

On the theory of ordinal numbers

By Gaisi TAKEUTI

(Received July 16, 1955)

In a former paper [3], the author formalized the theory of ordinal numbers in a logical system G^1LC introduced in [4], and constructed in that theory the set theory of Fraenkel-von Neumann. The system G^1LC is a large system containing the concept of ‘arbitrary predicates’. Such a logical system is convenient, on the one hand, in application. It allows us to form the theory of ordinal numbers, for example, on a quite simple system of axioms. On the other hand however, the nature of G^1LC itself is not yet clarified. One does not know whether an analogue of Gentzen’s theorem [1]: “Every provable sequence in the system is provable without cut” (“Fundamental conjecture”) does or does not hold in G^1LC .

In this paper, we introduce a new logical system FLC , obtained by a slight modification of GLC . It will not contain the concept of “arbitrary predicates” but will contain that of “arbitrary functions”. We shall prove that the “fundamental conjecture” is valid in FLC .

The theory of ordinal numbers will be then reformalized in FLC , and the set theory of Fraenkel-von Neumann will be constructed in it. The author has in view to show, in a forth-coming paper, that, conversely, the theory of ordinal numbers as formalized in this paper can be constructed in the Fraenkel-von Neumann set theory.

The logical system FLC is, as said above, a modification of GLC . The formulas in FLC consist of variables, functions of various types, predicates and logical symbols described below. It is to be noticed that we have a wider domain of types than in GLC .

Chapter I. The system of logic FLC .

§ 1. Type symbols.

The type symbol is defined recursively as follows.

1.1.1. 0 is a type symbol

1.1.2. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are type symbols, then $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a type symbol. ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$)

The *height* of a type symbol is defined recursively as follows.

1.2.1. The height of the type symbol 0 is zero.

1.2.2. The height of the type symbol $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is $h+1$, where h is the maximal number of the heights of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

§ 2. Variables Functions etc.

- 2.1. Variables, which are also called function of type 0 or variable of type 0.
- 2.1.1. Free variables a, b, c, \dots
- 2.1.2. Bound variables x, y, z, \dots
- 2.1.3. Special variables $0, \omega, \dots$
- 2.2. Functions of type α (the height of $\alpha > 0$), which are also called variable of type α .
- 2.2.1. Free functions of type α $f\alpha, g\alpha, h\alpha, \dots$
- 2.2.2. Bound functions of type α $p\alpha, q\alpha, r\alpha, \dots$
- 2.2.3. Special functions $*'_1, \max(*_1, *_2), \dots$
- 2.3. Predicates $*_1 = *_2, *_1 < *_2$
- 2.4. Logical symbols $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \forall, \exists$

If no confusion is likely to occur, the notation may be abbreviated by the following conventions; we may write f, p for $f\alpha_1, p\alpha_2$ respectively.

§ 3. Terms and functionals.

Terms and functionals are defined recursively as follows.

- 3.1. Every free or special variable is a term.
- 3.2. Let f_1, \dots, f_n be free functions of type $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ respectively, where $f_i \neq f_j$, for $i \neq j$. Moreover, let p_1, \dots, p_n be bound functions of types $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ respectively such that p_1, \dots, p_n are not contained in a term T and $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$. If we substitute p_i for f_i in T for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) at all places where f_i are, and add $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ in front of the so constructed figure, then we obtain a functional of type $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.
- 3.3. Let f be a free or a special function of type $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and F_i be a functional of type α_i ($i=1, \dots, n$). Then $f(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ is a term. Term is called functional of type 0.

§ 4. Formula.

Formula is defined recursively as follows.

- 4.1. If T_1 and T_2 are terms, then $T_1 = T_2$ and $T_1 < T_2$ are formulas.
- 4.2. If A_1 and A_2 are formulas, then $\neg A_1, A_1 \wedge A_2, A_1 \vee A_2$ are formulas.
- 4.3. Let p be a bound function of the same type with a free function f and be not contained in a formula A . If we substitute p for f in A at all places where f are, and add $\forall p$ or $\exists p$ in front of the so constructed figure, then we obtain a formula.

Indication, homologousness and substitution are defined in the same way as in [4].

§ 5. Inference-figures.

Inference-figures on structure of sequences, cut, inferences on \neg , on \wedge , and on \vee are given with the same schemata as in [4].

$$\forall \text{ left } \frac{A(F), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\forall p A(p), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}$$

(F is an arbitrary functional
of the same type with p)

$$\forall \text{ right } \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, A(f)}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \forall p A(p)}$$

(There is no f in the lower
sequence)

$$\exists \text{ left } \frac{A(f), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\exists p A(p), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}$$

(There is no f in the lower
sequence)

$$\exists \text{ right } \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, A(F)}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \exists p A(p)}$$

(F is an arbitrary functional
of the same type with p)

§ 6. Proof-figure.

Proof-figure is defined in the same way as in [4].

By the same method as in [1], we have easily the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If \mathfrak{S} is a provable sequence, then \mathfrak{S} is provable without cut.

Chapter II. The theory of ordinal numbers.

§ 1. Axioms of the theory of ordinal numbers.

First we shall list the axioms of the theory of ordinal numbers.

- I. 1. $\forall x(x=x)$
- 2. $0 < \omega$
- 3. $\forall x \forall y(x < y \vee x = y \vee y < x)$
- 4. $\forall x \forall y \forall (x = y \wedge x < y)$
- 5. $\forall x \forall y \forall (x < y \wedge y < x)$
- 6. $\forall x \forall y \forall z(x < y \wedge y < z \vdash x < z)$
- 7. $\forall x(0 < x \vee x = 0)$
- 8. $\forall x \forall y(x < y \vdash x' = y \vee x' < y)$

where $*$ ' is a special function of type (0)

- 9. $\forall x(x < x')$
- 10. $\forall x \forall y(x' = y' \vdash x = y)$
- 11. $\forall x(x < \omega \vdash x' < \omega)$
- 12. $\forall x \forall y(x \leq y \vdash \max(x, y) = y)$

where $x \leq y$ means $x < y \vee x = y$ as usual, and \max is a special function of type (0,0).

- 13. $\forall x \forall y(y \leq x \vdash \max(x, y) = x)$
- 14. $N(0) = 0'$

where N is a special function of type (0).

- 15. $\forall x(x > 0 \vdash N(x) = 0)$

We use sometimes $a > b$ for $b < a$ and $a \geq b$ for $b \leq a$ as usual.

- 16. $\forall x \forall y(y < x \vdash \text{Iq}(y, x) = 0)$

where Iq is a special function of type (0,0).

