

## On the theory of commutative formal groups

By Taira HONDA

(Received Nov. 10, 1969)

The theory of (commutative) formal groups was initiated by M. Lazard and J. Dieudonné around 1954. Lazard [11], [12] studied commutative formal groups over an arbitrary commutative ring by treating the coefficients of power series explicitly. Whereas Dieudonné investigated formal groups over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  exclusively. He reduced in [4] the study of commutative formal groups over a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$  to that of modules over a certain non-commutative ring, so-called Dieudonné modules, and obtained in [5] a complete classification of isogeny classes of commutative formal groups over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Later Manin [16] studied isomorphism classes of simple formal groups. The study of one-dimensional formal groups over  $p$ -adic integer rings was begun by Lubin [13] and a number of interesting results were obtained by him and Tate.

In this paper we first construct a certain general family of commutative formal groups of arbitrary dimension over a  $p$ -adic integer ring. Over the ring  $W(k)$  of Witt vectors over a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , this exhausts all the commutative formal groups. These are attached to a certain type of matrices with elements in the ring  $W(k)_\sigma[[T]]$  of non-commutative power series, where  $\sigma$  is the Frobenius of  $W(k)$ , and homomorphisms of these formal groups are described in terms of matrices over  $W(k)_\sigma[[T]]$ . By reducing the coefficients of formal groups over  $W(k)$  mod  $pW(k)$  we get formal groups over  $k$ . It is shown that all the commutative formal groups over  $k$  are obtained in this manner. Moreover homomorphisms of commutative formal groups over  $k$  are also described in terms of  $W(k)_\sigma[[T]]$ -modules by lifting these homomorphisms to power series over  $W(k)$ . Thus we get the main results of Dieudonné [4] again by the method quite different from his. In [4] he used tools peculiar to characteristic  $p > 0$  and his construction of formal groups was indirect, whereas in our method the relation between formal groups over  $W(k)$  and those over  $k$  is transparent and the construction of formal groups is explicit and elementary.

We now explain briefly how to construct commutative formal groups over  $W(k)$  in case of dimension one. Take an element  $u$  of  $W(k)_\sigma[[T]]$  of the

form  $p + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{\nu} T^{\nu}$  ( $c_{\nu} \in W(k)$ ) and put  $pu^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{\nu} T^{\nu}$ . The  $b_{\nu}$  are elements of the fraction field of  $W(k)$  and  $b_0 = 1$ . Form  $f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{\nu} x^{p^{\nu}}$  and  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$ . Then  $F$  is a formal group over  $W(k)$ . In some special case this fact can be proved by using the basic lemma of Lubin-Tate [14] (cf. [10]). In general case we have to adopt another idea. Any formal group over  $W(k)$  is isomorphic to one obtained in this manner. Let  $v$  be another element of  $W(k)_o[[T]]$  of the form mentioned above and let  $g(x)$  and  $G(x, y)$  be the corresponding power series and the formal group, respectively. It is known that any homomorphism of  $F$  to  $G$  is of the form  $g^{-1}(cf(x))$  with  $c \in W(k)$ . We assert that  $g^{-1}(cf(x))$  is in reality a homomorphism over  $W(k)$ , if and only if there is  $t \in W(k)_o[[T]]$  such that  $vc = tu$ . All these results will be generalized and proved for an arbitrary dimension and for more general coefficient rings of characteristic 0 with discrete valuation.

Our results can be applied to construct and characterize formal groups over  $\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to a certain type of Dirichlet series with matrix coefficients, thus generalizing the results of the last half of our previous paper [10]. In particular we get an interesting interpretation of the Dirichlet series obtained from a representation of Hecke operators in the space of cusp forms of dimension  $-2$  with respect to a congruence unit group  $\Gamma_N$  of a maximal order of an indefinite quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Shimura [19]). There is an intimate connection between this Dirichlet series and a formal completion of the Jacobian  $J_N$ .

### §1. Invariant differential forms on a formal group.

**1.1.** Let  $S$  be a ring. We denote by  $S^m$  the module consisting of all the column vectors of dimension  $m$  with components in  $S$  and by  $M_m(S)$  the full matrix ring of order  $m$  with elements in  $S$ .  $I_m$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $m$ . For  $a = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in S^m$  we write  $a^{\nu}$  for  ${}^t(a_1^{\nu}, \dots, a_m^{\nu})$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with the identity. Let  $x$  be the set of  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We denote by  $R[[x]]$  the ring of formal power series on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For basic properties of  $R[[x]]$  we refer to Bourbaki [3]. We shall often regard  $x$  as the column vector  ${}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $R[[x]]^n$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be power series in  $R[[x]]$ . We shall say that  $f$  is congruent to  $g$  modulo degree  $r$ ,  $f \equiv g \pmod{\deg r}$ , if  $f$  and  $g$  differ only in terms of total degree  $\geq r$ . Let  $I$  be a submodule of  $R$ .  $f$  is said to be congruent to  $g$  modulo  $I$ ,  $f \equiv g \pmod{I}$ , if all the coefficients of  $f - g$  belong to  $I$ . We shall write  $f \equiv g \pmod{\deg r, \pmod{I}}$ , if there are  $\varphi, \psi \in R[[x]]$  such that  $f - g = \varphi + \psi$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg r}$  and  $\psi \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ . These definitions extend to  $R[[x]]^m$ . If  $f = {}^t(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and

$g = {}^t(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  are elements of  $R[[x]]^m$ ,  $f \equiv g \pmod{*}$  will mean  $f_i \equiv g_i \pmod{*}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We write  $R[[x]]_0^m = \{f \in R[[x]]^m \mid f \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg 1}\}$ .

Let  $x' = {}^t(x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$  be another set of variables. If  $f(x') = {}^t(f_1(x'), \dots, f_l(x'))$  ( $f_i(x') = f_i(x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$ ) is in  $R[[x']]^l$  and  $\varphi(x) = {}^t(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x))$  is in  $R[[x]]_0^m$ , the power series  $f_i(\varphi(x)) = f_i(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x))$  is well-defined and  ${}^t(f_1(\varphi(x)), \dots, f_l(\varphi(x)))$  is an element of  $R[[x]]^l$ . We denote it by  $f(\varphi(x))$  or simply by  $f \circ \varphi$ , if there is no fear of ambiguity. Define the identity function  $i$  of  $R[[x]]_0^m$  by  $i(x) = x$ . If  $\varphi(x)$  is an element of  $R[[x]]_0^m$  such that  $\varphi(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\deg 2}$  with an invertible matrix  $P$  in  $M_m(R)$ , there is a unique element  $\psi(x)$  in  $R[[x]]_0^m$  satisfying  $\varphi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \varphi = i$ . We shall call this  $\psi$  the inverse function of  $\varphi$  and denote it by  $\varphi^{-1}$ .

We adopt the classical definition of formal group.

DEFINITION. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be sets (or vectors) of  $n$  variables. An  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $R$  is an element  $F(x, y)$  of  $R[[x, y]]_0^n$  satisfying:

- i)  $F(x, y) \equiv x + y \pmod{\deg 2}$ ,
- ii)  $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$ .

If  $F$  satisfies  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  moreover,  $F$  is said to be commutative.

It follows from (i) that there is a unique  $i_F(x) \in R[[x]]_0^n$  such that  $F(x, i_F(x)) = F(i_F(x), x) = 0$ . Part (ii) shows that  $F(x, 0) = x$  and  $F(0, y) = y$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $F$  and  $G$  be formal groups over  $R$ , of dimension  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively. An element  $\varphi$  of  $R[[x]]_0^m$ , where  $x = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , is said to be a homomorphism of  $F$  to  $G$ , if  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\varphi \circ F = G \circ \varphi$ , where  $(G \circ \varphi)(x, y)$  stands for  $G(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ . If  $m = n$  and  $\varphi$  is invertible,  $\varphi^{-1}$  is also a homomorphism of  $G$  to  $F$ . Such  $\varphi$  is called an isomorphism and  $G$  is said to be (weakly) isomorphic to  $F$ ,  $\varphi: F \sim G$  over  $R$ . If there is an isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F$  to  $G$  such that  $\varphi(x) \equiv x \pmod{\deg 2}$ , we shall say that  $G$  is strongly isomorphic to  $F$  and write  $\varphi: F \approx G$  over  $R$ .

If  $G$  is commutative, the set  $\text{Hom}_R(F, G)$  of all homomorphisms of  $F$  to  $G$  over  $R$  forms a module by defining  $(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(x) = G(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x))$  for  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(F, G)$ . In particular  $\text{End}_R G (= \text{Hom}_R(G, G))$  becomes a ring by defining the multiplication by composition of functions.

1.2. Let  $A = R[[x]]$  be as in 1.1. We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}(A; R)$  the space of derivations of  $A$  over  $R$ . It is a free left  $A$ -module with a base  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , where  $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$  (cf. [3]). Denote by  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$  the dual  $A$ -module of  $\mathfrak{D}(A; R)$ , the space of differentials of  $A$  over  $R$ . For  $f \in A$  the map  $D \mapsto Df$  of  $\mathfrak{D}(A; R)$  into  $A$  defines a differential, which we denote by  $df$ . A differential of this form is called exact. It is well-known that  $dx = {}^t(dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$  is an  $A$ -base of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$  and  $df = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_i f) dx_i$  for any  $f \in A$ .

Let  $B = R[[x']]$  be another ring of power series on  $m$  variables and let  $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x') dx'_j$  be a differential in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(B; R)$ . If  $\varphi \in R[[x]]_0^m$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(\varphi(x)) d\varphi_j(x)$  is a differential in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$ . We denote it by  $\varphi^*(\omega)$ .  $\varphi^*$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(B; R)$  into  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$ .

Let  $F$  be an  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $R$ . Introducing a new set  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of variables we may consider that  $F$  is also defined over  $R_t = R[[t]]$ .

DEFINITION. The *right translation*  $T_t$  on  $F$  is an element of  $R_t[[x]]^m$  defined by  $T_t(x) = F(x, t)$ . A differential  $\omega$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$  is said to be a *right invariant differential* on  $F$  if  $T_t^*(\omega) = \omega$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$  the space consisting of all right invariant differentials on  $F$ . As in the case of a Lie group or an algebraic group, we have:

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If  $F$  is an  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $R$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$ . More precisely,  $(\phi_{ij}(z))$  denoting the inverse matrix of  $((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, z))$ , we have  $\phi_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{ij}(x) dx_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) form an  $R$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ . Moreover the base  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is characterized by these two properties.*

PROOF. Differentiating  $F_i(u, F(v, w)) = F_i(F(u, v), w)$  relative to  $u_j$ , we get

$$(\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(u, F(v, w)) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial/\partial x_k)F_i(F(u, v), w)(\partial/\partial x_j)F_k(u, v),$$

so that

$$(\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, F(v, w)) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial/\partial x_k)F_i(v, w)(\partial/\partial x_j)F_k(0, v)$$

or by matrix notation

$$(1.1) \quad ((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, F(v, w))) = ((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(v, w))((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, v)).$$

Since  $(\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, z) \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{\deg 1}$ , the matrix  $((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, z))$  is invertible,  $\phi_{ij}(z) \in R[[z]]$  and  $\phi_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$ . Hence (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad (T_t \phi_{ij}(z))((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(z, t)) = (\phi_{ij}(z)).$$

Now a differential  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x) dx_i$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$  is right invariant on  $F$ , if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \phi_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(F(x, t))(\partial/\partial x_j)F_k(x, t).$$

This shows  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$  by (1.2). On the other hand we get from (1.3)

$$\phi_j(0) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(t)(\partial/\partial x_j)F_k(0, t),$$

which implies that, if  $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ ,  $\omega = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore the map  $\Phi: \omega \mapsto (\phi_1(0), \dots, \phi_n(0))$  defines an  $R$ -isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$  into  $R^n$ . Since the  $\Phi(\omega_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are the unit vectors of  $R^n$ , the map  $\Phi$  is surjective and  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is a base of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ .

