{n-1}-e_{n}, e_{n+1}-e_{n} \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $E_{n+1}$. When $n=8$, $v$ is zero and $x$ is linearly dependent on $e_{1}-e_{2}, \cdots, e_{8}-e_{9}$ over $Q$. This time $e_{1}-e_{2}$ is linearly expressed over $\boldsymbol{Z}$ as;

$$
e_{1}-e_{2}=\sum_{i=2}^{8} a_{i}\left(e_{i}-e_{i+1}\right)+a_{9} x,
$$

where $a_{i}=-i(2 \leqq i \leqq 6), a_{7}=-4, a_{8}=-2$ and $a_{9}=3$. Hence 2 -vectors $e_{2}-e_{3}, \cdots$, $e_{6}-e_{7},-x, e_{7}-e_{8}, e_{9}-e_{8}$ the basis of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $E_{8}$. In case of (vii)', by the same argument, $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $E_{7}$. By rearranging the above arguments we have the form of lemma.
Q.E.D.

With similar arguments to the proof of Lemma 2-13 we can prove the following Lemmas 2-14, 2-15 and 2-16 and we shall omit those proofs. (The reader can prove these lemmas by using Lemmas 2-5, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11 and 2-12.)

Lemma 2-14. Let $\mathcal{L}=D_{n}+\boldsymbol{Z} x$, where $x$ is a 2-vector such that $\left(x, D_{n}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$
and $n \geqq 4$, then the structure of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined according to the value of $n$ in the following way;
(i) when $n=4$ or $n \geqq 9$, then $\mathcal{L}=D_{n} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L}=D_{n}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+1}$, (ii) when $5 \leqq n \leqq 7$, then $\mathcal{L}=D_{n} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L}=D_{n}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{n+1}$, (iii) when $n=8$, then $\mathcal{L}=D_{8} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L}=D_{8}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{9}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$.

Lemma 2-15. Let $\mathcal{L}=E_{j}+\boldsymbol{Z} x(6 \leqq j \leqq 8)$, where $x$ is a 2 -vector such that $\left(x, E_{j}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$, then we have;
(i) if $j=6$ or 7 , then $\mathcal{L}=E_{j}$ or $\mathcal{L}=E_{j} \oplus A_{1}$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{j+1}$, (ii) if $j=8$, then $\mathcal{L}=E_{8}$ or $\mathcal{L}=E_{8} \oplus A_{1}$.

Lemma 2-16. Let $L=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{t}$ be an orthogonal sum of basic lattices, where each component is other than $B_{n}$ type and $t \geqq 2$. $\mathcal{L}=L+\boldsymbol{Z} x$, where $x$ is a 2-vector such that $(x, L) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$, is the solution of the problem ( P ) which satisfies none of two conditions (a) and (b) stated in Lemma 2-12 if and only if $L$ has one of the forms given in Lemma 2-12, (viii)-(xxxii) and $x$ is a 2-vectors uniquely attached to such $L$. According to the numbering of such $L$ we have the following isomorphisms;
(viii) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$, (ix) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{7},(\mathrm{x}) \mathcal{L} \cong E_{8},(\mathrm{xi}) \mathcal{L} \cong D_{m+n}$, (xii) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+4}$, (xiii) $\mathcal{L}$ $\cong D_{8}$, (xiv) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{m+n+1},(\mathrm{xv}) \mathcal{L} \cong D_{m+5},(\mathrm{xvi}) \mathcal{L} \cong E_{5+m} 1 \leqq m \leqq 3$, (xvii) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{7}$, (xviii) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+3}$, (xix) $\mathcal{L} \cong A_{m+n+1}$, (xx) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{m+4}$, (xxi) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{m+5}$ for $1 \leqq m \leqq 3$ and $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$ for $m=4$, (xxii) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{m+6}\left(1 \leqq m \leqq 2\right.$ ), (xxiii) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$, (xxiv) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{6}$, (xxv) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{m+3}$, (xxvi) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{5}$, (xxvii) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{6}$, (xxviii) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{n+2}$, (xxix) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{n+4}$ for $2 \leqq n \leqq 4$ and $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{8}$ for $n=5$, (xxx) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{7}$, (xxxi) $\mathcal{L} \cong E_{6}$, (xxxii) $\mathcal{L} \cong D_{4}$.