- 17. $\forall x \forall y(x = y \vdash \text{Eq}(x, y) = 0)$

where Eq is a special function of type (0,0).

- 18. $\forall x(\delta(x') = x)$

where δ is a special function of type (0).

- 19. $\forall x \forall y(x < y \vdash \delta(x) \leq \delta(y))$

- 20. $\forall x(x < \omega \vdash (\delta(x))' = x \vee x = 0)$

- 21. $\forall x(j(g^1(x), g^2(x)) = x)$

where j is a special function of type (0,0) and g^1 and g^2 are special functions of type (0).

- 22. $\forall x \forall y(g^1(j(x, y)) = x)$

- 23. $\forall x \forall y(g^2(j(x, y)) = y)$

$$2.4. \quad \forall x \forall y \forall u \forall v (j(x, y) < j(u, v) \rightarrow \max(x, y) < \max(u, v) \\ \vee (\max(x, y) = \max(u, v) \wedge (y < v \vee (y = v \wedge x < u)))$$

II. 1. Equality axiom

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y ((x = y \rightarrow p(x) = p(y))$$

2. Axiom of minimum

$$a) \quad \forall p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \rightarrow p(\text{Min}(p)) = 0 \wedge x \geq \text{Min}(p)) \\ b) \quad \forall p (p(\text{Min}(p)) = 0 \vee \text{Min}(p) = 0)$$

where Min is a special function of type $((0))$.

3. Axiom of upper bound

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y (y < x \rightarrow p(y) < \text{up}(p, x))$$

where up is a special function of type $((0), 0)$.

4. Axiom of contraction

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y ((y < x \rightarrow \text{Con}(p, x, y) = p(y)) \wedge (y \geq x \rightarrow \text{Con}(p, x, y) = 0))$$

where Con is a special function of type $((0), 0, 0)$.

5. Axiom of gap

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y (\text{gap}(p, x) = p(y) \rightarrow y \geq x)$$

where gap is a special function of type $((0), 0)$.

6. Axiom of sum

$$\forall p \forall q \forall r \forall x ((p(x) = 0 \rightarrow S(p, q, r, x) = q(x)) \\ \wedge (p(x) > 0 \rightarrow S(p, q, r, x) = r(x)))$$

where S is a special function of type $((0), (0), (0), 0)$

7. Axiom of recursive function

$$\forall p \forall x (\text{Rec}(p, x) = p(\{y\} \text{Con}(\{z\} \text{Rec}(p, z), x, y), x))$$

where Rec is a special function of type $((0), 0, 0)$.

8. Axiom of cardinal

$$\forall p \forall x (\text{gap}(p, x) < \chi(x))$$

The system of all these axioms I. 1—24 and II. 1—8, that is, the juxtaposition of these axioms by the agency of commata, will be denoted by Γ_0 .

In this paper, we shall use the following abbreviated wording. When $\Gamma_0, \Gamma \rightarrow A$ is provable, we shall say briefly that $\Gamma \rightarrow A$ is provable, or that we have $\Gamma \rightarrow A$; if, moreover, $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow A$ is provable, then we say that A is provable or that we have A .

Propositions, of which we shall omit easy proofs are, marked by *.

Next we shall define several elementary functions and state their elementary properties.

$\text{Min}(f, a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(\{x\} \text{Eq}(f(x), a))$.

*We have

$$f(T) = a \rightarrow f(\text{Min}(f, a)) = a \wedge T \geq \text{Min}(f, a)$$

and

$$\forall x \succ (f(x) = a) \rightarrow \text{Min}(f, a) = 0.$$

$E(f)$ is defined by $f(\text{Min}(f))$.

*We have

$$E(f) = 0 \mapsto \exists x(f(x) = 0).$$

$E(f, a)$ is defined by $E(\{x\} \text{Eq}(f(x), a))$.

*We have

$$E(f, a) = 0 \mapsto \exists x(f(x) = a).$$

§ 2. Primitive formula.

A formula A is called a *primitive formula* (abbreviated by pf), if A satisfies the following condition. If $\forall p$ or $\exists p$ is contained in A , then p is of the type 0, that is, $\forall p$ or $\exists p$ is of the form $\forall x$ or $\exists x$ respectively.

Now, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ be a primitive formula, where $F(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ contains no free function nor free variable. Then there exists such a term $T(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ that $T(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ has no free function nor free variable and*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \dots \forall q \forall x \dots \forall y (T(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y) &= 0 \\ \mapsto F(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)) \end{aligned}$$

is provable.

PROOF. We prove this by the induction on the number n of logical symbols in $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$.

1) The case, when $n=0$. In this case $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ is of the form

$$U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b) = V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$$

or

$$U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b) < V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b).$$

Accordingly, we set as $T(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$.

$$\text{Eq}(U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b), V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b))$$

or

$$\text{Iq}(U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b), V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b))$$

Then the proposition is clear.

2) The case, when $n > 0$.

a) The case, when $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ is of the form $\succ G(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$. By the hypothesis of the induction, there exists such a term $U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ that $U(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ contains no free function nor free variable and $\forall p \dots \forall q \forall x \dots \forall y (U(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y) = 0 \vdash G(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y))$ is provable. We set $N(U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b))$ as $T(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$. Then the proposition is clear.

b) The case, when $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ is of the form $G(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b) \wedge H(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$. By the hypothesis of the induction, there exist such terms $U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ and $V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ that $U(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ and $V(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ contain no free function nor free variable and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \dots \forall q \forall x \dots \forall y (U(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y) = 0 \\ \vdash G(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \dots \forall q \forall x \dots \forall y (V(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y) = 0 \\ \vdash H(p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)) \end{aligned}$$

are provable. We set

$$\max(U(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b), V(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b))$$

as $T(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$. Then the proposition is clear.

c). The case, when $F(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ is of the form $\exists z G(z, f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$. By the hypothesis of the induction, there exists such a term $U(c, f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b)$ that $U(z, p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)$ contains no free function nor free variable and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \forall p \dots \forall q \forall x \dots \forall y (U(z, p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y) = 0 \\ \vdash G(z, p, \dots, q, x, \dots, y)). \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$E(\{z\} U(z, f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b))$$

as

$$T(f, \dots, g, a, \dots, b).$$

Then the proposition is clear.

By theorem 2 the following propositions are easily proved.