We shall call this  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  the *canonical base* of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let  $F, G$  be formal groups over  $R$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F, G)$ . If  $\eta \in \mathfrak{D}^*(G; R)$ , then  $\varphi^*(\eta) \in \mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ .*

PROOF. Write  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(x') dx'_i$  where  $m$  is the dimension of  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(\varphi^*(\eta)) &= T_i\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(\varphi(x)) d\varphi_i(x)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(\varphi(F(x, t))) d\varphi_i(F(x, t)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(G(\varphi(x), \varphi(t))) dG(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(t)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(\varphi(x)) d\varphi_i(x) \\ &= \varphi^*(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

1.3. We now study invariant differential forms on a commutative formal group.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let  $F$  be a commutative formal group over  $R$ . Then every differential in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$  is closed.*

PROOF. Let  $\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{ij}(x) dx_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be the canonical base of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ . We shall prove  $d\omega_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . First  $d\omega_i$  is a right invariant 2-form, since

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^*(d\omega_i) &= T_i^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^n d\phi_{ij}(x) \wedge dx_j\right) \\ &= \sum_j d\phi_{ij}(F(x, t)) \wedge dF_j(x, t) \\ &= d(T_i^*(\omega_i)) \\ &= d\omega_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now differentiating

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\partial/\partial x_k) F_i(0, z) \phi_{kj}(z) = \delta_{ij}$$

relative to  $z_l$  and putting  $z = 0$ , we get

$$\sum_k (\partial^2/\partial x_k \partial y_l) F_i(0, 0) \phi_{kj}(0) + \sum_k (\partial/\partial x_k) F_i(0, 0) (\partial/\partial x_l) \phi_{kj}(0) = 0,$$

which is reduced to

$$(\partial^2/\partial x_j \partial y_l)F_i(0, 0) + (\partial/\partial x_l)\phi_{ij}(0) = 0,$$

since

$$\phi_{kj}(0) = \delta_{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial/\partial x_k)F_i(0, 0) = \delta_{ik}.$$

Hence, by the commutativity of  $F$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial/\partial x_l)\phi_{ij}(0) &= -(\partial^2/\partial x_j \partial y_l)F_i(0, 0) \\ &= -(\partial^2/\partial x_l \partial y_j)F_i(0, 0) \\ &= (\partial/\partial x_j)\phi_{il}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_i &= \sum_{j, l} (\partial/\partial x_l)\phi_{ij}(x) dx_l \wedge dx_j \\ &= \sum_{j < l} ((\partial/\partial x_l)\phi_{ij}(x) - (\partial/\partial x_j)\phi_{il}(x)) dx_l \wedge dx_j, \end{aligned}$$

the coefficients of  $dx_l \wedge dx_j$  in  $d\omega_i$  have no constant term. So we have only to prove that, if  $\eta = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij}(x) dx_i \wedge dx_j$  is right invariant on  $F$  and  $\lambda_{ij}(0) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $\eta$  must be equal to 0. An easy computation shows that  $T_i^*(\eta) = \eta$  is equivalent to

$$\lambda_{kl}(x) = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij}(F(x, t)) \begin{vmatrix} (\partial/\partial x_k)F_i(x, t) & (\partial/\partial x_l)F_i(x, t) \\ (\partial/\partial x_k)F_j(x, t) & (\partial/\partial x_l)F_j(x, t) \end{vmatrix},$$

which implies

$$\lambda_{kl}(0) = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij}(t) \begin{vmatrix} (\partial/\partial x_k)F_i(0, t) & (\partial/\partial x_l)F_i(0, t) \\ (\partial/\partial x_k)F_j(0, t) & (\partial/\partial x_l)F_j(0, t) \end{vmatrix}$$

for  $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ . Since the matrix  $((\partial/\partial x_j)F_i(0, t))$  is regular, this shows in fact  $\lambda_{ij}(0) = 0$  for all  $i < j \Rightarrow \lambda_{ij}(t) = 0$  for all  $i < j$ .

We now consider the case where  $R$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra. In this case every power series in  $R[[x]]$  is termwise integrable with respect to  $x_i$ . The following lemma is essentially well-known in elementary analysis and the proof is easy.

LEMMA 1.4. *If  $R$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra, a closed differential in  $\mathfrak{D}^*(A; R)$  is exact.*

The following theorem, mentioned in [10], was also proved in [7] in a slightly different manner.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $F$  be an  $n$ -dimensional commutative formal group over a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $R$  and let  $\omega = {}^t(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  be the canonical base of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; R)$ . Then there exists a unique element  $f$  of  $R[[x]]_0^n$  such that  $\omega = df$ . This  $f$  satisfies*

$$f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$$

and

$$F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y)).$$

In particular  $F(x, y) \approx x + y$  over  $R$ .

PROOF. The existence of  $f$  follows from Proposition 1.3 and Lemma 1.4. The uniqueness follows from the fact that  $d\varphi=0$  for  $\varphi \in R[[x]]$ , if and only if  $\varphi$  is a constant. Since  $\phi_{ij}(0)=\delta_{ij}$ , we have  $f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ . Now,  $df(x)$  being right invariant, we have

$$df(F(x, t)) = df(x),$$

which implies

$$f(F(x, t)) - f(x) \in R[[t]].$$

Writing  $g(t) = f(F(x, t)) - f(x)$  and putting  $x=0$  we get

$$g(t) = f(t).$$

Thus we have

$$f(F(x, t)) = f(x) + f(t)$$

or

$$F(x, t) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(t)).$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.

1.4. Let  $R$  be an integral domain of characteristic 0 and  $K$  its fraction field.

LEMMA 1.5. Let  $x = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = {}^t(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be sets of  $n$  variables. If  $\phi \in K[[x]]^m$  satisfies

$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y),$$

$\phi$  must be linear, i. e. there is an  $m \times n$  matrix  $C$  over  $K$  such that  $\phi(x) = Cx$ .

PROOF. We have only to consider the case where  $m=1$  and  $\phi$  is a homogeneous polynomial. Then our assertion is verified by a simple computation. (See the proof of Lemma 3.2).

Let  $F$  be a commutative formal group over  $R$ , of dimension  $n$ . By Theorem 1 there is  $f(x) \in K[[x]]_0^n$  such that  $f \equiv i \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$ . If there is another element  $h$  of  $K[[x]]_0^n$  satisfying  $h \equiv i \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $F(x, y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$ , we have

$$f \circ h^{-1} \equiv i \pmod{\text{deg } 2},$$

$$(f \circ h^{-1})(x+y) = (f \circ h^{-1})(x) + (f \circ h^{-1})(y).$$

Hence we get  $f \circ h^{-1} = i$  or  $f = h$  by Lemma 1.5.

DEFINITION. Let  $R$  and  $K$  be as above; let  $F$  be an  $n$ -dimensional commutative formal group over  $R$ . The unique element  $f$  of  $K[[x]]_0^n$ , such that  $f \equiv i \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$ , is called the *transformer* of  $F$ .

Let  $G$  be another commutative formal group over  $R$ , of dimension  $m$  and with the transformer  $g$ . If  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F, G)$ , we have

$$\varphi(f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))) = g^{-1}(g(\varphi(x) + g(\varphi(y)))).$$

Substituting  $x, y$  by  $f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)$ , respectively, we get

$$(g \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(x+y) = (g \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(x) + (g \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(y).$$

Hence by Lemma 1.5 there is an  $m \times n$  matrix  $C$  over  $K$  such that  $(g \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(x) = Cx$ . This implies  $\varphi(x) = g^{-1}(Cf(x))$ . As  $\varphi(x) \equiv Cx \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ ,  $C$  is a matrix with elements in  $R$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Let  $F, f, G, g$  be as above. Every element  $\varphi$  of  $\text{Hom}_R(F, G)$  has the form  $g^{-1} \circ (Cf)$ , where  $C$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ . Conversely,  $C$  being an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ ,  $g^{-1} \circ (Cf) \in \text{Hom}_R(F, G)$ , if and only if  $g^{-1} \circ (Cf)$  has coefficients in  $R$ . The map  $\varphi \mapsto C$  yields an isomorphism of  $\text{Hom}_R(F, G)$  into the module of  $m \times n$  matrices over  $R$ . If  $F = G$  in particular, this map is a ring isomorphism of  $\text{End}_R F$  into  $M_n(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** The first assertion has already been proved. The second follows from

$$(g^{-1} \circ (Cf)) \circ F = G \circ (g^{-1} \circ (Cf)).$$

The rests follow from the definitions.

## §2. Formal groups over a $p$ -adic integer ring.

Throughout the rest of this paper we exclusively deal with commutative formal groups. By a formal group we always mean a commutative one.

Let  $K$  be a discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 and let  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the ring of integers in  $K$  and the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , respectively. We assume that the residue class field  $k = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$  is of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Consider the following condition on  $K$ :

(F) There are an endomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $K$  and a power  $q$  of  $p$  such that

$$\alpha^\sigma \equiv \alpha^q \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}.$$

We note  $\mathfrak{p}^\sigma = \mathfrak{p}$ , since  $\sigma$  sends a unit of  $\mathfrak{o}$  to  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $p^\sigma = p$ . In this section we study formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , when  $K$  satisfies (F). We do not assume the completeness of  $K$ .

Let  $K_0$  be a finite extension of the  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let  $q$  be the cardinal of its residue field. Then it is well-known that an unramified extension of  $K_0$  (of finite or infinite degree) or its completion satisfies (F) with a Frobenius  $\sigma$ .

**2.1.** Let  $K_\sigma[[T]]$  be the non-commutative power series ring on  $T$  with the multiplication rule:  $T\alpha = \alpha^\sigma T$  for  $\alpha \in K$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{B}_{m,n}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ ) the module consisting of all  $m \times n$  matrices over  $K_\sigma[[T]]$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$ ).

Let  $x = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a set of  $n$  variables. For  $f \in K[[x]]_0^m$  and  $u = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} C_\nu T^\nu \in \mathfrak{B}_{l,m}$  (where the  $C_\nu$  are matrices over  $K$ ), we define an element  $u * f$  of  $K[[x]]_0^l$  by

$$(u * f)(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} C_\nu f^{\sigma^\nu}(x^{q^\nu}).$$



This is well-defined, since  $f(x)$  has no constant term. If  $v = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} D_{\nu}T^{\nu}$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}_{k,l}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad (vu) * f = v * (u * f),$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (v * (u * f))(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} D_{\nu} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} C_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} f^{\sigma^{\mu+\nu}}(x^{q^{\mu+\nu}}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu+\nu=\lambda} D_{\nu} C_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} f^{\sigma^{\lambda}}(x^{q^{\lambda}}) \\ &= ((vu) * f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we fix a prime element  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. For any rational integers  $\nu \geq 0$ ,  $a \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  we have

$$\pi^{-\nu}(X + \pi Y)^{m p^{a\nu}} \equiv \pi^{-\nu} X^{m p^{a\nu}} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

In particular we have

$$m^{-1}(X + pY)^m \equiv m^{-1}X^m \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$$

for  $m \geq 1$ .

This is Lemma 4 of [10]. As the proof is elementary and easy, we omit it here.

We write  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ ) for  $\mathfrak{A}_{n,n}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}_{n,n}$ ).

DEFINITION. An element  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  is said to be *special*, if  $u \equiv \pi I_n \pmod{\text{deg } 1}$ . Let  $P$  be an invertible matrix in  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  and let  $u$  be a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . An element  $f$  of  $K[[x]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is said to be of *type*  $(P; u)$ , if  $f$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- i)  $f(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ ,
- ii)  $(u * f)(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

If  $f$  is of type  $(I_n; u)$ , we shall simply say that  $f$  is of *type*  $u$ .

Let  $u \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  be special and put  $w = u^{-1}\pi (\in \mathfrak{B}_n)$ . Then,  $i$  being the identity function,

$$(u * (w * i))(x) = ((uw) * i)(x) = \pi x \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This implies that  $(u^{-1}\pi) * i$  is of type  $u$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $u \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  be special and put  $u^{-1}\pi = I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_{\nu}T^{\nu}$ . Then we have  $\pi^{\nu}B_{\nu} \in M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  for  $\nu \geq 0$ .

