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In my recent paper [9], I have introduced an invariant $\gamma(G)$ for a connected semi-simple algebraic group $G$, which generalizes the classical invariants of Hasse and of Minkowski-Hasse, and have shown that, for a classical simple group $G, \gamma(G)$ can actually be determined explicitly in terms of these classical invariants ${ }^{11}$. For exceptional groups, however, I gave only a very brief indication for the case where the ground field is a local field or an algebraic number field ([9], 250-251). The purpose of this note ${ }^{2}$ is to give a more comprehensive account for a more general case, establishing a principle which enables us to reduce the determination of $\gamma(G)$ for an exceptional group $G$ to that for a suitably chosen classical subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ defined over the same ground field. The existence of such a subgroup $G^{\prime}$ will be ascertained for the groups of type $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ constructed recently by Tits [12].

1. Throughout this paper, $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, (though it seems likely that most of our results remain true over any perfect field of characteristic different from 2 and 3 ). $\bar{k}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of $k$ and $q=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k)$ is the Galois group of $\bar{k} / k$ operating on $\bar{k}$ from the right. For an algebraic group $G$ defined over $k$, we write the Galois cohomology set or group $H^{i}\left(G, G_{\bar{k}}\right)(i=1,2)$ as $H^{i}(k, G) . \quad \mathbf{E}_{n}=\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ is the group of all $n$-th roots of unity contained in $\vec{k}$. In principle, we follow the notation in [9].

Let $G_{1}$ be an algebraic group defined over $k$. By an inner $k$-form of $G_{1}$,

[^0]we understand a pair ( $G, f$ ) formed of an algebraic group $G$ defined over $k$ and a $\bar{k}$-isomorphism $f$ of $G$ onto $G_{1}$ such that $f^{\sigma} \circ f^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of $G_{1}$ for every $\sigma \in G$. To such a pair ( $G, f$ ), we associate an element $\gamma(G, f)$ in $H^{2}\left(k, Z_{1}\right)$, where $Z_{1}$ is the center of $G_{1}$, as follows. Put
$$
f^{\sigma} \circ f^{-1}=I_{g_{\sigma}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta\left(g_{\sigma}\right)=g_{\sigma}^{\tau} g_{\tau} g_{\sigma \tau}^{-1}=c_{\sigma, \tau},
$$
where $g_{\sigma} \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{\bar{k}}$ and $I_{g \sigma}$ denotes the inner automorphism of $G_{1}$ defined by $I_{g \sigma}(g)$ $=g_{\sigma} g g_{\sigma}^{-1}$ for $g \in G_{1}$. Then it is clear that $\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}\right)$ is a 2 -cocycle of $g$ in $\left(Z_{1}\right)_{\bar{k}}$, whose cohomology class is uniquely determined, independently of the choice of the 1 -cochain $\left(g_{\sigma}\right)$. (We always take it implicitly that all cochains we consider are $\vec{k}$-rational and continuous in the sense of Krull topology on $G$.) We denote the cohomology class of $\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}\right)$ by $\gamma_{k}(G, f)$ or simply by $\gamma(G, f)$ whenever $k$ is tacitly fixed.

Two inner $k$-forms ( $G, f$ ) and ( $G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) of $G_{1}$ are said to be $i$-equivalent if there exists a $k$-isomorphism $\varphi$ of $G$ onto $G^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime} \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of $G_{1}$. It is immediate that the cohomology class $\gamma(G, f)$ depends only on the $i$-equivalenc class of ( $G, f$ ).

In the case where $G_{1}$ is a connected reductive algebraic group, the number of $i$-equivalence classes of inner $k$-forms of $G_{1}$ contained in a $k$-isomorphism class of $k$-forms of $G_{1}$ (in the ordinary sense) is finite. Moreover, it is known ([9], p. 242) that, for any connected semi-simple algebraic group $G$ defined over $k$, there exists an inner $k$-form ( $G_{1}, f^{-1}$ ) of $G$ such that $G_{1}$ is of Steinberg type, and the $i$-equivalence class of such $\left(G_{1}, f^{-1}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $G$. Hence, in this case, we define the inveriant $\gamma(G)$ by setting $\gamma(G)=\gamma\left(G_{1}, f^{-1}\right)$ $\in H^{2}(k, Z), Z$ denoting the center of $G$. If one denotes by $f^{*}$ the isomorphism of $H^{2}(k, Z)$ onto $H^{2}\left(k, Z_{1}\right)$ induced in a natural way by $f$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(G)=f^{*-1}(\gamma(G, f)) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that $f$ induces on $Z_{\bar{k}}$ a $G$-isomorphism $Z_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow\left(Z_{1}\right) \overline{\bar{c}}$.)
Example. $G=S L\left(m, \Omega_{r}\right)$, where $\Omega_{r}$ is a normal division algebra of degree $r$ over $k$. Let $f$ be a $\vec{k}$-isomorphism of $G$ onto $G_{1}=S L(m r)$ determined by the (unique) irreducible representation of $\Omega_{r}$ (as an associative algebra). Then ( $G_{1}, f^{-1}$ ) is an inner $k$-form of $G$ as described above, and through the natural identification $Z \cong Z_{1}=\mathbf{E}_{m r}$ (induced by $f$ ), one has $\gamma(G)=c\left(\mathscr{\Omega}_{r}\right) \in H^{2}\left(k, \mathbf{E}_{m r}\right)$ (where $c\left(\Omega_{r}\right)$ denotes the "Hasse invariant" of $\Omega_{r}$ ).
2. The following lemma is fundamental.