LEMMA 1. Let $A(a)$ be pf. Then the following formula is provable.

$$\forall x \forall y (x = y \vdash (A(x) \vdash A(y))).$$

LEMMA 2. (Transfinite induction). Let $A(a)$ be pf. Then the fol-

lowing sequences are provable.

$$\forall x(x < T \vdash (\forall y(y < x \vdash A(y)) \vdash A(x))) \rightarrow \forall x(x < T \vdash A(x)).$$

and $\forall x(\forall y(y < x \vdash A(y)) \vdash A(x)) \rightarrow \forall x A(x).$

LEMMA 3. (Mathematical induction). Let $A(a)$ be pf. Then the following sequence is provable.

$$A(0), \forall x(A(x) \vdash A(x')), T < \omega \rightarrow A(T).$$

LEMMA 4. Let $A(a)$ be pf, and $U(a)$ and $V(a)$ be terms. Then there exists such a term $T(a)$ that the following formula is provable.

$$\forall x((A(x) \vdash T(x) = U(x)) \wedge (\forall A(x) \vdash T(x) = V(x))).$$

This proposition is clearly generalized as follows.

Let $A_1(a), \dots, A_n(a)$ be pf's and $\forall x(A_1(x) \vee \dots \vee A_n(x))$ and $\forall x \succ (A_i(x) \wedge A_j(x))$, for $i \neq j$, be provable. Moreover let $T_1(a), \dots, T_n(a)$ be terms. Then there exists such a term $T(a)$ that the following formula is provable.

$$\forall x((A_1(x) \vdash T(x) = T_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (A_n(x) \vdash T(x) = T_n(x))).$$

LEMMA 5. Let $T(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}f(u), a, z), a)$ be a term, where $T(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}p(u), x, z), x)$ contains neither f nor a . Then there exists such a term $U(a)$ that $U(x)$ has no a and the following formula is provable.

$$\forall x(U(x) = T(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{v\}U(u), x, z), x)).$$

LEMMA 6. Let $A_1(b), \dots, A_n(b)$ be pf's and $\forall x(A_1(x) \vee \dots \vee A_n(x))$ and $\forall x \succ (A_i(x) \wedge A_j(x))$, for $i \neq j$, be provable. Moreover, let $T_1(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), a), \dots, T_n(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), a)$ be terms, where $T_i(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}p(u), x, z), x)$ contains neither f nor a for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then there exists such a term $T(a)$ that the following formula is provable.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x((A_1(x) \vdash T(x) = T_1(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), x)) \wedge \dots \wedge \\ (A_n(x) \vdash T(x) = T_n(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), x))). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we say that the function $T(a)$ is defined by the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x((A_1(x) \vdash T(x) = T_1(\{z\} \text{Con}(T, x, z), x)) \wedge \dots \wedge \\ (A_n(x) \vdash T(x) = T_n(\{z\} \text{Con}(T, x, z), x))). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7. Let $A_1(b), \dots, A_n(b)$ be pf's and $\forall x(A_1(x) \vee \dots \vee A_n(x))$ and $\forall x \succ (A_i(x) \wedge A_j(x))$, for $i \neq j$, be provable. Moreover, let $B_1(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}f(u),$

$a, z), a), \dots, B_n(\{z\}\text{Con}(\{u\}f(u), a, z), a)$ be pf's, where $B_i(\{z\}\text{Con}(\{u\}p(u), x, z), x)$ contains neither f nor a for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then there exists such a term $T(x)$ that the following formula is provable.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x((A_1(x) \vdash (T(x) = 0 \vdash B_1(\{z\}\text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), x))) \wedge \dots \wedge \\ & \quad (A_n(x) \vdash (T(x) = 0 \vdash B_n(\{z\}\text{Con}(\{u\}T(u), x, z), x)))). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A(a)$ be pf. Then there exists such a term $T(a)$ that

$$\forall x((T(x) = 0 \vdash A(x)) \wedge T(x) \leqq 1).$$

We define $\text{Min}(x)A(x)$ by $\text{Min}(\{x\}T(x))$. Clearly the following sequences are provable.

$$\begin{aligned} & A(U) \rightarrow A(\text{Min}(x)A(x)), \\ & \rightarrow A(\text{Min}(x)A(x)), \text{Min}(x)A(x) = 0, \\ & \rightarrow \forall x(A(x) \vdash x \geqq \text{Min}(y)A(y)). \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. Construction of several functions.

$\text{sup}(f, a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(x)\forall y(y < a \vdash f(y) < x)$.

By the axiom of upper bound, we have easily

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y (y < x \vdash p(y) < \text{sup}(p, x))$$

$$\text{and } \forall p \forall x \forall u (\forall y (y < x \vdash p(y) < u) \vdash \text{sup}(p, x) \leqq u).$$

$\text{mg}(f, a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(x)\forall y(x = f(y) \vdash y \geqq a)$.

By the axiom of gap, we have easily

$$\forall p \forall x \forall y (\text{mg}(p, x) = p(y) \vdash y \geqq x)$$

$$\text{and } \forall p \forall x \forall u (\forall y (u = p(y) \vdash y \geqq x) \vdash u \geqq \text{mg}(p, x)).$$

It is remarkable that $\text{Min}(x)\forall p(\text{mg}(p, a) < x)$ cannot be defined.

$a + b$ is defined by the following formula.

$$a + 0 = a \wedge \forall x(x > 0 \vdash a + x = \text{sup}(\{z\}\text{Con}(\{u\}(a + u), x, z), x))$$

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \forall y (x \leqq x + y) \\ & \forall x \forall y \forall z (z < y \vdash (x + z)' \leqq x + y) \\ & \forall x \forall y \forall u (\forall z (z < y \vdash (x + z)' \leqq u) \wedge x \leqq u \vdash x + y \leqq u) \\ & \forall x \forall y (x + y' = (x + y)') \\ & \forall x (x + 0 = x) \\ & \forall x (0 + x = x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \forall y (x < \omega \wedge y < \omega \rightarrow x + y = y + x) \\ & \forall x \forall y (x < \omega \wedge y < \omega \rightarrow x + y < \omega) \\ & \forall x \forall y \forall z (y < z \rightarrow x + y < x + z) \\ & \forall x \forall y \forall z ((x + y) + z = x + (y + z)). \end{aligned}$$

*We have furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \forall y (x < \omega \wedge y < \omega \rightarrow j(x, y) < \omega) \\ & j(\omega, 0) = \omega \\ & j(0, \omega) = \omega \cdot 2 \\ & j(\omega, \omega) = \omega \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$$

where $a \cdot 2$ or $a \cdot 3$ is the abbreviation of $a + a$ or $a + a + a$.

