

Homogeneous hypersurfaces in euclidean spaces.

Dedicated to Professor Z. Suetuna on his 60th birthday.

By Tadashi NAGANO and Tsunero TAKAHASHI

(Received March 25, 1959)

S. Kobayashi [3] proved that a compact connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold M of dimension n is isometric to the sphere if it is isometrically imbedded in the euclidean space E of dimension $n+1$. In this paper we shall prove that a connected homogeneous Riemannian space M (compact or not) of dimension n is isometric to the Riemannian product of a sphere and a euclidean space if M is isometrically imbedded in the euclidean space E of dimension $n+1$ and the rank of the second fundamental form H is of rank $\neq 2$ at some point.

Manifolds and mappings between them will always be of differentiability class C^∞ .

1. Preliminaries.

Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold. Assume that there exists an isometric map f of M into a euclidean space E , in which we fix a cartesian coordinate system. f is isometric in the sense that the dual map of the differential f' of f carries the Riemannian metric of E to that of M .

Assigning to a point p of M the A -th coordinate component of $f(p)$, $1 \leq A \leq \dim E$, we obtain a function f^A on M . For any vector X tangent to M at x , we denote by Xf the vector tangent to E at $f(x)$ whose A -th component is Xf^A and call Xf the covariant differentiation of f in X . We shall write X for ∇_x or $X^\mu \nabla_\mu$ in coordinates as long as no ambiguity might be feared. In the same way one can define the covariant differentiation Xf' of the differential f' of f and other objects such as a map of M into the tangent bundle of E or into the isometry group of E . It goes without saying that, when X has x as the origin, Xf' is a linear map of the tangent space M_x to M at x into the tangent space $E_{f(x)}$ for any x in M , and that $Xf = f'X$.

It is easy to see that $(Xf')Y$ is normal to $f(M)$ for any vectors X and Y at a point x . Thus $(Xf')Y$ is a linear combination of the normal vectors

$$(Xf')Y = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq d} H_t n_t,$$

where n_i are linearly independent vectors normal to $f(M)$ at $f(x)$ and d equals $\dim E - \dim M$. Each $H_i = H_i(X, Y)$ is a bilinear form on M_x . The *rank* of f at x is by definition the minimum number of linear forms on M_x in which H_i can be expressed; it is independent of the choice of the normal vectors.

From now on we shall assume that $d=1$; $f(M)$ is a hypersurface of E . Given an orientable neighborhood U in M , we fix a map n of U into the tangent bundle of E such that $n(x)$ is a unit normal to $f(U)$ at $f(x)$ for each x in U . Then a covariant tensor field H of degree 2 is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (Xf')Y = H(X, Y)n(x), \quad X, Y \in M_x.$$

H is the second fundamental form of f , which depends on the choice of n and is determined on U up to a constant e with $e^2=1$ if U is connected.

From (1.1) follows

$$(1.2) \quad Xn \text{ is tangent to } f(M) \text{ and the inner product of } Xn \text{ with } f'Y \text{ equals } -H(X, Y); (Xn, f'Y) = -H(X, Y).$$

Some of the following propositions in this section are known. (See [1] and [5]).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let f and \hat{f} be isometric maps of M into E . Assume that for any connected orientable neighborhood U in M there exists a constant e with $e^2=1$ such that we have $H=e\hat{H}$ on U , H and \hat{H} being the second fundamental forms of f and \hat{f} respectively. Then there exists an isometry α of E onto itself satisfying $\alpha f = \hat{f}$.*

Note that M is not necessarily orientable.