Lemma 1. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be algebraic groups defined over $k$, and let $\varphi_{1}$ be a k-morphism of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ into $G_{1}$. Suppose there is a $k$-closed subgroup $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ of $G_{1}$ such that, denoting by $Z_{1}, Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ the center of $G_{1}, G_{1}^{\prime}, G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdot G_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z_{G_{1}}(\cdots)$ denoting the centralizer of $\cdots$ in $G_{1}$;
(ii)

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=Z_{1} \times Z_{1}^{\prime \prime} \quad(\text { direct product })
$$

(iii) the natural map $H^{1}\left(k, G_{1}^{\prime \prime} / Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H^{2}\left(k, Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is bejective. Let further $\left(G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ be an inner $k$-form of $G_{1}^{\prime}$. Then:

1) There exist an inner $k$-form ( $G, f$ ) of $G_{1}$ and a $k$-morphism $\varphi$ of $G^{\prime}$ into $G$ such that one has $f \circ \varphi=\varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime}$.
2) If $(\bar{G}, \bar{f}, \bar{\varphi})$ is another triple satisfying the same condition as $(G, f, \varphi)$, then there is a $\bar{k}$-isomorphism $\psi$ of $G$ onto $\bar{G}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}=\psi \circ \varphi, \bar{f} \circ \psi \circ f^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of $G_{1}$, and $\psi^{\sigma} \circ \psi^{-1}=I_{d_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}}$ where ( $d_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}$ ) is a 1-cocycle of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{f}^{-1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$.
3) For any inner $k$-form ( $G, f$ ) of $G_{1}$ satisfying the condition in 1 ), $\gamma(G, f)$. coincides with the $Z_{1}$-part of $\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(\gamma\left(G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in the direct decomposition (ii), where $\varphi_{1}^{*}$ denotes the natural homomorphism of $H^{2}\left(k, Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ into $H^{2}\left(k, \varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ induced' by $\varphi_{1}$.

Proof. 1) Put $f^{\prime \sigma} \circ f^{\prime-1}=I_{g_{\sigma}^{\prime}}, g_{\sigma}^{\prime} \in\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right) \overline{\bar{k}}$, and $\delta\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime} \in Z_{1}^{\prime} . \quad$ By (ii) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime}\right)=c_{\sigma, \tau} \cdot c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime \prime-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}\right)$ and ( $c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime \prime}$ ) are (uniquely determined) 2-cocycles of $G$ in $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. By (iii) (the surjectivity), there exists $g_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} \in\left(G_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$ such that $\delta\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ $=c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime \prime}$. Put

$$
g_{\sigma}=\varphi_{1}\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \cdot g_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} ;
$$

then by (i) one has $\delta\left(g_{\sigma}\right)=c_{\sigma, \tau}$. Hence there is an inner $k$-form ( $G, f$ ) of $G_{r}$ such that $f^{\sigma} \circ f^{-1}=I_{g}$. Put $\varphi=f^{-1} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime}$. Then, for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, one has

$$
\varphi^{\sigma}=f^{-\sigma} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime \sigma}=f^{-1} \circ I_{g_{\sigma}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ I_{g_{\sigma}^{\prime}} \circ f^{\prime}=f^{-1} \circ I_{g_{\sigma}^{-1}} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \circ \varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime}
$$

Since by (i) one has $g_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \in G_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subset Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, one has $\varphi^{\sigma}=\varphi$, i.e. $\varphi$ is defined over $k$. (Note that the converse of this is also true).
2) Let $(\bar{G}, \bar{f}, \bar{\varphi})$ be another triple satisfying the conditions stated in 1 ), and put $\bar{f}^{\sigma} \circ \bar{f}^{-1}=I_{\bar{g} \sigma}, \delta\left(\bar{g}_{\sigma}\right)=\bar{c}_{\sigma, \tau}$ with $\bar{g}_{\sigma} \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{\bar{k}}, \bar{c}_{\sigma, \tau} \in Z_{1}$. As we have just noted above, $\bar{\varphi}^{\sigma}=\bar{\varphi}(\sigma \in G)$ implies that $\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \in Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence, by (i), one may put

$$
\bar{g} \bar{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \bar{g}_{\sigma}=\varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime-1} g_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}
$$

with $c_{\sigma}^{\prime} \in\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$ and $\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} \in\left(G_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$. Then one has

$$
\bar{c}_{\sigma, \tau}=\delta\left(\varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \delta\left(\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right),
$$

which, by (i), (ii), implies that $\delta\left(\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in G_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. Writing $\varphi_{1}\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=c_{\sigma} \cdot c_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime-1}$ with $c_{\sigma} \in Z_{1}$ and $c_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} \in Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and comparing the $Z$-parts and $Z^{\prime \prime}$-parts in the above
equality, one obtains in view of (2)

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}_{\sigma, \tau} & =\delta\left(c_{\sigma}\right)^{-1} c_{\sigma, \tau},  \tag{2a}\\
\delta\left(\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\delta\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1} \cdot c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime \prime}=\delta\left(c_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime-1} g_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (iii) (the injectivity), the second equality of (2a) implies that there is $h \in\left(G_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$ and a 1-cocycle ( $a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}$ ) of $G$ in $\left(Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{k}}$ such that one has

$$
\bar{g}_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}=a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} c_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime-1} h^{\sigma} g_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} h^{-1}
$$

then one has also $\bar{g}_{\sigma}=c_{\sigma}^{-1} h^{\sigma} g_{\sigma} h^{-1} \cdot a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}$. Now put $\psi=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ I_{h} \circ f$. Then, since $h \in Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, one has

$$
\phi \circ \varphi=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ I_{h} \circ f \circ \varphi=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ I_{h} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime}=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ f^{\prime}=\bar{\varphi}
$$

and, for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\sigma} & =\bar{f}^{-\sigma} \circ I_{h \sigma} \circ f^{\sigma}=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ I_{\bar{\delta}}^{\sigma} \\
& \circ I_{h \sigma} \circ I_{g_{\sigma}} \circ f=\bar{f}^{-1} \circ I_{a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}}-1.1 \circ f \\
& \left.=I_{\bar{f}^{-1}\left(a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right.}{ }^{\prime-1}\right) \circ \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

i. e., one has $\psi^{\sigma} \circ \psi^{-1}=I_{d_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}}$ with $d_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{f}^{-1}\left(a_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime-1}\right) \in \bar{f}^{-1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
3) is clear from the definitions and (2), (2a), q. e. d.

