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The inner automorphisms of an algebra are operations of fundamental importance defined on the algebra, where the adjective " inner" implies that these automorphisms are defined by some elements of the algebra by means of a certain canonical procedure which enables one to compute these automorphisms. Not only automorphisms, but homomorphisms of the algebra into itself i. e. endomorphisms of the algebra play some rôles in the structure theory of the algebra. In this connection, an attempt will be made in the following lines to generalize the notion of the inner automorphisms so as to include some endomorphisms which may be called inner in the above sense.
§ 1. Throughout this paper, $A$ will denote an associative algebra with an identity and of a finite dimension, say $n$, over a ground field $K$. Set $K_{0}=K\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ and $K_{1}=K\left(X_{1}, \cdots \cdots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right)$, where $X_{1}, \cdots$, $X_{n}, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}$ are independent variables over $K$; an element $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ of $K_{0}$, or an element $g\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right)$ of $K_{1}$ will be written in the simplified form $f(X)$ or $g(X, Y)$, respectively. Construct an auxiliary algebra $A_{1}$ by extending the ground field $K$ of $A$ to $K_{1}$. A $K$-basis ( $u_{i}$ ) of $A$ serves also as a $K_{1}$-basis of $A_{1}$. Let the multiplication table of ( $u_{i}$ ) be $u_{i} u_{j}=\sum \gamma_{i j k} u_{k}, \gamma_{i j k} \in K$. If $a=\sum f_{i} u_{i}, f_{i} \in K_{1}$, is an element of $A_{1}$, we shall denote by $M(a, u)$ the $n$-rowed matrix $\left(\sum_{i} f_{i} \gamma_{i j k}\right)_{j k}$. Then $a$ is regular if and only if $\operatorname{det} M(a, u) \neq 0$. Since $\operatorname{det} M(1, u)$ does not vanish certainly, we have also $\operatorname{det} M(S, u) \neq 0$ for the general element $S=\sum X_{i} u_{i}$, which has therefore an inverse element. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum X_{i} u_{i}\right)\left(\sum Y_{i} u_{i}\right)\left(\sum X_{i} u_{i}\right)^{-1}=\sum Y_{i}^{\prime} u_{i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}^{\prime}=Y_{i}^{\prime}(X, Y)$ is linear in $Y_{i}$, and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{\prime}=\sum R_{i j}(X) Y_{j} \quad \text { with } \quad R_{i j}(X) \in K_{0} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the inner automorphism by $S$ is represented by the matrix $R_{u}(S)$ $=\left(R_{i j}(X)\right)$. Now, an element $a=\sum \alpha_{i} u_{i}, \alpha_{i} \in K$, of $A$ will be called left-semiregular, or simply semiregular in $\$ \S 1,2$, if every $R_{i j}(X)$ can be so expressed in the form $R_{i j}(X)=P_{i j}(X) / Q_{i j}(X), P_{i j}, Q_{i j} \in K\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right]$ that $Q_{i j}$ does not vanish at $X_{1}=\alpha_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}=\alpha_{n}$. If this is the case, the value $R_{i j}(\alpha)=P_{i j}(\alpha) / Q_{i j}(\alpha)$ is uniquely determined, independent of the choice of the expression of $R_{i j}$ subjected to the condition above. Denoting the matrix $\left(\dot{R}_{i j}(\alpha)\right)$ by $R_{u}(a)$, we shall say in this case that $R_{u}(S)$ is defined at $a$. We shall define an operation $I_{a}$, for such a semiregular element $a$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a}: \quad \sum \beta_{i} u_{i} \rightarrow \sum Y_{i}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta) u_{i}, \quad \beta_{i} \in K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $I_{a}$ is obtained by the inner automorphism $b \rightarrow S b S^{-1}$ followed by the specialization $S \rightarrow a, I_{a}$ is clearly a homomorphism of $A$ into $A$, and we shall call $I_{a}$ the inner endomorphism by $a$.

Let ( $\widetilde{u}_{i}$ ) be another $K$-basis of $A$. Put

$$
\left(\sum X_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum Y_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum X_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}\right)^{-1}=\sum Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}(X, Y) \tilde{u}_{i}
$$

