

## Note on an absolute neighborhood extensor for metric spaces.

By Yukihiro KODAMA

(Received May 6, 1955)

### 1. Introduction

Recently, K. Morita [4] has introduced the following idea. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\{A_\alpha\}$  a closed covering of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is said to *have the weak topology with respect to*  $\{A_\alpha\}$ , if the union of any subcollection  $\{A_\beta\}$  of  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is closed in  $X$  and any subset of  $\bigcup_{\beta} A_\beta$  whose intersection with each  $A_\beta$  is closed relative to the subspace topology of  $A_\beta$  is necessarily closed in the subspace  $\bigcup_{\beta} A_\beta$ .

E. Michael [3] has introduced the following notion. A topological space  $X$  is called an *absolute extensor* (resp. *absolute neighborhood extensor*) *for metric spaces* if, whenever  $Y$  is a metric space and  $B$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ , then any continuous mapping from  $B$  into  $X$  can be extended to a continuous mapping from  $Y$  (resp. some neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ ) into  $X$ . A topological space  $X$  is called an *absolute retract* (resp. *absolute neighborhood retract*) *for metric spaces* if, whenever  $X$  is a closed subset of a metric space  $Y$ , there exists a continuous mapping from  $Y$  (resp. some neighborhood of  $Y$  in  $X$ ) onto  $X$  which keeps  $X$  pointwise fixed. We shall use the following abbreviations as Michael [3]:

- AE = absolute extensor.
- ANE = absolute neighborhood extensor.
- AR = absolute retract.
- ANR = absolute neighborhood retract.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space having the weak topology with respect to a closed covering  $\{A_\alpha\}$ . We assume that, for each finite subcollection  $\{A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, \dots, A_{\alpha_n}\}$  of  $\{A_\alpha\}$  with non-void intersection,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i}$*

is an ANE for metric spaces. Then  $X$  is an ANE for metric spaces.

The following theorems proved by K. Borsuk [1, p. 226] and O. Hanner [2, 25.1] are consequences of the above theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed subsets of a metric space  $X$  such that  $A_1 \cup A_2 = X$  and  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_1 \cap A_2$  are ANR for metric spaces, then  $X$  is an ANR for metric spaces.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Any simplicial complex with the weak topology is an ANE for metric spaces.*

The author wishes here to express his sincere gratitude to Professor K. Morita for his helpful suggestions and kind criticism.

## 2. Lemmas

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space having the weak topology with respect to a closed covering  $\{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $Y$  a metric space. Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping of  $Y$  into  $X$ . Put  $Y_\alpha = f^{-1}(A_\alpha), \alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then there exists a closed covering  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $Y$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- i)  $B_\alpha \subset Y_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda$ .
- ii)  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is locally finite.

**PROOF.** We assume that the set  $\Lambda$  of indices  $\alpha$  consists of all ordinals  $\alpha$  less than a fixed ordinal  $\eta$ . Put  $B_\alpha = \overline{Y_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Y_\beta}, \alpha < \eta$ . Then  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$  is a closed covering of  $Y$  and we have  $Y_\alpha \supset B_\alpha, \alpha < \eta$ .

Now we shall show that  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$  is locally finite. Put, for each  $\tau < \eta$ ,

$$P_\tau = \{B_\alpha | \alpha \leq \tau\}, \quad Q_\tau = \{B_\alpha | \alpha < \tau\}.$$