Remark 1. The conditions (i), (ii) imply (i) $Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=Z \times G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ (direct product); and (i)' in turn implies (ii)' $\varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset Z_{1} \times Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. As is seen from the above proof, the conditions (i), (ii) in Lemma 1 can be replaced by a weaker condition (i)'.

Remark 2. The condition (iii) is satisfied if $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $S L(n)$ and if the ground field $k$ has the following property: $\left(P_{n}\right)$ For any normal division algebra $\Re$ over $k$ such that $\Re^{n} \sim 1$ one has $\operatorname{deg} \Re \mid n$.

In fact, it is well-known that the canonical map $\Delta: H^{1}\left(k, S L(n) / \mathbf{E}_{n}\right)$ $\rightarrow H^{2}\left(k, \mathbf{E}_{n}\right)$ is injective, and also there is a canonical monomorphism of $H^{2}\left(k, \mathbf{E}_{n}\right)$ into the Brauer group $\mathscr{B}(k)$ of $k$ (see Example in 1 ). If the algebra class of a normal division algebra $\Omega$ over $k$ belongs to the image of this monomorphism, then one has clearly $\Omega^{n} \sim 1$. On the other hand, the algebra class of $\Omega$ comes from an element of $H^{1}\left(k, S L(n) / \mathbf{E}_{n}\right)$ if and only if it contains a $k$-form of $\mathscr{M}_{n}$ (the total matric algebra of degree $n$ ), or, in other words, the degree of $\Omega$ divides $n$. Hence, under the condition $\left(P_{n}\right), \Delta$ is bijective. It should also be noted that for the proofs of 2) and 3) we needed only the injectivity of $\Delta$, which holds whenever $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $S L(n)$, without the assumption $\left(P_{n}\right)$ for $k$.
3. We shall now apply Lemma 1 to the following situation. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be (connected) simply connected (absolutely simple) Steinberg groups over
$k$ of one of the types listed below:

| $G_{1}$ | ${ }^{1} E_{6}$ | ${ }^{2} E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ | ${ }^{3} D_{4}$ | ${ }^{6} D_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{1} A_{5}$ | ${ }^{2} A_{5}$ | ${ }^{1} D_{6}$ | ${ }^{3}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$ | ${ }^{6}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$ |

(For the meaning of the notation, see [11].) Then the centers of $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ are as follows:

| $Z_{1} \cong$ | $\mathbf{E}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z_{1}^{\prime} \cong$ | $\mathbf{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2}$ |

The isomorphism in this list is a $G$-isomorphism, if and only if the group $G_{\mathrm{r}}$ or $G_{1}^{\prime}$ is of Chevalley type. In general, the corresponding $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ will have a common splitting field $k^{\prime}$, and the action of $g$ on $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ will be determined uniquely by $k^{\prime}$. In each case, we shall construct a $k$-morphism $\varphi_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ into $G_{1}$ (which will turn out to be a monomorphism) in such a way that $\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a "regular" $k$-closed subgroup of $G_{1}{ }^{3}$. (By a regular closed subgroup of $G_{1}$, we mean a closed subgroup corresponding to a "regular" subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $G_{1}$ in the sense of Dynkin [4].) For all cases, $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ will be a $k$ closed subgroup of $G_{1}$ which is a simply connected Chevalley group of type $A_{1}$ and so $Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\cong \mathbf{E}_{2}$. Thus, by the Remark 2 in 2 , the condition (iii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied, provided $k$ satisfies the condition ( $P_{2}$ ).
4. The case ${ }^{1} E_{6}$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be simply connected Chevalley groups over $k$ of type $E_{6}$ and $A_{5}$, respectively. Then, one has $G$-isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1} \cong \mathbf{E}_{3}, \quad Z_{1}^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{E}_{6} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ be $k$-trivial maximal tori in $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively. Let further $\mathfrak{r}$ be the root system of $G_{1}$ relative to $T_{1}, \Delta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{6}\right\}$ a fundamental system


[^1]of $\mathfrak{r}$, and $\mu$ the lowest root (i.e., $-\mu=\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+3 \alpha_{3}+2 \alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}+2 \alpha_{6}$ ) (see the figure). Then it is clear that there is a $k$-isogeny $\varphi_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ onto a regular $k$ closed subgroup $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right.$ ) such that $\varphi_{1}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{1}$. (In general, for any subset $\Gamma$ of $\mathfrak{r}$, one denotes by $G_{1}(\Gamma)$ the regular closed subgroup of $G_{1}$ corresponding to the (closed) subsystem $\mathfrak{r} \cap\{\Gamma\}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ of $\mathfrak{r}$.) One puts also $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}=G_{1}(\{\mu\})$.

In order to see that the conditions (i), (ii) of Lemma 1 are satisfied, we need the following

LEMMA 2. Let $\rho_{1}$ be an irreducible representation of $G_{1}$ of dimension 27 with the highest weight $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(4 \alpha_{1}+5 \alpha_{2}+6 \alpha_{3}+4 \alpha_{4}+2 \alpha_{5}+3 \alpha_{6}\right)$. Then one has

$$
\rho_{1} \circ \varphi_{1} \sim \rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{4}^{\prime}
$$