$\delta_0(a)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$(a < \omega \rightarrow \delta_0(a) = \delta(a)) \wedge (a \geq \omega \rightarrow \delta_0(a) = a).$$

We define $g^{11}(a), g^{12}(a), g^{21}(a)$ and $g^{22}(a)$ by $g^1(g^1(a)), g^1(g^2(a)), g^2(g^1(a))$ and $g^2(g^2(a))$ respectively.

$\delta_1(a)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$(g^1(a) = 0 \rightarrow \delta_1(a) = g^2(a)) \wedge (g^1(a) > 0 \rightarrow \delta_1(a) = \delta_0(g^1(a)))$$

$\delta_0(a, b)$ is defined by $\delta_1(j(a, b))$

*We have

$$\delta_0(0, b) = b$$

$$\text{and } a > 0 \rightarrow \delta_0(a, b) = \delta_0(a)$$

$$S(a, b), S_1(a), T(a, b), T_1(a), T_2(a), T_3(a) \text{ and } T_4(a)$$

are defined by the following :

$$S(a, b) \text{ is } j(\delta_0(g^1(a), \delta(b)), \delta_0(g^2(a), \delta(b)))$$

$$S_1(a) \text{ is } j(g^1(a), \delta(g^2(a)))$$

$$T(a, b) \text{ is } j(j(g^1(a), g^1(b)), j(g^2(a), g^2(b)))$$

$$T_1(a) \text{ is } j(g^{11}(a), g^{12}(a))$$

$$T_2(a) \text{ is } j(g^{21}(a), g^{22}(a))'$$

$$T_3(a) \text{ is } j(g^{11}(a), g^{12}(a))'$$

$$T_4(a) \text{ is } j(g^{21}(a), g^{22}(a))$$

$K(a)$ is defined by the following formula

$$(g^1(a) \geq g^2(a) \rightarrow K(a) = 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge (g^1(a) < g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) = g^2(a) \rightarrow K(a) = g^1(a)) \\
& \wedge (g^1(a) < g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) < g^2(a) \wedge \delta(g^2(a)) < g^2(a) \\
& \quad \rightarrow K(a) = S(\text{Con}(K, a, S_1(a)), g^2(a))) \\
& \wedge (g^1(a) < g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) < g^2(a) \wedge \delta(g^2(a)) = g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) \leq g^{22}(a) \\
& \quad \rightarrow K(a) = T(\text{Con}(K, a, T_1(a)), (\text{Con}(K, a, T_2(a)))) \\
& \wedge (g^1(a) < g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) < g^2(a) \wedge \delta(g^2(a)) = g^2(a) \wedge g^{12}(a) > g^{22}(a) \\
& \quad \rightarrow K(a) = T(\text{Con}(K, a, T_3(a)), \text{Con}(K, a, T_4(a))))
\end{aligned}$$

$K(a, b)$ is defined to be $K(j(a, b))$

*We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a \geqq b \rightarrow K(a, b) = 0 \\
& a < b, g^1(b) = b \rightarrow K(a, b) = a \\
& a < b, g^1(b) < b, \delta(b) < b \rightarrow K(a, b) = S(K(a, \delta(b)), b) \\
& a < b, g^1(b) < b, \delta(b) = b, g^1(b) \leqq g^2(b) \\
& \quad \rightarrow K(a, b) = T(K(g^1(a), g^1(b)), K(g^2(a), g^2(b)')) \\
& a < b, g^1(b) < b, \delta(b) = b, g^1(b) > g^2(b) \\
& \quad \rightarrow K(a, b) = T(K(g^1(a), g^1(b)'), K(g^2(a), g^2(b))) \\
& a < \omega, b < \omega \rightarrow \exists z(z < \omega \wedge K(z, \omega) = j(a, b)) \\
& a < \omega \rightarrow g^1(K(a, \omega)) < \omega \wedge g^2(K(a, \omega)) < \omega
\end{aligned}$$

By the transfinite induction on a , we have easily

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z(x < a \wedge y < a \wedge \omega \leqq a \rightarrow z < a \wedge K(z, a) = j(x, y))$$

and $\forall x(x < a \wedge \omega \leqq a \rightarrow g^1(K(x, a)) < a \wedge g^2(K(x, a)) < a)$.

$G(a, b ; c)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(z)(K(z, c) = j(a, b))$.

*We have

$$\omega \leqq a \rightarrow \forall x \forall y(x < a \wedge y < a \rightarrow G(x, y ; a) < a \wedge K(G(x, y ; a), a) = j(x, y)).$$

Now we define successively $0' = 1, 1' = 2, 2' = 3, 3' = 4, 4' = 5, 5' = 6, 6' = 7, 7' = 8, 8' = 9, 9' = 10, 10' = 11, 11' = 12$.

$J(a, b)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
J(0, b) &= 0 \\
\wedge (\delta(a) < a \rightarrow J(a, b) = \text{Con}(\{u\}J(u, b), a, \delta(a) + b)) \\
\wedge (0 < a \wedge \delta(a) = a \rightarrow J(a, b) = \sup(\{z\} \text{Con}(\{u\}J(u, b), a, z), a)).
\end{aligned}$$

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} b > 0 \rightarrow \forall x \forall y (x < y \vdash J(x, b) < J(y, b)) \\ \forall \exists x y \exists z (J(y, b) + z = x \wedge z < b). \end{aligned}$$

$j(c, a, b)$ is defined by $J(j(a, b), 9) + c$.

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \forall v \forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w (u < 9 \wedge v < 9 \vdash (j(u, x, y) < j(v, z, w) \\ \vdash j(x, y) < j(z, w) \vee (j(x, y) = j(z, w) \wedge u < v))) \\ \forall u \forall v \forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w (u < 9 \wedge v < 9 \wedge j(u, x, y) = j(v, z, w) \\ \vdash u = v \wedge x = z \wedge y = w) \end{aligned}$$

$g_0(a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(z) \exists x \exists y (a = j(z, x, y) \wedge z < 9)$.

$g_1(a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(z) \exists x \exists y (a = j(x, z, y) \wedge x < 9)$.

$g_2(a)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(z) \exists x \exists y (a = j(x, y, z) \wedge x < 9)$.

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x (g_0(x) < 9) \\ \forall x (j(g_0(x), g_1(x), g_2(x)) = x) \\ \forall x (x \geq g_1(x)) \\ \forall x (x \geq g_2(x)) \wedge \forall x (x > 0 \vdash x > g_2(x)). \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{j}(c, a, b)$ is defined by $J(j(a, b), 12) + c$.