PROOF. For a point x of M , take a connected orientable neighborhood U of x and consider the isometry α_x of E onto itself defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_x(f(x)) = \hat{f}(x),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha_x'f' = \hat{f}' \text{ on } M_x,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha_x'n = e\hat{n}.$$

α_x is independent of the choice of U , as is easily seen. Thus we obtain a map α of M into the isometry group of E such that $\alpha(x) = \alpha_x$. By (1.1), (1.4) and (1.5) together with $H=e\hat{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X\alpha')(f'Y) &= X(\alpha'f'Y) - \alpha'(Xf')Y - \alpha'f'XY = X\hat{f}'Y - \alpha'H(X, Y)n - \hat{f}'XY \\ &= X\hat{f}'Y - \hat{H}(X, Y)\hat{n} - \hat{f}'XY \\ &= X\hat{f}'Y - (X\hat{f}')Y - \hat{f}'XY = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector X tangent to U and a vector field Y on U . To prove $X\alpha' = 0$ we have to show $(X\alpha')n = 0$. By (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5) we get

$$(X\alpha')n = X(\alpha'n) - \alpha'Xn = eX\hat{n} - \hat{f}'f'^{-1}Xn = 0;$$

in fact by (1.2) the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} (f'f'^{-1}X\hat{n}, \hat{f}'Y) &= (f'^{-1}X\hat{n}, Y) = (X\hat{n}, f'Y) = -H(X, Y) = -e\hat{H}(X, Y) \\ &= (eX\hat{n}, \hat{f}'Y) \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector Y with the same origin as X .

Therefore we have $X\alpha' = 0$; i. e. the rotation part α' of α is constant. Finally (1.3) and (1.4) imply that

$$(X\alpha)f = X\alpha f - \alpha'Xf = X\hat{f} - \alpha'f'X = \hat{f}'X - \hat{f}'X = 0.$$

Hence α is constant on M , and we have $\alpha f = \hat{f}$.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let f and \hat{f} be as in Theorem 1.1. Denote by $r = r(x)$ and $\hat{r} = \hat{r}(x)$ the ranks at x of f and \hat{f} respectively. Then r equals either \hat{r} or $1 - \hat{r}$. In particular the inequality $1 < r$ gives $\hat{r} = r$.*

For the proof we recall the Gauss formula:

(1.6) K denoting the curvature tensor of M , the vector $K(X, Y)Z$, with the components $K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\lambda}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{\gamma}$, is the dual of the one-form θ

$$\theta: W \rightarrow H(X, W)H(Y, Z) - H(Y, W)H(X, Z) = (K(X, Y)Z, W).$$

Fix a basis of M_x , and denote by ϕ_ν the form (on M_x): $Y \rightarrow H(X, Y)$ where X is the ν -th vector of the basis. $\hat{\phi}_\nu$ is defined analogously by means of \hat{H} . Then r equals the number of linearly independent forms in the system $\{\phi_\nu\}$. Hence the number of linearly independent forms in the system $\{\phi_\mu \wedge \phi_\nu\}$ is $r(r-1)/2$. On the other hand $\phi_\mu \wedge \phi_\nu$ equals $\hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \hat{\phi}_\nu$ by (1.6). Hence we have $r(r-1)/2 = \hat{r}(\hat{r}-1)/2$, and so we have $(r-\hat{r})(r+\hat{r}-1) = 0$.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let f be an isometric map of M into E , and ρ an isometry of M onto itself. Then the rank $r(x)$ of f at x is equal to either $r(\rho(x))$ or $1 - r(\rho(x))$. In particular $1 < r(x)$ implies $r(x) = r(\rho(x))$.*

Put $\hat{f} = f\rho$. Since ρ is an isometry, ρ commutes with the covariant differentiation; in particular we have $(X(f'\rho'))Y = ((\rho'X)f')\rho'Y$. Hence we have $\hat{H}(X, Y)\hat{n}(x) = H(\rho'X, \rho'Y)\hat{n}(\rho(x))$. From Lemma 1.2 thus follows Corollary 1.3.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let f and \hat{f} be as in Theorem 1.1. If $r \geq 3$ at every point, then there exists an isometry α of E onto itself such that $\alpha f = \hat{f}$.*

PROOF. From $\phi_\mu \wedge \phi_\nu = \hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \hat{\phi}_\nu$ (see the proof of 1.2) follows

$$\hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \phi_\mu \wedge \phi_\nu = \hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \hat{\phi}_\nu = 0.$$