where $\rho_{i}^{\prime}$ stands for the $i$-th skew-symmetric tensor representation of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ in the standard numbering.
(Cf. [2], pp. 142-143; [3], pp. 20-23. In Cartan's notation, one has $\alpha_{i}$ $=\omega_{i, i+1}=\bar{\omega}_{i}-\bar{\omega}_{i+1}(1 \leqq i \leqq 5), \alpha_{6}=\omega_{567}=\bar{\omega}_{5}+\bar{\omega}_{6}+\bar{\omega}_{7}, \mu=\omega_{000}=3 \bar{\omega}_{0}$. The weights of $\rho_{1}$ are given by $\bar{\omega}_{i}-\bar{\omega}_{0}, \bar{\omega}_{i}+2 \bar{\omega}_{0},-\bar{\omega}_{i}-\bar{\omega}_{j}-\bar{\omega}_{0}(1 \leqq i, j \leqq 6, i \neq j)$. It is then easy to see that $\left(-\bar{\omega}_{i}-\bar{\omega}_{j}-\bar{\omega}_{0}\right) \circ\left(\varphi_{1} \mid T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $\left(\bar{\omega}_{i}-\bar{\omega}_{0}\right) \circ\left(\varphi_{1} \mid T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, resp. $\left(\bar{\omega}_{i}+2 \bar{\omega}_{0}\right)$ $\left.\circ\left(\varphi_{1} \mid T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ constitute the set of weights of $\rho_{4}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$, resp. $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ ) relative to $T_{1}^{\prime}$.)

It follows that one can find a generator $z$ of $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}(z)\right)=\operatorname{diag} \cdot\left(\zeta_{6} 1_{12}, \zeta_{6}^{4} 1_{15}\right),
$$

where $\zeta_{r}$ is the primitive $r$-th root of unity (in $\bar{k}$ ) and $1_{r}$ is the unit matrix of degree $r$. This shows that both $\rho_{1}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are faithful and $\varphi_{1}\left(z^{2}\right)$ is a generator of $Z_{1}$. On the other hand, it is clear that $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in the centralizer $Z_{G_{1}}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By Schur's lemma, the matrices of degree 27 which commute elementwise with $\rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are of the form diag. $\left(x \otimes 1_{6}, \eta 1_{15}\right)$, where $x \in G L$ (2) and $\eta$ is a scalar. Hence, in order to complete the proof of (i), it is enough to show that, if a matrix of the form diag. $\left(\xi 1_{12}, \eta 1_{15}\right)$ is in $\rho_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$, then it is in $\rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)$. From the fact that $\rho_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ leaves a certain cubic form $\left(\sum_{i \neq k} x_{i} y_{k} z_{i k}\right.$ $-\Sigma z_{\lambda_{\mu}} z_{\nu \rho} z_{\sigma \tau}$ in the notation of [2] loc. cit.) invariant, it follows that $\xi^{2} \eta=\eta^{3}=1$, whence $\xi^{6}=1, \eta=\xi^{4}$, which proves our assertion. At the same time, one sees that $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $S L$ (2) and $\varphi_{1}\left(z^{3}\right)$ is the generator of $Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus we have also (ii).

When $k$ satisfies the condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$, the condition (iii) of Lemma 1 is also satisfied. Therefore, applying Lemma 1, one concludes that to every $i$-equivalence class of inner $k$-form ( $G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ there corresponds a certain number of $i$-equivalence classes of inner $k$-forms ( $G, f$ ) of $G_{1}$, for whith one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma(G) & =Z \text {-part of } \varphi^{*}\left(\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =\varphi^{*}\left(\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z$ (resp. $Z^{\prime}$ ) is the center of $G$ (resp. $G^{\prime}$ ), which is also $G$-isomorphic to $\mathbf{E}_{3}$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}_{6}$ ). More specifically, when $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $S L\left(6 / r, \Omega_{r}\right)$, one may identify $Z^{\prime}$ with $\mathbf{E}_{6}$ through the irreducible representation of $S L\left(6 / r, \Omega_{r}\right)$ (defined over $\bar{k}$ ) which comes from the (unique) irreducible representation of $\mathscr{R}_{r}$ (as an associative algebra). Then, by what we have proved above, this identification gives rise to the corresponding identification of $Z$ with $\mathbf{E}_{3}$, and in this sense one has

$$
\gamma(G)=c\left(\Omega_{r}\right)^{4},
$$

where $c\left(\mathscr{\Re}_{r}\right) \in H^{2}\left(k, E_{6}\right)$ is the Hasse invariant of $\mathscr{\Re}_{r}$.
We may reformulate our result in the following form, which also gives a characterization of the $k$-forms $G$ obtained by our method.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a simply connected absolutely simple algebraic group of type $E_{6}$ defined over $k$. Suppose there exists a regular $k$-closed subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of type ${ }^{1} A_{5}$. Then $G$ is of type ${ }^{1} E_{6}$. If $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $S L\left(6 / r, \mathbb{R}_{r}\right)$, then through the natural identification mentioned above one has