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \forall v \forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w (u < 12 \wedge v < 12 \vdash (\tilde{j}(u, x, y) < \tilde{j}(v, z, w) \\ \vdash j(x, y) < j(z, w) \vee (j(x, y) = j(z, w) \wedge u < v))) \\ \forall u \forall v \forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w (u < 12 \wedge v < 12 \wedge \tilde{j}(u, x, y) = \tilde{j}(v, z, w) \\ \vdash u = v \wedge x = z \wedge y = w). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above, we have three functions $\tilde{g}_0, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x (\tilde{g}_0(x) < 12) \\ \forall x (\tilde{j}(\tilde{g}_0(x), \tilde{g}_1(x), \tilde{g}_2(x)) = x) \\ \forall x (x \geq \tilde{g}_1(x)) \\ \forall x (x \geq \tilde{g}_2(x)) \wedge \forall x (x > 0 \vdash x > \tilde{g}_2(x)). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above, we have a function $\tilde{K}(a, b)$ satisfying

$$\forall u \forall x \forall y \exists z (u < 12 \wedge x < a \wedge y < a \wedge \omega \leqq a$$

$\vdash z < a \wedge \tilde{K}(z, a) = \tilde{j}(u, x, y))$
and $\forall x(x < a \wedge \omega \leqq a \vdash g_1(\tilde{K}(x, a)) < a \wedge g_2(\tilde{K}(x, a)) < a).$

$a - b$ is defined by $\text{Min}(x)(b + x = a)$.

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} b \leqq a &\rightarrow b + (a - b) = a \\ b \leqq a, b \leqq c &\rightarrow a \leqq c \vdash (a - b) \leqq (c - b). \end{aligned}$$

Chapter III. Construction of set theory.

§ 1. The model A .

Let $<(f, b, c)$ be $b > c \wedge f(j(b, c)) = 0$
 $= (f, b, c)$ be $(b \leqq c \wedge f(j(b, c)) = 0) \vee (b \geqq c \wedge f(j(c, b)) = 0)$
 $\leqslant(f, b, c)$ be $\exists x((f, x, c) \wedge <(f, b, x))$
 $= (f : b ; \{c ; d\})$ be $\forall x(x < b \vdash (\leqslant(f, b, x) \vdash = (f, x, c) \vee = (f, x, d)))$
 $\quad \wedge \exists x(x < b \wedge = (f, x, c)) \wedge \exists x(x < b \wedge = (f, x, d))$
 $\leqslant(f : b ; \{c ; d\})$ be $\exists x(x < b \wedge \leqslant(f, b, x) \wedge = (f : x ; \{c ; d\}))$
 $= (f : b ; <c ; d>)$ be $\exists x \exists y(x < b \wedge y < b \wedge = (f : b ; \{x ; y\}))$
 $\quad \wedge = (f : x ; \{c ; d\}) \wedge = (f : y ; \{c ; d\}))$
 $\leqslant(f : b ; <c ; d>)$ be
 $\quad \exists x(x < b \wedge \leqslant(f, b, x) \wedge = (f : x ; <c ; d>))$
 $= (f : b ; <c ; d ; e>)$ be
 $\quad \exists x(x < b \wedge = (f : b ; <c ; x>) \wedge = (f : x ; <d ; e>))$
 $\leqslant(f : b ; <c ; d ; e>)$ be
 $\quad \exists x(x < b \wedge \leqslant(f, b, x) \wedge = (f : x ; <c ; d ; e>)).$

Moreover let

$H_1(f, a)$ be $= (f, g^2(a), g_1(g^1(a))) \vee = (f, g^2(a), g_2(g^1(a)))$
 $H_2(f, a)$ be $\leqslant(f, g_1(g^1(a)), g^2(a)) \wedge \exists x \exists y(x < g^2(a) \wedge y < g^2(a))$
 $\quad \wedge \leqslant(f, y, x) \wedge = (f : g^2(a) ; <x ; y>))$
 $H_3(f, a)$ be $\leqslant(f, g_1(g^1(a)), g^2(a)) \wedge \nexists x \exists y(x < g^2(a) \wedge y < g^2(a))$
 $H_4(f, a)$ be $\leqslant(f, g_1(g^1(a)), g^2(a)) \wedge \exists x \exists y(x < g^2(a) \wedge y < g^2(a))$
 $\quad \wedge = (f : g^2(a) ; <x ; y>) \wedge \leqslant(f, g_2(g^1(a)), y))$
 $H_5(f, a)$ be $\exists x(x < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge \leqslant(f : g_1(g^1(a)) ; <x ; g^2(a)>))$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_6(f, a) \text{ be } & \exists x \exists y (x < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge y < g_1(g^1(a)) \\
& \wedge \leqslant(f: g_1(g^1(a)); \langle x; y \rangle) \wedge = (f: g^2(a); \langle y; x \rangle)) \\
H_7(f, a) \text{ be } & \exists x \exists y \exists z (x < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge y < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge z < g_1(g^1(a)) \\
& \wedge \leqslant(f: g_1(g^1(a)); \langle x; y; z \rangle) \wedge = (f: g^2(a); \langle y; z; x \rangle)) \\
H_8(f, a) \text{ be } & \exists x \exists y \exists z (x < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge y < g_1(g^1(a)) \wedge z < g_1(g^1(a)) \\
& \wedge \leqslant(f: g_1(g^1(a)); \langle x; y; z \rangle) \wedge = (f: g^2(a); \langle x; z; y \rangle)) \\
H_9(f, a) \text{ be } & \forall x (x < g^2(a) \vdash (\leqslant(f, g^1(a), x) \vdash \leqslant(f, g^2(a), x)))
\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists such a $fn(a)$ that the following sequences are provable.