PROOF. Write  $u = \pi I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{\nu}T^{\nu}$  and replace  $T$  by  $\pi T$  in the equality

$$\left( \pi I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{\nu}T^{\nu} \right) \left( I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_{\nu}T^{\nu} \right) = \pi I_n.$$

Then we get

$$\left(I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \pi^{\sigma+\dots+\sigma\nu-1} C_{\nu} T^{\nu}\right) \left(I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \pi^{1+\sigma+\dots+\sigma\nu-1} B_{\nu} T^{\nu}\right) = I_n.$$

This implies  $\pi^{\nu} B_{\nu} \in M_n(0)$ , since  $\pi^{\sigma^{\nu}}$  is also a prime element of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

2.2. The following two lemmas play crucial roles in our further investigation and will be used repeatedly.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $f \in K[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$  be of type  $(P; u)$  and let  $v$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . Let  $\phi$  be an element of  $K[[x']]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$ ,  $x'$  being a finite set of variables. If the coefficients (of components) of  $\phi$ , of terms of (total) degree  $\leq r-1$ , belong to  $\mathfrak{o}$  for some  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$v*(f \circ \phi) \equiv (v*f) \circ \phi \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}}.$$

If  $\phi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x']]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$  in particular, we have

$$v*(f \circ \phi) \equiv (v*f) \circ \phi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $f$  (resp.  $g$ )  $\in K[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$  is of type  $(P; u)$  (resp. of type  $(Q; u)$ ), then  $g^{-1} \circ f \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$ .*

Put  $h = (u^{-1}\pi)*i$ . First we will prove the first assertion of Lemma 2.3 for  $f = h$ . Write

$$u^{-1}\pi = I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_{\nu} T^{\nu}, \quad v = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_{\nu} T^{\nu}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad ((v*h) \circ \phi)(x') &= (((vu^{-1}\pi)*i) \circ \phi)(x') \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} A_{\nu} B_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} \phi(x')^{q^{\mu+\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(2.3) \quad B_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} \phi(x')^{q^{\mu+\nu}} = \pi^{\mu} B_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} \pi^{-\mu} \phi(x')^{q^{\mu+\nu}}$$

and  $\pi^{\mu} B_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} \in M_n(0)$  by Lemma 2.2. We will prove

$$(2.4) \quad \pi^{-\mu} \phi(x')^{q^{\mu+\nu}} \equiv \pi^{-\mu} (\phi^{\sigma^{\nu}}(x'^{q^{\nu}}))^{q^{\mu}} \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}}.$$

If  $\mu = \nu = 0$ , (2.4) is trivial. If  $\mu = 0$  and  $\nu \geq 1$ , we have

$$\phi(x')^{q^{\nu}} \equiv \phi^{\sigma^{\nu}}(x'^{q^{\nu}}) \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}},$$

since terms of  $\phi$  of degree  $\geq r$  do not affect this congruence. (Note  $\phi(0) = 0$ .)

Assume  $\mu \geq 1$ . Because

$$\phi(x')^{q^{\nu}} \equiv \phi^{\sigma^{\nu}}(x'^{q^{\nu}}) \pmod{\deg r, \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}},$$

we get (2.4) by Lemma 2.1 and by the fact  $\phi(0) = 0$ . This completes the proof of (2.4). Thus we get from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} ((v*h) \circ \phi)(x') &\equiv \sum_{\mu, \nu} A_{\nu} B_{\mu}^{\sigma^{\nu}} (\phi^{\sigma^{\nu}}(x'^{q^{\nu}}))^{q^{\mu}} \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (v*(h \circ \phi))(x'). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4. Since  $g^{-1} \circ f = (g^{-1} \circ h) \circ (h^{-1} \circ f) = (h^{-1} \circ g)^{-1} \circ (h^{-1} \circ f)$  and  $(h^{-1} \circ g)(x) \equiv Qx \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ , we have only to prove  $h^{-1} \circ f \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Put  $h^{-1} \circ f = \varphi$  or  $f = h \circ \varphi$ . The first-degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Assume that the coefficients of  $\varphi$ , of (total) degree  $\leq r-1$ , are integers for some  $r \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.3 for  $f = h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\varphi &= (u * h) \circ \varphi \equiv u * (h \circ \varphi) \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= u * f \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the  $r$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are also integers. This completes our proof by induction.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. We have only to prove the first assertion. Notations being as above,

$$\begin{aligned} v * (f \circ \psi) &= v * ((h \circ \varphi) \circ \psi) = v * (h \circ (\varphi \circ \psi)) \\ &\equiv (v * h) \circ (\varphi \circ \psi) \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= ((v * h) \circ \varphi) \circ \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ , we have

$$((v * h) \circ \varphi)(x) \equiv A_0 Px \equiv (v * (h \circ \varphi))(x) \pmod{\text{deg } 2}.$$

Put  $\lambda_1(x) = ((v * h) \circ \varphi)(x) - A_0 Px$  and  $\lambda_2(x) = (v * (h \circ \varphi))(x) - A_0 Px$ . Then  $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  by what we have proved. It follows from this

$$\lambda_1 \circ \psi \equiv \lambda_2 \circ \psi \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}},$$

since the terms of  $\psi$  of degree  $r$  do not affect this congruence. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} v * (f \circ \psi) &\equiv ((v * h) \circ \varphi) \circ \psi \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= A_0 P\psi + \lambda_1 \circ \psi \\ &\equiv A_0 P\psi + \lambda_2 \circ \psi \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (v * (h \circ \varphi)) \circ \psi \\ &= (v * f) \circ \psi. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of our lemma.

**2.3.** The results of 2.2 first allow us to construct certain formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Assume  $K$  satisfies (F). Let  $P$  be an invertible matrix in  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  and let  $u$  be a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . If  $f \in K[[x]]^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is of type  $(P; u)$ ,  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$  is a formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $g \in K[[x]]^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be of type  $(Q; u)$  for an invertible matrix  $Q$  and put  $G(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ . Then we have  $G \sim F$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . If  $P = Q$  in particular, we have  $G \approx F$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

PROOF. Form  $h = (u^{-1}\pi) * i$  and  $H(x, y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$ . It is clear that

$$H(x, y) \equiv x + y \pmod{\deg 2}.$$

Assume that the coefficients of  $H$ , of terms of degree  $\leq r-1$ , are integers for some  $r \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi H(x, y) &= ((u * h) \circ H)(x, y) \\ &\equiv (u * (h \circ H))(x, y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)}, \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (u * h)(x) + (u * h)(y) \\ &= \pi x + \pi y \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the  $r$ -th degree coefficients of  $H$  are also integers. This proves  $H(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x, y]]$  by induction. All the assertions of our theorem follow from this and from Lemma 2.4, because  $F = \varphi^{-1} \circ H \circ \varphi$  if  $f = h \circ \varphi$ .

As for examples, see § 5.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $P$  be an invertible matrix in  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  and let  $u$  be a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Then  $f \in K[[x]]_n^{\mathfrak{o}}$  is of type  $(P; u)$ , if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $((u^{-1}\pi) * i) \circ \varphi$  with  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_n^{\mathfrak{o}}$  such that  $\varphi(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\deg 2}$ .*

**PROOF.** "Only if" part is Lemma 2.4. Conversely, if  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_n^{\mathfrak{o}}$  and  $\varphi(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\deg 2}$ , we have, writing  $h = (u^{-1}\pi) * i$ ,

$$(h \circ \varphi)(x) \equiv Px \pmod{\deg 2}$$

and by Lemma 2.3

$$u * (h \circ \varphi) \equiv (u * h) \circ \varphi = \pi \varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This completes our proof.

Dually to Proposition 2.5 we have

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $f \in K[[x]]_n^{\mathfrak{o}}$  be of type  $(P; u)$  for an invertible matrix  $P$  of  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  and a special element  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ ; Let  $v$  be a matrix in  $\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . Then*

$$v * f \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

*if and only if there exists  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $v = tu$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $v = tu$  with  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ , then

$$v * f = t * (u * f) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Conversely, assume  $v * f \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $v \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . Put  $h = (u^{-1}\pi) * i$  and  $\varphi = h^{-1} \circ f$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an invertible element of  $\mathfrak{o}[[x]]_n^{\mathfrak{o}}$  by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(v * h) \circ \varphi \equiv v * (h \circ \varphi) = v * f \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

by Lemma 2.3, so that

$$(2.5) \quad v * h = ((v * h) \circ \varphi) \circ \varphi^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Put  $vu^{-1}\pi = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_{\nu} T^{\nu}$ . Since

$$v * h = v * ((u^{-1}\pi) * i) = (vu^{-1}\pi) * i,$$

we have from (2.5)

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_{\nu} x^{q^{\nu}} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

which implies  $vu^{-1} = (vu^{-1}\pi)\pi^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . This completes our proof.

2.4. We now study homomorphisms of formal groups constructed in Theorem 2.  $M_{m,n}(\mathfrak{o})$  denotes the module of all the  $m \times n$  matrices with elements in  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

THEOREM 3. Assume  $K$  satisfies (F). Let  $u \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{A}_m$  be special and let  $f \in K[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$  (resp.  $g \in K[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$ ) be of type  $u$  (resp. of type  $v$ ). Form  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$  and  $G(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ . Then  $g^{-1} \circ (Cf) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(F, G)$  for  $C \in M_{m,n}(\mathfrak{o})$ , if and only if there exists  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $vC = tu$ .

PROOF. Put  $\varphi = g^{-1} \circ (Cf)$ . By Proposition 1.6  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(F, G)$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$ . In view of Lemma 2.4 we may assume  $f = (u^{-1}\pi) * i$  and  $g = (v^{-1}\pi) * i$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$ , we have by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} (vC) * f &= v * (Cf) = v * (g \circ \varphi) \\ &\equiv (v * g) \circ \varphi = \pi \varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.6, there exists  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $vC = tu$ . Conversely, suppose that there is  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $vC = tu$ . As  $\varphi(x) \equiv Cx \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ , the first-degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are integral. Assume that  $i$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are integral for  $i \leq r-1$  ( $r \geq 2$ ). By Lemma 2.3 we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \varphi &= (v * g) \circ \varphi \\ &\equiv v * (g \circ \varphi) \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1), \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ &= v * (Cf) = (vC) * f \\ &= (tu) * f = t * (u * f) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the  $r$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are integral. Hence we get  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$  by induction.

COROLLARY. Let  $F, G$  be as in Theorem 3. The module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(F, G)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $M_{m,n}(\mathfrak{o}) \cap v^{-1}\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}u$ .

By Theorem 3  $g^{-1} \circ (Cf) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}}(F, G)$  for  $C \in M_{m,n}(\mathfrak{o})$ , if and only if  $C \in v^{-1}\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}u$ . Our assertion follows from this and from Proposition 1.6.

### § 3. The non-ramified case.

Let  $K, \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{p}$  and  $k$  be as in § 2. In § 3 we assume moreover that:

(F<sub>1</sub>) The valuation of  $K$  is unramified and (F) is satisfied with  $q = \mathfrak{p}$ .

The ring  $W(k')$  of Witt vectors over a perfect field  $k'$  of characteristic

$p > 0$  satisfies  $(F_1)$  (cf. [22]). Under  $(F_1)$  we can take  $p$  as the fixed prime element of  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

**3.1.** Let  $x$  be the set of  $n$  variables as usual. Let  $N$  be the set of all the non-negative rational integers. For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in N^n$  we write  $x^\alpha$  for  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  is the degree of  $x^\alpha$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\varepsilon_i$  denote the vector of  $N^n$  whose  $j$ -th component is  $\delta_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Then  $x^{r\varepsilon_i} = x_i^r$  for  $r \in N$ . Every element of  $K[[x]]$  is written in the form  $\sum_{\alpha \in N^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  ( $a_\alpha \in K$ ).

LEMMA 3.1. For  $r \geq 2$  define the form  $A_r(X, Y)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  as follows: If  $r$  is not a power of a prime number, we put  $A_r(X, Y) = (X+Y)^r - X^r - Y^r$ . If  $r$  is a power of a prime number  $l$ , we put  $A_r(X, Y) = l^{-1}((X+Y)^r - X^r - Y^r)$ . Then  $A_r$  is a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ .

PROOF. Easy. See also [11], III.

For any commutative ring  $R$ ,  $A_r$  is considered a polynomial in  $R[X, Y]$ .

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $\lambda(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  ( $a_\alpha \in K$ ) be a form of degree  $r$  satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda(x+y) \equiv \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Then, if  $r$  is not a power of  $p$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\alpha$ . If  $r$  is a power of  $p$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $a_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$  for  $\alpha \neq r\varepsilon_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

PROOF. Take  $\alpha \in N^n$  such that  $|\alpha| = r$ . If two of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , say  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , are not equal to 0, the coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}$  on the left side of (3.1) is  $a_\alpha$  and no term of this form appears on the right. Hence we have  $a_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$  for such  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = r\varepsilon_i$ , we have

$$a_\alpha \{(x_i + y_i)^r - x_i^r - y_i^r\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

from (3.1). Then our assertion is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let  $F$  be an  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$  and let  $f$  be its transformer. Then there exists a special element  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $f$  is of type  $u$ .

