Tohoku Math. J.
61 (2009), 67–82

ON RELATION BETWEEN PSEUDO-HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC PAIRS AND PARA-HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC PAIRS

TOMONORI NODA AND NOBUTAKA BOUMUKI

(Received December 18, 2007, revised June 2, 2008)

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate relation between pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs and para-Hermitian symmetric ones.

1. Introduction and our result. For a Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}) = (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \boldsymbol{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2))$ with complex structure J, there exists an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies two conditions

(i) $\mathfrak{r}$ is the centralizer $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ of S in $\mathfrak{g}$,

(ii) J is induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, S$.

For example, $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ -1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is such an element. Define two automorphisms θ and η of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \boldsymbol{R})$ by

$$\begin{cases} \theta(A) := -{}^tA \quad \text{for } A \in \mathfrak{g}\,; \\ \eta(A) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad \text{for } A \in \mathfrak{g}\,. \end{cases}$$

Then, θ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta(S) = S$, and η is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\eta(S) = -S$ and $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$. Now, let us explain that $\mathfrak{g}$, S, θ and η bring about a para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1), \mathfrak{so}(1,1))$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^d$ be a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \boldsymbol{C})$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$ (cf. Berger [1, p. 111]), i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m})\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$) denote the $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces of θ (resp. η) in $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Here, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{su}(1,1)$. An element iS belongs to $\mathfrak{g}^d$, and $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1), \mathfrak{so}(1,1))$, where $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}\, iS$ induces a para-complex structure of $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1), \mathfrak{so}(1,1)) = (\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$. Therefore, a (pseudo-)Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \boldsymbol{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2))$ brings about a para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1), \mathfrak{so}(1,1))$. This poses us the following problem: "Does there exist relation between pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs and para-Hermitian symmetric ones?"

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B20; Secondary 53C35.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-Hermitian (resp. para-Hermitian) symmetric pair, elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) element, Berger's dual symmetric pair, Lagrangian reflective submanifold.

The main purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the following Theorem 1.1 which partially clarifies relation between simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs and simple para-Hermitian symmetric ones:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}_C$ be a complex simple Lie algebra. Then, the following two items* (I) *and* (II) *hold*:

(I) *For any real form $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ and pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ with complex structure J, there exist an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$, a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

(1) $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}) = (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S))$, *and J is induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$;

(2) $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$, *and* $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$;

(3) $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ *is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d} iS$.

Here, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ *is the Berger dual symmetric pair of* $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$.

(II) *For any real form $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$ and para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{h}})$ with para-complex structure $\bar{I}$, there exist a real form $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_C$, an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$, a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

(1) $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S))$ *is a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair with complex structure induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$;

(2) $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$, *and* $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$;

(3) $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{h}}) = (\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$, *and $\bar{I}$ is induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d} iS$.

Here, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ *is the Berger dual symmetric pair of* $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$.

As an application, we actually determine the para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{h}})$ which a (pseudo-)Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ brings about by means of Theorem 1.1-(I), by using the result in Leung [10, p. 182] which determines Lagrangian reflective submanifolds of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces (see Theorem 4.6, also see Remark 4.4).

The authors wish to thank Professor Yoshihiro Ohnita for his encouragement. Many thanks are also due to Professor Soji Kaneyuki and Professor Hiroshi Tamaru for their valuable suggestions and advice. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referee for many suggestions and comments.

2. Preliminaries. This section consists of four subsections. In Subsection 2.1, we recall the notion of para-Hermitian symmetric pair, hyperbolic element and so forth. In Subsection 2.2, we introduce Murakami's setting utilized in [11], and we confirm two Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. Subsection 2.3 studies relation among pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs, elliptic elements and involutions (cf. Proposition 2.10). Finally in Subsection 2.4, we refer to a result of Kaneyuki [3] which investigates relation among para-Hermitian symmetric pairs, hyperbolic elements and involutions (cf. Proposition 2.12).