$$\begin{aligned}
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 0 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 1 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_1(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 2 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_2(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 3 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_3(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 4 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_4(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 5 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_5(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 6 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_6(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 7 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_7(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) > g^2(a), g_0(g^1(a)) = 8 \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_8(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a) \\
g^1(a) \leq g^2(a) \rightarrow fn(a) = 0 \vdash H_9(\{z\}Con(fn, a, z), a).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\langle(b, c), = (b, c), \leqslant(b, c), = (b; \{c; d\}), \leqslant(b; \{c; d\}), = (b; \langle c; d \rangle), \leqslant(b; \langle c; d \rangle), = (b; \langle c; d; e \rangle), \leqslant(b; \langle c; d; e \rangle)$ be $\langle(fn, b, c), = (fn, b, c), \leqslant(fn, b, c), = (fn; b; \{c; d\}), \leqslant(fn; b; \{c; d\}), = (fn; b; \langle c; d \rangle), \leqslant(fn; b; \langle c; d \rangle), = (fn; b; \langle c; d; e \rangle), \leqslant(fn; b; \langle c; d; e \rangle)$ respectively. We write $c \in b$ (or $b \ni c$), $b \equiv c$, $\{b, c\}$, $\langle c, d \rangle$, $\langle c, d, e \rangle$, $Od(a)$, $C(a)$, $b \dot{\cup} c$, $b \cdot c$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and $a \sqsubset b$ for $\leqslant(b, c)$, $= (b, c)$, $j(1, b, c)$, $\{\{c, c\}, \{c, d\}\}$, $\langle c, \langle d, e \rangle \rangle$, $Min(z)$ ($z \equiv a$), $Min(z)$ ($z \in a$), $j(3, b, c)$, $b \dot{\cup} (b \dot{\cup} c)$, $\forall x (x \in a \vdash x \in b)$ and $a \sqsubseteq b \wedge \nexists (a \equiv b)$ respectively. Then, in the same way as in pp. 209—214 of [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0(b) = 0 \rightarrow a \in b \vdash \exists x (x \equiv a \wedge x < b) \\
\rightarrow \{b, c\} \ni d \vdash b \equiv d \vee c \equiv d \\
a \equiv b, c \equiv d \rightarrow \{a, c\} \equiv \{b, d\} \\
\rightarrow a \equiv c \wedge b \equiv d \vdash \langle a, b \rangle \equiv \langle c, d \rangle \\
\rightarrow a \equiv b \wedge c \equiv d \wedge e \equiv f \vdash \langle a, c, e \rangle \equiv \langle b, d, f \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0(b) &= 2 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash c \in g_1(b) \wedge \exists x \exists y (x \in y \wedge c = \langle x, y \rangle) \\
&\rightarrow a \in (b \dot{-} c) \vdash a \in b \wedge \forall (a \in c) \\
&\rightarrow a \in (b \cdot c) \vdash a \in b \wedge a \in c \\
g_0(b) &= 4 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash g_1(b) \exists c \wedge \exists x \exists y (c = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge g_2(b) \exists y) \\
g_0(b) &= 5 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash \exists x (g_1(b) \exists c = \langle x, c \rangle) \\
g_0(b) &= 6 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash \exists x \exists y (g_1(b) \exists c = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge c = \langle y, x \rangle) \\
g_0(b) &= 7 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (g_1(b) \exists c = \langle x, y, z \rangle \wedge c = \langle y, z, x \rangle) \\
g_0(b) &= 8 \rightarrow b \exists c \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (g_1(b) \exists c = \langle x, y, z \rangle \wedge c = \langle x, z, y \rangle) \\
&\rightarrow a \equiv \text{Od}(a) \\
a \equiv b &\rightarrow b \geq \text{Od}(a) \\
a \in b &\rightarrow \text{Od}(a) < \text{Od}(b) \\
\exists x (x \in a) &\rightarrow C(a) \in a \wedge \forall x (x \in a \vdash x \geq C(a)) \\
&\rightarrow \forall (a \in a) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \in \omega \wedge \forall x (x \in \omega \vdash \exists y (y \in \omega \wedge x \subset y)) \\
&\rightarrow \forall x \forall (x \in 0) \\
&\rightarrow \forall y \forall z (z \in y \wedge y \in a \vdash z \in j(0, a, a)).
\end{aligned}$$

We write $a \in f$ for $f(a) = 0$. Moreover we denote with $\text{cl}(f)$ the formula $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \in f \wedge z \in x \vdash z \in y)$.

First, we see from lemma 2 that the following sequences are provable.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash x \in a) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash f(x) = 0 \wedge \forall g(x) = 0) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash f(x) = 0 \wedge g(x) = 0) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash f(x) = 0 \vee g(x) = 0) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash \exists y \exists z (x = \langle y, z \rangle \wedge f(x) = 0)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash \exists y f(\langle y, x \rangle) = 0) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall u (p(u) = 0 \vdash \exists x \exists y (f(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0 \wedge u = \langle y, x \rangle)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall u (p(u) = 0 \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (f(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = 0 \wedge u = \langle y, z, x \rangle)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall u (p(u) = 0 \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (f(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = 0 \wedge u = \langle x, z, y \rangle)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall u (p(u) = 0 \vdash \exists x \exists y (u = \langle y, x \rangle \wedge f(y) = 0)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall u (p(u) = 0 \vdash \exists y \exists z (u = \langle y, z \rangle \wedge f(y) = 0 \wedge g(z) = 0)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash \exists y (f(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0)) \\
&\rightarrow \exists p \forall x (p(x) = 0 \vdash \exists y (f(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0 \wedge g(y) = 0))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \exists p \forall x(p(x)=0 \vdash \exists y(f(<x, y>)=0 \wedge y \in a)) \\ &\rightarrow \exists p \forall x(p(x)=0 \vdash f(<x, a>)=0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the same calculation as in the chapter V p. 40 in [2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \succ (x \in f) \rightarrow \text{cl}(f) \\ &\forall x (x \in f) \rightarrow \text{cl}(f) \\ &\text{cl}(f), a \in f, a = b \rightarrow b \in f \\ &\rightarrow \forall x \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall y (y \in p \vdash y \in x)) \\ &\forall x (x \in f \vdash \exists y \exists z (x = <y, z> \wedge y \in z)) \rightarrow \text{cl}(f) \\ &\rightarrow \forall p \forall q \exists r (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \text{cl}(q) \vdash \text{cl}(r) \wedge \forall x (x \in r \vdash x \in p \wedge x \in q)) \\ &\rightarrow \forall p \forall q \exists r (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \text{cl}(q) \vdash \text{cl}(r) \wedge \forall x (x \in r \vdash x \in p \vee x \in q)) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash \exists y (<y, x> \in f))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall u (u \in p \vdash \exists x \exists y (<x, y> \in f \wedge u = <y, x>))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall u (u \in p \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (<x, y, z> \in f \wedge u = <y, z, x>))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall u (u \in p \vdash \exists x \exists y \exists z (<x, y, z> \in f \wedge u = <x, z, y>))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall u (u \in p \vdash \exists x \exists y (u = <y, x> \wedge y \in f))) \\ &\text{cl}(f), \text{cl}(g) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash \exists y \exists z (x = <y, z> \wedge y \in f \wedge z \in g))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash \exists y (<x, y> \in f))) \\ &\text{cl}(f), \text{cl}(g) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash \exists y (<x, y> \in f \wedge y \in g))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash \exists y (<x, y> \in f \wedge y \in a))) \\ &\text{cl}(f) \rightarrow \exists p (\text{cl}(p) \wedge \forall x (x \in p \vdash <x, a> \in f)). \end{aligned}$$

As in p. 215 of [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{cl}(f), \forall x \forall y \forall z (<x, z> \in f \wedge <y, z> \in f \vdash x = y) \\ &\quad \rightarrow \exists x \forall y (y \in x \vdash \exists z (z \in a \wedge <y, z> \in f)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to construct a model of set theory, we have only to prove

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \subseteq x \vdash z \in y)$$

which is proved in § 2.