On the other hand, if $\sum f_{i} u_{i}=\sum \tilde{f}_{i} \widetilde{u}_{i}$, we have by (1)

$$
\left(\sum \widetilde{X}_{i} \widetilde{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum \tilde{Y}_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum \widetilde{Y}_{i} \widetilde{u}_{i}\right)^{-1}=\sum \tilde{Y}_{i}^{\prime} \widetilde{u}_{i}
$$

whence $Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})=\tilde{Y}_{i}^{\prime}$. But if $a=\sum \alpha_{i} u_{i}=\sum \widetilde{\alpha}_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}$ is semiregular with respect to $\left(u_{i}\right)$, then $Y_{i}^{\prime}$, and hence $\tilde{Y}_{i}^{\prime}$, which is a linear combination of $Y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, Y_{n}^{\prime}$, can be defined at $X_{i}=\alpha_{i}$; this implies that $Y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is defined at $X_{i}=\tilde{\alpha}_{i}$, and hence the notion of semiregularity is independent of the choice of the $K$-basis ( $u_{i}$ ). A similar argument shows that the inner endomorphism by $a$ is also independent of the choice of ( $u_{i}$ ).

Examples. 1) A regular element of $A$ is obviously semiregular.
2) If $A$ is commutative, every element of $A$ is semiregular, and induces the identity automorphism of $A$.-This is clear since we have $Y_{i}^{\prime}=Y_{i}$ in (1). Cf. §2, v).
3) Let $A$ be the subalgebra of the 3 -rowed matrix algebra over $K$ consisting of linear combinations $a=\alpha c_{11}+\beta_{23}+\gamma c_{33}+\delta c_{21}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$, where $c$ 's denote the matrix units. Then $a$ is semiregular if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$. The kernel of the inner endomorphism by $c_{11}$ is the radical $\left\{c_{21}\right\}$, while the inner endomorphism by $c_{11}+c_{22}$ is actually the identity automorphism in spite of the non-regularity of $c_{11}+c_{22}$.
§2. The following two facts are immediate consequences of the definition of the semiregularity.
i) An element $a_{1}+a_{2}$ of the direct sum $A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $a_{1} \in A_{1}$, $a_{2} \in A_{2}$, is semiregular if and only if $a_{1}$ as well as $a_{2}$ is semiregular in $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, respectively.
ii) Let $L$ be an extension field of $K$, then $a \in A$ is semiregular if and only if $a$ is semiregular in $A_{L}=A \otimes L$.

Now we shall prove:
iii) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a two-sided ideal of $A, \mathfrak{a} \neq A$. If $a$ is semiregular in $A$, the residue class $\bar{a}$ of $a$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ is semiregular in $A / a$.

Take a $K$-basis ( $u_{i}$ ) of $A$ such that $u_{k+1}, \cdots, u_{n}, 0<k<n$, span a. Then the residue classes $\bar{u}_{i}$ of $u_{i}, i=1, \cdots, k$, modulo a constitute a basis of $A / a$. Since $u_{i} u_{j} \in \mathfrak{a}$ if $i$ or $j>k$, it is a trivial matter to see that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \bar{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k} Y_{i} \bar{u}_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \bar{u}_{i}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}^{\prime} \bar{u}_{i}
$$

whence the proposition follows.
iv) If $a$ and $b$ are semiregular, then $a b$ is also semiregular, and we have $I_{a} \circ I_{b}=I_{a b}$.

This is clear since $R_{u}(S)$ is defined at $a b$ and $R_{u}(a b)=R_{u}(a) R_{u}(b)$.
v ) The zero element is semiregular if and only if $A$ is commutative.

The " if" part is shown in Example 2). Since $M(S, u)(c f . \S 1)$ is homogeneous in $X^{\prime}$ 's, 0 is semiregular only if every $R_{i j}(X)$ in (2) is a polynomial. Since the numerator of $R_{i j}(X)$ has the same degree as the denominator, $R_{i j}(X)$ must be a constant in this case. Then $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ in (1) is independent of $X^{\prime}$ s, $i=1, \cdots, n$. Specialize $\sum X_{i} u_{i}$ to the identity, and we have $Y_{i}^{\prime}=Y_{i}$, which implies the commutativity of $A$.

From iv) and $v$ ) follows at once:
vi) A semiregular element of a non-commutative algebra is not nilpotent.

The kernel of $I_{a}$ is a two-sided ideal of $A$, which we shall denote by $J(a)$. Since we have $J(a) \subset J\left(a^{2}\right) \subset \cdots$, there exists some integer $k$, for which $J\left(a^{k}\right)=J\left(a^{k+1}\right)$. Let $a^{k}=b$, then we have $J(b)=J\left(b^{2}\right)$. Now we have
vii) If $J(b)=J\left(b^{2}\right)$ for a semiregular element $b, A$ is the direct sum of $J(b)$ and $I_{b}(A)$.

Indeed, since $J(b)=J\left(b^{2}\right)$, we have $J(b) \cap I_{b}(A)=(0)$. The proposition follows as we have $\left(I_{b}(A): K\right)=(A / J(b): K)=(A: K)-(J(b): K)$.
viii) A semiregular element of a simple algebra $A$ is a regular element or the zero element.