2.1. Definitions and notation. We will first recall the notion of para-Hermitian symmetric pair and pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair, and we will next recall the notion of hyperbolic element and elliptic element.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Kaneyuki-Kozai [4, p. 88]). Let $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{b})$ be the semisimple symmetric pair by involution σ, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the -1-eigenspace of σ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{b})$ is called *para-Hermitian*, if there exist an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,\mathfrak{b}$-invariant para-complex structure I of $\mathfrak{n}$ and an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,\mathfrak{b}$-invariant para-Hermitian form $\langle \cdot\,, \,\cdot \rangle$ with respect to I on $\mathfrak{n}$, i.e., I is a linear endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\langle \cdot\,, \,\cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{n}$ such that

(1) $I^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $I \neq \mathrm{id}$,
(2) $[X, I(Y)] = I([X, Y])$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$,
(3) $\langle I(Y_1), Y_2\rangle + \langle Y_1, I(Y_2)\rangle = 0$ for any $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$,
(4) $\langle [X, Y_1], Y_2\rangle + \langle Y_1, [X, Y_2]\rangle = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Berger [1, p. 94]). Let $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r})$ be the semisimple symmetric pair by involution ρ, and let $\mathfrak{q}$ denote the -1-eigenspace of ρ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r})$ is called *pseudo-Hermitian*, if there exist an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,\mathfrak{r}$-invariant complex structure J of $\mathfrak{q}$ and an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,\mathfrak{r}$-invariant pseudo-Hermitian form $\langle \cdot\,, \,\cdot \rangle$ with respect to J on $\mathfrak{q}$.

DEFINITION 2.3 (Kobayashi [6, p. 5–6]). Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a real semisimple Lie algebra. An element $X \in \mathfrak{l}$ is called *semisimple*, if the endomorphism $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,X$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ is semisimple. A semisimple element $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$ (resp. $S \in \mathfrak{l}$) is said to be *hyperbolic* (resp. *elliptic*), if all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,Z$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\,S$) are real (resp. purely imaginary).

NOTATION. Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

(n1) $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{a}}$: the adjoint representation of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$.
(n2) $B_{\mathfrak{a}}$: the Killing form of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$.
(n3) $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$: the centralizer of X in a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, for an element $X \in \mathfrak{a}$.
(n4) $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: the direct sum of vector spaces $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$.
(n5) $f|_A$: the restriction of a mapping f to a set A.
(n6) $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathrm{ss}}$: the semisimple part of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$, namely $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathrm{ss}} = [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{d}]$.

2.2. Root-space decomposition and Cartan decomposition. From the results of Murakami [11], we will afterward deduce Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.9, etc. So, we want to introduce Murakami's setting utilized in [11].

Let $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, let $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$, and let $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$ denote the set of non-zero roots of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$. Then, there exists a Weyl basis $\{X_\alpha\,;\ \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})\}$ of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ such that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$,

$$[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha\,, \quad [H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)\cdot X_\alpha \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}\,;$$
$$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha+\beta \notin \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})\,;$$
$$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = N_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot X_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \text{if } \alpha+\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})\,,$$

where the real constants $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfy $N_{\alpha,\beta} = -N_{-\alpha,-\beta}$ (cf. Helgason [2, Theorem 5.5, p. 176]). Here for $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$, one defines the element $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ by $B_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}(H, H_\alpha) = \alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$, where $B_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ denotes the Killing form of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$. By using this Weyl basis, we give a compact real form $\mathfrak{l}_u$ of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{l}_u = i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})} \mathrm{span}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\{X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\{i(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha})\} \tag{2.2.1}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 6.3 in Helgason [2, p. 181]), where $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is a real vector subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}} &:= \operatorname{span}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\{H_\alpha ; \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})\} \\ &\quad (= \{H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} ; \alpha(H) \in \boldsymbol{R} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})\}) .\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\Pi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})}$ denote the set of simple roots in $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$, and let θ be an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ satisfying three conditions

$$\text{(c1)}\quad \theta(\mathfrak{l}_u) \subset \mathfrak{l}_u , \quad \text{(c2)}\quad \theta(\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} , \quad \text{(c3)}\quad {}^t\theta(\Pi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})}) = \Pi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})} .$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ the $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces of θ in $\mathfrak{l}_u$, respectively. One has the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{l}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} .$$

Then, we define a real form $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ by setting

$$\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p} .$$

REMARK 2.4. (1) θ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{l}$, and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ is its Cartan decomposition. (2) $\mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$, because it follows from ${}^t\theta(\Pi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})}) = \Pi_{\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})}$ that θ leaves fixed a regular element of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ contained in $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ (see Murakami [12, Proposition 1, p. 106]). (3) Every real semisimple Lie algebra can be, up to isomorphism, given by the above fashion (cf. Murakami [13]). Henceforth in Section 2, we assume that a real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is given by the above fashion, and we identify $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l})$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$ with $\{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}) ; \phi(\mathfrak{l}) \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$ and $\{\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}) ; \psi(\mathfrak{l}_u) \subset \mathfrak{l}_u\}$, respectively.