We assume that for each  $\theta$  less than  $\tau (< \eta)$   $P_\theta$  is locally finite. Since  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} A_\alpha$  is closed in  $X$  by the definition of the weak topology and  $Y_\alpha = f^{-1}(A_\alpha), \alpha < \tau, \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} Y_\alpha$  is closed. Moreover, since  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} Y_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} B_\alpha, \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} B_\alpha$  is closed in  $Y$ . Therefore, to prove that  $Q_\tau$  is locally finite, it is sufficient to prove that any point  $p$  of  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} B_\alpha$  has some neighborhood

which meets only a finite number of elements of  $Q_\tau$ . Suppose that every neighborhood of a point  $p$  meets infinite elements of  $Q_\tau$ . We assume  $p$  belongs to  $B_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \tau$ . Then, since  $Y$  is a metric space, we can find the following sequence of points  $\{p_k\}$  of  $Y$ :

$$p_k \rightarrow p \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$p_k \in B_{\beta_k}, \quad \beta < \beta_k < \beta_{k+1} < \tau, \quad k=1, 2, \dots.$$

Since  $p_k \in B_{\beta_k} = \overline{Y_{\beta_k} - \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta_k} Y_\gamma}$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , we can find the following sequence  $\{p_k^j \mid j=1, 2, \dots\}$  of points of  $Y_{\beta_k} - \bigcup_{\gamma \in \beta_k} Y_\gamma$ :

$$p_k^j \rightarrow p_k \quad (j \rightarrow \infty).$$

For each  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , we can select  $j_k$  such that

$$p_k^{j_k} \rightarrow p \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $p \in B_\beta$  and  $f$  is continuous, we have  $f(p) \in A_\beta$ . On the other hand, since  $p_k^{j_k} \in Y_{\beta_k} - \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta_k} Y_\gamma$  and  $Y_\alpha = f^{-1}(A_\alpha)$ , we have  $f(p_k^{j_k}) \in A_{\beta_k} - \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta_k} A_\gamma$ . Therefore we have

$$(*) \quad f(p_k^{j_k}) \neq f(p_l^{j_l}), \quad k \neq l; \quad f(p_k^{j_k}) \neq f(p), \quad k=1, 2, \dots.$$

Put  $A = \bigcup A_{\beta_i}$  and  $B = \{f(p_k^{j_k}) \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$ . Since  $A_{\beta_k} \cap B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k f(p_i^{j_i})$ ,  $B$  is closed in  $A$  which is closed in  $X$  by the definition of weak topology. But  $f(p) \notin B$  by (\*). This contradicts the fact that  $f$  is continuous. Thus  $Q_\tau$  is locally finite. Since  $P_\tau = \{Q_\tau; B_\tau\}$ ,  $P_\tau$  is locally finite. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $Y$  be a metric space,  $B$  a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $\{B_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  a locally finite closed covering of  $B$ . Then there exists a closed neighborhood  $F$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  and a locally finite closed covering  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $F$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- i)  $F_\alpha \cap B = B_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \Lambda.$
- ii)  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is similar to  $\{B_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$

PROOF. Since  $B$  is fully normal and  $\{B_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is locally finite closed covering, by K. Morita [4, 1.3] there exists a locally finite

covering  $\{S_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $B$  as follows:

- i)  $S_\alpha \supset B_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda.$
- ii)  $S_\alpha$  is open relative to  $B.$
- iii)  $\{S_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is similar to  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$

Since  $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda,$  is  $F_\sigma$  as an open set of the metric space  $B,$  by K. Morita [6, Lemma 1], we can find a locally finite system  $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of open sets in  $Y$  as follows:

- i)  $H_\alpha \cap B = S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda.$
- ii)  $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is similar to  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$