$$
\gamma(G)=c\left(\Omega_{r}\right)^{4} .
$$

Proof. Since there is only one class of regular closed subgroups of type $A_{5}$ in $G$ with respect to the inner automorphisms ([4], p. 149, Table 11), one may suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is of the form $G\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$ with respect to a maximal torus $T$ defined over $\bar{k}$ and a fundamental system $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{6}\right\}$. Let $G_{1}$ be a simply connected Chevalley group of type $E_{6}$ over $k$ and let $T_{1}$ be a $k$-trivial maximal torus in $G_{1}$. Then one can find a $\vec{k}$-isomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G_{1}$ such that $f(T)=T_{1}$. Let $\varphi: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion monomorphism (defined over $k$ ), and put $f^{\prime}=f \mid G^{\prime}, G_{1}^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, and $\varphi_{1}=f \circ \varphi \circ f^{\prime-1}$. Then $G_{1}^{\prime}=G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$ (with respect to $T_{1}$ ), so that $G_{1}^{\prime}$ is a $k$-closed subgroup of $G_{1}$, which is a simply connected Chevalley group of type $A_{5}$ over $k$, and $\varphi_{1}$ is also defined over $k$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{1} A_{5}$, the isomorphism $Z^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{E}_{6}$ is a $G$-isomorphism. Therefore the same is also true for $Z \cong \mathbf{E}_{3}$, which means that $G$ is of type ${ }^{1} E_{6}$. It follows that $f^{\sigma} \circ f^{-1}$ (resp. $f^{\prime \sigma} \circ f^{\prime-1}$ ) is an inner automorphism of $G_{1}$ (resp. $G_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Thus one restores the situation considered above (except for the condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$ on $k$, which we do not need), and the last statement of the Theorem follows.
5. The case ${ }^{2} E_{6}$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be simply connected Steinberg groups over $k$ of type ${ }^{2} E_{6}$ and ${ }^{2} A_{5}$, respectively. Then there exists a quadratic extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ over which $G_{1}$ splits (i. e., becomes of Chevalley type). For any fixed isomorphism $Z_{1} \cong \mathbf{E}_{3}$, the 'splitting field' $k$ ' can be characterized by the action of the Galois group as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1} \ni z \leftrightarrow \zeta \in \mathbf{E}_{3} \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
z^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \zeta^{\sigma} & \text { if } & \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right), \\
z^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \zeta^{-\sigma} & \text { if } & \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The situation is quite similar for $G_{1}^{\prime}$. Hence, if there is a $k$-morphism $\varphi_{1}$ : $G_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{1}$ as described in Lemma 1, then the injection: $Z_{1} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ will induce a $\mathcal{G}$-monomorphism of $Z_{1}$ into $Z_{1}^{\prime}$, and so the splitting fields for $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ should coincide. Conversely, if $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ have a common splitting field $k^{\prime}$, then one can find a $k$-morphism $\varphi_{1}$ as follows. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ be maximal tori defined over $k$ in $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively, containing a maximal $k$-trivial torus in the respective groups, and take a $\mathcal{G}$-fundamental system $\Delta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{6}\right\}$ in the sense of [8]. (These imply that $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ are $k^{\prime}$-trivial and, if $\sigma_{0}$ denotes the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\prime} / k\right)$, one has $\alpha_{1}^{\sigma}=\alpha_{5}, \alpha_{2}^{\sigma_{0}}=\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{3}^{\sigma_{0}}=\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{6}^{\sigma_{0}}=\alpha_{6}$.) It is then clear that $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$ is a $k$-closed subgroup of $G_{1}$, which is also a Steinberg group with the same splitting field $k^{\prime}$, and $T_{1} \cap G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$ contains a maximal $k$-trivial torus in $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$. Therefore, there exists a $k$-isogeny $\varphi_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ onto $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}\right\}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{1}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{1}$ ([8], p. 233).

Since the conditions (i), (ii) of Lemma 1 have nothing to do with the ground field $k$, the proofs given in 4 remain valid in the present case. Also one has $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}=G_{1}(\{\mu\}) \cong S L(2)$ (over $k$ ). Hence one can apply Lemma 1 to obtain a quite similar result as in 4. In particular, if ( $G, f$ ) is an inner $k$-form of $G_{1}$ corresponding to an inner $k$-form $\left(G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ in the sense of Lemma 1, then $\gamma(G)$ is given by the $Z$-part of $\varphi^{*}\left(\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Also, by a similar argument, one obtains the following

THEOREM 1'. Let $G$ be a simply connected absolutely simple algebraic group of type $E_{6}$ defined over $k$. Suppose there exists a regular $k$-closed subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of type ${ }^{2} A_{5}$. Then, $G$ is of type ${ }^{2} E_{6}$ (belonging to the same quadratic extension $\left.k^{\prime} / k\right)$ and $\gamma(G)$ is given by the Z-part of $\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
6. The case $E_{7}$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be simply connected Chevalley groups over $k$ of type $E_{7}$ and $D_{6}$, respectively. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1} \cong \mathbf{E}_{2}, \quad Z_{1}^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This time the operations of the Galois group are all trivial.) Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ be $k$-trivial maximal tori in $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively, and let $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{7}\right\}$ be a

fundamental system of $G_{1}$ relative to $T_{1}$, and $\mu$ the lowest root (i.e., $-\mu$ $=\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+3 \alpha_{3}+4 \alpha_{4}+3 \alpha_{5}+2 \alpha_{6}+2 \alpha_{7}$ ) (see the figure). Then one has a $k$-isogeny $\varphi_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ onto $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{7}\right\}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{1}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{1}$. One puts also $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ $=G_{1}(\{\mu\})$. Then one has the following

Lemma 3. Let $\rho_{1}$ be an irreducible representation of $G_{1}$ of dimension 56 with the highest weight $\lambda_{1}=\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+\frac{5}{2} \alpha_{3}+3 \alpha_{4}+2 \alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}+\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{7}$. Then, one has

$$
\rho_{1} \circ \varphi_{1} \sim \rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{1}^{\prime}+\rho_{6}^{\prime}
$$

where $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{6}^{\prime}$ are the irreducible representations of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the fundamental weights $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{6}^{\prime}$, respectively. (The $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ 's are numerated in such a way that $\frac{2\left\langle\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle}=\delta_{i j}$, where $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=\alpha_{i} \circ\left(\varphi_{1} \mid T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 5$ and $\alpha_{6}^{\prime}=\alpha_{7} \circ\left(\varphi_{1} \mid T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, $\rho_{6}^{\prime}$ is the "second spin representation" in this numbering.)
(Cf. [2], pp. 143-144; [3], pp. 24-27. Note that in this case $\rho_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ leaves an alternating form invariant.)

In virtue of this Lemma, it can be proved exactly as in 4 that the conditions (i), (ii) of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Moreover, one can find generators $z_{11}$ and $z_{2}$ of $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag} .\left(-1_{24}, 1_{32}\right) \\
& \rho_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)\right)=-1_{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)$ are the generators of $Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $Z_{1}$, respectively. In the following, we shall fix once and for all the isomorphisms (5) given by this choice of the generators.