In the above setting, Murakami [11, Theorem 3] and its proof allow us to assert the following:

PROPOSITION 2.5 (Murakami [11, p. 118–121]). *Let ψ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Suppose that it satisfies two conditions*

(a) $\psi(i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}) \subset i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, *and* $\psi \circ \theta = \theta \circ \psi$ *on* $i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$;

(b) ${}^t\psi(\Delta_1(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} : \theta)) = \Delta_1(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} : \theta)$,

where $\Delta_1(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} : \theta) := \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}}) ; {}^t\theta(\beta) = \beta \text{ and } \theta(X_\beta) = X_\beta\}$. *Then, there exists an element* $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ *such that* $\psi \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$.

In the same setting, Murakami [11] has proved

PROPOSITION 2.6 (Murakami [11, p. 106]). *For an automorphism ψ of $\mathfrak{l}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, the following three conditions* (i), (ii) *and* (iii) *are mutually equivalent*:

$$\text{(i)}\quad \psi \circ \theta = \theta \circ \psi , \quad \text{(ii)}\quad \psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u) , \quad \text{(iii)}\quad \psi(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{k} .$$

Here, θ is the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$.

We confirm two Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, and finish this subsection. Here, we are pointed out by the referee that Lemma 2.7 is a special case of a more general statement in Helgason [2, p. 277], and that Nagano-Sekiguchi [14, p. 320] has already asserted Lemma 2.7.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two involutive automorphisms of a real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ such that σ_1 is commutative with σ_2. Then, there exists a Cartan involution τ of $\mathfrak{l}$ such that both σ_1 and σ_2 are commutative with τ.*

PROOF. We will devote ourselves to verifying that there exists an inner automorphism ϕ of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ such that both $\phi \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi^{-1}$ and $\phi \circ \sigma_2 \circ \phi^{-1}$ are commutative with Cartan involution θ (recall Remark 2.4 for θ and for later). In this case, $\tau := \phi^{-1} \circ \theta \circ \phi$ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{l}$ which is commutative with σ_1 and σ_2.

By Theorem 1 in Murakami [11, p. 108], there exist a unique element $\eta_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$ and a unique element $X_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ which satisfy

$$\sigma_1 = \eta_1 \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_1 .$$

Since σ_1 is involutive, one obtains $\eta_1(X_1) = -X_1$ (see the proof of Lemma 10.2 in Berger [1, p. 100]). Define an inner automorphism ϕ_1 of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ by

$$\phi_1 := \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}(i/2)X_1 .$$

Then, it is clear that $\phi_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1} = \eta_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$, and this shows $(\eta_1)^2 = \mathrm{id}$. By use of ϕ_1 and σ_2, let us define an involutive automorphism σ_2' of $\mathfrak{l}$ as follows:

$$\sigma_2' := \phi_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1} .$$

The hypothesis "$\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1$" enables us to see that σ_2' is commutative with η_1 ($= \phi_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$). By arguments similar to those mentioned above, we can deduce that there exist a unique element $\eta_2' \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$ and a unique element $X_2' \in \mathfrak{p}$ which satisfy

$$\sigma_2' = \eta_2' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2' ,$$

and that $\eta_2'(X_2') = -X_2'$. Define an inner automorphism ϕ_2' of $\mathfrak{l}$ by

$$\phi_2' := \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}(i/2)X_2' .$$

Then, it follows that $(\phi_2' \circ \phi_1) \circ \sigma_2 \circ (\phi_2' \circ \phi_1)^{-1} = \phi_2' \circ \sigma_2' \circ {\phi_2'}^{-1} = \eta_2' \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$. Consequently, $\phi := \phi_2' \circ \phi_1$ is an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ such that $\phi \circ \sigma_2 \circ \phi^{-1}$ ($= \eta_2'$) is commutative with θ (cf. Proposition 2.6). So, the rest of proof is to verify that $\phi \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi^{-1}$ is also commutative with θ. In order to do so, we want to show

$$\eta_1(X_2') = X_2' . \tag{2.2.2}$$

Since σ_2' is commutative with η_1 ($= \phi_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$), and since $(\eta_1)^2 = \mathrm{id}$, one perceives that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 \circ \eta_2' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2' &= \eta_1 \circ \sigma_2' = \sigma_2' \circ \eta_1 \\ &= \eta_2' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2' \circ \eta_1 \\ &= \eta_2' \circ \eta_1 \circ \eta_1 \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2' \circ \eta_1 \\ &= \eta_2' \circ \eta_1 \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, i\eta_1(X_2') . \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