Take, for each  $\alpha,$  an open set  $V_\alpha$  of  $Y$  such that

$$H_\alpha \supset \bar{V}_\alpha \supset V_\alpha \supset B_\alpha.$$

Then  $\{\bar{V}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a locally finite system of closed sets of  $Y$  and is similar to  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$  Put  $F = \cup \{\bar{V}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$  Then  $F$  is a closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y.$  By transfinite induction we shall prove the existence of a locally finite closed covering of  $F$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. We can assume the set  $\Lambda$  of indices  $\alpha$  consists of all ordinals  $\alpha$  less than a fixed ordinal  $\eta.$  Suppose that for each  $\alpha$  less than  $\tau (< \eta)$  there exists a locally finite closed covering  $P_\alpha = \{F_\beta, \beta \leq \alpha; \bar{V}_\gamma, \alpha < \gamma\}$  of  $F$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- i) <sub>$\alpha$</sub>   $F_\beta \cap B = B_\beta, F_\beta \subset \bar{V}_\beta, \beta \leq \alpha.$
- ii) <sub>$\alpha$</sub>  If a point  $p$  of  $B$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i \leq \alpha, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$  then  $p \in \text{Interior} (F_{\alpha_1} \cup F_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n}).$  Put  $Q_\tau = \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau; \bar{V}_\gamma, \tau \leq \gamma\}.$  Obviously  $Q_\tau$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $F$  and we have

- i)\*  $F_\beta \cap B = B_\beta, F_\beta \cap \bar{V}_\beta, \beta < \tau.$
- ii)\* If a point  $p$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i < \tau, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$  then  $p \in \text{Interior} (F_{\alpha_1} \cup F_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n}).$

We divide  $\bar{V}_\tau \cap B - B_\tau$  into two disjoint subsets  $S_1, S_2$  as follows. If a point  $p$  of  $\bar{V}_\tau \cap B - B_\tau$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i < \tau, i = 1, \dots, n,$  then  $p \in S_1.$  Put  $S_2 = \bar{V}_\tau \cap B - B_\tau - S_1.$  Take  $p \in S_1.$  By the assumption we can find

$B_{\alpha_i}, i=1, \dots, n$ , such that  $p$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i < \tau, i=1, \dots, n$ . We have by ii)\*  $p \in \text{Interior}(F_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n})$ . Therefore we can find an open neighborhood  $L_1(p)$  of  $p$  as follows:

$$L_1(p) \subset \text{Interior}(F_{\alpha_1} \cup F_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n})$$

and

$$\overline{L_1(p)} \cap B_\tau = \phi.$$

Take  $p' \in S_\tau$ . By the assumption there exists some  $V_\tau, \gamma > \tau$ , containing  $p'$ . Therefore we can find an open spherical neighborhood  $L_2(p')$  as follows:

$$\overline{L_2(p')} \subset V_\tau$$

and

$$\text{the radius of } L_2(p') \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(p', B_\tau),$$

where  $\rho$  is a metric function in  $Y$ . Put  $F_\tau = \overline{V}_\tau - \bigcup_{p \in S_1} L_1(p) \cup \bigcup_{p' \in S_2} L_2(p')$ . By the construction we have  $F_\tau \subset \overline{V}_\tau$  and  $F_\tau \cap B = B_\tau$ , i. e. i) $_\tau$  holds. Put  $P_\tau = \{F_\beta, \beta \leq \tau; \overline{V}_\tau, \tau < \gamma\}$ . Obviously  $P_\tau$  is locally finite as a refinement of  $Q_\tau$ . Moreover  $P_\tau$  is a closed covering of  $F$  since  $Q_\tau$  is a closed covering of  $F$  and

$$\overline{V}_\tau - F_\tau \subset \bigcup_{p \in S_1} L_1(p) \cup \bigcup_{p' \in S_2} L_2(p') \subset \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau\} \cup \{\overline{V}_\tau, \tau < \gamma\}.$$

Next, we shall prove that  $P_\tau$  satisfies the condition ii) $_\tau$ . For this purpose, it is sufficient to prove that if a point  $q$  of  $B$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_1}, B_{\alpha_2}, \dots, B_{\alpha_n}, B_\tau, \alpha_i < \tau, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$q \in \text{Interior}(F_{\alpha_1} \cup F_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n} \cup F_\tau),$$

since  $P_\tau$  satisfies the condition ii) $_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \tau$ . Suppose  $q \notin \text{Interior}(F_{\alpha_1} \cup F_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n} \cup F_\tau)$ . Since  $P_\tau$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $F$  and the condition i) $_\tau$  holds,  $q$  does not belong to  $\text{Interior} \cup \{F_\beta, \beta \leq \tau\}$ . Since  $V_\tau$  is an open set containing  $q$ , we have

$$q \in \overline{V_\tau - \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta \leq \tau\}} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{p \in S_1} L_1(p) \cup \bigcup_{p' \in S_2} L_2(p') - \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau\}}.$$

