

### A Note on Finite Ring Extensions

Emil ARTIN and John T. TATE

Let  $R \subset S$  be two commutative rings. We shall say that  $S$  is a modul finite extension of  $R$  if a finite number of elements  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$  of  $S$  can be found such that

$$S = R\omega_1 + R\omega_2 + \dots + R\omega_n.$$

This modul finite extension has to be distinguished from what we shall call a ring finite extension

$$S = R[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n],$$

in which every element of  $S$  can be written as polynomial in the generators  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$  with coefficients in  $R$ . If we call  $S'$  the ring of all polynomials in the indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in  $R$  then  $S$  is a homomorphic image of  $S'$  and the following well known lemma is immediate:

*Lemma 1.* If  $R$  is a Noetherian ring<sup>1)</sup> with unit element and  $S = R[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$  a ring finite extension of  $R$  then  $S$  is Noetherian.

*Lemma 2.* Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring with unit element and  $S = R\omega_1 + R\omega_2 + \dots + R\omega_n$  a modul finite extension of  $R$ . Then any intermediate ring  $T: R \subset T \subset S$  is also a modul finite extension of  $R$ .

The proof is simple and also well known. We consider  $S$  as an  $R$ -space. The  $R$ -subspaces of  $S$ —and  $T$  is one of them—satisfy the ascending chain condition.  $T$  is therefore a modul finite extension of  $R$ .

The main result of our note is:

*Theorem 1.* Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring with unit element,  $S = R[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$  a ring finite extension and  $T$  an intermediate ring such that  $S$  is a modul finite extension of  $T: S = T\omega_1 + T\omega_2 + \dots + T\omega_m$ . Then  $T$  is a ring finite extension of  $R$ .

Proof: There exist expressions of the form:

$$(1) \quad \xi_i = \sum_{\nu=1}^m a_{i\nu} \omega_\nu; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n; \quad a_{i\nu} \in T$$

---

1) i.e. a ring with ascending chain condition for ideals.

$$(2) \quad \omega_i \omega_j = \sum_{\nu=1}^m b_{i j \nu} \omega_\nu; \quad i, j=1, 2, \dots, m; \quad b_{i j \nu} \in T.$$

Let  $T_0$  be the ring finite extension of  $R$  generated by the  $a_{i\nu}$  and the  $b_{i j \nu}$ . Lemma 1 shows that  $T_0$  is Noetherian. Trivially  $T_0 \subset T \subset S$ .

An element of  $S$  is a polynomial in the  $\xi_i$  with coefficients in  $R$ . Substituting (1) and making repeated use of (2) shows that

$$S = T_0 \omega_1 + T_0 \omega_2 + \dots + T_0 \omega_m,$$

so that  $S$  is a modul finite extension of  $T_0$ . Because of lemma 2 our ring  $T$  is also a modul finite extension of  $T_0$ , say by elements  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p$  of  $T$ . Therefore  $T$  is a ring finite extension of  $R$  by the elements  $a_{i\nu}, b_{i j \nu}$  and  $u_\nu$ .

As an application we prove the following theorem of Zariski.<sup>2)</sup>

*Theorem 2.* Let  $k$  be a field and assume that the ring finite extension  $E = k[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$  is a field. Then  $E/k$  is algebraic and consequently modul finite.

Proof: Suppose  $E/k$  is transcendental. Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$  be algebraically independent, all other  $\xi_\nu$  algebraically dependent on  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ . Call  $F$  the field  $k(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r)$  of all rational functions of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ . Then  $k \subset F \subset E$  and  $E$  is a modul finite extension of  $F$  (being a finite algebraic extension of  $F$ ). Because of theorem 1  $F$  would be a ring finite extension  $k[\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m]$  of  $k$ . Each  $\eta_i$  is a rational function of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ . Let  $M$  be the set of all denominators of the  $\eta_i$ . In the polynomial domain  $k[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r]$  there are infinitely many irreducible polynomials. (One can make a uniform proof for all fields  $k$  which is similar to Euclid's proof for the existence of infinitely many primes.) Let  $f$  be irreducible and assume  $f$  divides none of the polynomials of  $M$ . The element  $\frac{1}{f}$  of  $F$  could not be a polynomial in  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m$ . This is a contradiction.

Zariski uses theorem 2 for a short proof of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. He concludes as follows:

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{o}$  be an ideal in the domain of polynomials  $\mathfrak{o} = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  in indeterminates  $x_\nu$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal ideal above  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field on one hand and a ring finite extension of  $k$  by the residue

---

2) Oscar Zariski, A new proof of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947).

classes  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  on the other. Therefore each  $\mu_i$  is algebraic over  $k$ . If  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{p}$  then  $f(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p}$  has an algebraic zero and a fortiori  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

If consequently  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal without algebraic zeros then  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}$ . The full Nullstellensatz is an easy consequence of this statement.<sup>3)</sup>

Now let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain with unit element 1 and quotient field  $F$ .