Proposition 2.6, together with $\eta_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$, means that $\eta_1 \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta_1$; so that one has $\eta_1(X_2') \in \mathfrak{p}$, since $X_2' \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\eta_1 \circ \eta_2', \eta_2' \circ \eta_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)$

and $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2'$, $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, i\eta_1(X_2') \in \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, i\mathfrak{p}$. Accordingly, it follows from (2.2.3) that

$$\eta_1 \circ \eta_2' = \eta_2' \circ \eta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX_2' = \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, i\eta_1(X_2')\,,$$

because $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) = (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)) \cdot \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, i\mathfrak{p}$ is the direct sum (cf. Theorem 1 in Murakami [11, p. 108]). A mapping $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX \mapsto \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, iX$, for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, is injective, and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ is semisimple; and hence $X_2' = \eta_1(X_2')$. Thus we get (2.2.2). Direct computation and (2.2.2) give us

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi^{-1} &= (\phi_2' \circ \phi_1) \circ \sigma_1 \circ (\phi_2' \circ \phi_1)^{-1} \\
&= \phi_2' \circ \eta_1 \circ \phi_2'^{-1} \\
&= \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}(i/2)X_2' \circ \eta_1 \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}(-i/2)X_2' \\
&= \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}(i/2)X_2' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, \eta_1((-i/2)X_2') \circ \eta_1 \\
&= \eta_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}_u)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\phi \circ \sigma_1 \circ \phi^{-1}$ $(= \eta_1)$ is commutative with θ (cf. Proposition 2.6). □

The following lemma will be helpful to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1:

LEMMA 2.8. *Let* $\mathfrak{l}$ *be a real semisimple Lie algebra. Then, the following two items* (a) *and* (b) *hold*:

(a) *If* S *is a non-zero semisimple element of* $\mathfrak{l}$ *and the eigenvalue of* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S$ *is* $\pm i$ *or zero, then* $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S))$ *is a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair with complex structure induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S$.

(b) *If* Z *is a non-zero semisimple element of* $\mathfrak{l}$ *and the eigenvalue of* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, Z$ *is* ± 1 *or zero, then* $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(Z))$ *is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, Z$.

PROOF. (a): Since S is semisimple, $\mathfrak{l}$ is decomposed as

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S) \oplus [S, \mathfrak{l}]\,.$$

One has $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S)^2(Y) = -Y$ for any $Y \in [S, \mathfrak{l}]$, because the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S$ is $\pm i$ or zero. Now, let us verify that there exists an involutive automorphism ρ of $\mathfrak{l}$ whose $+1$-eigenspace (resp. -1-eigenspace) coincides with $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S)$ (resp. $[S, \mathfrak{l}]$). Define an inner automorphism ρ of $\mathfrak{l}$ by

$$\rho := \exp \pi\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S\,.$$

Then, since $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S)^2(Y) = -Y$ for any $Y \in [S, \mathfrak{l}]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(Y) = \exp \pi\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S(Y) &= \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{l\,!}(\pi\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S)^l(Y) \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2m!}(\pi\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S)^{2m}(Y) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!}(\pi\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}}\, S)^{2n+1}(Y) \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \cdot \frac{\pi^{2m}}{2m!} \cdot Y + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot \frac{\pi^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \cdot [S, Y] \\
&= \cos \pi \cdot Y + \sin \pi \cdot [S, Y] = -Y\,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand; it follows that $\rho(X) = \exp \pi \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} S(X) = X$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S)$. Therefore, ρ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ such that the $+1$-eigenspace (resp. -1-eigenspace) of ρ in $\mathfrak{l}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S)$ (resp. $[S, \mathfrak{l}]$). Hence, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S))$ is the symmetric pair by involution ρ, and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S) \oplus [S, \mathfrak{l}]$ is the canonical decomposition of $\mathfrak{l}$ with respect to ρ. Furthermore, $J := \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} S$ is a complex structure of the vector space $[S, \mathfrak{l}]$, and $B_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a pseudo-Hermitian form with respect to J on $[S, \mathfrak{l}]$, where we denote by $B_{\mathfrak{l}}$ the Killing form of $\mathfrak{l}$. Hence, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S))$ is a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair with complex structure induced by $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} S$.