If $\hat{\phi}_\mu$ and ϕ_μ are linearly independent, any ϕ_ν is a linear combination of ϕ_μ and $\hat{\phi}_\mu$, contrary to the assumption. Thus we have $\hat{\phi}_\mu = c_\mu\phi_\mu$ for each μ , c_μ being some real number. Hence $\hat{\phi}_\mu \wedge \hat{\phi}_\nu = c_\mu c_\nu \phi_\mu \wedge \phi_\nu$. It follows that c_μ 's are all equal to a number e with $e^2 = 1$. From this and the definition of ϕ_ν we conclude $H = e\hat{H}$. Now Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let f be an isometric map of M into E . If an isometry group G of M is transitive and the rank r of f satisfies $3 \leq r$ at some point,*

then for any ρ in G there exists a unique isometry α of E on itself such that $f\rho = \alpha f$.

By Corollary 1.4, we have $3 \leq r$ at every point. Thus there exists an isometry α with $f\rho = \alpha f$ by Theorem 1.4. α is unique, for otherwise $f(M)$ would be symmetric with respect to a hyperplane with which $f(M)$ would coincide locally, contrary to $r \geq 3$ everywhere.

2. The case $3 \leq r$.

This section is devoted to the proof of

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that there exists an isometric map f of a connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold M onto a hypersurface of a euclidean space E . If the rank r of f satisfies $3 \leq r$ at some point, then M is isometric to the Riemannian product of a sphere and a euclidean space. In particular f is unique up to the composition αf with an isometry α of E .*

For brevity we identify M with $f(M)$. By Corollary 1.5, the connected isometry group G of M can be identified with a subgroup of the isometry group of E . Take an arbitrary line γ normal to M . If there exists a G -orbit $G(p)$ of dimension $< n$, $n = \dim M$, $p \in \gamma$, then o shall be one of such points. Otherwise o shall be an arbitrary point on $\gamma \cap M$. Denote by N the G -orbit $G(o)$ and by F the plane (= a linear subspace) which is the union of the lines normal to N at o .

Now we shall prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *If a one-parameter subgroup L of G leaves fixed a point q on F , then L leaves fixed o .*

Let $H = H_o$ be the isotropy subgroup of G at o . ν denoting the dimension of N , there exist ν linearly independent Killing vectors u_1, \dots, u_ν which, together with the Lie algebra of H , span the Lie algebra of G . The dual one-forms of u_i will be denoted by the same letters. We have to prove

$$(2.1) \quad \text{the form } \rho = u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge u_\nu \neq 0 \quad \text{on } F.$$

Let U be the subset of γ consisting of the points p at which we have $\dim G(p) = n$. V shall be the complement of U in γ . The inequality $\rho \neq 0$ holds at each point p of U , for we have $\dim H(p) = \dim F - 1 = n + 1 - \nu - 1$. Let x be a boundary point of U , if any. Since U is open, x belongs to V . Let H_x be the isotropy subgroup of G at x . H_x leaves invariant the plane $H_x(\gamma)$ and is transitive on the unit sphere in that plane. It follows that every point $y \neq x$ of γ sufficiently near x belongs to U . Hence V is discrete in γ . Further it follows that the point $o' \neq o$ of γ at the same arc length from x as o belongs to V , where we have assumed that V is not empty and contains points x other than o . Thus V is an infinite set. On the other

hand every Killing field in E has the components expressed as polynomials in the cartesian coordinates. Hence the form ρ , restricted on γ , has the components expressed as a polynomial, say in the arc length s from o . ρ vanishes on V . We thus infer that V contains at most o only. Hence we have $\rho \neq 0$ on γ , therefore on $F = H(\gamma)$.

We identify F with the tangent space to F at o and E with the tangent space to E at o . The tangent space to N at o is denoted by N_o .

(2.2) H acts naturally on the tangent space E and leaves invariant the subspaces F and N_o .