One concludes from Lemma 1 that, if ( $G, f$ ) is an inner $k$-form of $G_{1}$ corresponding to an inner $k$-form $\left(G^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\gamma(G)$ is given by the $Z$-part of $\varphi^{*}\left(\gamma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ). Through the identification of $Z^{\prime} \cong Z_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $Z \cong Z_{1}$ ) with $\mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2}$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ ) mentioned above, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left(c\left(\mathfrak{(}_{1}\right), c\left(\mathfrak{C}_{2}\right)\right), \quad \gamma(G)=c\left(\mathfrak{F}_{2}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ denote the first and the second Clifford algebras (over $k$ ) associated with $G^{\prime}$ supplying the spin representations $\rho_{5}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{6}^{\prime}$ respectively ([9], p. 249). From this, one obtains the following

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a simply connected absolutely simple algebraic group of type $E_{7}$ over $k$. Suppose there exists a regular $k$-closed subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of type $D_{6}$. Then, $G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{1} D_{6}$ and, if $\mathfrak{®}_{2}$ is the second Clifford algebra associated' with $G^{\prime}$ (in the sense explained above), one has

$$
\gamma(G)=c\left(\S_{2}\right)
$$

In fact, since there is only one class of regular closed subgroups of type
$D_{6}$ in $G\left([4]\right.$, loc. cit.), one may suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is of the form $G\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{7}\right\}\right)$. On the other hand, since the Galois group operates trivially on $Z^{\prime}=Z \times Z^{\prime \prime}, G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{1} D_{6}$. The rest of the proof runs exactly in the same way as for Theorem 1.
7. Tits [12] gave recently a new method of constructing $k$-forms of (absolutely) simple Lie algebras of type $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ which contain in an obvious way simple Lie algebras of type $A_{5}$ and $D_{6}$, respectively. The invariant $\gamma(G)$ of the corresponding simply connected simple algebraic group $G$ defined over $k$ can therefore be determined by Theorems $1,1^{\prime}$ and 2 . Moreover, when $k$ is a local field, all $k$-forms of $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ are obtained in this manner.

First, let us recall briefly the construction of Tits for the case $E_{6}{ }^{4}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}$ ) be a quaternion (resp. octanion) algebra over $k$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a normal simple Jordan algebra of degree 3 and of dimension 9 over $k$ (with the product 0$)^{5}$. Then one obtains simple Lie algebras of type $E_{6}$ and $A_{5}$ over $k$ in the following form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{g}=D(\mathcal{C})+\mathcal{C}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{0}+D(\mathcal{J}),  \tag{7}\\
\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=D(\mathfrak{D})+\mathfrak{D}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{0}+D(\mathcal{J}),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D(\cdots)$ denotes the derivation algebra of $\cdots$ and $(\cdots)_{0}$ is the subspace of $\ldots$ formed of all elements of (reduced) trace zero. The product [] in $g$ is defined by the following rule: (i) $D(\mathcal{C})$ and $D(\mathcal{G})$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $[D(\mathcal{C}), D(\mathcal{I})]=0$; (ii) for $D \in D(\mathcal{C}), D^{\prime} \in D(\mathcal{I})$, and $a \otimes u \in \mathcal{C}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{0}$, one has

$$
\left[D+D^{\prime}, a \otimes u\right]=(D a) \otimes u+a \otimes\left(D^{\prime} u\right)
$$

(iii) for $a \otimes u, b \otimes v \in \mathcal{C}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{0}$, one has

$$
[a \otimes u, b \otimes v]=(u, v)\langle a, b\rangle+(a * b) \otimes(u * v)+(a, b)\langle u, v\rangle
$$

where $(a, b)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(a b), a * b=a b-(a, b) 1 \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$, and $\langle a, b\rangle$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$
\langle a, b\rangle(x)=\frac{1}{4}[[a, b], x]-\frac{3}{4}[a, b, x] \quad \text { for } x \in \mathcal{C},
$$

and similarly $(u, v)=\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(u \circ v), u * v=u \circ v-(u, v) 1$, and

$$
\langle u, v\rangle(x)=u \circ(v \circ x)-v \circ(u \circ x) \quad \text { for } x \in \mathcal{I} .
$$

The product in $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is defined similarly.
Now suppose $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$. Then one may write $\mathcal{C}=\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{D} \varepsilon_{4}$ with $\varepsilon_{4} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}, \varepsilon_{4}^{2}=\lambda$

[^2]$\in k, \lambda \neq 0$, and one has
$$
\left(a+b \varepsilon_{4}\right)\left(c+d \varepsilon_{4}\right)=(a c+\lambda \bar{d} b)+(d a+b \bar{c}) \varepsilon_{4}
$$
for $a, b, c, d \in \mathfrak{D}$, where the bar denotes the canonical involution in $\mathfrak{D}$. We imbed $D(\mathfrak{D})$ into $D(\mathcal{C})$ as follows. One has $D(\mathfrak{D})=\left\{D_{a}\left(a \in \mathfrak{D}_{0}\right)\right\}$, where $D_{a}(x)$ $=[a, x]$ for $x \in \mathfrak{D}$, and $D_{a}$ can be extended to a derivation of $\mathcal{C}$ by setting
$$
D_{a}\left(x+y \varepsilon_{4}\right)=[a, x]-(y a) \varepsilon_{4} .
$$
(Note that this extension of $D_{a}$ is independent of the choice of $\varepsilon_{4}$.) The injection $D(\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$ thus defined is clearly a monomorphism of Lie algebra, and gives rise in a natural way to a monomorphism of $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ into g . In this sense, we have the following

Lemma 4. When $\mathfrak{D C C}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.
In fact, take any non-zero element $a_{1}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{0}$. Then one can define another sort of derivation of $\mathcal{C}$ by setting

$$
D_{a_{1}}^{\prime}\left(x+y \varepsilon_{4}\right)=\left(a_{1} y\right) \varepsilon_{4} .
$$

It is easy to check that one has $\left[D_{a_{1}}^{\prime}, X\right]=0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. Hence, if $a_{1}$ is semi-simple and if $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is any Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$, then $\mathfrak{h}=\left\{D_{a_{1}}^{\prime}\right\}_{k}+\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\left[\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is a regular subalgebra of $g$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$.