Moreover, since  $\bigcup_{p \in S_1} L_1(p) \subset \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau\}$ , we have

$$q \in \overline{\bigcup_{p' \in S_2} L_2(p') - \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau\}}.$$

Therefore we can find the following sequence of points of  $\bigcup_{p' \in S_2} L_2(p') - \bigcup \{F_\beta, \beta < \tau\}$ :

$$q_i \rightarrow q \ (i \rightarrow \infty); \ q_i \in L_2(p_i), \ p_i \in S_2, \ i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By the construction of  $L_2(p_i), p_i \in S_2$ , and  $q \in B_\tau$  we have the following inequality:

$$(1) \quad \rho(p_i, q_i) < \frac{1}{2} \rho(p_i, B_\tau) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(p_i, q).$$

Moreover,

$$(2) \quad \rho(p_i, q) \leq \rho(p_i, q_i) + \rho(q_i, q).$$

Therefore, we have by (1) and (2)

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{2} \rho(p_i, q) < \rho(q_i, q).$$

On the other hand, since  $\{B_\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$  is the locally finite closed covering of  $B$  and the point  $q$  belongs to only  $B_{\alpha_1}, \dots, B_{\alpha_n}, B_\tau$ , we have

$$q \in \text{Interior}_B (B_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{\alpha_n} \cup B_\tau),$$

where  $\text{Interior}_B$  means the set of interior points relative to  $B$ . By the construction of  $S_2, S_2 \cup \text{Interior}_B (B_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{\alpha_n} \cup B_\tau) = \emptyset$ , i. e.  $\rho(q, S_2) > 0$ . Therefore, by (\*) and  $p_i \in S_2, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$0 < \frac{1}{2} \rho(q, S_2) < \rho(q_i, q), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $q_i \rightarrow q \ (i \rightarrow \infty)$ . Therefore  $q \in \text{Interior} (F_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup F_{\alpha_n} \cup F_\tau)$ . We have proved that  $P_\tau$  satisfies the conditions i) $_\tau$  and ii) $_\tau$ . Put  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\beta, \beta < \eta\}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $F$  which we require.

LEMMA 3. Let  $Y$  be a topological space,  $B$  a closed subset of  $Y$

and  $F$  a closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ . Moreover let  $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a locally finite closed covering of  $F$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha$  there is a closed neighborhood  $C_\alpha$  of  $F_\alpha \cap B$  in  $F_\alpha$ . Then

$$C = \bigcup \{C_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$$

is a closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ .

PROOF. Since this theorem is a trivial modification of [2, 20.2], we omit the proof.

LEMMA 4. Let  $Q$  be a class of topological spaces. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\{A_i | i=1, \dots, n\}$  a closed covering of  $X$ . If  $\bigcup_{j=1}^p A_{i_j} \neq \phi$ ,  $i_j \in (1, \dots, n)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, p$ , let  $\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}$  be an ANE for  $Q$ -spaces. Moreover let  $Y$  be a  $Q$ -space,  $B$  a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $\{Y_i | i=1, \dots, n\}$  a closed covering of  $Y$ . Put  $B_i = B \cap Y_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping of  $B$  into  $X$  such that  $f(B_i) \subset A_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Let  $Q$ -spaces be normal. Then there exist a closed neighborhood  $F$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  and an extension  $h$  of  $f$  such that  $h: F \rightarrow X$  and  $h(F \cup Y_i) \subset A_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