Every point x of E is identified with the vector $\mathfrak{x} = ox$. Then any element of the Lie algebra G' of G is expressed by the pair (A, \mathfrak{a}) of a skew-symmetric matrix A and a vector \mathfrak{a} in E such that (A, \mathfrak{a}) maps \mathfrak{x} to $A\mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{a}$. Let P and Q be the orthogonal projections of E onto F and N_o respectively. Then we have

$$(2.3) \quad P\mathfrak{a} = 0, \text{ i. e. } Q\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \text{ for any } (A, \mathfrak{a}) \text{ in } G'.$$

Given a vector \mathfrak{r} in F we define a bilinear form R on G' by $R: ((A, \mathfrak{a}), (B, \mathfrak{b})) \rightarrow$ the inner product $(\mathfrak{r}, P A \mathfrak{b})$.

Since the linear map $(A, \mathfrak{a}) \in G' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} = Q\mathfrak{a} \in N_o$ is onto, and $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ implies $PAQ = 0$ by (2.2) and therefore $PA\mathfrak{b} = PAQ\mathfrak{b} = 0$ by (2.3), R can be regarded as a well-defined bilinear form on N_o .

(2.4) The bilinear form R on N_o is symmetric.

PROOF. The bracket product $[(A, \mathfrak{a}), (B, \mathfrak{b})]$ in G' equals $([A, B], A\mathfrak{b} - B\mathfrak{a})$. By (2.3) we thus have $P(A\mathfrak{b} - B\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. Hence $R(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{r}, P A \mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{r}, P B \mathfrak{a}) = R(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a})$.

(2.5) $PAQ = 0$ for any (A, \mathfrak{a}) in G' .

PROOF. Otherwise we have $R \neq 0$ for some \mathfrak{r} in F . By (2.4) R has an eigenvalue c different from 0. Let $\mathfrak{b} \neq 0$ be the corresponding eigenvector; $R(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}) = c(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a})$ ($= c$ multiplied by the inner product of \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{a}) for any \mathfrak{a} in N_o . We have $c(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}) = R(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}) = (\mathfrak{r}, P B Q \mathfrak{a}) = ({}^t(P B Q)\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a})$, where ${}^t K$ denotes the transposed matrix of K . Hence we obtain ${}^t(P B Q)\mathfrak{r} = c\mathfrak{b}$. Let μ be the linear map of G' into N_o (or, more precisely, into the subspace of the tangent space to E at the point \mathfrak{r}/c of F which is parallel to N_o) defined by $\mu((A, \mathfrak{a})) = Q(A\mathfrak{r}/c + \mathfrak{a})$. It follows then that $\mu((B, \mathfrak{b})) = Q(B\mathfrak{r}/c + \mathfrak{b}) = Q B P \mathfrak{r}/c + \mathfrak{b} = -{}^t(P B Q)\mathfrak{r}/c + \mathfrak{b} = -\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{b} = 0$. This means that the one-parameter group generated by (B, \mathfrak{b}) leaves fixed the point \mathfrak{r}/c in F , though it does not leave fixed the point o , contrary to (2.1). Thus (2.5) is proved.

From (2.5) we infer that G which is transitive on N carries N_o to linear subspaces which are parallel to N_o in E . Therefore we have proved that

(2.6) N is a plane.

Hence for any point p in N there exists exactly one perpendicular to N

starting at p . It follows as in [4] that E admits a fibre bundle structure over N with fibre F associated with the principal bundle $(G, G/H, H)$, for the map $(\alpha, x) \in G \times F \rightarrow \alpha(x) \in E$ is onto and we have $\alpha(x) = \beta(y)$ if and only if $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ belongs to H and $x = \alpha^{-1}\beta(y)$. Assume $M \neq N$. Any G -orbit $\neq N$ (and in particular M) is a subbundle with a sphere S of dimension $= n - \dim N$ as the fibre. Since N is a plane, the bundle is trivial. Thus M is homeomorphic to $S \times N$. By (2.5), M is clearly isometric to the Riemannian product $S \times N$, which proves the lemma 2.1; in case $M = N$ the lemma follows directly from (2.6), though this case cannot occur because of the hypothesis $3 \leq r$.

3. The case $r \leq 1$.

In case $r \leq 1$, M is locally flat by the Gauss formula (1.6).