Now we have the following two cases:
$1^{\circ} . \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{G}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{3}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{H}_{3}$ is a normal simple (associative) algebra of degree 3 over $k$ and $\mathscr{g}\left(\mathscr{H}_{3}\right)$ denotes the Jordan algebra obtained from $\mathfrak{H}_{3}$ by endowing it with the Jordan product $x \circ y=\frac{1}{2}(x y+y x)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}_{3}$.
$2^{\circ}$. $\mathcal{I}=\mathscr{H}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime}, \ell\right)$, where $\mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ is a normal simple (associative) algebra of degree 3 over a quadratic extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ with an involution of the second kind $\iota$, and $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathscr{H}_{3}^{\prime}, \iota\right)$ denotes the Jordan algebra formed of all ' $\iota$-hermitian, element in $\mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ (i. e., all $x \in \mathfrak{Z}_{3}^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime}=x$ ) with the Jordan product as above. In particular, when $\mathfrak{X}_{3}^{\prime} \sim 1$ (over $k^{\prime}$ ), one may write

$$
\mathscr{g}=\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(k^{\prime} / k ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=\left\{X \in \mathscr{M}_{3}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \mid H^{-1 t} \bar{X} H=X\right\},
$$

where $\gamma_{i} \in k, \gamma_{i} \neq 0(1 \leqq i \leqq 3)$, and $H=\operatorname{diag} .\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$.
It is then easy to show that, in the case $1^{\circ}, g^{\prime}$ is canonically identified with the Lie algebra $\left(\mathscr{D} \otimes \mathfrak{H}_{3}\right)_{0}$ with the Lie product $[x, y]=x y-y x$; while, in the case $2^{\circ}, g^{\prime}$ is canonically identified with the Lie algebra formed of all $x \in \mathfrak{D} \otimes_{k} \mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{D} \otimes 2^{\prime} '^{\prime} k^{\prime}}(x)=0$ and $x^{c^{\prime}}+x=0$, with the Lie product as above, where $\iota^{\prime}$ denotes the involution of the second kind in $\mathscr{D} \otimes_{k} \mathscr{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ defined by $(x \otimes y)^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} \otimes y^{\prime}$ for $x \in \mathfrak{D}, y \in \mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be the simply connected simple algebraic groups defined over $k$ correspnding to $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$, respectively.

Then, in the case $1^{\circ}, G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{1} A_{5}$ and by Theorem 1 one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(G)=c\left(\mathfrak{H}_{3}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $2^{\circ}, G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{2} A_{5}$ and $\gamma(G)$ can be determined by Theorem $1^{*}$ and by [9], p. 245, (14); in particular, if $\mathfrak{H}_{3}^{\prime} \sim 1$ (over $k^{\prime}$ ), one has

$$
\gamma(G)=\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where

$$
c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
1 & \text { if } & \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right), \\
\sqrt[3]{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}} \tau-1 & \text { if } & \sigma \notin \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right), \tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right) \\
\sqrt[3]{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}} & \text { if } & \sigma, \tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{k} / k^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

whence it is easy to see that $\left(c_{\sigma, \tau}^{\prime}\right) \sim 1$ and so $\gamma(G)=1$.
8. The simple Lie algebras of type $E_{7}$ and $D_{6}$ constructed by Tits are of the following form : ${ }^{4)}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{g}=D(\mathcal{C})+\mathcal{C}_{0} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{0}^{\prime}+D\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{9}\\
\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=D(\mathfrak{D})+\mathfrak{D}_{0} \otimes \mathfrak{I}_{0}^{\prime}+D\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are as before, but $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ is a normal simple Jordan algebra of degree 3 and of dimension 15 over $k$. When $k$ satisfies $\left(P_{2}\right)$, one may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}^{\prime}=\mathscr{I}_{3}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ is another quaternion algebra over $k, \gamma_{i} \in k, \gamma_{i} \neq 0$, and $\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} ; \gamma_{1}\right.$, $\left.\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$ denotes the Jordan algebra formed of all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{3}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $H^{-11} \bar{X} H$ $=X$ with $H=$ diag. $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$. The products are defined quite similarly as in 7.

Now, analogously to Lemma 4, one sees that, when $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathcal{C}, g^{\prime}$ is a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Also, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ can be identified canonically with the Lie algebra formed of all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{3}\left(\mathscr{D} \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(X)=0$ and ${ }^{t} \bar{X} H+H X=0$, where $\bar{X}$ is defined by means of the involution of the first kind in $\mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ defined by $\overline{x \otimes y}=\bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}$ for $x \in \mathfrak{D}, y \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. It follows that $G^{\prime}$ is of type ${ }^{1} D_{6}$ and so by Theorem 2, denoting by $\mathfrak{c}_{2}$ the second Clifford algebra associated with $G^{\prime}$, one has