PROOF. As  $f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ , we have  $pf(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{deg } 2, \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}}$ . Suppose that for  $\mu \geq 0$  there are matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_\mu$  in  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad pf(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} C_\nu f^{\circ \nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{deg}(p^\mu + 1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}}.$$

Write  $f_i(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $df_i(x) \in \mathfrak{D}^*(F; \mathfrak{o})$  by the results of §1, the  $(\partial/\partial x_j) f_i(x)$  have integral coefficients. In particular we have  $\alpha_j a_{\alpha,i} \in \mathfrak{o}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha,i}(x+py)^\alpha &= \alpha_1 a_{\alpha,i} \alpha_1^{-1} (x_1 + py_1)^{\alpha_1} \prod_{j=2}^n (x_j + py_j)^{\alpha_j} \\ &\equiv \alpha_1 a_{\alpha,i} \alpha_1^{-1} x_1^{\alpha_1} \prod_{j=2}^n (x_j + py_j)^{\alpha_j} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= x_1^{a_1} a_{\alpha,i} \prod_{j=2}^n (x_j + \wp y_j)^{a_j}.$$

By repeating the same argument we have

$$(3.3) \quad a_{\alpha,i}(x + \wp y)^\alpha \equiv a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Put now

$$(3.4) \quad \wp f(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} f^{\sigma\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv \sum_{|\beta| \geq p^{\mu+1}} b_\beta x^\beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \quad (b_\beta \in K^n).$$

Substituting  $x$  by  $F(x, y)$  in (3.4) we get

$$(3.5) \quad \wp f(F(x, y)) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} f^{\sigma\nu}(F(x, y)^{p^\nu}) \equiv \sum_{|\beta| \geq p^{\mu+1}} b_\beta F(x, y)^\beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

By (3.3) the left side of (3.5) is congruent mod  $\mathfrak{p}$  to

$$\begin{aligned} & \wp f(F(x, y)) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} C_\nu f^{\sigma\nu}(F^{\sigma\nu}(x^{p^\nu}, y^{p^\nu})) \\ &= \wp f(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} C_\nu f^{\sigma\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) + \wp f(y) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} C_\nu f^{\sigma\nu}(y^{p^\nu}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{|\beta| \geq p^{\mu+1}} b_\beta (x^\beta + y^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, denoting by  $b_{\beta,i}$  the  $i$ -th component of  $b_\beta$ , we get

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{|\beta| \geq p^{\mu+1}} b_{\beta,i} \{F(x, y)^\beta - x^\beta - y^\beta\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $r$  be the minimum value of  $|\beta|$  such that  $b_{\beta,i} \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $i$ . Then (3.6) implies

$$\sum_{|\beta|=r} b_{\beta,i} \{(x+y)^\beta - x^\beta - y^\beta\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 to this we see  $r \geq p^{\mu+1}$ . At any rate we have

$$\sum_{|\beta|=p^{\mu+1}} b_{\beta,i} \{(x+y)^\beta - x^\beta - y^\beta\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2,  $b_{\beta,i} \in \mathfrak{o}$  for  $\beta = p^{\mu+1}\epsilon_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and  $b_{\beta,i} \in \mathfrak{p}$  for other  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| = p^{\mu+1}$ . Therefore we can find a matrix  $C_{\mu+1}$  in  $M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  satisfying

$$\wp f(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} C_\nu f^{\sigma\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv -C_{\mu+1} x^{p^{\mu+1}} \pmod{\deg(p^{\mu+1}+1), \mathfrak{p}},$$

from which follows

$$(3.7) \quad \wp f(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} C_\nu f^{\sigma\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg(p^{\mu+1}+1), \mathfrak{p}}.$$

Thus we have been able to replace  $\mu$  by  $\mu+1$  in (3.2). This implies the existence of  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\nu, \dots \in M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad pf(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_\nu f^{\sigma^\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This means that  $f$  is of type  $u$ , where  $u = pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_\nu T^\nu$ .

**3.2.** By Theorem 2 and Proposition 3.3 every  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$  is obtained from a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $n$ -dimensional formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , with the transformers  $f$  and  $g$ . By Proposition 3.3 there exist special elements  $u, v$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) is of type  $u$  (resp. of type  $v$ ). By the uniqueness of transformer  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$  if and only if  $g^{-1} \circ f \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$ . By Theorem 3 this happens if and only if there is  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $v = tu$ . It is clear that such  $t$  is a unit in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Let  $u'$  and  $v'$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . We shall say that  $v'$  is left associate with  $u'$ , if there is a unit  $t'$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $v' = t'u'$ . We have proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM 4.** *Assume  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ . Then every  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$  is obtained from a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  by the method of Theorem 2. The strong isomorphism classes of  $n$ -dimensional groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$  correspond bijectively to the left associate classes of special elements of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a complete system of representatives of  $\mathfrak{o} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then the strong isomorphism classes of  $n$ -dimensional formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$  correspond bijectively to the special elements of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  whose coefficient matrices have elements in  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $u = pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_\nu T^\nu$  be a fixed special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  and let  $t = I_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} A_\nu T^\nu$  be a unit in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . Then we have

$$tu = pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( pA_\nu + \sum_{\mu < \nu} A_\mu C_{\nu-\mu}^{\sigma^\mu} \right) T^\nu.$$

Therefore we can choose  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  successively and uniquely so that the coefficients of the  $T^\nu$  in  $tu$  have all their elements in  $M$ . Our assertion follows from this and from Theorem 4.

**3.3.** As for the classification of (strong) isomorphism classes of  $n$ -dimensional groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , it is preferable to construct a module space over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . In the following we will perform it in case  $n=1$  and  $\mathfrak{o}$  is complete.

The following lemma is a slight modification of Lemma 2.1 of [16].

**LEMMA 3.4.** *In addition to the condition  $(F_1)$ , suppose that  $\mathfrak{o}$  is complete. Let  $u = p + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_\nu T^\nu$  ( $c_\nu \in \mathfrak{o}$ ) be a special element of  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$ . If all the  $c_\nu$  are in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there is a unit  $t$  in  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$  such that  $tu = p$ . If  $c_1, \dots, c_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$  but  $c_h \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , then there is a unit  $t$  in  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$  such that  $tu$  is of the form  $p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu T^\nu$  where*



$b_1, \dots, b_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $b_h \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

PROOF. If all the  $c_\nu$  are in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it suffices to put  $t = pu^{-1}$ . Assume  $c_1, \dots, c_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$  but  $c_h \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . We will show that for every  $i \geq 1$  we can choose  $b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_h^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{o}$  and a unit  $t_i$  of  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$  satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} b_\nu^{(i+1)} \equiv b_\nu^{(i)} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^i}, & b_\nu^{(i)} \equiv c_\nu \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq h), \\ t_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\deg 1}, & t_{i+1} \equiv t_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^i} \\ t_i u \equiv p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu^{(i)} T^\nu \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^i}. \end{cases}$$

First put  $b_1^{(1)} = \dots = b_{h-1}^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $b_h^{(1)} = c_h$  and  $t_1 = c_h \left( \sum_{\nu=h}^{\infty} c_\nu T^{\nu-h} \right)^{-1}$ . As  $c_h$  is a unit,  $t_1 \in \mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$ . Since

$$t_1 u \equiv c_h T^h \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

(3.9) is satisfied by  $\{b_\nu^{(1)}; t_1\}$  with  $i=1$ . Suppose that we have already found  $\{b_\nu^{(j)}; t_j\}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$  satisfying (3.9). We try to determine  $b_\nu^{(i+1)} = b_\nu^{(i)} + p^i d_\nu^{(i)}$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq h$ ) and  $t_{i+1} = t_i + p^i v_i$  so that

$$(3.10) \quad (t_i + p^i v_i) u \equiv p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h (b_\nu^{(i)} + p^i d_\nu^{(i)}) T^\nu \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{i+1}}.$$

Put  $w_i = p^{-i} \left\{ t_i u - \left( p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu^{(i)} T^\nu \right) \right\} (\in \mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]])$ . Since  $p^i u \equiv p^i \left( \sum_{\nu=h}^{\infty} c_\nu T^\nu \right) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{i+1}}$ , (3.10) is reduced to

$$(3.11) \quad v_i \sum_{\nu=h}^{\infty} c_\nu T^\nu \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^h d_\nu^{(i)} T^\nu - w_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

As  $w_i$  has no constant term, we can choose  $d_1^{(i)}, \dots, d_h^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{o}$  so that the right hand side of (3.11) has no term of degree  $\leq h$ . Hence we can find a series  $v_i \in \mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$ , without constant term and satisfying (3.11). By induction this proves the existence of  $\{b_\nu^{(i)}; t_i\}$  for all  $i$ . Put  $t = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i$  and  $b_\nu = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu^{(i)}$  for  $1 \leq \nu \leq h$ . Then  $\{b_\nu; t\}$  satisfy the requirement of our lemma.

Let  $F$  be a 1-dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . We shall say that  $F$  is of height  $h$  if the reduction of  $F$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  is of height  $h$  (cf. [11]).

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valuation field satisfying  $(F_1)$ . The strong isomorphism classes of 1-dimensional formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , of height  $h$  ( $1 \leq h < \infty$ ), correspond bijectively to the special elements of the form  $u = p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu T^\nu$  where  $b_1, \dots, b_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$  but  $b_h$  is a unit of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $v = p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h c_\nu T^\nu$  be another special element of this form. Then the formal group obtained from  $u$  is weakly isomorphic to the one obtained from  $v$ , if and only if there exists a unit  $c$  of  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that  $c_\nu = c^{1-\sigma^\nu} b_\nu$  for  $1 \leq \nu \leq h$ .

PROOF. Let  $F$  be a 1-dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Then its transformer  $f$  is of type  $u'$  for a special element  $u'$ . If all the coefficients of  $u'$

are in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $F(x, y) \approx x + y$  by Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2. If not,  $f$  is also of type  $u$ , where  $u$  is a special element of the form  $p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu T^\nu$  ( $b_1, \dots, b_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $b_h \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ). We will prove that  $F$  is of height  $h$ . Since

$$\left(1 + p^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{h-1} b_\nu T^\nu\right)^{-1} u = p + b_h T^h + \dots,$$

it suffices to prove that a formal group obtained from a special element  $u''$  of the form  $p + b_h T^h + \dots$  ( $b_h \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ) is of height  $h$ . Put  $(pu''^{-1}) * i = h$ . Then

$$h(x) = x - p^{-1} b_h x^{p^h} + \dots$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(ph(x)) &= px - b_h x^{p^h} + \dots + p^{-1} b_h (px - \dots)^{p^h} + \dots \\ &\equiv -b_h x^{p^h} + \dots \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which prove that  $h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$  is of height  $h$ .

Now suppose that there exist a unit  $c$  in  $\mathfrak{o}$  and a unit  $t = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu T^\nu$  in  $\mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$  such that  $vc = tu$ . Comparing the  $(\nu + h)$ -th degree coefficients of both members of

$$\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu T^\nu\right) \left(p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h b_\nu T^\nu\right) = \left(p + \sum_{\nu=1}^h c_\nu T^\nu\right) c$$

for  $\nu > 0$ , we get

$$(3.12) \quad a_\nu b_h^{\sigma^\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{h-1} a_{\nu+\mu} b_h^{\sigma^{\nu+\mu}} + p a_{\nu+h} = 0.$$

Since  $b_h$  is a unit, it follows from (3.12) that  $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{p}$  for  $\nu \geq 1$ . Hence we get  $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{p}^2$  for  $\nu \geq 1$  again by (3.12). Repeating the same argument we see  $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{p}^i$  for every  $\nu \geq 1$  and for every  $i \geq 1$ . This implies  $a_\nu = 0$  for  $\nu \geq 1$ , and  $t = a_0 = c$ . Our proposition follows from this, from Theorem 3 and from Theorem 4.

In the above proof we proved that  $vc = tu$  implied  $t = c$ . Thereby we did not use the fact that  $c$  (resp.  $t$ ) is a unit. Therefore we get by Theorem 3;

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $u, v$  be as in Proposition 3.5 and let  $F, G$  be formal groups attached to them. Then the module  $\text{Hom}_\sigma(F, G)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\{c \in \mathfrak{o} \mid vc = cu\}$ .*

#### § 4. Formal groups over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ .

Let  $K$  be a discrete valuation field satisfying (F) of § 2. For a power series  $f \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]^m$ ,  $f^*$  denotes the power series in  $k[[x]]^m$  obtained by reducing the coefficients of  $f$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . In § 4 we will study the reductions of formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$  and their homomorphisms.