THEOREM 3.1. *A connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold M which is locally flat is the Riemannian product of a euclidean space and a torus. A torus is the Riemannian product of a finite number of circles.*

The universal covering Riemannian manifold of M is the euclidean space, which we denote by E here. In E we fix a cartesian coordinate system. Let G be a connected transitive isometry group of M . G induces an isometry group \hat{G} of E so that \hat{G} is an extension of G by the Poincaré group P ($=$ the 1-dimensional homotopy group) of M . Since P is a discrete normal subgroup of G , P is contained in the center of G . Any element of P can be expressed by a pair (C, c) of an orthogonal matrix C and a vector c in E such that (C, c) carries a point \mathfrak{g} of E to $C\mathfrak{g} + c$.

$$(3.1) \quad Cc = c \text{ for any } (C, c) \text{ in } P.$$

Since (C, c) commutes with any element (A, a) of the Lie algebra G' of \hat{G} , we have

$$Ac + a = Ca.$$

A being skew-symmetric, Ac is orthogonal to c . For an arbitrary vector \mathfrak{g} , $\|\mathfrak{g}\|$ shall denote its length. Since \hat{G} is transitive on E , a can be any vector. Putting $a = c$, we get $\|Ac\|^2 + \|a\|^2 = \|Ca\|^2 = \|a\|^2$. It follows $Ac = 0$, and so (3.1).

$$(3.2) \quad \text{The } n\text{-time composition } (C, c)^n \text{ of } (C, c) \text{ is } (C^n, nc) \text{ for any } (C, c) \text{ in } P.$$

This follows from (3.1) easily.

$$(3.3) \quad Ac = c \text{ for any } (A, a) \text{ in } \hat{G} \text{ and any } (C, c) \text{ in } P.$$

PROOF. Since $(C, c)^n$ commutes with (A, a) for any positive integer n , we obtain from (3.2)

$$(3.4) \quad nAc + a = C^n a + nc,$$

that is, $n(Ac - c) = C^n a - a$.

Assume that $Ac \neq c$. Then the length $\|n(Ac - c)\|$ is not bounded as a function of n , while $\|C^n a - a\| \leq \|C^n a\| + \|a\| = 2\|a\|$ is obviously bounded. Thus

(3.3) is true.

(3.5) C is the identity matrix for any (C, c) in P .

From (3.3) and (3.4) follows $C^na = a$; in particular $Ca = a$. \hat{G} being transitive, a can be any vector and we have (3.5).

By (3.5), P is a free abelian group contained in the translation group of E . Hence M is the Riemannian product of a euclidean space and a subspace N whose underlying manifold is that of a toral group T . T is a transitive isometry group of N . Hence N is a torus and Theorem 3.1 is proved.

LEMMA 3.2. *Lemma 2.1 holds good with the condition $3 \leq r$ replaced by $r \leq 1$. The sphere is of dimension one or zero.*

M is then locally flat as was remarked before. By Theorem 3.1, M is the Riemannian product of a euclidean space and a torus T . By Corollary 1.3, we have $r \leq 1$ throughout on the homogeneous space M . Restricted to T , f gives an imbedding of T into E whose rank does not exceed $r \leq 1$ as is easily seen. Now the following theorem of Chern [2, p. 23] applies: *Let g be an isometric map of a compact Riemannian manifold N into a euclidean space. Let $s(p)$ denote the rank of g at a point p of N . Then we have*

$$\dim N \leq \text{Max}_{p \in M} s(p).$$

And we conclude $\dim T \leq 1$ and the Lemma 3.2 is proved.

The main theorem mentioned in the introduction follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.2.

College of General Education, University of Tokyo
and

University of Tokyo.

Bibliography

- [1] E. Cartan, La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace euclidien réel à n dimensions, Œuvres complètes, Part. III vol. 1, 185-219.
- [2] S. Chern, Topics in differential geometry, Mimeographed, Princeton, 1951.
- [3] S. Kobayashi, Compact homogeneous hypersurfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **88** (1958), 137-143.
- [4] T. Nagano, Transformation groups with $(n-1)$ -dimensional orbits on non-compact manifolds, Nagoya Math. J., **14** (1959), 25-38.
- [5] T. Y. Thomas, Riemann spaces of class one and their characterization. Acta Math., **67** (1936), 169-211.