

A proof of a transformation formula in the theory of partitions.

By Kanesiroo ISEKI

(Received June 8, 1951)

Let us denote by $p(n)$ the number of unrestricted partitions of a positive integer n . Then we have

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^n},$$

where $f(x)$ is defined and regular for $|x| < 1$. Now this function $f(x)$ is known to satisfy a remarkable transformation formula (see Rademacher [3]):

$$(1) \quad f\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ih}{k}} - \frac{2\pi z}{k}\right) = \omega_{h,k} \sqrt{z} e^{\frac{\pi}{12kz}} f\left(e^{\frac{2\pi iH}{k}} - \frac{2\pi}{kz}\right),$$

where h, k and H are positive integers such that

$$(h, k) = 1, \quad hH \equiv -1 \pmod{k},$$

and

$$\omega_{h,k} = \exp\left(\pi i \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{m}{k} \left(\frac{hm}{k} - \left[\frac{hm}{k}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right),$$

an empty sum meaning zero; further, z is a complex variable with positive real part and we take the principal branch as the determination of \sqrt{z} .

The formula (1) was used by Hardy-Ramanujan, and also by Rademacher subsequently, in their famous researches [2] and [4] on the function $p(n)$.

It is the main object of this paper to give a proof of (1) which is directly based on the following well known transformation formula in the theory of elliptic theta-functions:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(n+\alpha)^2 \pi t}{t}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n^2 \pi}{t}} \cos(2\pi n\alpha),$$

where α is real and $t > 0$.

Let us begin by defining a branch of the logarithm of $f(x)$ for $|x| < 1$ by

$$F(x) = \log f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1-x^n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{mn}}{m},$$

where we take

$$\log \frac{1}{1-x^n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{mn}}{m}.$$

We put

$$x = e^{\frac{2\pi i h}{k} - \frac{2\pi z}{k}}, \quad \tilde{x} = e^{\frac{2\pi i H}{k} - \frac{2\pi}{kz}}.$$

Then, to prove (1), it suffices to show that

$$(3) \quad F(x) = \Omega i + \frac{1}{2} \log z + \frac{\pi}{12kz} - \frac{\pi z}{12k} + F(\tilde{x}),$$

$$(4) \quad \Omega = \Omega_h, k = \pi \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{m}{k} \left(\frac{hm}{k} - \left[\frac{hm}{k} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

where in (3) we take the principal branch as the determination of $\log z$.

We may assume $z > 0$, for the general case of z with positive real part is reduced to this case by the theory of analytic continuation. We shall prove (3) by decomposing it into its real and imaginary parts:

$$(5) \quad \Re F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log z + \frac{\pi}{12kz} - \frac{\pi z}{12k} + \Re F(\tilde{x}),$$

$$(6) \quad \Im F(x) = \Omega + \Im F(\tilde{x}).$$

To establish (5), we start from the integral

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t^2 - \frac{a^2}{t^2}} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{-2a} \quad (a > 0),$$

which is an easy consequence of

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

We transform the above integral into the following form:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-a^2t^2 - \frac{b^2}{t^2}} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2a} e^{-2ab} \quad (a > 0, b > 0).$$

Putting $a = \sqrt{\pi} mz/k$ and $b = \sqrt{\pi} n$, where m and n are positive integers, we find

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{m} e^{-\frac{2\pi mnz}{k}} = \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} dt.$$

Hence, by absolute convergence,

$$F(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} e^{mn\left(\frac{2\pi ih}{k} - \frac{2\pi z}{k}\right)} = \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2\pi i h m n}{k} - \frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} dt,$$

whence follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Re F(x) &= \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} \cos \frac{2\pi h m n}{k} dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} \cos \frac{2\pi h m n}{k} - 1 \right) dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{t^2}(kl+r)^2} - 1 \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{t^2}(kl+r)^2} &= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi k^2}{t^2} \left(l + \frac{r}{k}\right)^2} = \frac{t}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \cos \frac{2\pi n r}{k} \\ &= \frac{t}{k} \left(2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \cos \frac{2\pi n r}{k} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and further

$$\sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} = \Re \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i h m r}{k}} = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k|m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} &= k \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}, \\ (8) \quad \Re F(x) &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2t}{k} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi n r}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + t \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi m^2 z^2 t^2} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since we defined

$$x = e^{\frac{2\pi ih}{k}} - \frac{2\pi z}{k}, \quad \tilde{x} = e^{\frac{2\pi iH}{k}} - \frac{2\pi}{kz},$$

it follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re F(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{1}{kz} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2t}{k} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2 z^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi nr}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + t \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{z^2}} - \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2 z^2}} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2t}{k} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi nr}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We find here, since $hH \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi nr}{k} &= \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi Hm(hr)}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi n(hr)}{k} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi mr}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi hnr}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in the above result and interchanging the indices m and n , we obtain

$$(9) \quad \Re F(\tilde{x}) = \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2t}{k} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \cos \frac{2\pi hmr}{k} \cos \frac{2\pi nr}{k} \right. \\ \left. + t \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-\pi m^2 t^2} - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt.$$

Subtracting (9) from (8) side-by-side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Re F(x) - \Re F(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 z^2 t^2} - \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} - t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 z^2 t^2} dt - \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} - z \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have here

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 z^2 t^2} dt &= \frac{1}{2kz} \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{2kz} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u} du = \frac{1}{2\pi kz} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi}{12kz}, \\
 \frac{z}{k} \int_0^\infty t \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} dt &= \frac{z}{2k} \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u} du = \frac{z}{2k\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi z}{12k}, \\
 \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} - z \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \right) dt &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u^2} - z \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 z^2 u^2} \right) du \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \left(\int_\alpha^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u^2} du - z \int_\alpha^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 z^2 u^2} du \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \int_\alpha^{az} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u^2} du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{t}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}$$

as the special case $\alpha=0$ of (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \int_\alpha^{az} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 u^2} du &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \int_\alpha^{az} \left(\frac{1}{u} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{u^2}} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2u} \right) du \\
 &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log z - \frac{\alpha z - \alpha}{2} + \int_\alpha^{az} \frac{1}{u} \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{u^2}} du \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find finally

$$\Re F(x) - \Re F(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\pi}{12kz} - \frac{\pi z}{12k} + \frac{1}{2} \log z,$$

which is equivalent to (5).

We now turn to the proof of (6). We shall first show that $\Omega = \Im F(x) - \Im F(\tilde{x})$ is a constant depending on k and h only, and then determine the value of Ω explicitly, using this constancy of Ω .

We have

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Omega = \Im \frac{d}{dz} F(x) - \Im \frac{d}{dz} F(\tilde{x}) = \Im \left(F'(x) \frac{dx}{dz} \right) - \Im \left(F'(\tilde{x}) \frac{d\tilde{x}}{dz} \right)$$

$$= -\Im \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} x F'(x) \right) - \Im \left(\frac{2\pi}{kz^2} \tilde{x} F'(\tilde{x}) \right).$$

Hence, to prove the constancy of \mathcal{Q} , it suffices to show that

$$z^2 \Im G(x) + \Im G(\tilde{x}) = 0,$$

where we define, for $|w| < 1$,

$$G(w) = w F'(w) = w \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n w^{mn-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n w^{mn}.$$

We start from

$$ne^{-\frac{2\pi mnz}{k}} = \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^{\infty} mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} dt,$$

which is equivalent to (7). We find, by absolute convergence,

$$G(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^{mn(\frac{2\pi ih}{k} - \frac{2\pi z}{k})} = \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} mne^{\frac{2\pi i h m n}{k} - \frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} dt,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Im G(x) &= \frac{2z}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} \sin \frac{2\pi h m n}{k} dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(me^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} ne^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{t^2}} \sin \frac{2\pi h m n}{k} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{z}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} me^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (kl+r)e^{-\frac{\pi}{t^2}(kl+r)^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, differentiating (2) with respect to α , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (n+\alpha)e^{-(n+\alpha)^2 \pi t} = \frac{2}{t\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{t}} \sin(2\pi n\alpha),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (kl+r)e^{-\frac{\pi}{t^2}(kl+r)^2} &= k \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(l + \frac{r}{k} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi k^2}{t^2} \left(l + \frac{r}{k} \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{2t^3}{k^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^{-\frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sin \frac{2\pi n r}{k}, \\ (10) \quad \Im G(x) &= \frac{2z}{k^3} \int_0^{\infty} t^3 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi h m r}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi n r}{k} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Changing here z to $1/z$ and h to H , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\Im G(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{2}{k^3 z} \int_0^\infty t^3 \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2 z^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi nr}{k} dt \\ &= \frac{2z^3}{k^3} \int_0^\infty t^3 \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi nr}{k} dt.\end{aligned}$$