**4.1.** Our first task is to prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $f \in K[[x]]_0^n$  be of type  $(P; u)$  and let  $\phi(x') \in \mathfrak{o}[[x']]_0^n$  where  $x'$  is a finite set of variables. Then we have

$$f^{-1}(\pi\phi(x')) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

PROOF. Put  $h = (u^{-1}\pi)*i$ . By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to prove

$$h^{-1}(\pi x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Write  $h(x) = \sum_{\nu} B_{\nu} x^{q^{\nu}}$  and  $h^{-1}(\pi x) = l(x)$ . Since  $l(x) \equiv \pi x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$ , the first-degree coefficients of  $l$  are in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Assume for  $r \geq 2$  that the  $i$ -th degree coefficients of  $l$  are in  $\mathfrak{p}$  for all  $i \leq r-1$ . Write  $l(x) = \pi l^{(r)}(x) + \Delta^{(r)}(x)$  where  $l^{(r)}(x) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$  and  $\Delta^{(r)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{deg } r}$ . Then it follows from  $h(l(x)) = \pi x$

$$(4.1) \quad l(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-1} \pi^{q^{\nu}} B_{\nu} l^{(r)}(x)^{q^{\nu}} \equiv \pi x \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1)}.$$

Since  $\pi^{q^{\nu}} B_{\nu} \in \pi M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  for  $\nu \geq 1$  by Lemma 2.2, it follows from (4.1)

$$l(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{deg } (r+1)}, \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hence the  $r$ -th degree coefficients of  $l$  are also in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus we get  $l \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  by induction.

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $u \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  be special and let  $f \in K[[x]]_0^n$  be of type  $u$ . Let  $\phi_1 \in K[[x']]_0^n$  and  $\phi_2 \in \mathfrak{o}[[x']]_0^n$ . Then  $f \circ \phi_1 \equiv f \circ \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , if and only if  $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then we have clearly  $\phi_1 \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$ . Put  $h = (u^{-1}\pi)*i$  and  $h^{-1} \circ f = \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$  by Lemma 2.4 and  $\varphi \circ \phi_1 \equiv \varphi \circ \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we obtain by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2

$$h \circ (\varphi \circ \phi_1) \equiv h \circ (\varphi \circ \phi_2) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

i. e.  $f \circ \phi_1 \equiv f \circ \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Conversely assume  $f \circ \phi_1 \equiv f \circ \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  and put  $\pi\lambda = f^{-1}(f \circ \phi_1 - f \circ \phi_2)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$  by Lemma 4.1. Since  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$  has coefficients in  $\mathfrak{o}$ , it follows from

$$f \circ \phi_1 = f \circ \phi_2 + f \circ (\pi\lambda)$$

i. e.  $\phi_1 = F(\phi_2, \pi\lambda)$  that  $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

4.2. We now study a certain type of homomorphisms of  $F^*$  to  $G^*$  for formal groups  $F, G$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

THEOREM 5. Suppose  $K$  satisfies (F). Let  $F$  and  $G$  be formal groups over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , of dimension  $n$  and  $m$  and with transformers  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Suppose that  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) is of type  $u$  (resp. of type  $v$ ) for special elements  $u \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{A}_m$ .

(i) Put  $\varphi = \varphi_w = g^{-1} \circ (w * f)$  for  $w \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . Then  $\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^m$  if and only if there exists  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $vw = tu$ .

(ii) If  $\varphi_w \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^m$ , then  $\varphi_w^* \in \text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$ .

(iii) Let  $h$  be of type  $v'$  for a special element  $v' \in \mathfrak{X}_i$ . If  $\varphi_{w'} = h^{-1} \circ (w' * g)$  has integral coefficients for  $w' \in \mathfrak{X}_{i,m}$ , then  $\varphi_{w'}^* \circ \varphi_w^* = \varphi_{w'w}^*$ .

PROOF. In order to prove (i) we may assume  $g = (v^{-1}\pi) * i$ . Suppose there is  $t \in \mathfrak{X}_{m,n}$  such that  $vw = tu$ . Clearly the first-degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are integers. Assume for  $r \geq 2$  that the  $i$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are integers for  $i \leq r-1$ . By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\varphi &= (v * g) \circ \varphi \equiv v * (g \circ \varphi) \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}} \\ &= v * (w * f) = (vw) * f = (tu) * f \\ &= t * (u * f) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the  $r$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  are also integers. This shows  $\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{p}}^m$  by induction. Conversely, suppose  $\varphi = \varphi_w \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{p}}^m$ . By Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (vw) * f &= v * (w * f) = v * (g \circ \varphi) \\ &\equiv (v * g) \circ \varphi = \pi\varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.6 we can find  $t \in \mathfrak{X}_{m,n}$  such that  $vw = tu$ . This proves (i). Now we have

$$g \circ (\varphi \circ F) = (g \circ \varphi) \circ F = (w * f) \circ F$$

and by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} ((w * f) \circ F)(x, y) &\equiv (w * (f \circ F))(x, y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (w * f)(x) + (w * f)(y) \\ &= (g \circ \varphi)(x) + (g \circ \varphi)(y) \\ &= g(G(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get  $g \circ (\varphi \circ F) \equiv g \circ (G \circ \varphi) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Lemma 4.2 it follows from this that  $\varphi \circ F \equiv G \circ \varphi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This implies  $\varphi^* \in \text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$ . Let us prove (iii). By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ (\varphi_{w'} \circ \varphi_w) &= (h \circ \varphi_{w'}) \circ \varphi_w = (w' * g) \circ \varphi_w \\ &\equiv w' * (g \circ \varphi_w) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= w' * (w * f) = (w'w) * f. \end{aligned}$$

By (i) there is  $t' \in \mathfrak{X}_{i,m}$  such that  $v'w' = t'v$ . Since  $v'w'w = t'vw = t'tu$ ,  $\varphi_{w'w} = h^{-1} \circ ((w'w) * f)$  has integral coefficients by (i). Since

$$h \circ (\varphi_{w'} \circ \varphi_w) \equiv h \circ \varphi_{w'w} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

as we have shown, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\varphi_{w'} \circ \varphi_w \equiv \varphi_{w'w} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This proves (iii).

COROLLARY. Put  $E = \mathfrak{o}_\sigma[[T]]$ . The submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$ , consisting of homomorphisms of the form  $\varphi_w^*$  ( $w \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ ), is canonically isomorphic to the module of all right  $E$ -homomorphisms of  $E^n/uE^n$  into  $E^m/vE^m$ . In particular the subring of  $\text{End}_k F^*$ , consisting of homomorphisms of the form  $(f^{-1} \circ (w * f))^*$  ( $w \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ ), is canonically isomorphic to the right  $E$ -endomorphism ring of  $E^n/uE^n$ .

PROOF. If  $tu = vw$ , then

$$t(uE^n) = vwE^n \subset vE^m.$$

Thus  $t$  induces a right  $E$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_t$  of  $E^n/uE^n$  into  $E^m/vE^m$ . Conversely, as is easily verified, every right  $E$ -homomorphism of  $E^n/uE^n$  into  $E^m/vE^m$  is of the form  $\Phi_t$  with  $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$  such that  $tu \in v\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . We will show that  $\varphi_w^* = 0$  if and only if  $\Phi_t = 0$ :  $\varphi_w^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow g^{-1} \circ (w * f) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \Leftrightarrow w * f \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  (by Lemma 4.2)  $\Leftrightarrow w \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}u$  (by Proposition 2.6)  $\Leftrightarrow tu \in v\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}u \Leftrightarrow t \in v\mathfrak{A}_{m,n} \Leftrightarrow tE^n \subset vE^m \Leftrightarrow \Phi_t = 0$ . This implies that  $\varphi_w^*$  and  $\Phi_t$  correspond bijectively. The second assertion follows from this and from Theorem 5, (iii).

4.3. If  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ , every element of  $\text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$  is of the form  $\varphi_w^*$  with  $w \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}$ . To prove it we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ . Let  $F$  be an  $n$ -dimensional formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$  and let  $f$  be its transformer. Put  $M = \{\phi \in K[[x]] \mid (\phi \circ F)(x, y) \equiv \phi(x) + \phi(y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ . Then  $M$  is topologically generated by  $\mathfrak{p}[[x]]$  and by  $\{f_i^{\sigma^\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \nu \geq 0\}$  as  $\mathfrak{o}$ -module. (We define the topology of  $K[[x]]$  by taking  $I_\nu = \{f \in K[[x]] \mid f \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg(\nu+1)} (\nu \geq 1)\}$  as a base of neighborhoods of 0.)

PROOF. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{p}[[x]] \subset M$ . By Lemma 2.3 and by Proposition 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\sigma^\nu}(F(x, y)^{p^\nu}) &= ((T^\nu * f) \circ F)(x, y) \\ &= (T^\nu * (f \circ F))(x, y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (T^\nu * f)(x) + (T^\nu * f)(y) \\ &= f^{\sigma^\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) + f^{\sigma^\nu}(y^{p^\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $f_i^{\sigma^\nu}(x^{p^\nu}) \in M$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n, \nu \geq 0$ . Let  $\phi$  be any element of  $M$  and let  $r$  be the lowest degree such that  $\phi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\deg(r+1), \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}}$ . Then  $\phi \in M$  implies that the  $r$ -th degree homogeneous part  $\phi^{(r)}$  of  $\phi$  satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad \phi^{(r)}(x+y) \equiv \phi^{(r)}(x) + \phi^{(r)}(y) \pmod{\deg(r+1), \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 (4.2) implies that  $r$  is a power of  $p$ , say  $p^h$  (if  $r < \infty$ ) and that there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathfrak{o}$  satisfying

$$\phi(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i^{p^h} \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg(r+1), \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Hence we get

$$(4.3) \quad \phi(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i^{g^h}(x^{p^h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg(r+1), \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}}.$$

Applying the same argument to the left side of (4.3) in place of  $\phi$  and repeating this procedure we see in fact that  $\mathfrak{p}[[x]]$  and the  $f_i^{g^\nu}(x^{p^\nu})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, \nu \geq 0$ ) generate a dense  $\mathfrak{o}$ -submodule of  $M$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Suppose  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ . The map:  $\Phi_t \mapsto \varphi_w^*$ , defined in Theorem 5, is a bijection of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}}(E^n/uE^n, E^m/vE^m)$  onto  $\text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$ . In particular  $\text{End}_k F^*$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(E^n/uE^n)$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove the surjectivity. We may assume  $f = (u^{-1}\pi)*i$  and  $g = (v^{-1}\pi)*i$ . For  $\varphi_* \in \text{Hom}_k(F^*, G^*)$ , take  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$  such that  $\varphi^* = \varphi_*$ . Since  $\varphi \circ F \equiv G \circ \varphi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we get by Lemma 4.2

$$(4.4) \quad g \circ \varphi \circ F \equiv g \circ G \circ \varphi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Put  $\psi = g \circ \varphi$ . Then (4.4) implies

$$(4.5) \quad \psi(F(x, y)) \equiv \psi(x) + \psi(y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 it follows from (4.5) that there exists  $w \in \mathfrak{X}_{m,n}$  satisfying

$$\psi \equiv w * f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

or

$$g \circ \varphi \equiv w * f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 this implies that  $g^{-1} \circ (w * f) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^m$  and  $\varphi \equiv g^{-1} \circ (w * f) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus we have  $\varphi_w^* = \varphi^* = \varphi_*$ , which was to be proved.

**4.4.** Now we will show that, if  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ , any formal group over  $k$  is obtained by reducing a formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

The following lemma is due to [12].