Now it is an easy matter to prove Proposition 2-2. Suppose a lattice $L$ is generated by 2 -vectors $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{l}$ in $L . Z \alpha_{1}$ is a sublattice of $L$ isomorphic to $A_{1}$. $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1}+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{2}$ is then equal to $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1}$ or $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{2} \cong A_{1} \oplus A_{1}$ or isomorphic to $A_{2}$ by Lemma 2-13, (i). We continue this argument inductively. If $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{j}$ ( $j \leqq l$ ) is isomorphic to an orthogonal sum of basic lattices whose components are other than $B_{n}$ type, then $Z \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{j}+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{j+1}$ is also isomorphic to an orthogonal sum of basic lattices by Lemmas $2-13,2-14,2-15$ and 2-16. In this way we can say that $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{l}$ is isomorphic to an orthogonal sum of basic lattices. Since $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{l}$ generate $L, \boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha_{l}=L$ and this implies that $L$ has the basis consisting of 2 -vectors. As an immediate consequence of the above considerations we have;

Proposition 2-17. Let $L$ be an irreducible lattice generated by 2-vectors, then $L$ is isomorphic to one of the lattices $A_{n}, D_{n}(n \geqq 4), E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$.

## § 3. Main results.

Let $L$ be a lattice. For the first time we decompose $L$ as (1). So that $L^{\prime}$ has no 1 -vector. Since $L$ has a positive definite metric, the number of 2 -
vectors is finite. We consider the sublattice $M$ of $L^{\prime}$ generated by 2 -vectors of $L^{\prime}$. In general it holds that rank $M \leqq$ rank $L^{\prime}$ and $M$ is not necessarily irreducible. We can say that by the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2-2 $M$ is written as;

$$
M=M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{k}
$$

where each $M_{j}$ is irreducible and generated by 2 -vectors. Then by Proposition 2-17 each $M_{j}$ is isomorphic to one of $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$, or $E_{8}$. The sublattice $M \oplus B_{r}$ of $L$ is called characteristic sublattice of $L$. We shall denote by $V_{2}(L)$ the number of 2 -vectors in the lattice $L$.

Lemma 3-1. We have the following formulas;
(i) $\quad V_{2}\left(A_{n}\right)=n(n+1)$,
(ii) $\quad V_{2}\left(B_{n}\right)=V_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)=2 n(n-1)$ if $n \geqq 4$,
(iii) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{8}\right)=240$,
(iv) $V_{2}\left(E_{7}\right)=126$,
(v) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6}\right)=72$.

These are well-known results and we neglect its proofs. Though $A_{0}, D_{0}$, $B_{0}, D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are meaningless, for the simplicity of the later description we shall write $V_{2}\left(A_{0}\right)=V_{2}\left(D_{0}\right)=V_{2}\left(B_{0}\right)=0, V_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)=V_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)=0, V_{2}\left(D_{2}\right)=V_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)=4$ and $V_{2}\left(D_{3}\right)=V_{2}\left(B_{3}\right)=12 . \quad D_{3}$ is isomorphic to $A_{3}$.

Lemma 3-2. Assume that the lattices $L_{1}, \cdots, L_{k}$ do not contain any 1-vector, then we have;

$$
L_{2}\left(L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{2}\left(L_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that any 2 -vector in $L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{k}$ belongs to some $L_{i}$. If it is not true, then there is a 2 -vector $u$ which can be written in the form $u=u_{i_{1}}+u_{i_{2}}$ with $i_{1} \neq i_{2}, u_{i_{1}} \in L_{i_{1}}$ and $u_{i_{2}} \in L_{i_{2}}$. Then we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, u) & =\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{1}}\right)+\left(u_{i_{2}}, u_{i_{2}}\right) \\
& =2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The case $\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{1}}\right)=\left(u_{i_{2}}, u_{i_{2}}\right)=1$ does not appear by the assumption. So we can say that $\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{1}}\right)=2$ or $\left(u_{i_{2}}, u_{i_{2}}\right)=2$ and the rest vector equals to zero. In either case $u=u_{i_{1}}$ or $u=u_{i_{2}}$.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 3-3. Assume that the lattice $L_{1}$ does not contain any 1-vector, then we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}\left(B_{r}+L_{1}\right) & =V_{2}\left(B_{r}\right)+V_{2}\left(L_{1}\right) \\
& =2 r(r-1)+V_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r$ is a positive rational integer.
This lemma is proved in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 3-2, and we omit the proof.