We find here, since $hH \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi Hmr}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi nr}{k} &= \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi Hm(hr)}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi n(hr)}{k} \\ &= - \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi mr}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi hn r}{k}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in the above result and interchanging the indices m and n , we get

$$\Im G(\tilde{x}) = - \frac{2z^3}{k^3} \int_0^\infty t^3 \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty mne^{-\frac{\pi m^2 z^2 t^2}{k^2} - \frac{\pi n^2 t^2}{k^2}} \sum_{r=1}^k \sin \frac{2\pi hmr}{k} \sin \frac{2\pi nr}{k} dt.$$

A comparison of the last equality with (10) yields

$$\Im G(\tilde{x}) = -z^2 \Im G(x),$$

which was to be proved.

We shall now deduce the formula (4) for \mathcal{Q} . The following proof of (4) is substantially that given in Dedekind [1]. Dedekind omits, however, the verification of the uniform convergence of a certain series which, though easy to establish, forms the kernel of his proof; we shall give, in the following, a detailed discussion on this uniformity.

We may assume $k > 1$. For if $k=1$ both x and \tilde{x} are real and we have

$$\mathcal{Q} = \Im F(x) - \Im F(\tilde{x}) = 0 - 0 = 0,$$

while the expression (4) also vanishes for $k=1$.

We have, by (6), assuming $z > 0$ as hitherto,

$$(11) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \Im F(x) = \mathcal{Q} + \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \Im F(\tilde{x}) = \mathcal{Q} + \Im F(0) = \mathcal{Q}.$$

Let us put

$$R = e^{-\frac{2\pi z}{k}} \quad (z > 0), \quad \rho = e^{\frac{2\pi i h}{k}},$$

so that $x=R\rho$. Then

$$(12) \quad F(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{mn}}{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{x^n}{1-x^n},$$

$$\Im F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Im\left(\frac{x^n}{1-x^n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-x^n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(R),$$

where we define, for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$A_n(R) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{r+kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}}\right).$$

We put further, for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$(13) \quad B_n(R) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn(r+kn)} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}}\right).$$

Then

$$|A_n(R) - B_n(R)| \leq \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{r}{kn(r+kn)} \left| \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}}\right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{r}{kn(r+kn)} \frac{1}{|1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}|^2}.$$

We now define, for real positive y and natural numbers $r < k$,

$$\lambda(y) = |1-\rho^r y|^2 = 1 - 2y \cos 2\theta + y^2 = (y - \cos 2\theta)^2 + \sin^2 2\theta,$$

where we use the abbreviation $\theta = \pi h r / k$. We find then, if $\cos 2\theta \leq 0$,

$$\lambda(y) \geq 1 + y^2 > 1,$$

and if $\cos 2\theta > 0$, then we have $k \geq 4$ (since $k=2$ or $k=3$ would imply $\cos 2\theta < 0$ against the hypothesis), and hence

$$\lambda(y) \geq \sin^2 2\theta \geq \sin^2 \frac{2\pi}{k} \geq \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{k} > \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

We thus derive in both cases

$$(14) \quad |1-\rho^r y|^2 > \frac{1}{k^2},$$

and this combined with the above inequality gives

$$(15) \quad |A_n(R) - B_n(R)| \leq \frac{k^2}{n^2} \quad (n=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let us define further

$$(16) \quad C_n(R) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{kn}}\right) \quad (n=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then we have

$$C_n(R) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^{k-r} R^{kn}}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^{-r} R^{kn}}\right).$$

Adding this to (16) side-by-side, we find

$$2C_n(R) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{kn}} + \frac{1}{1-\rho^{-r} R^{kn}}\right) = 0;$$

therefore, subtracting (16) from (13) and noting (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n(R)| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \left| \frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}} - \frac{1}{1-\rho^r R^{kn}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn} \frac{R^{kn}(1-R^r)}{|1-\rho^r R^{r+kn}| \cdot |1-\rho^r R^{kn}|} \\ &\leq \frac{k}{n} R^{kn}(1-R) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} R^m \leq \frac{k^3}{n} R^{kn}(1-R). \end{aligned}$$