PROOF. Put  $H = \bigcup \{ \bigcap_{j=1}^p Y_{i_j} | \bigcap_{j=1}^p Y_{i_j} \cap B = \phi, i_1, \dots, i_p \in (1, \dots, n) \}$ . Since  $H \cap B = \phi$  and  $Y$  is normal, we can find a closed neighborhood  $D$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  such that  $D \cap H = \phi$ . Put  $D_i = D \cap Y_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{D_i\}$  is similar to  $\{B_i\}$ . Denote by  $K$  the nerve of  $\{D_i\}$ . A simplex of  $K$  is denoted by  $(i_0, \dots, i_p)$ ,  $i_0, \dots, i_p \in (1, \dots, n)$ . For each simplex  $s = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$  of  $K$  put  $|s| = \bigcap_{j=1}^p D_{i_j}$ . Give a simple order to the simplexes of  $K$  as follows; at first, give same dimensional simplexes a suitable order; next, if  $\dim s > \dim s'$ , we define  $s$  is less than  $s'$ , i. e.  $s < s'$ . Assume that for each simplex  $s < \bar{s}$  the following mapping  $f_s$  and a closed set  $M(s)$  are constructed:

- i)<sub>s</sub>  $M(s)$  is a closed neighborhood of  $M(s) \cap B$  in  $|s|$ .
- ii)<sub>s</sub>  $f_s$  is a continuous mapping of  $M(s)$  into  $\bigcap A_{i_j}$ , where  $s = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$ , such that  $f_s|_{B \cap M(s)} = f|_{B \cap M(s)}$ .
- iii)<sub>s</sub> Let  $s_1 = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$  and  $s_2 = (j_0, \dots, j_q)$  be two simplexes such that  $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s$  and  $s_1, s_2$  spans a simplex  $s_3 = (h_0, \dots, h_r)$  of  $K$ , where  $h_0 = i_0, \dots, h_{r-q} = i_{r-q} = j_0, \dots, h_p = i_p = j_{p+q-v}, \dots, h_r = j_q$ . Then we have

$$(M(s_1) \cap |s_3|) \cup (M(s_2) \cap |s_3|) \subset M(s_3)$$

and

$$f_{s_1}|M(s_1) \cap M(s_2) = f_{s_2}|M(s_1) \cap M(s_2).$$

We shall construct a closed neighborhood  $M(\bar{s})$  of  $|\bar{s}| \cap B$  in  $|\bar{s}|$  and a mapping  $f_{\bar{s}}$  satisfying  $i)_{\bar{s}}$ ,  $ii)_{\bar{s}}$  and  $iii)_{\bar{s}}$ .

Let  $\bar{s} = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$ ,  $k_j \in (1, \dots, n)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, r$ . At first, let  $\bar{s}$  be a principal simplex. Then since  $|\bar{s}| \cap (\cup \{|s|, s < \bar{s}\}) = \phi$  and  $\bigcap_{j=0}^r A_{k_j}$  is an ANE for  $Q$ -spaces, there exist a closed neighborhood  $M(\bar{s})$  of  $|\bar{s}| \cap B$  in  $|\bar{s}|$  and an extension  $f_{\bar{s}}$  of  $f|_{|\bar{s}| \cap B}$  over  $M(\bar{s})$  such that  $f_{\bar{s}}(M(\bar{s})) \subset \bigcap_{j=0}^r A_{k_j}$ . It is obvious that the conditions  $i)_{\bar{s}}$ ,  $ii)_{\bar{s}}$  and  $iii)_{\bar{s}}$  are satisfied. Next, let  $\bar{s}$  be a face of  $s_i^{r+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then since  $M(s_i^{r+1})$  is a closed neighborhood of  $|s_i^{r+1}| \cap B$  in  $|s_i^{r+1}|$  and  $|s_i^{r+1}| \subset |\bar{s}|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have  $(\bigcup_{i=0}^m |s_i^{r+1}| - M(s_i^{r+1})) \cap |\bar{s}| \cap B = \phi$ . Since  $|\bar{s}|$  is a normal space there exists a closed neighborhood  $N$  of  $|\bar{s}| \cap B$  in  $|\bar{s}|$  such that  $N \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^m |s_i^{r+1}| - M(s_i^{r+1})) = \phi$ . Define  $g: \bigcup_{i=1}^m M(s_i^{r+1}) \cup (|\bar{s}| \cap B) \rightarrow \bigcap_{j=0}^r A_{k_j}$  as follows:

$$g|M(s_i^{r+1}) = f_{s_i^{r+1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad g|_{|\bar{s}| \cap B} = f.$$

Then  $g$  is a single-valued continuous mapping by the assumption of induction. Since  $\bigcap_{j=0}^r A_{k_j}$  is an ANE for  $Q$ -space there exist a closed neighborhood  $M(\bar{s})$  of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m M(s_i^{r+1}) \cup (|\bar{s}| \cap B)$  in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m M(s_i^{r+1}) \cup N$  and an extension  $f_{\bar{s}}$  of  $g$  over  $M(\bar{s})$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m M(s_i^{r+1}) \cup N$  is a closed neighborhood of  $|\bar{s}| \cap B$  in  $|\bar{s}|$ , it is obvious that the conditions  $i)_{\bar{s}}$ ,  $ii)_{\bar{s}}$  and  $iii)_{\bar{s}}$  are satisfied. Therefore we can construct  $M(s)$  and  $f_s$  satisfying  $i)_s$ ,  $ii)_s$  and  $iii)_s$  for each  $s$  of  $K$ . If we put  $F = \cup \{M(s), s \in K\}$ ,  $F$  is a closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$  by Lemma 3. Define  $h: F \rightarrow X$  by  $h|M(s) = f_s$ . Since condition  $iii)_s$  is satisfied for each  $s$  of  $K$ ,  $F$  and  $h$  are respectively the closed neighborhood and the extension which we require.

### 3. The proof of Theorem

Let  $Y$  be a metric space,  $B$  a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $f$  a continuous mapping from  $B$  into  $X$ . We shall show that there exist a closed neighborhood  $G$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  and an extension  $h$  of  $f$  such that  $h|B=f$  and  $h: G \rightarrow Y$ .

Put  $C_\alpha = f^{-1}(A_\alpha)$  and  $B_\alpha = \overline{C_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta}$  for each  $\alpha < \eta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{B_\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $B$  by Lemma 1. By the application of Lemma 2 we can find a closed neighborhood  $F$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  and a locally finite closed covering  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \{F_\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_2|B = \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is similar to  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a locally finite open covering of  $F$  there exists a locally finite open covering  $\{V_\pi\}$  of  $F$  each closure of which meets only finite number of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Put  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\bar{V}_\pi | V_\pi \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a locally finite closed covering of  $B$  and  $\bigcup\{\bar{V}_\pi | V_\pi \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is a closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ . We assume that the set of indices  $\pi$  consists of all ordinals  $\pi$  less than a fixed ordinal  $\delta$  and put, for each  $\theta < \delta$ ,

$$Q_\theta = \bigcup\{\bar{V}_\pi | \pi < \theta\}, \quad P_\theta = \bigcup\{\bar{V}_\pi | \pi \leq \theta\}.$$

Let  $\mu < \delta$ . Assume for each  $\theta < \mu$  the following closed set  $N_\theta$  and continuous mapping  $f_\theta$  are constructed:

- i) $_\theta$   $N_\theta$  is a closed neighborhood of  $P_\theta \cap B$  in  $P_\theta$ .
- ii) $_\theta$   $f_\theta$  is a continuous mapping of  $N_\theta \cup B$  into  $X$ .
- iii) $_\theta$   $f_\theta|B = f$ .
- iv) $_\theta$  If  $\nu < \theta$  we have  $N_\nu \subset N_\theta$  and  $f_\theta|N_\nu = f_\nu$ .
- v) $_\theta$  For each  $\alpha < \delta$  we have

$$f_\theta(N_\theta \cap F_\alpha) \subset A_\alpha.$$

Put  $M = \bigcup\{N_\theta | \theta < \mu\} \cup B$ . Define  $g: M \rightarrow X$  by  $g|N_\theta \cup B = f_\theta$ . By iv) $_\theta$  and the local finiteness of  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $g$  is single-valued and continuous. Let  $F_{\alpha_1}, F_{\alpha_2}, \dots, F_{\alpha_n}$  be all elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  which meet  $\bar{V}_\mu$ . Now we apply Lemma 4 to  $\bar{V}_\mu, \bar{V}_\mu \cap M, \{\bar{V}_\mu \cap F_{\alpha_i}, i=1, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{\alpha_n}$ . We can find a closed neighborhood  $M_\mu$  of  $\bar{V}_\mu \cap M$  in  $\bar{V}_\mu$  and a continuous mapping  $h: M_\mu \rightarrow A_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{\alpha_n}$  such that  $h|\bar{V}_\mu \cap M = g|\bar{V}_\mu \cap M$  and

$h(M_\mu \cap F_{\alpha_i}) \subset A_{\alpha_i}, i=1, \dots, n$ . Put  $N_\mu = \cup \{N_\theta | \theta < \mu\} \cup M_\mu$ . Define  $f_\mu: N_\mu \cup B \rightarrow X$  by  $f_\mu|_M = g$  and  $f_\mu|M_\mu = h$ . It is obvious that the conditions i) $_\mu$ , ii) $_\mu$ , iii) $_\mu$ , iv) $_\mu$  and v) $_\mu$  are satisfied. Put  $G = \cup \{N_\theta | \theta < \delta\}$ . Define  $h: G \rightarrow X$  by  $h|_{N_\theta \cup B} = f_\theta, \theta < \delta$ . Then  $G$  is the closed neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$  by Lemma 3 and  $h$  is an extension of  $f$  over  $G$  by the construction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. The above theorem cannot be strengthened by replacing “ $\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_{\alpha_j}$  is an ANE for metric spaces for each finite collection  $\{A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, \dots, A_{\alpha_n}\}$  with non-void intersection” by “ $A_\alpha$  is an ANE for metric spaces for each  $\alpha$ ”, as is shown by the following simple example.

Let  $S_i$  be the circumference in the  $xy$ -plane with  $(\frac{1}{i}, 0), (\frac{1}{i+1}, 0)$  as the end points of diameter,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ . Put  $X = (0, 0) \cup (\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty S_i)$ ,  $A_1 = \{(x, y) | (x, y) \in X \text{ and } y \geq 0\}$  and  $A_2 = \{(x, y) | (x, y) \in X \text{ and } y \leq 0\}$ . Then it is easily shown that  $X$  is not ANE for metric spaces, though  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are AE for metric spaces.

Next, the above theorem cannot be strengthened by replacing “ANE for metric spaces” by “ANE for compact Hausdorff spaces”. This is shown by an example of O. Hanner [2, 23.4].

Tokyo University of Education

### References

- [1] K. Borsuk, Über eine Klasse von local zusammenhängenden Räumen, Fund. Math., 19 (1932), pp. 220-243.
- [2] O. Hanner, Retraction and extension of mappings of metric and non-metric spaces, Arkiv för Math., 2 (1954), pp. 315-360.
- [3] E. Michael, Some extension theorems for continuous function, Pacific Jour. of Math., 3 (1953), pp. 789-804.
- [4] K. Morita, On the dimension of normal spaces II, Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), pp. 16-33.
- [5] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, Proc. Japan Acad., 29 (1953), pp. 537-543.
- [6] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings II, Proc. Japan Acad., 30 (1954), pp. 711-717.