Lemma 3-4. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}$ be integers not smaller than one, then we have the following inequalities:
(i) $\quad V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}} \oplus A_{n_{2}}\right)<V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)$,
(ii) $\quad V_{2}\left(D_{n_{1}} \oplus D_{n_{2}}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)$,
where both $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are not smaller than 3 ,
(iii) $\quad V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n_{1}}\right)$ if $n_{1} \geqq 4$,
(iv) $\quad V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}} \oplus D_{n_{2}}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)$ if $n_{1}+n_{2} \geqq 4$,
(v) $\quad V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}} \oplus B_{n_{2}}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)$ if $n_{1}+n_{2} \geqq 4$.

Proof. Proof of (i).
By Lemmas 3-1 and 3-2 we know that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}} \oplus A_{n_{2}}\right) & =V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}}\right)+V_{2}\left(A_{n_{2}}\right) \\
& =n_{1}\left(n_{1}+1\right)+n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) \\
& <\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+1\right) \\
& =V_{2}\left(A_{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way (ii) $\sim(\mathrm{v})$ can be proved.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 3-5.
(i) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{8} \oplus E_{8}\right)=V_{2}\left(D_{16}\right)$,
(ii) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{8} \oplus E_{7}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{15}\right)$,
(iii) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{7} \oplus E_{7}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{14}\right)$,
(iv) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus E_{7}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{13}\right)$,
(v) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus E_{7}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{13}\right)$,
(vi) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus E_{6}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{12}\right)$.

Proof. Proof of (i).
By Lemmas 3-1 and 3-2 we know that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}\left(E_{8} \oplus E_{8}\right) & =V_{2}\left(E_{8}\right)+V_{2}\left(E_{8}\right) \\
& =480 \\
& =V_{2}\left(D_{16}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way (ii) $\sim(\mathrm{iv})$ can be proved.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 3-6. The following inequalities hold;
(i) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus A_{n}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n+6}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 1$,
(ii) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{7} \oplus A_{n}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n+7}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 2$,
(iii) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{8} \oplus A_{n}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n+8}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 4$,
(iv) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus D_{n}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n+6}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 4$,
(v) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{7} \oplus D_{n}\right)<V_{2}\left(D_{n+7}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 4$,
(vi) $\quad V_{2}\left(E_{8} \oplus D_{n}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{n+8}\right) \quad$ if $n \geqq 4$.

Proof. Proof of (i).
By Lemmas 3-1 and 3-2 we know that ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}\left(D_{n+6}\right)-V_{2}\left(E_{6} \oplus A_{n}\right) & =2(n+6)(n+5)-72-n(n+1) \\
& =n^{2}+21 n-12>0 \quad \text { if } n \geqq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way (ii) $\sim(\mathrm{vi})$ can be proved.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 3-7. Let $s, t, p$ be non-negative real numbers and $s+t+p=\sigma>0$, then we have the following inequalities;

$$
\begin{aligned}
72 \sigma & \leqq 72 s+126 t+240 p \leqq 240 \sigma, \\
6 \sigma & \leqq 6 s+7 t+8 p \leqq 8 \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the proof of this lemma is easy, we omit it.
Lemma 3-8. Let $N$ be a lattice of the form;

$$
N=\left(\underset{s}{\oplus} E_{6}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{t}{ } E_{7}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{p}{\oplus} E_{8}\right)
$$

where $s, t, p$ are non-negative rational integers and the symbol $\oplus E_{6}$ means orthogonal sum of $s$ times of $E_{6}$ 's and so on. If $s+t+p \geqq 2$, then we get the following inequality;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(N) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{6 s+7 t+8 p}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3-1 and 3-2 we know that;

$$
V_{2}(N)=72 s+126 t+240 p
$$

and

$$
V_{2}\left(D_{6 s+7 t+8 p}\right)=2(6 s+7 t+8 p)(6 s+7 t+8 p-1) .
$$

If $s+t+p=2$, then the inequality (12) is nothing else one of the inequalities in Lemma 3-4. If $s+t+p=3$, then the inequality (12) is also proved by using Lemmas 3-4 and 3-5. If $s+t+p=\sigma \geqq 4$ then we know from Lemmas 3-1, 3-2 and 3-7 that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{2}\left(D_{6 s+7 t+8 p}\right)-V_{2}(N) \\
& \quad=2(6 s+7 t+8 p)(6 s+7 t+8 p-1)-(72 s+126 t+240 p) \\
& \quad \geqq 2 \times 6 \sigma(6 \sigma-1)-240 \sigma \\
& \quad=\sigma(72 \sigma-252)>0 \quad(\sigma \geqq 4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3-9. Let $l, m, q$ be non-negative rational integers such that $l+m+q$ $\geqq 4$, then we have the inequality;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}\left(A_{l} \oplus D_{m} \oplus B_{q}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{l+m+q}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $l+q \leqq 3$, by Lemmas $3-1$ and 3-2 we can verify that;

$$
V_{2}\left(A_{l} \oplus B_{q}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(A_{l+q}\right) .
$$