Since the unique root of

$$\frac{d}{dy} y^{kn}(1-y) = kny^{kn-1} - (kn+1)y^{kn} = 0$$

in the interval $0 < y < 1$ is given by $y = kn/(kn+1)$, at which point the function $y^{kn}(1-y)$ takes its maximum in $0 < y < 1$, we have

$$|B_n(R)| \leq \frac{k^3}{n} \frac{1}{kn+1} < \frac{k^2}{n^2}.$$

It follows from (15) and the last inequality that

$$|A_n(R)| < \frac{2k^2}{n^2} \quad (n=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Hence $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(R)$ is uniformly convergent with respect to R in the interval $0 < R < 1$. We have therefore, noting (11) and (12),

$$\mathcal{Q} = \lim_{R \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(1)$$

$$(17) \quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{r+kn} \Im\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho^r}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{r+kn} \cot \frac{\pi hr}{k}.$$

We find, on the other hand, since

$$t-[t]-\frac{1}{2}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi nt}{\pi n} \quad (t \text{ real and not integer}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} 2m \sin \theta \sin 2m \theta &= \sum_{m=1}^k 2m \sin \theta \sin 2m \theta \quad (\theta=\pi hr/k) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k (m \cos(2m-1)\theta - m \cos(2m+1)\theta) = -k \cos \theta, \end{aligned}$$

the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{m}{k} \left(\frac{hm}{k} - \left[\frac{hm}{k} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right) &= -\pi \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{m}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi n} \sin \frac{2\pi hmn}{k} \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m \sin \frac{2\pi hmn}{k} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k(r+kn)} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} m \sin \frac{2\pi hmr}{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{r+kn} \cot \frac{\pi hr}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

and comparing this with (17), we find the required formula for the case $k > 1$.

The rest of the present paper is devoted to the study of two properties of Ω .

First, we continue from (17) and derive for $k > 1$

$$\Omega = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{kn-r} \cot \frac{\pi hr}{k},$$

which added to (17) side-by-side yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Omega &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2r} \cot \frac{\pi hr}{k} - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \cot \frac{\pi hr}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{k^2 n^2 - r^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left(\cot \frac{\pi hr}{k} \right) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2r}{k^2 n^2 - r^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(18) \quad \cot s = \frac{1}{s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2s}{\pi^2 n^2 - s^2} \quad (|s| < \pi),$$

we have

$$\cot \frac{\pi r}{k} = \frac{k}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2r}{k^2 n^2 - r^2} \right),$$

and hence we get

$$(19) \quad Q_{h,k} = \frac{\pi}{4k} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \cot \frac{\pi hm}{k} \cot \frac{\pi m}{k} \quad (k > 1),$$

which also holds for $k=1$, if an empty sum signifies zero. We have thus found two different expressions (4) and (19) for Q .

Secondly, our arithmetic function $Q_{h,k}$ is known to satisfy a curious equality called the reciprocity formula for Dedekind sums, which asserts that, for coprime positive integers h and k ,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{m}{k} \left\{ \frac{hm}{k} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{h-1} \frac{n}{h} \left\{ \frac{kn}{h} \right\} = \frac{h^2 + k^2 + 1}{12hk} - \frac{1}{4},$$

where we use the abbreviation $\{t\} = t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}$ for real t .

Rademacher and Whiteman [5] gave a simple arithmetic proof for (20). We shall give, in the following, a simple contour-integration proof for

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \cot \frac{\pi hm}{k} \cot \frac{\pi m}{k} + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{h-1} \cot \frac{\pi kn}{h} \cot \frac{\pi n}{h} = \frac{h^2 + k^2 + 1}{3hk} - 1,$$

which is equivalent to (20) on account of (19) and (4).

We put, for a complex variable $z = x + iy$ (x and y real),

$$g(z) = \cot z \cot \frac{z}{k} \cot \frac{hz}{k}.$$

We take $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and define four paths of integration as follows:

The path A begins at αi , thence goes vertically down to $(1/2)i$, then runs along the semi-circle $z = (1/2)e^{i\theta}$, $(1/2)\pi \leq \theta \leq (3/2)\pi$ with origin the centre counter-clockwise, and finally descends from $-(1/2)i$ down to $-\alpha i$; the path B starts at $-\alpha i$, proceeds horizontally to the right and ends at $k\pi - \alpha i$; we name C the path which begins at $k\pi - \alpha i$, ascends vertically up to $k\pi - (1/2)i$, then runs clockwise along the semi-circle $z = k\pi + (1/2)e^{i\theta}$, $(3/2)\pi \geq \theta \geq (1/2)\pi$, and finally proceeds from $k\pi + (1/2)i$ vertically upwards, terminating at $k\pi + \alpha i$; the last

path D originates at $k\pi + \alpha i$, goes horizontally to the left and ends at αi .