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  be systems of  $n$  variables. Suppose that a form  $\Delta(X, Y)$  of degree  $r$  in  $R[X, Y]$  is a commutative 2-cocycle, i. e.*

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(X, Y) &= \Delta(Y, X), \\ \Delta(Y, Z) - \Delta(X+Y, Z) + \Delta(X, Y+Z) - \Delta(X, Y) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Then, if  $r$  is not a power of a prime number,  $\Delta$  is a 2-coboundary, i. e. there is a form  $\Gamma(X)$  of degree  $r$  such that*

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \Gamma(X) - \Gamma(X+Y) + \Gamma(Y).$$

*If  $r$  is a power of a prime,  $\Delta$  is cohomologous to a linear combination of  $\Delta_r(X_i, Y_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) with coefficients in  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** In case  $n=1$  this is Lemma 3 of [11]. (For the proof of this case see also [7], p. 62.) In general we can reduce the case  $n=m$  to the case  $n=m-1$  by making use of the result of Lyndon [15] on normal co-

homology groups. (See also [12]). For the convenience of the reader we will perform this reduction in the following. We first note  $\Delta(X, 0) = 0 = \Delta(0, X)$ . (Put  $Y = Z = 0$  in (4.6)). Let us write  $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_{m-1})$ ,  $Y' = (Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1})$ , i. e.  $X = (X', X_m)$ ,  $Y = (Y', Y_m)$  and  $\Delta(X, Y) = \Delta(X', X_m, Y', Y_m)$ . Define  $\Delta_1$  by

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta_1(X, Y) = \Delta(X, Y) - \{ \Delta(0, X_m, X', 0) - \Delta(0, X_m + Y_m, X' + Y', 0) + \Delta(0, Y_m, Y', 0) \}.$$

Then  $\Delta_1$  is also a commutative 2-cocycle cohomologous to  $\Delta$ . Putting  $X' = 0$ ,  $Y_m = 0$  in (4.7) we get

$$(4.8) \quad \Delta_1(0, X_m, Y', 0) = 0$$

and by commutativity

$$(4.8') \quad \Delta_1(X', 0, 0, Y_m) = 0.$$

Now putting  $X' = 0$ ,  $Y_m = Z_m = 0$  in (4.6) for  $\Delta = \Delta_1$  we get

$$\Delta_1(Y', 0, Z', 0) - \Delta_1(Y', X_m, Z', 0) + \Delta_1(0, X_m, Y' + Z', 0) - \Delta_1(0, X_m, Y', 0) = 0.$$

By (4.8) this implies

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta_1(Y', X_m, Z', 0) = \Delta_1(Y', 0, Z', 0).$$

In the same way we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \Delta_1(X', Y_m, 0, Z_m) = \Delta_1(0, Y_m, 0, Z_m).$$

Putting  $Y' = Z_m = 0$  in (4.6) for  $\Delta_1 = \Delta$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(0, Y_m, Z', 0) - \Delta_1(X', X_m + Y_m, Z', 0) \\ + \Delta_1(X', X_m, Z', Y_m) - \Delta_1(X', X_m, 0, Y_m) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) this implies

$$\Delta_1(X', X_m, Z', Y_m) = \Delta_1(X', 0, Z', 0) + \Delta_1(0, X_m, 0, Y_m),$$

which completes the reduction: the case  $n = m \Rightarrow$  the case  $n = m - 1$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *Suppose  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$  of § 3. For any formal group  $F_*$  over  $k$  there exists a formal group  $F$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that  $F^* = F_*$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $n$  be the dimension of  $F_*$ . Take  $\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]^{\mathfrak{n}}$  such that  $\varphi(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $u(T) = pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_\nu T^\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  and form  $f = ((pu^{-1}) * i) \circ \varphi$ . Then  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$  is a formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . We will prove that we can choose the coefficients of  $\varphi$  and  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  successively so that  $F^* = F_*$ . Suppose that we have already chosen the  $i$ -th degree coefficients of  $\varphi$  for  $i \leq r - 1$  and the  $C_\nu$  for  $p^\nu < r$  so that

$$(4.11) \quad F^* \equiv F_* \pmod{\text{deg } r}.$$

Letting the other coefficients of  $\varphi$  be equal to 0 and the  $C_\nu$  for  $\nu \geq r$  be equal to 0-matrix for example, form  $g = ((pu^{-1}) * i) \circ \varphi$  and  $G(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ . Then  $G$  is a formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$  and we have

$$(4.12) \quad G^* \equiv F_* \pmod{\deg r}.$$

It follows from (4.12) and from the associative law of formal group that the  $r$ -th degree homogeneous part  $\Delta$  of  $G^* - F_*$  is a commutative 2-cocycle in  $k[x]^n$  (cf. [11], [12]). If  $r$  is not a power of  $p$ , we can find by Lemma 4.4  $\phi \in \mathfrak{o}[x]^n$  whose components are forms of degree  $r$  and satisfy

$$(4.13) \quad G^*(x, y) - F_*(x, y) \equiv \phi^*(x) - \phi^*(x+y) + \phi^*(y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)}.$$

Let  $h$  be the element of  $\mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$ , obtained by replacing  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi - \phi$  in the definition of  $g$  and put  $H(x, y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$ . Since  $h \equiv g - \phi \pmod{\deg(r+1)}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, y) &= h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y)) \\ &\equiv g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y)) - \{\phi(x) + \phi(y) - \phi(x+y)\} \pmod{\deg(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(x, y) &\equiv G^*(x, y) - \{\phi^*(x) + \phi^*(y) - \phi^*(x+y)\} \pmod{\deg(r+1)} \\ &\equiv F_*(x, y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have been able to replace  $r$  by  $r+1$  in (4.11). If  $r$  is a power of  $p$ , say  $r = p^h$ , we can find by Lemma 4.4  $\phi \in \mathfrak{o}[x]^n$  whose components are forms of degree  $r$  and  $D \in M_n(\mathfrak{o})$  such that

$$(4.14) \quad G^*(x, y) - F_*(x, y) \equiv \phi^*(x) - \phi^*(x+y) + \phi^*(y) - D^* A_r(x, y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)},$$

where we have written  $A_r(x, y) = (A_r(x_1, y_1), \dots, A_r(x_n, y_n))$ . Replacing  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi - \phi$  and  $u$  by  $u + DT^h$  in the definition of  $g$ , we get an element  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{o}[[x]]_0^n$ . Since

$$p \left( pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{h-1} C_\nu T^\nu + DT^h \right)^{-1} \equiv p \left( pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{h-1} C_\nu T^\nu \right)^{-1} - p^{-1} DT^h \pmod{\deg(h+1)},$$

we have

$$(4.15) \quad h(x) \equiv g(x) - \phi(x) - p^{-1} D x^r \pmod{\deg(r+1)}.$$

Put  $H(x, y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$ . Then we get from (4.15)

$$(4.16) \quad H(x, y) \equiv G(x, y) - \{\phi(x) + \phi(y) - \phi(x+y)\} + D A_r(x, y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)}.$$

It follows from (4.14) and (4.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(x, y) &\equiv G^*(x, y) - \{\phi^*(x) + \phi^*(y) - \phi^*(x+y)\} + D^* A_r(x, y) \\ &\equiv F_*(x, y) \pmod{\deg(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$



Thus we have been able to replace  $r$  by  $r+1$  in (4.11) in this case too. This proves the existence of  $u$  and  $\varphi$  satisfying  $F^* = F_*$ .

When  $K$  satisfies  $(F_1)$ , all the formal groups over  $k$  are obtained from special elements by Theorem 7 and homomorphisms of these groups are described in Theorem 6 and its corollary. In case where  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the ring of Witt vectors over a perfect field  $k'$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , these results are nothing other than the main results of Dieudonné [4]. Using these results Dieudonné [5] gave a complete classification of isogeny classes of formal groups over  $k'$  when  $k'$  is algebraically closed. For this see also [2], [8] and [16].

§5. Examples and applications.

5.1. The group of Witt vectors of length  $n$ .

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $\mathfrak{o} = W(k)$  be the ring of Witt vectors over  $k$ . Put  $u = pI_n - C_1T$  where  $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathfrak{o})$ . Then

it is easily verified that the reduction of the formal group with the transformer  $(pu^{-1}) * i$  is the group of Witt vectors of length  $n$  (cf. [5], p. 120).

5.2. The group  $G_{n,m}$  for  $n \geq 2, m \geq 1$ .

Let  $k, \mathfrak{o}$  and  $C_1$  be as in 5.1. Put  $u = pI_n - C_1T - C_{m+1}T^{m+1}$  with  $C_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and form  $h = (pu^{-1}) * i$  and  $H(x, y) = h^{-1}(h(x) + h(y))$ .

Then, as is seen from [5],  $H^*$  is the group  $G_{n,m}$  ( $= G_{n,0,m}$  by the notation of [5]). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{o}$  contains a primitive  $(p^{m+n} - 1)$ -th root  $w$  of unity. Put  $W = \begin{pmatrix} w^{p^{n-1}} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & w^p \\ & & & w \end{pmatrix}$ . Then as  $w^\sigma = w^p$ , we have  $WC_1 = C_1W^\sigma$  and  $WC_{m+1} =$

$C_{m+1}W^{\sigma^{m+1}}$ , so that  $Wu = uW$ . By Theorem 3 this implies  $h^{-1}(Wh(x)) \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{o}} H$ . On the other hand  $(T * i)(x) = x^p \in \text{End}_k H^*$ , since  $H$  is defined over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Let  $E$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_k H^*$  generated by  $(h^{-1} \circ (Wh))^*$  and  $T * i$ . The coefficients of components of  $h^{-1} \circ (Wh)$  are polynomials in  $\mathbf{Q}_p[w]$ . Since  $h^{-1} \circ (Wh) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]_{\mathfrak{o}}^n$ , these polynomials belong to  $\mathbf{Z}_p[w]$ , the ring of integers in  $\mathbf{Q}_p(w)$ . Therefore we have

$$(5.1) \quad (T * i) \circ (h^{-1} \circ (Wh))^* = (h^{-1} \circ (W^\sigma h))^* \circ (T * i).$$

If  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $\text{End}_k H^*$  is isomorphic to the (unique) maximal order in the central division algebra of rank  $(m+n)^2$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , and

invariant  $n/(m+n)$  ([5], p. 129-130). Since  $\mathbf{Q}_p(w)$  is the unramified extension of degree  $m+n$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $T*i$  is clearly a prime element in  $\text{End}_k H^*$ , (5.1) implies  $E = \text{End}_k H^*$  when  $(m, n) = 1$ .

**5.3.** The Lubin-Tate group ( $n=1$ ).

Suppose  $K$  satisfies (F) of §2. For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $u_\alpha = \pi - \alpha^{\sigma-1}T$  is a special element. Put  $f_\alpha = ((u_\alpha^{-1}\pi)*i)$ . An easy computation shows

$$(5.2) \quad f_\alpha(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \pi^{-(1+\sigma+\dots+\sigma^{\nu-1})} \alpha^{\sigma^\nu-1} x^{\sigma^\nu}.$$

By Theorem 2,  $F_\alpha(x, y) = f_\alpha^{-1}(f_\alpha(x)+f_\alpha(y))$  is a formal group over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Since  $\alpha u_\alpha = u_1 \alpha$ ,  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\alpha f_\alpha(x))$  has integral coefficients by Theorem 3. When  $\pi^\sigma = \pi$  and  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $F_\alpha$  coincides with the group constructed in [10], Theorem 2. (Theorem 2 of [10] can be reduced to the case  $a=1$  by replacing  $K$  by its unramified extension of degree  $a$ .)

**5.4.** Interpretation of the Artin-Hasse function.

Suppose  $K$  satisfies (F<sub>1</sub>) of §3. Put  $g(x) = -\log(1-x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1}x^m$ . It is easily verified that  $g$  is of type  $p-T$ . Put now

$$L(\alpha, x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p^{-\nu} \alpha^{\sigma^\nu} x^{p^\nu} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}.$$

Then  $g^{-1}(L(\alpha, x))$  has integral coefficients by the result of 5.3. This is a homomorphism of  $F_\alpha$  to  $g^{-1}(g(x)+g(y)) = x+y-xy$ . Since  $g^{-1}(x) = 1 - \exp(-x)$ ,  $\exp(-L(\alpha, x))$  has coefficients in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . This is nothing other than the Artin-Hasse exponential function ([1]).