$$
\gamma(G)=c\left(\mathfrak{๒}_{2}\right) .
$$

In the special cases, where $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C} \sim 1$, one can show that $\mathfrak{G}_{2} \sim \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(G)=c\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This is always the case when $k$ is a local field.)
In fact, if $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{C}$, one may take $\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=(\beta, \gamma)$. Then $\mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \sim 1$ and the 3-dimensional hermitian vector space over $\mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ with the hermitian form $H$
reduces in an obvious manner to a 12 -dimensional quadratic vector space over $k$ with a symmetric bilinear form $S=\operatorname{diag} .(1,-\beta,-\gamma, \beta \gamma) \otimes H$. By an easy calculation, one then sees that the full Clifford algebra $C(S)$ is $\sim(\beta, \gamma)$ and so $\mathfrak{C}_{1} \sim \mathfrak{C}_{2} \sim(\beta, \gamma)$. Next, when $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \sim 1$, one may take $\mathfrak{D} \sim 1$; put $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Then the 3 -dimensional hermitian vector space over $\mathscr{D} \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ reduces to a 6 dimensional (right) vector space $\boldsymbol{V}^{\prime}$ over $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ with a skew-hermitian form of index 3. Let $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{6}\right)$ be any basis of $\boldsymbol{V}^{\prime}$ over $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ for which the skewhermitian form takes the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1_{3} \\ 1_{3} & 0\end{array}\right)$ and put $e_{i}=\boldsymbol{e}_{i} \varepsilon_{11}^{\prime}(1 \leqq i \leqq 6)$, where $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime 2}=\beta^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{11}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}-1} \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Put further $K=k\left(\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $W=\left\{e_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, e_{6}\right\}_{K}$ is a maximal totally isotropic subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}_{K}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{11}^{\prime}$, which is now viewed as a 12 -dimensional quadratic vector space over $K$. Let $W^{\prime}=\left\{e_{7}, \cdots, e_{12}\right\}_{K}$ be a complementary totally isotropic subspace such that $S\left(e_{i}, e_{j+6}\right)=\delta_{i j}(1 \leqq i, j \leqq 6)$, $S$ denoting the symmetric bilinear form on $\boldsymbol{V}_{K}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{11}^{\prime}$. In terms of this basis, one can show that the second Clifford algebra $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ (in the sense explained in 6) corresponds to the simple component of the even Clifford algebra $C^{+}(S)$ whose unit element is given by $\frac{1}{2}\left\{1+\prod_{i=1}^{6}\left(e_{i} e_{i+6}-e_{i+6} e_{i}\right)\right\}$. From this, one can conclude by a straightforward calculation that $\mathbb{C}_{2} \sim\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$.
9. The cases ${ }^{3} D_{4}$ and ${ }^{6} D_{4}$. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}\left(=\prod_{i=1}^{3} G_{1 i}^{\prime}\right)$ be simply connected Steinberg groups over $k$ of type ${ }^{3} D_{4}$ (or ${ }^{6} D_{4}$ ) and ${ }^{3}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$ (or ${ }^{6}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$ ), respectively. Then, there is a cubic extension $k_{1}^{\prime}$ of $k$ such that $G_{1}^{\prime}=R_{k^{\prime} 1 / k}\left(G_{11}^{\prime}\right)$, and the splitting field $k^{\prime}$ for $G_{1}^{\prime}$ is the smallest Galois extension (of degree 3 or 6) of $k$ containing $k_{1}^{\prime}$. One has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{1} \cong \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2},  \tag{12}\\
Z_{1}^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2} \times \mathbf{E}_{2}\left(=R_{k^{\prime} 1 / k}\left(\mathbf{E}_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$



In view of the operations of the Galois group on $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{1}^{\prime}$, it is easy to see (as in 5) that one has a $k$-isogeny $\varphi_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ onto $G_{1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right\}\right)$ if and only if $G_{1}$ has the same splitting field $k^{\prime}$. One puts also $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}=G_{1}(\{\mu\})$, where $\mu$ is the lowest root. Then (as in 4) one can show that all the assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, provided $k$ satisfies $\left(P_{2}\right)$. Moreover, if one calls $z_{i}$ the generator of the center of $G_{1 i}^{\prime}(i=1,2,3)$, one sees that $\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1} z_{3}\right)$ are generators
of $Z_{1}$ and $\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}\right)$ is the generator of $Z_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. One fixes once and for all the isomorphisms (12) defined by this choice of the generators. Then, by the same argument as before one obtains the following

THEOREM 3. Let $G$ be a simply connected absolutely simple algebraic group of type $D_{4}$ defined over $k$. Suppose there exists a regular $k$-closed subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of type ${ }^{3}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$ or ${ }^{6}\left(3 A_{1}\right)$. Then, $G$ is of type ${ }^{3} D_{4}$ or ${ }^{6} D_{4}$ (with the same 'nuclear', field $\left.k^{\prime 6)}\right)$. If $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $R_{k^{\prime} 1 / k}\left(S L\left(1, \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $k_{1}^{\prime}$ is a cubic extension of $k$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ is a quaternion algebra over $k_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\gamma(G)$ is given by the $Z$ part of $R_{k^{\prime} / k}^{*}\left(c\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in H^{2}\left(k, Z^{\prime}\right)$.

In particular, if there is a quaternion algebra $\mathfrak{D}$ over $k$ such that $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ $=\mathfrak{D} \otimes_{k} k^{\prime}$ (as is always the case when $k$ is a local field), then it can easily be seen that $\gamma(G)=1$.
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[^3]
[^0]:    *) Partially supported by NSF grant GP-6654.

    1) Taking this opportunity, I would like to correct some of the misprints in the relevant part of [9]. On page 246 , line 10 , for " $\Omega \Sigma m_{i}$ " read " $\Omega \Sigma i m_{i}$ "; similar corrections are also necessary for the formulas (28), (28') in page 250 . On page 249 , line 9 , for " $k\left(\sqrt{(-1)^{1 / 2 n r}} \operatorname{det}(S)\right)$ " read " $k\left(\sqrt{(-1)^{1 / 2 n r}} \operatorname{det}(S)\right)$ "
    2) By a communication from Professor Tits, the author learnt after completion of the paper that similar topics had also been treated by him in a series of lectures delivered at Yale University in the winter of 1967.

    Added in proof: By a communication with Tits, it appeared that in 8 the relation $\mathfrak{E}_{2} \sim \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ and so (11) is always true without any assumption.

[^1]:    3) It can be proven directly that, if $G_{1}$ is a simply connected semi-simple algebraic group and if $H_{1}$ is a regular closed subgroup corresponding to a subset of a fundamental system of $G_{1}$, then $H_{1}$ is also simply connected.
[^2]:    4) Actually there are two different constructions of the Lie algebras of type $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$, but for the sake of simplicity we consider here only one of them.
    5) For the theory of Jordan algebras the reader is referred to $[7],[10],[12],[13]$.
[^3]:    6) This terminology was borrowed from T. Ono, On algebraic groups and discrete groups, Nagoya Math. J., 27 (1966), 279-322.