We name K the contour obtained by successive junctions of the paths just obtained. Then, integrating once round K in the positive sense, we get

$$\int_K g(z) dz = \int_A + \int_B + \int_C + \int_D,$$

which equals $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues of $g(z)$ at the poles inside K .

Since $g(z)$ has a period $k\pi$, we have

$$\int_A + \int_C = 0.$$

We find also, uniformly in x ,

$$\cot z \rightarrow \mp i \quad (y \rightarrow \pm \infty),$$

and hence, as α tends to infinity,

$$\int_B + \int_D \rightarrow \int_0^{k\pi} (-i) dx - \int_0^{k\pi} i dx = -2k\pi i.$$

Now we have, by (18) for instance, the expansion

$$z \cot z = 1 - \frac{1}{3} z^2 + \dots \quad (|z| < \pi),$$

and hence, for small $|z|$,

$$(z \cot z) \left(\frac{z}{k} \cot \frac{z}{k} \right) \left(\frac{hz}{k} \cot \frac{hz}{k} \right) = 1 - \frac{h^2 + k^2 + 1}{3k^2} z^2 + \dots$$

Hence the residue of $g(z)$ at the origin is $-(h^2 + k^2 + 1)/3h$. Further, all the poles of $g(z)$ inside K and different from the origin are πm ($m=1, 2, \dots, k-1$) in the case $k > 1$, and $(k/h)\pi n$ ($n=1, 2, \dots, h-1$) in the case $h > 1$, with the residues

$$\cot \frac{\pi hm}{k} \cot \frac{\pi m}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k}{h} \cot \frac{\pi kn}{h} \cot \frac{\pi n}{h}$$

respectively. Hence we find, making $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \cot \frac{\pi hm}{k} \cot \frac{\pi m}{k} + \frac{k}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{h-1} \cot \frac{\pi kn}{h} \cot \frac{\pi n}{h} = \frac{h^2 + k^2 + 1}{3h} - k,$$

which is equivalent to (21).

References

- [1] R. Dedekind: Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII; Bernhard Riemanns Gesammelte Mathematische Werke und Wissenschaftlicher Nachlass (2. Auflage, Leipzig 1892), pp. 466-478.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan: Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis; Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), vol. 17 (1918), pp. 75-115.
- [3] H. Rademacher: Zur Theorie der Modulfunktionen; J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 167 (1932), pp. 312-336.
- [4] H. Rademacher: On the partition function $p(n)$; Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), vol. 43 (1937), pp. 241-254.
- [5] H. Rademacher and A. Whiteman: Theorems on Dedekind sums; Amer. J. Math. vol. 63 (1941), pp. 377-407.

Related papers

- 1) T. M. Apostol: Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series; Duke Math. J. vol. 17 (1950), pp. 147-157.
- 2) T. M. Apostol: Asymptotic series related to the partition function; Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 53 (1951), pp. 327-331.
- 3) R. Bellman: Ramanujan sums and the average value of arithmetic functions; Duke Math. J. vol. 17 (1950), pp. 159-168.
- 4) N. A. Brigham: A general asymptotic formula for partition functions; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 1 (1950), pp. 182-191.
- 5) N. A. Brigham: On a certain weighted partition function; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 1 (1950), pp. 192-204.
- 6) C. Hermite: Sur quelques formules relatives à la transformation des fonctions elliptiques; J. de Math. (2), vol. 3 (1858), pp. 26-36.
- 7) H. Rademacher: Bestimmung einer gewissen Einheitswurzel in der Theorie der Modulfunktionen; J. London Math. Soc. vol. 7 (1932), pp. 14-19.
- 8) H. Rademacher: On the expansion of the partition function in a series; Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 44 (1943), pp. 416-422.
- 9) H. Rademacher: Die Reziprozitätsformel für Dedekindsche Summen; Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 12, Leopoldo Fejér et Frederisco Riesz LXX annos natis dedicatus, pars B, pp. 57-60 (1950).
- 10) L. Rédei: Elementarer Beweis und Verallgemeinerung einer Reziprozitätsformel von Dedekind; Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 12, Leopoldo Fejér et Frederisco Riesz LXX annos natis dedicatus, pars B, pp. 236-239 (1950).
- 11) L. Schoenfeld: A transformation formula in the theory of partitions; Duke Math. J. vol. 11 (1944), pp. 873-887.