*Theorem 3.*  $R$  has a ring finite extension  $S = R[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$  which is a field, if and only if  $F$  is itself a ring finite extension of  $R$ . If this is the case the fields of type  $S$  are simply all modul finite extension fields of  $F$ .

*Proof:* If  $S$  is a field, then  $R \subset F \subset S$  and  $S = F[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$ . According to theorem 2  $S$  is a modul finite extension of  $F$ . From theorem 1 it follows that  $F$  is a ring finite extension of  $R$ . Conversely, if  $F$  is a ring finite extension, then any modul finite extension of  $F$  is obviously a ring finite extension of  $R$ .

Our next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for  $F$  to be a ring finite extension of  $R$ .

*Theorem 4.* The following four statements about  $R$  are equivalent:

- (A)  $F$  is a ring finite extension of  $R$ .
- (B) There exists an element  $a \neq 0$  of  $R$  which is contained in all prime ideals of  $R$ .
- (C) There are only a finite number of minimal prime ideals of  $R$ .
- (D) There are only a finite number of prime ideals in  $R$ , and every one of them is maximal.

(By ideal we always mean a "proper" ideal, different from  $\{0\}$  and  $R$ .)

*Proof:*

(A)  $\rightarrow$  (B): Let  $F = R[\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n]$ . Let  $a \in R$  be a common denominator of the  $\eta_i$ . Then for any element  $f = f(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) \in F$  we have  $a^\nu f \in R$  for some  $\nu$ . Given any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ , let  $b \neq 0$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then we have  $a^\nu \frac{1}{b} \in R$ ; hence  $a^\nu \in bR \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and therefore  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

(B)  $\rightarrow$  (C): Let  $aR = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$ , each  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  primary belonging to  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . For a sufficiently high  $m$  we have  $\mathfrak{p}_i^m \subset \mathfrak{q}_i$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any prime ideal. Then

3) See for instance: van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*, vol. 2 (1931), p. 11.

$$p_1^m p_2^m \cdots p_r^m \subset q_1 q_2 \cdots q_r \subset q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r = aR \subset p,$$

and therefore  $p_i \subset p$  for some  $i$ . It follows that the minimal primes must be among the primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$ .

(C)  $\rightarrow$  (D): We shall use the fact that any element  $c \in R$  which is not a unit is contained in some minimal prime. This follows directly from a theorem of Krull<sup>4)</sup> which states that any prime ideal which is minimal among the primes containing a principal ideal  $cR$  is minimal in  $R$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  be the minimal primes of  $R$ . For each  $i$ , there exists an element  $a_i \notin p_i$  such that  $a_i \in p_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . Otherwise we would have  $p_i \supset \cap_{j \neq i} p_j \supset \prod_{j \neq i} p_j$ , and therefore  $p_i \supset p_j$  for some  $j \neq i$ , contradicting the minimality of  $p_i$ . Take now any element  $b \notin p_1$ . The element

$$b' = b + \sum_{i; b \in p_i} a_i \equiv b \pmod{p_1}$$

is clearly contained in none of the minimal primes  $p_i$  and is therefore a unit. It follows that  $p_1$ , and similarly any  $p_i$ , is maximal.

(D)  $\rightarrow$  (C): Trivially.

(C)  $\rightarrow$  (B): Take an  $a \neq 0$  in the product of the minimal primes.

(B)  $\rightarrow$  (A): Take  $b \neq 0$  in  $R$ . Write  $bR = q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$ , each  $q_i$  primary belonging to  $p_i$ . From  $a \in p_i$  we conclude some power of  $a$  is in all the  $q_i$ , therefore in  $bR$ :  $a^m = bc$ . Then  $\frac{1}{b} = \frac{c}{a^m}$  shows that  $F = R\left[\frac{1}{a}\right]$ .

The question whether a field  $E \supset R$  can be imbedded in a ring finite extension  $S = R[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n]$  of  $R$  can be answered immediately. Let  $p$  be a maximal ideal of  $S$ . The residue class field  $S/p$  still contains  $E$  and  $S/p = R[\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n]$  where  $\eta_i$  is the residue class of  $\xi_i$ . According to theorem 3  $R$  has to satisfy the condition stated in this theorem and  $S/p$  is a modul finite extension of  $F$ . Therefore  $E$  is a modul finite extension of  $F$ .

Princeton University.

4) W. Krull, Dimensionstheorie in Stellenringen, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 179, p. 221 (1938).