By the assumptions that $l+m+q \geqq 4$ and by (iv) of Lemma 3-3 we can say that;

$$
V_{2}\left(A_{l+q} \oplus D_{m}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{l+q+m}\right) .
$$

When $l+q \geqq 4$, by (v) of Lemma 7 we know;

$$
V_{2}\left(A_{l} \oplus B_{q}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{l+q}\right) .
$$

By the same assumption and by (ii) of Lemma 3-3 we can also say that;

$$
V_{2}\left(D_{l+q} \oplus D_{m}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{l+m+q}\right) .
$$

In either case we have established the inequality (13) using Lemma 3-2.
Q. E. D.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ be the set of all integral lattices with fixed rank $n$. If $n$ is not less than 17, then we have that;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max}_{L \in \bigwedge_{n}} V_{2}(L)=2 n(n-1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V_{2}(L)=2 n(n-1)$ is attained by $L=B_{n}$ or $L=D_{n}$.
Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and we decompose $L$ into the form (1);

$$
L=B_{r} \oplus L^{\prime} \quad r \geqq 0 .
$$

Let $M$ be the characteristic sublattice of $L^{\prime}$, then $M$ can be written in the following form by Propositions 2-2, 2-15;

$$
M=\left(\oplus_{s} E_{6}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i} E_{7}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{p} E_{8}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{i=1}{\oplus} A_{n i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{h} D_{m j}\right)
$$

where $l$ and $h$ are non-negative rational integers and $n_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ are positive rational integers. It clearly holds that ;

$$
\operatorname{rank} L^{\prime} \geqq 6 s+7 t+8 p+\sum_{i=1}^{1} n_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} .
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\left(\oplus_{s} E_{6}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i} E_{7}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{p}{\oplus} E_{8}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{\dot{1}} A_{n i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{h} D_{m_{j}}\right) \oplus B_{r} \oplus B_{q} \quad \ldots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\operatorname{rank} L^{\prime}-\operatorname{rank} M$.
Then we can see that $M_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that

$$
V_{2}\left(M_{1}\right) \geqq V_{2}(M) \quad \text { by Lemmas 3-2 and 3-3. }
$$

Put

$$
\left.M_{2}=\left(\oplus_{s} E_{6}\right) \oplus \underset{i}{\oplus} E_{7}\right) \oplus \underset{p}{\left(\oplus_{8}\right)} E_{i} A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the number $\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}$ and $\mu$ is the number $\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j}$ and $q_{1}=r+q$. If $l=0$, then we shall understand that the part $A_{\lambda}$ does not appear. If $h=0$, then we shall understand that the part $D_{\mu}$ does not appear. At any rate, we can see that $M_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that $V_{2}\left(M_{2}\right) \geqq V_{2}\left(M_{1}\right)$ by using Lemmas 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4. When $s+t+p \geqq 2$, we put

$$
M_{3}=D_{6 s+7 t+8 p} \oplus A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}
$$

Then by Lemmas 3-5 and 3-8 we can say that $M_{3} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that $V_{2}\left(M_{3}\right) \geqq$ $V_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Moreover we put

$$
M_{4}=A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{6 s+7 t+8 p+\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}
$$

Then by Lemmas 3-3 and 3-5 we can see that $M_{4} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that

$$
V_{2}\left(M_{4}\right) \geqq V_{2}\left(M_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus we started from any element $L$ of $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ and arrived a suitable element $M$ of $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ such that $V_{2}(M) \geqq V_{2}(L)$, where $M$ is one of the following forms;
(i) $\quad E_{\tau} \oplus A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}$
where $\tau=6$ or 7 or 8 and $\tau+\lambda+\mu+q_{1}=n=\operatorname{rank} L$,
(ii) $\quad A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}$
where $\lambda+\mu+q_{1}=n=\operatorname{rank} L$.
For the first case, by the assumption that $n \geqq 17$ we can see that

$$
\lambda+\mu+q_{1} \geqq 9
$$

Then by Lemma 3-9 we can say that;

$$
V_{2}\left(A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}}\right)
$$

Now we can see that $E_{\tau} \oplus D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that

$$
V_{2}(L) \leqq V_{2}\left(E_{\tau} \oplus D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}}\right) .
$$