(b): Since $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$ is non-zero semisimple and the eigenvalue of $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} Z$ is ± 1 or zero, $\mathfrak{l}$ is decomposed as follows:

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{l}_0 := \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(Z)$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{\pm 1}$ denote the ± 1-eigenspaces of $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} Z$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Define an inner automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ by

$$\sigma := \exp \pi \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iZ\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ denotes the complexification of $\mathfrak{l}$. It is obvious that $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(X) = \mathfrak{l}_0$, $\sigma = -\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}$, and $\sigma(\mathfrak{l}) \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Accordingly, σ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ such that its $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces are $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}$, respectively. So, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(Z))$ is the symmetric pair by involution σ, and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(Z) \oplus (\mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1})$ is the canonical decomposition of $\mathfrak{l}$ with respect to σ. Since $(\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} Z)^2(Y) = Y$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}$, one sees that $I := \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} Z$ is a para-complex structure of the vector space $\mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}$. In addition, $B_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a para-Hermitian form (with respect to I) on $\mathfrak{l}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{+1}$. Thus, $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}(Z))$ is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure induced by $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} Z$. □

2.3. Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs, elliptic elements and involutions. Our aim in this subsection is to prove Proposition 2.10. For the aim, we first prove the following:

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a real semisimple Lie algebra. Then, for any elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{l}$, there exists an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{l}$ satisfying $\eta(S) = -S$.*

PROOF. Since S is elliptic, there exists a maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}'$ of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ such that $S \in \mathfrak{k}'$. Theorem 7.2 in Helgason [2, p. 183] assures that there exists an inner automorphism ϕ' of $\mathfrak{l}$ satisfying $\phi'(\mathfrak{k}') = \mathfrak{k}$; and thus $\phi'(S) \in \mathfrak{k}$. Moreover, there exists an element $K \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that $\exp \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}} K(\phi'(S)) \in \mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, because $\mathfrak{k}$ is a compact Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$ (cf. Remark 2.4). Hence, there exists an inner automorphism ϕ of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\phi(S) \in \mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. We denote $\phi(S)$ by S'. Needless to say, $S' \in \mathfrak{k} \cap i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$.

First, let us construct an involutive automorphism η' of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ such that $\eta'(S') = -S'$. Let $\mathfrak{l}_n$ denote a normal real form of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{l}_n = \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})} \operatorname{span}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\{X_\alpha\}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 5.10 in Helgason [2, p. 426]), and let $\tilde{\nu}$ denote the conjugation of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{l}_n$;

$$\tilde{\nu} : X + iY \mapsto X - iY \quad \text{for} \quad X + iY \in \mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \ (= \mathfrak{l}_n \oplus i\mathfrak{l}_n)\,.$$

Then, it is natural that $\tilde{\nu}(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$, and $\tilde{\nu} = -\,\mathrm{id}$ on $i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. Hence, $\tilde{\nu}(\mathfrak{l}_u) \subset \mathfrak{l}_u$ comes from (2.2.1), and therefore

$$\tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\nu} \circ \tilde{\tau}\,,$$

where $\tilde{\tau}$ denotes the conjugation of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{l}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$;

$$\tilde{\tau} : Z + iW \mapsto Z - iW \quad \text{for} \quad Z + iW \in \mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \ (= \mathfrak{l}_u \oplus i\mathfrak{l}_u)\,.$$

Consequently, $\eta' := \tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\nu}$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$, and it satisfies $\eta'(S') = -S'$ because $S' \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, $\tilde{\nu} = -\,\mathrm{id}$ on $i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \mathrm{id}$ on $i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$.

Next, we want to deduce that the involution η' satisfies the two conditions (a) and (b) in Proposition 2.5. From $\tilde{\nu}(\mathfrak{l}_u) \subset \mathfrak{l}_u$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{l}_u$, it is obvious that $\eta'(\mathfrak{l}_u) \subset \mathfrak{l}_u$, i.e., η' is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. By virtue of $\theta(i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}) \subset i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ and $\eta' = -\,\mathrm{id}$ on $i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, the involution η' satisfies the condition (a);

(2.3.1) $$\eta'(i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}) \subset i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta' \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta' \quad \text{on } i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\,.$$