For, let $a$ be semiregular. Since we have $a x=I_{a}(x) a$ for every $x \in A, A a$ is a two sided ideal of $A$. If $A a=A$, then $a$ is regular, and if $A a=(0)$, then $a=0$.
ix) Every semiregular element of a non-commutative primary algebra $A$ is a regular element.

Let $N$ be the radical of $A$. If $a$ is semiregular in $A$, the residue class $\bar{a}$ of $a$ modulo $N$ is semiregular in $\bar{A}=A / N$ by iii). By viii), $\bar{a}$ is regular or $\bar{a}=0$. If $\bar{a}$ is regular in $\bar{A}$, then $a$ itself is regular in $A$. If $\bar{a}=0, a$ is nilpotent, but this is not the case in view of vi).
§3. The inner endomorphism by a left-semiregular element is a generalization of the mapping of the form $x \rightarrow a x a^{-1}$. But, we can equally consider the mapping $x \rightarrow a^{-1} x a$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be an anti-isomorphic copy of $A$, with a fixed anti-isomorphism $a \longleftrightarrow a^{\prime}$. Then $a \in A$ will be called right-semiregular if $a^{\prime}$ is left-semiregular in $A^{\prime}$. A right-semiregular element $a$ defines an inner endomorphism $x \rightarrow I_{a}^{*}$ where $\left(I_{a}^{*}(x)\right)^{\prime}$ $=I_{a^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for every $x \in A$. It is evident that i$\left.)-\mathrm{ix}\right)$ hold for right-semiregular elements with the trivial modification $I_{a}^{*} \circ I_{b}^{*}=I_{\dot{b} a}^{*}$ in iv).

In general, the left-semiregularity and the right-semiregularity are independent of each other.

Example. In the algebra $A$ of Example 3) of $\S 1, a=\alpha c_{11}+\beta c_{22}$ $+\gamma c_{33}+\delta c_{21}$ is right-semiregular if and only if $\beta \neq 0$.
$\mathbf{x )}$ For $a \in A$, the following two conditions are equivalent:
I) $a$ is both left and right-semiregular.
II) $a$ is left-semiregular and the inner endomorphism $I_{a}$ by $a$ is an automorphism.

If one of these (equivalent) conditions is satisfied, $I_{a}^{*}$ is defined and is the inverse of $I_{a}$.

Proof. For the general element $S$, we have obviously $I_{S} \circ I_{S}^{*}=$ identity. Since $I_{a}$ and $I_{a}^{*}$, if they can be defined, are given by the specialization from $I_{S}$ and $I_{S}^{*}$ respectively, we have also $I_{a} \circ I_{a}^{*}=$ identity, which implies that $I_{a}$ and $I_{a}^{*}$ are automorphisms inverse of each other. Next, let us assume II). The coefficients of the matrix $R_{u}^{-1}(S)$ of
$I_{S}^{*}=I_{S}^{-1}$ are given by the cofactors of $R_{u}(S)$ devided by the determinant of $R_{u}(S)$. On the other hand, if $I_{a}$ is an automorphism, the determinant of $R_{u}(a)$ is non-vanishing. Hence $R_{u}^{-1}(S)$ is defined at $a$, and $a$ is right-semiregular.

Finally, we shall indicate a further possibility of extending the notion of the inner automorphism. Take, as an example, the algebra of all 3-rowed matrices over $K$, whose right upper halves are vanishing. Such a matrix $\left(\alpha_{i j}\right), \alpha_{i j} \in K$, is left-semiregular if and only if $\alpha_{11} \neq 0, \alpha_{22} \neq 0$. Now, if we substitute in the matrix $R_{u}(S)$, where $S$ is the general element $S=\sum X_{i j} u_{i j}, \mu X_{22}$ and $\nu X_{22}, \mu, \nu \in K$, for the variables $X_{32}$ and $X_{33}$, respectively, the resulting matrix is defined at every element of $A$, such that $\alpha_{11} \neq 0, \alpha_{32}=\mu \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{33}=\nu \alpha_{22}$. In this manner, we get a very wide class of semiregular elements and of inner endomorphisms. A remarkable fact is that a semiregular element in this sense may induce various endomorphisms different from each other, according to the ways of specialization. In particular, the zero element may define several endomorphisms. Thus, those semiregular elements may provide us with some endomorphisms or automorphisms different from the usual inner automorphisms. But, these remarks suggest us on the other hand, that we should have some difficulties in treating this subject along these lines.
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