§ 2. Proof of $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \subseteq x \vdash z \in y)$.

To prove $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \subseteq x \vdash z \in y)$ we have only to prove

$$\omega \leqq b, c \subseteq b, d = j(0, j(0, x(b), 0), 0) \rightarrow c \in d.$$

Therefore we assume in this section that $\omega \leq b, c \subseteq b$, and $d = j(0, j(0, \chi(b), 0), 0)$ hold.

First we define $\text{clos}(f)$ as an abbreviation of

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall u \forall x \forall y (u < 9 \wedge f(x) = 0 \wedge f(y) = 0 \rightarrow f(j(u, x, y)) = 0) \\ & \wedge \forall x (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow f(C(x)) = 0 \wedge f(g_1(x)) = 0 \wedge f(g_2(x)) = 0) \\ & \wedge \forall x (x < \omega \rightarrow f(x) = 0). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in the proof of 12.8 in the chapter VIII in [2] (pp. 54–61) we have first

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \forall x \forall y (x < a_0 \wedge y < a_0 \rightarrow (x < y \rightarrow G(x) < G(y))), \\ & \forall x (x < a_0 \rightarrow f(G(x)) = 0), \\ & \forall x \exists y (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow x = G(y) \wedge y < a_0), \\ & \text{clos}(f), e < a_0, c < a_0 \rightarrow c \exists e \rightarrow G(c) \exists G(e). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume the following propositions (2) and (3), and prove $c \in d$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \exists x \forall y (f(y) = 0 \rightarrow y < x) \\ & \rightarrow \exists p \exists u (\forall x \forall y (x < u \wedge y < u \rightarrow (x < y \rightarrow p(x) < p(y)))) \\ & \wedge \forall x (x < u \rightarrow f(p(x)) = 0) \\ & \wedge \forall x \exists y (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow y < u \wedge x = p(y))) \\ (3) \quad & \omega \leq b \rightarrow \exists p \exists q (\forall x (x < b \rightarrow p(x) = 0) \wedge \text{clos}(p) \wedge p(c) = 0 \\ & \wedge \forall x \exists y (p(x) = 0 \rightarrow x = q(y) \wedge y < b)). \end{aligned}$$

From 3) and the assumption in the beginning of this section, we may assume that there exist f and g satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad & \text{clos}(f) \\ (4.2) \quad & \forall x (x < b \rightarrow f(x) = 0) \wedge f(c) = 0 \\ (4.3) \quad & \forall x \exists y (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow x = g(y) \wedge y < b) \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.3) and the axiom of upper bound we have an ordinal number a satisfying

$$(5) \quad \forall x (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow x < a)$$

Therefore we see from 2) that there exist a_0 and G satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad & \forall x \forall y (x < a_0 \wedge y < a_0 \rightarrow (x < y \rightarrow G(x) < G(y))) \\ (6.2) \quad & \forall x (x < a_0 \rightarrow f(G(x)) = 0) \\ (6.3) \quad & \forall x \exists y (f(x) = 0 \rightarrow y < a_0 \wedge x = G(y)). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.1), (6.2), (6.3), (5) and (4.3) follows

$$(7) \quad a_0 < \chi(b).$$

Let \hat{c} be an ordinal number satisfying $\hat{c} < a_0$ and $G(\hat{c}) = c$. Then we have from 1)

$$\forall x(x < b \rightarrow (\hat{c} \supseteq x \supseteq c \supseteq x))$$

and so $c = \hat{c} \cdot b$.

Since $\hat{c} \cdot b < d$, we have $\hat{c} \cdot b \in d$, whence $c \in d$ follows.

§ 3. Proof of 2) of § 2.

If $\forall x(f(x) > 0)$ holds, then the proposition is clear. Therefore we may assume that $\exists x(f(x) = 0)$ and $\forall x(f(x) = 0 \rightarrow x < a)$. Then G is defined by the following formula

$$G(0) = \text{Min}(f)$$

$$\wedge \forall x(x > 0 \rightarrow G(x) = \text{Min}(z)(f(z) = 0 \wedge \forall y(\neg \text{Con}(G, x, y) = z))).$$

And G^{-1} is defined by the formula

$$\forall x(G^{-1}(x) = \text{Min}(z)(G(z) = x)).$$

And b is defined by $\sup(G^{-1}, a)$. Then we see clearly that the following formulas hold.

$$\forall x \forall y(x < b \wedge y < b \rightarrow (x < y \rightarrow G(x) < G(y)))$$

$$\forall x(x < b \rightarrow f(G(x)) = 0)$$

$$\text{and } \forall x \exists y(f(x) = 0 \rightarrow y < b \wedge x = G(y)).$$

Therefore the proposition is proved.

§ 4. Proof of 3) of § 2.

In this section we shall prove

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \exists p \exists q (\forall x(x < b \rightarrow p(x) = 0) \wedge p(c) = 0 \wedge \text{clos}(p) \\ \wedge \forall x \exists y(p(x) = 0 \rightarrow x = q(y) \wedge y < b)). \end{aligned}$$

To the end, we define several functions.

$A_0(a, b)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x((g^1(K(x, b)) = 0 \rightarrow A_0(x, b) = g^2(K(x, b))) \\ \wedge (g^1(K(x, b)) = 1 \rightarrow A_0(x, b) = b + \tilde{K}(g^2(K(x, b)), b)) \\ \wedge (g^1(K(x, b)) > 1 \rightarrow A_0(x, b) = 0)). \end{aligned}$$

*We have

$$\omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \exists y (x < b + \tilde{j}(0, b, 0) \rightarrow y < b \wedge A_0(y, b) = x)$$

$B_0(a, b)$ is defined by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(0, b) = 0 \wedge \forall x ((x > 0 \wedge x \geqq \omega \rightarrow B_0(x, b) = 0) \\ \wedge (x > 0 \wedge x < \omega \rightarrow B_0(x, b) = B_0(\delta(x), b) + b)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_0(\delta(x), b)$ is equal to $\text{Con}(\{y\}B_0(y, b), x, \delta(x))$, this definition is legitimate. Hereafter we use similar abbreviated definitions.