But we know that $D_{\tau+\lambda+\mu+q_{1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and that

$$
V_{2}\left(E_{\tau} \oplus D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{\tau+\lambda+\mu+q_{1}}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma 3-6. }
$$

For the second case, we can see that

$$
D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}
$$

and that

$$
V_{2}\left(A_{\lambda} \oplus D_{\mu} \oplus B_{q_{1}}\right) \leqq V_{2}\left(D_{\lambda+\mu+q_{1}}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma 3-9. }
$$

Theorem 1 is thus established if we take (ii) of Lemma 3-1 into account.
Q.E.D.

We call the rank of characteristic sublattice $M$ of $L$ as the characteristic number and we denote it by $c(L)$. In the following we shall prove;

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ be the same set as Theorem 1, and let $d(L)$ be the determinant of a lattice $L \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$. If $d(L)>2^{n}$, then we have the inequality;

$$
c(L)<\operatorname{rank} L .
$$

To prove this theorem, we need some lemmas.
Lemma 3-10. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be lattices, then we have;

$$
d\left(L_{1} \oplus L_{2}\right)=d\left(L_{1}\right) d\left(L_{2}\right) .
$$

Since this lemma is easy to prove, we omit the proof. For the basic lattices, we know the following:

Lemma 3-11.
(i) $\quad d\left(D_{n}\right)=4 \quad n \geqq 4$,
(ii) $d\left(E_{8}\right)=1$,
(iii) $d\left(E_{7}\right)=2$,
(iv) $E\left(E_{6}\right)=3$,
(v) $d\left(A_{n}\right)=n+1$,
(vi) $\quad d\left(B_{n}\right)=1$.

We also omit the proof of this lemma. We shall prove Theorem 2. Let $L$ be a lattice in $\mathcal{L}_{n}$, and assume that $c(L)=\operatorname{rank} L$, then the characteristic sublattice $M$ of $L$ is written in the form;

$$
M=\left(\bigoplus_{s} E_{6}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{t} E_{7}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{p}{\oplus} E_{8}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} A_{n i}\right) \oplus\left(\underset{j=1}{n} D_{m_{j}}\right) \oplus B_{q}
$$

where $6 s+7 t+8 p+\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j}+q=\operatorname{rank} L$. It is known that the determinant of a sublattice of a lattice is divisible by the determinant of the latter if both rank have the same value, so we can say that;

$$
d(M) \geqq d(L)
$$

It is clearly that $M$ also belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{n}$.
We put; $M^{\prime}=\underset{n}{\oplus} A_{1}$.
We can see that $M^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{n}$. If we can show that $d\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is not less than $d(M)$ and that $d\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $2^{n}$, then we complete the proof. By Lemmas 3-10 and 3-11 we have that;

$$
d(M)=3^{s} \times 2^{t} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\prime}\left(n_{i}+1\right)\right) \times 2^{2 h}
$$

Also by Lemmas 3-10 and 3-11 we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(M^{\prime}\right) & =2^{6 s} \times 2^{i t} \times 2^{8 p} \times 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{n} n_{i}} \times 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} \times 2^{q} \\
& =2^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that the following inequalities hold;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3^{s} \leqq 2^{6 s} \\
& 2^{t} \leqq 2^{t t} \\
& 1 \leqq 2^{8 p} \\
& 2^{2 h} \leqq 2_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} \\
& 1 \leqq 2^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we can show that the inequality;

$$
\begin{equation*}
k+1 \leqq 2^{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each positive rational integer $k$, then we can say that;

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(n_{i}+1\right) \leqq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\prime} n_{i}
$$

and consequently that $d(M) \leqq d\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.
The inequality (16) is easily proved by the induction on $k$, and we omit its proof.
Q.E.D.

It should be remarked that there always exists a lattice $L$ whose characteristic number is equal to rank $L$ minus one and its determinant is arbitrary positive rational integer $d$. Such a lattice $L$ is given as follows;

$$
L=\left[e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n-1}, \sqrt{d} e_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{z}} \quad \text { where } n \text { is the rank } L
$$
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