**5.5.** The characteristic equation for the Frobenius endomorphism.

Suppose  $K$  satisfies (F). Assume  $\pi^\sigma = \pi$  and let  $u$  be a special element of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $uT = Tu$ . This implies that all coefficients of  $u$  are  $\sigma$ -invariant. Since the elements of  $u$  and  $T$  generate a commutative subring of  $\mathfrak{o}_s[[T]]$ , we can consider the cofactor matrix  $w$  of  $u$ :

$$(5.3) \quad uw = wu = (\det u)I_n.$$

Form  $f = (u^{-1}\pi)*i$  and  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x)+f(y))$ . By (5.3) and by Theorem 5, (i)  $(f^{-1} \circ (w*f))* \in \text{End}_k F^*$ . Then by Theorem 5, (iii) and by Lemma 4.1,

$$(5.4) \quad f^{-1} \circ ((\det u)*f) \equiv (f^{-1} \circ (u*f)) \circ (f^{-1} \circ (w*f)) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \equiv 0.$$

Write  $\det u = \pi^n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_\nu T^\nu$ ,  $c_\nu \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Since  $c_\nu^\sigma = c_\nu$ ,  $f^{-1} \circ (c_\nu f) \in \text{End}_\mathfrak{o} F$  for  $\nu \geq 1$  by Theorem 3. Put  $[c_\nu]^* = (f^{-1} \circ (c_\nu f))^*$  and  $\xi(x) = x^q$ . Since  $f^\sigma = f$ , (5.4) implies that  $\xi$  satisfies the equation

$$[\pi^n]^* + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [c_\nu]^* \xi^\nu = 0$$

in  $\text{End}_k F^*$ .

§ 6. Formal groups over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Applications to zeta functions.

6.1. Suppose that for every prime number  $p$  and for every  $\nu \geq 1$  there is given a matrix  $C_{p\nu}$  in  $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$  and that  $C_{p\nu}$  commutes with  $C_{l\mu}$  if  $p$  and  $l$  are distinct primes. Let  $s$  be a complex variable and consider the (formal) Dirichlet series

$$(I_n + C_p p^{-s} + \dots + C_{p\nu} p^{\nu-1-\nu s} + \dots)^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_{p\nu} p^{-\nu s}.$$

Since  $A_{p\nu}$  is expressed by  $C_p, \dots, C_{p\nu}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $A_{p\nu}$  commutes with  $A_{l\mu}$  if  $p \neq l$ . Hence we can consider the global Dirichlet series

$$(6.1) \quad \prod_p (I_n + C_p p^{-s} + \dots + C_{p\nu} p^{\nu-1-\nu s} + \dots)^{-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m m^{-s},$$

where  $A_{mm'} = A_m A_{m'} = A_{m'} A_m$  if  $(m, m') = 1$ .

THEOREM 8. Let  $\{C_{p\nu}\}$  and  $\{A_m\}$  be as above and form  $f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} A_m x^m \in \mathbf{Q}[[x]]_0^g$ . Then

$$(6.2) \quad pf(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{p\nu} f(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$$

for every  $p$  and  $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$  is a formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

PROOF. Put

$$(6.3) \quad p \left( pI_n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{p\nu} T^\nu \right)^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} B_{p\nu} T^\nu.$$

Replacing  $T$  by  $pT$  in (6.3) we get  $B_{p\nu} = p^{-\nu} A_{p\nu}$ . Now

$$(6.4) \quad pf(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{p\nu} f(x^{p^\nu}) = p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} A_m x^m + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{p\nu} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} A_m x^{mp^\nu}.$$

For  $p \nmid k$  let  $D_{kp\nu}$  be the coefficient of  $x^{kp^\nu}$  on the right side of (6.4). If  $\nu = 0$ , then

$$D_{kp\nu} = pk^{-1} A_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

If  $\nu \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D_{kp\nu} &= pk^{-1} p^{-\nu} A_{kp^\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} C_{p\mu} (kp^{\nu-\mu})^{-1} A_{kp^{\nu-\mu}} \\ &= k^{-1} A_k \left( p^{-(\nu-1)} A_{p^\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} C_{p\mu} p^{-(\nu-\mu)} A_{p^{\nu-\mu}} \right) \\ &= k^{-1} A_k \left( p B_{p^\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} C_{p\mu} B_{p^{\nu-\mu}} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.2) is proved. Moreover, by Theorem 2 the coefficients of  $F$  are  $p$ -integral for every  $p$ . Hence  $F(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z}[[x, y]]$ . This completes our proof.

COROLLARY 1. Any 1-dimensional formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$  is strongly iso-

morphic to one obtained in Theorem 8. The strong isomorphism classes correspond bijectively to Dirichlet series of the form (6.1) with  $n=1$  such that  $0 \leq C_{p^\nu} < p$ .

PROOF. Let  $F$  be a 1-dimensional formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and let  $f$  be its transformer. By Theorem 4 we can find  $C_p, C_{p^2}, \dots \in \mathbf{Z}$  for every  $p$  satisfying

$$pf(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_\nu f(x^{p^\nu}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

Let  $G$  be the formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$  obtained from the Dirichlet series  $\prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{p^\nu} p^{\nu-1-\nu s}\right)^{-1}$ . By Theorem 8 and Theorem 2  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for every  $p$ . Since the strong isomorphism of  $F$  to  $G$  is unique, this implies  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . The second assertion is a consequence of the Corollary of Theorem 4.

COROLLARY 2. Notations and assumptions being as in Theorem 8, assume moreover that the  $C_{p^\nu}$  commute with each other for a fixed prime  $p$ . Put  $[C_{p^\nu}] = f^{-1} \circ (C_{p^\nu} f)$  and  $\xi(x) = x^p$ . Then  $[C_{p^\nu}] \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}} F$  for  $\nu \geq 1$  and  $\xi$  satisfies the equation

$$(6.5) \quad [pI_n]^* + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [C_{p^\nu}]^* \xi^\nu = 0$$

in  $\text{End}_k F^*$ , where  $k = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ .

PROOF. Since  $C_{p^\nu}$  commutes with  $lI_n + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} C_{l^\mu} T^\mu$  for any  $l$ ,  $[C_{p^\nu}]$  is  $l$ -integral by Theorem 3. Hence  $[C_{p^\nu}] \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}} F$  by Proposition 1.6. The equation (6.5) is a direct consequence of (6.2) and of Lemma 4.1.

6.2. The results of 6.1 can be applied to zeta functions of the following types:

- (a) Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.
- (b) Zeta functions of elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .
- (c) Dirichlet series obtained from a rational representation of Hecke operators in the space of cusp forms of dimension  $-2$  with respect to a congruence unit group of an indefinite quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (cf. [19]).

We have already studied (a) and (b) in [10]. We note that we can remove the assumption on  $S$  in [10], Theorem 5:

THEOREM 9. Let  $C$  be a 1-dimensional abelian variety over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and let  $F$  be a formal minimal model for  $C$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  (cf. [10]). Let  $L_p(s)$  be the  $p$ -factor of the  $L$  function of  $C$  and put  $L_S(s) = \prod_{p \in S} L_p(s)$  for any set  $S$  of prime numbers. Then the formal group obtained from  $L_S(s)$  is strongly isomorphic to  $F$  over  $\bigcap_{p \in S} (\mathbf{Z}_p \cap \mathbf{Q})$ .

PROOF. Let  $G$  be the formal group obtained from  $L_S(s)$ . Since  $L_p(s) = 1, (1 \pm p^{-s})^{-1}$  or of the form  $(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}$ ,  $G$  is a formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$  by Theorem 8. As a strong isomorphism of  $G$  to  $F$  is unique if it exists, it

suffices to prove  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for every  $p \in S$ . Let  $C_p$  be the reduction of  $C$  modulo  $p$ . The cases where  $C_p$  has a singular point were treated in [10]. Suppose that  $C_p$  is an abelian variety with  $L_p(s) = (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}$ . Since the Frobenius  $\xi$  of  $C_p$  satisfies

$$\xi^2 - a_p \xi + p = 0,$$

the transformer  $f$  of  $F$  satisfies

$$(6.6) \quad f^{-1}(pf(x) - a_p f(x^p) + f(x^{p^2})) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 it follows from (6.6)

$$(6.7) \quad pf(x) - a_p f(x^p) + f(x^{p^2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

The fact  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  follows from (6.7), Theorem 8 and Theorem 2. This completes the proof of our theorem.

Notations being as above, put  $L_C(s) = \prod_p L_p(s)$  and let  $G$  be the formal group attached to it. Then there is  $\varphi(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[[x]]$  such that  $\varphi(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  and  $F \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ G$ . If the conjecture of Weil [21] on  $L_C(s)$  is true, the power series  $\varphi$  would be the “ $q$ -expansion” of a suitable automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma_0(N)$  where  $N$  is the conductor of  $C$ .

It would be interesting to see that our results yield a simple proof of a special case of the main result of Eichler [6] and Shimura [18]. Let  $j(z)$  be the elliptic modular function and put  $L = \mathbf{Q}(j(z), j(Nz))$  for  $N \geq 2$ . Then  $L$  is a field of algebraic function over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $LC$  is the field of automorphic functions with respect to the subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N)$  of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ . We shall consider the case where the genus of  $L$  is equal to 1. Let  $C$  be a complete non-singular model for  $L$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Since  $j(z)$  has  $q$ -expansion

$$(6.8) \quad j(z) = q^{-1} + 744 + \dots$$

with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$  where  $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$ , the infinite point  $z = i\infty$  corresponds to a rational point  $\mathfrak{P}$  on  $C$  and  $C$  can be considered an abelian variety over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , with the origin  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Expanding the group law of  $C$  by means of the local parameter  $j(z)^{-1}$  at  $\mathfrak{P}$ , we get a formal group  $F$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . By the theory of reduction there exists a finite set  $S'$  of prime numbers such that for  $p \notin S'$  the reduction  $C_p$  of  $C \pmod{p}$  is non-singular and  $j(z)^{-1}$  is a local parameter at the origin of  $C_p$ . Then, for  $p \notin S'$   $F$  has  $p$ -integral coefficients and the  $p$ -th power endomorphism of the reduction  $F_p$  of  $F \pmod{p}$  satisfies the same characteristic equation as that of  $C_p$ . Let  $f$  be the transformer of  $F$ . Then  $df(x)$  is the canonical invariant differential on  $F$ , i.e. the  $j(z)^{-1}$ -expansion of a differential of the first kind on  $C$ . Let  $\varphi(q)$  be the  $q$ -expansion of  $j(z)^{-1}$ . Then  $\varphi(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[[x]]$  and  $\varphi(x) \equiv x \pmod{\text{deg } 2}$  by (6.8). Put

$$df(\varphi(x)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m x^{m-1} dx \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

Then, as is well-known,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m q^m$  is the  $q$ -expansion of a cusp form of dimension  $-2$  with respect to  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and by Hecke [9] the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m m^{-s}$  has an Euler product of the form

$$\prod_{p \mid N} (1 - a_p p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid N} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}, \quad a_p \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Form  $G(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$  with  $g = f \circ \varphi$ . By Theorem 8  $G$  is a formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , so that  $F$  is also a formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number such that  $p \notin S'$  and  $p \nmid N$ . Then, by Corollary 2 of Theorem 8 the Frobenius of  $G_p$  is a root of the equation

$$(6.9) \quad p - a_p X + X^2 = 0.$$

Since  $F \approx G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , (6.9) is also the characteristic equation for the Frobenius of  $F_p$ , and then of  $C_p$ . Therefore  $(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}$  coincides with the  $L$  function of  $C_p$ . This proves the principal theorem of [18] in this case.

REMARK. By considering Néron's minimal model for  $L$ , we can prove that the  $p$ -factor of the Hecke Dirichlet series coincides with that of the zeta function of  $L$ , assuming only that  $j(z)^{-1}$  is a local parameter at the origin of  $C_p$ . See [10] as for the case  $C_p$  is singular. In view of the conjecture of Weil it is plausible that  $F$  is a formal minimal model for  $C$ .