$B_0(b)$ is defined by $\sup(\{x\}B_0(x, b), \omega)$

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x (x < B_0(b) \rightarrow \exists y \exists z (y < \omega \wedge z < b \wedge B_0(y, b) + z = x)) \\ n_1 < \omega, a_1 < b, n_2 < \omega, a_2 < b \rightarrow B_0(n_1, b) + a_1 < B_0(n_2, b) + a_2 \\ \rightarrow (n_1 < n_2) \vee (n_1 = n_2 \wedge a_1 < a_2). \end{aligned}$$

$C_0(a, b)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(x)(B_0(x', b) > a)$.

*We have

$$\forall x (x < B_0(b) \rightarrow C_0(x, b) < \omega \wedge \exists y (y < b \wedge B_0(C_0(x, b), b) + y = x)).$$

We can define easily a function $A_1(n, a, b)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(0, a, b) = a \wedge \forall x ((0 < x \wedge x < \omega \rightarrow A_1(x, a, b)) \\ = A_0(A_1(\delta(x), a, b), \sup(\{y\}A_1(\delta(x), y, b), b))) \\ \wedge (\omega \leqq x \rightarrow A_1(x, a, b) = 0)). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, if we rewrite this formula in using \tilde{A}_1 instead of A_1 , such that $\tilde{A}_1(j(b+n), a) = A_1(n, a, b)$, we obtain a defining formula for \tilde{A}_1 . The existence of the function \tilde{A}_1 , and hence also of A_1 is then clear.

$A_2(a, b)$ is defined by $A_1(g^1(K(a, b)), g^2(K(a, b)), b)$.

$B_1(a, b)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(0, b) = b \\ \wedge \forall x ((0 < x \wedge x < \omega \rightarrow B_1(x, b) = B_1(\delta(x), b) + j(0, B_1(\delta(x), b), 0)) \\ \wedge (\omega \leqq x \rightarrow B_1(x, b) = 0)). \end{aligned}$$

$$B_1(b) \text{ is defined by } \sup(\{x\}B_1(x, b), \omega) \\ \omega \leqq b, a < B_1(b) \rightarrow \exists x(x < b \wedge a = A_3(x, b))$$

$Cp(a, b)$ is defined by $\text{Min}(x)(B_1(x, b) > a)$.

*We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x(x < B_1(b) \vdash Cp(x, b) < \omega) \\ & \forall x(Cp(x, b) = 0 \wedge x < B_0(b) \vdash x < b) \\ & \forall x(x < B_1(b) \wedge 0 < Cp(x, b) \vdash \\ & \quad \exists y \exists z \exists u(u < 12 \wedge y < B_1(\delta(Cp(x, b)), b) \wedge z < B(\delta(Cp(x, b)), b) \\ & \quad \wedge x = B_1(\delta(Cp(x, b)), b) + j(u, y, z))) . \end{aligned}$$

$D(a, b)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & (Cp(a, b) = 0 \vdash D(a, b) = 0) \\ & \wedge (0 < Cp(a, b) \vdash D(a, b) = a - B_1(\delta(Cp(a, b)), b)) . \end{aligned}$$

$E(a, b, c)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & E(0, b, c) = c \\ & \wedge (a > 0 \wedge a < b \vdash E(a, b, c) = \delta_0(a)) \\ & \wedge (Cp(a, b) > 0 \wedge B_1(b) > a \vdash (\tilde{g}_0(D(a, b)) < 9 \vdash \\ & \quad E(a, b, c) = j(\tilde{g}_0(D(a, b)), E(\tilde{g}_1(D(a, b)), b, c), E(\tilde{g}_2(D(a, b)), b, c))) \\ & \quad \wedge (\tilde{g}_0(D(a, b)) = 9 \vdash E(a, b, c) = C(E(\tilde{g}_1(D(a, b)), b, c))) \\ & \quad \wedge (\tilde{g}_0(D(a, b)) = 10 \vdash E(a, b, c) = g_1(E(\tilde{g}_1(D(a, b)), b, c))) \\ & \quad \wedge (\tilde{g}_0(D(a, b)) = 11 \vdash E(a, b, c) = g_2(E(\tilde{g}_1(D(a, b)), b, c)))) \\ & \quad \wedge (B_1(b) \leqq a \vdash E(a, b, c) = 0) . \end{aligned}$$

$A(a, b, c)$ is defined by $E(A_2(a, b), b, c)$.

*The following sequences are provable.

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \forall y \forall u \exists z(x < b \wedge y < b \wedge u < 9 \\ & \quad \vdash z < b \wedge A(z, b, c) = j(u, A(x, b, c), A(y, b, c))) \\ & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \exists x(x < b \wedge A(x, b, c) = c) \\ & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \exists y(x < b \vdash y < b \wedge A(y, b, c) = x) \\ & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \exists y(x < b \vdash y < b \wedge A(y, b, c) = C(A(x, b, c))) \\ & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \exists y(x < b \vdash y < b \wedge A(y, b, c) = g_1(A(x, b, c))) \\ & \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \forall x \exists y(x < b \vdash y < b \wedge A(y, b, c) = g_2(A(x, b, c))) . \end{aligned}$$

$f(a)$ is defined by the following formula.

$$\forall x(f(x)=0 \leftarrow \exists y(y < b \wedge A(y, b, c) = x)).$$

*We have

$$\omega \leqq b \rightarrow \text{clos}(f) \wedge \forall x(x < b \leftarrow f(x) = 0) \wedge f(c) = 0.$$

Hence we see

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \leqq b \rightarrow \text{clos}(f) \wedge \forall x(x < b \leftarrow f(x) = 0) \wedge f(c) = 0 \\ \wedge \forall x \exists y(f(x) = 0 \leftarrow A(y, b, c) = x \wedge y < b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proposition is proved.

Institute of Mathematics
Tokyo University of Education

References

- [1] G. Gentzen: Untersuchungen über das logische Schließen I, II. Math. Zeitschr., 39 (1934), pp. 176–210, 405–431.
 - [2] K. Gödel: The consistency of the axiom of choice and of the generalized continuum hypothesis with the axiom of set theory. Princeton, 1940.
 - [3] G. Takeuti: Construction of the set theory from the theory of ordinal numbers. Journal of the Math. Society of Japan, 6 (1954) pp. 196–220.
 - [4] _____: On the generalized logic calculus. Jap. J. Math., 23 (1953), pp. 39–96; Errata to ‘On a Generalized Logic Calculus’, Jap. J. Math., 24 (1954), pp. 149–156.
-