**6.3.** We now deal with (c). We use the terminology, notations and results of Shimura [19]. Let  $\Phi$  be an indefinite quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be a maximal order in  $\Phi$ . For a natural number  $N$  prime to the discriminant of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Gamma_N$  denotes the group consisting of units  $\gamma$  in  $\mathfrak{o}$  such that  $N(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{N\mathfrak{o}}$ .  $\Gamma_N$  is a discontinuous group operating on the upper half plane. Let  $\mathfrak{R}_N$  be the field of automorphic functions relative to  $\Gamma_N$  and let  $n$  be its genus. Take  $\mathfrak{X}_N, \mathfrak{C}_N$  and  $J_N$  as in [19].  $\mathfrak{X}_N$  is a function field over  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $\mathfrak{X}_N \mathbf{C} = \mathfrak{R}_N, \mathfrak{C}_N$  is its complete non-singular model and  $J_N$  is a Jacobian of  $\mathfrak{C}_N$ , each defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{C}_N)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_0(J_N)$  be the spaces of differentials of the first kind on  $\mathfrak{C}_N$  and  $J_N$ , respectively. For  $f, g \in \mathfrak{X}_N, gdf \in \mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{C}_N)$  if and only if  $gf' \in S_2(\Gamma_N)$ . Let  $\omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be a base of  $\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{C}_N)$ , defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Fixing a canonical map  $\mathfrak{C}_N \rightarrow J_N$  (which may not be defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ), let  $\mathfrak{w}$  and  $\eta$  be the corresponding bases of  $S_2(\Gamma_N)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_0(J_N)$ , respectively. For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  such that  $N\alpha > 0, (N, \alpha) = 1, \Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N$  operates on  $S_2(\Gamma_N)$  on the one hand. Let  $\mathfrak{X}_2(\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N)$  denote its representation matrix relative to  $\mathfrak{w}$ . On the other hand  $\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N$  yields a correspondence  $X_q$  of  $\mathfrak{C}_N$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  where  $q = \alpha\mathfrak{o}$  and then induces an endomorphism  $\xi$  of  $J_N$ . This  $\xi$  is defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  ([19], p. 325). Denoting by  $M^a(\xi)$  the representation matrix of  $\xi$  with respect to  $\eta$ ,

we have

$$(6.10) \quad M^d(\xi) = \mathfrak{X}_2(\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N)$$

([19], p. 327), where  $M^d(\xi) \in M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ . By [19] the  $\mathfrak{X}_2(\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N)$  are semi-simple and commute with each other, and their eigenvalues are algebraic integers. Hence there is a regular matrix  $P$  in  $M_n(\mathbf{Q})$  such that the  $P^{-1}\mathfrak{X}_2(\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N)P$  are all in  $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$ . By changing the bases if necessary, we may assume that the  $\mathfrak{X}_2(\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N)$  are already in  $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Let  $S_1$  be the set of prime numbers which fail to satisfy at least one of P. 1)~10) in [19]. Then  $S_1$  is a finite set. Let  $S_2$  be the set of prime divisors of  $d(\Phi)$ . By Theorem 4 of [19] we have for  $p \in S_1 \cup S_2$

$$(6.11) \quad \check{X}_q = \Pi + \Pi' \circ \check{Y}_p,$$

where  $q$  is an integral left  $\mathfrak{o}$ -ideal such that  $N(q) = p$ ,  $\Pi$  is the Frobenius of  $\check{\mathfrak{C}}_N$  and  $Y_p$  is defined on p. 315 of [19]. Correspondingly we have

$$(6.12) \quad \check{\xi}_p = \pi + \pi' \circ \check{\eta}_p.$$

Now let  $t = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be a system of local parameters ( $\in \mathbf{Q}(J_N)$ ) at the origin of  $J_N$ . Expanding the group law of  $J_N$  into power series relative to  $t$ , we get an  $n$ -dimensional formal group  $F$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We shall call this formal group a *formal model* for  $J_N$ . (A formal model is also obtained from the  $t$ -expansion of a base of  $\mathfrak{D}_0(J_N)$ , defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ). By the theory of reduction ([20], Chapter III) there is a finite set  $S_3$  of prime numbers such that for  $p \in S_3$ :

- (i)  $t$  is a system of local parameters at the origin of  $\check{J}_N =$  the reduction of  $J_N \pmod p$ .
- (ii) The differentials  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  have good reductions  $\pmod p$  and yield a base of  $\mathfrak{D}_0(\check{J}_N)$ .

Assume  $p \in S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ . Then  $F$  has coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and an endomorphism of  $\xi$  of  $J_N$ , corresponding to some  $\Gamma_N \alpha \Gamma_N$ , induces an endomorphism of  $F$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Let  $f$  be the transformer of  $F$  and let  $f^{-1} \circ (C(\xi)f)$  ( $C(\xi) \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ) denote this endomorphism of  $F$ . Since  $\xi'$  is also defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , it induces the endomorphism  $f^{-1} \circ (C(\xi')f)$  of  $F$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Now it follows from (6.12) that

$$\check{\xi}'_p = \pi' + \check{\eta}'_p \circ \pi$$

and then

$$(6.13) \quad p - \check{\xi}'_p \circ \pi + \check{\eta}'_p \circ \pi^2 = 0.$$

This implies

$$f^{-1}(pf(x) - C(\xi'_p)f(x^p) + C(\eta'_p)f(x^{p^2})) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p},$$

or by Lemma 4.2

$$(6.14) \quad pf(x) - C(\xi'_p)f(x^p) + C(\eta'_p)f(x^{p^2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

Let  $E$  be the subring of  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}J_N$  generated by endomorphisms corresponding to  $\{F_N \alpha F_N \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}, N(\alpha) > 0, (\alpha, N) = 1\}$ . Then, as  $E \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  is a commutative semi-simple algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the map  $\xi \mapsto \xi'$  yields an isomorphism of  $E$  into  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}J_N$ . Now  $J_N$  is self-dual and  $M^d(\xi')$  is the transposed matrix of  $M^d(\xi)$ , since  $M^d(\xi) \in M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ . (For example see [20], p. 25). As  $M^d(\xi')$  is conjugate with  $M^d(\xi)$ ,  $M^d(\xi)$  and  $M^d(\xi')$  have the same trace. Therefore there is an invertible matrix  $P_1 \in M_n(\mathbf{Q})$  such that

$$(6.15) \quad M^d(\xi') = P_1^{-1}M^d(\xi)P_1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E.$$

Now since the  $t$ -expansion of  $\eta$  is a base of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; \mathbf{Q})$  and  $C(\xi')$  ( $\xi \in E$ ) is the representation matrix of  $\xi'$  relative to the canonical base  $df(x)$  of  $\mathfrak{D}^*(F; \mathbf{Q})$ , we can find an invertible matrix  $P_2 \in M_n(\mathbf{Q})$  such that

$$(6.16) \quad C(\xi') = P_2^{-1}M^d(\xi')P_2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E.$$

Putting  $P_3 = P_1P_2$ , we get from (6.15), (6.16)

$$(6.17) \quad C(\xi') = P_3^{-1}M^d(\xi)P_3 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E.$$

Let  $S_4$  be the set of prime numbers  $p$  such that  $P_3$  or  $P_3^{-1}$  is not  $p$ -integral, and put  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 S_i$ .  $S$  is a finite set. For  $p \notin S$  we get from (6.14) and (6.17)

$$(6.18) \quad pP_3f(x) - M^d(\xi_p)P_3f(x^p) + M^d(\eta_p)P_3f(x^{p^2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

Now replacing the parameters  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by  $u = P_3t$ , we obtain the formal model  $H(x, y) = P_3F(P_3^{-1}x, P_3^{-1}y)$  of  $J_N$ , with the transformer  $h(x) = P_3f(P_3^{-1}x)$ . For  $p \notin S$  we have

$$(P_3^{-1}x)^{p^\nu} \equiv P_3^{-1}x^{p^\nu} \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$$

and then by Lemma 4.2

$$(6.19) \quad f((P_3^{-1}x)^{p^\nu}) \equiv f(P_3^{-1}x^{p^\nu}) \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

By (6.18) and (6.19) we get finally

$$(6.20) \quad ph(x) - M^d(\xi_p)h(x^p) + M^d(\eta_p)h(x^{p^2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbf{Z}_p}$$

for  $p \notin S$ .

Now we have

$$(6.21) \quad M^d(\xi_p) = \mathfrak{F}_2(p; N_0) \quad \text{and} \quad M^d(\eta_p) = R_2(p; N_0)$$

([19], p. 327). Let  $M$  be the product of all primes in  $S$  and put  $\mathbf{Z}'_S = \bigcap_{p \notin S} (\mathbf{Z}_p \cap \mathbf{Q})$ .

The Dirichlet series

$$\prod_{p \nmid MN} [I_n - \mathfrak{F}_2(p; N_0)p^{-s} + R_2(p; N_0)p^{1-2s}]^{-1} = \sum_{(m, MN)=1} \mathfrak{F}_2(m; N_0)m^{-s}$$



is a main part of the one defined in [19]. Let  $G$  be the formal group over  $\mathbf{Z}$  corresponding to it by Theorem 8. By Theorem 2 it follows from (6.20) and (6.21) that  $G \approx H$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for every  $p \in S$ . Hence  $G \approx H$  over  $\mathbf{Z}'_S$  by the uniqueness of strong isomorphism. We have proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM 10.** *Let notations be as in [19] and let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be an integral representation as above. Then there is a finite set  $S$  of prime numbers such that the formal group obtained from the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{(m, MN)=1} \mathfrak{F}_2(m; N_0) m^{-s}$  is strongly isomorphic over  $\mathbf{Z}'_S$  to a formal model for  $J_N$ .*

Thus the matrix Dirichlet series  $\sum \mathfrak{F}_2(m; N_0) m^{-s}$  itself (not only its determinant) has important significance for  $J_N$ . What kind of curve over  $\mathbf{Q}$  has a Jacobian whose formal completion is isomorphic to a formal group corresponding to a matrix Dirichlet series with Euler product?

**6.4.** All zeta functions, which we studied in 6.2 and 6.3, are of the form  $\prod_p (I_n + C_p p^{-s} + C_{p^2} p^{1-2s})^{-1}$ . Do there exist number-theoretic Dirichlet series of the form (6.1) such that not all  $C_{p^\nu}$  are equal to 0 for  $\nu \geq 3$ ? If such ones exist, formal groups over  $\mathbf{Z}$  obtained from them would be non-algebraic. Their transformers would be obtained from analytic functions, perhaps satisfying suitable kinds of differential equations.

Osaka University

### References

- [ 1 ] E. Artin and H. Hasse, Die beiden Ergänzungssätze zum Reziprozitätsgesetz der  $l^n$ -ten Potenzreste im Körper der  $l^n$ -ten Einheitswurzeln, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **6** (1928), 146-162.
- [ 2 ] I. Barsotti, Moduli canonici e gruppi analitici commutativi, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **13** (1959), 303-372.
- [ 3 ] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitre IV., 2<sup>e</sup> éd., Hermann, Paris, 1959.
- [ 4 ] J. Dieudonné, Lie groups and Lie hyperalgebras over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  (IV), Amer. J. Math., **77** (1955), 429-452.
- [ 5 ] J. Dieudonné, Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractéristique  $p > 0$  (VII), Math. Ann., **134** (1957), 114-133.
- [ 6 ] M. Eichler, Quaternäre quadratische Formen und die Riemannsche Vermutung für die Kongruenzzetafunktion, Arch. Math., **5** (1954), 355-366.
- [ 7 ] A. Fröhlich, Formal groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.
- [ 8 ] P. Gabriel, Sur les catégories localement noethériennes et leurs applications aux algèbres étudiées par Dieudonné, Séminaire J. P. Serre, (1960).
- [ 9 ] E. Hecke, Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung II, Math. Ann., **114** (1937), 316-351; Mathematische Werke, 672-707.
- [10] T. Honda, Formal groups and zeta-functions, Osaka J. Math., **5** (1968), 199-213.
- [11] M. Lazard, Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre, Bull. Soc. Math.

- France, **83** (1955), 251-274.
- [12] M. Lazard, Lois de groupes et analyseurs, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, (3) **72** (1955), 299-400.
  - [13] J. Lubin, One-parameter formal Lie groups over  $p$ -adic integer rings, *Ann. of Math.*, **80** (1964), 464-484.
  - [14] J. Lubin and J. Tate, Formal complex multiplication in local fields, *Ann. of Math.*, **81** (1965), 380-387.
  - [15] R. Lyndon, The cohomology theory of group extensions, *Duke Math. J.*, **15** (1948), 271-292.
  - [16] Y. Manin, The theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **18** (1963), 1-81.
  - [17] A. Néron, Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux, *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S.*, **21** (1964).
  - [18] G. Shimura, Correspondances modulaires et les fonctions  $\zeta$  de courbes algébriques, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **10** (1958), 1-28.
  - [19] G. Shimura, On the zeta-functions of the algebraic curves uniformized by certain automorphic functions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **13** (1961), 275-331.
  - [20] G. Shimura and Y. Taniyama, Complex multiplication of abelian varieties and its applications to number theory, *Publ. Math. Soc. Japan*, No. 6, 1961.
  - [21] A. Weil, Über die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichungen, *Math. Ann.*, **168** (1967), 149-156.
  - [22] E. Witt, Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik  $p$  vom Grad  $p^n$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **176** (1936), 126-140.
-