Now, we verify that η' satisfies also the condition (b). For every root $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$, one obtains ${}^t\eta'(\alpha) = -\alpha$ because $\eta' = -\,\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \oplus i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. Therefore, it follows that ${}^t\eta'(\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})) = \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$. Take any root $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}})$ such that ${}^t\theta(\beta) = \beta$ and $\theta(X_\beta) = X_\beta$. Since $\theta(X_{-\beta}) = X_{-\beta}$ (cf. Murakami [11, p. 113]), we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^t\theta({}^t\eta'(\beta)) = -{}^t\theta(\beta) = -\beta = {}^t\eta'(\beta)\,, \\ \theta(X_{{}^t\eta'(\beta)}) = \theta(X_{-\beta}) = X_{-\beta} = X_{{}^t\eta'(\beta)}\,. \end{cases}$$

So, the involution η' also satisfies the condition (b);

(2.3.2) $${}^t\eta'(\Delta_1(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} : \theta)) = \Delta_1(\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{C}} : \theta)\,.$$

Accordingly, by (2.3.1), (2.3.2) and Proposition 2.5, there exists an element $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ such that $\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$. Since $iH, S' \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, one has $[iH, S'] = 0$. This, together with $\eta'(S') = -S'$, shows that

$$(\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH)(S') = -S'\,.$$

Moreover, $\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH$ is involutive. Indeed, it follows from $iH \in i\mathfrak{h}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ that $\eta'(iH) = -iH$. Therefore, we confirm that

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH) \circ (\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH) &= \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} \eta'(iH) \circ \eta' \circ \eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH \\ &= \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} \eta'(iH) \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH \\ &= \mathrm{id} \end{aligned}$$

since $(\eta')^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Hence, $\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ such that $(\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH)(S') = -S'$. Consequently, $\eta := \phi^{-1} \circ (\eta' \circ \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} iH) \circ \phi$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{l}$ which satisfies $\eta(S) = -S$. □

Now, we are in a position to prove Proposition 2.10.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra. Then, for any real form $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ and pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ with complex structure J, there exist an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$, a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

(i) $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$,
(ii) *J is induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$,
(iii) $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$ *and* $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$.

PROOF. By the results of Shapiro [16, p. 533–534], one knows that there exists an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that (i) $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ and (ii) J is induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$; in addition, one also knows that $\rho := \exp \pi \, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ is the $+1$-eigenspace of ρ in $\mathfrak{g}$. There exists an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies $\eta(S) = -S$ by Lemma 2.9. Since $\rho = \exp \pi \, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$ is involutive and $\eta(S) = -S$, we perceive that ρ is commutative with η. So, Lemma 2.7 allows us to get a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $\theta \circ \rho = \rho \circ \theta$ and $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$.

The rest of proof is to show that $\theta(S) = S$. Henceforth, we will devote ourselves to showing that $\theta(S) = S$. From $\theta \circ \rho = \rho \circ \theta$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ being the $+1$-eigenspace of ρ, it follows that $\theta(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$, and hence

$$\theta(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)_{\mathrm{z}}) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)_{\mathrm{z}} .$$

Here, $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)_{\mathrm{z}}$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$. Accordingly, there exists a non-zero number $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{R}$ satisfying

$$\theta(S) = \lambda \cdot S$$

because $\dim_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)_{\mathrm{z}} = 1$ (cf. Corollary 2.3 in Shapiro [16, p. 532]). Since $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $S \neq 0$, one has $\lambda = 1$ or -1. This yields $\theta(S) = S$ or $-S$. Hence, we deduce that $\theta(S) = S$, because θ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ and S is a non-zero elliptic element of $\mathfrak{g}$. □

REMARK 2.11. The element S in Proposition 2.10 is a non-zero, semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$ is $\pm i$ or zero.

2.4. Para-Hermitian symmetric pairs, hyperbolic elements and involutions. Lemma 2.1 in Kaneyuki [3] and its proof enable us to get the following proposition which we need later.

PROPOSITION 2.12 (Kaneyuki [3, p. 477–478]). *Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra. Then, for any real form $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ and para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with para-complex structure I, there exist a hyperbolic element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, a Cartan involution τ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and an involutive automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

(i) $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z)$,
(ii) *I is induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} Z$,
(iii) $\tau(Z) = -Z$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$ *and* $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$.

REMARK 2.13. The element Z in Proposition 2.12 is a non-zero semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} Z$ is ± 1 or zero.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this section, we will demonstrate Theorem 1.1 in Section 1. In order to do so, we show the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ denote the set of quartets $(\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta)$ such that*

(1) *$\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$,*

(2) *S is a non-zero semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} S$ is $\pm i$ or zero,*

(3) *θ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies $\theta(S) = S$,*

(4) *η is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\eta(S) = -S$ and $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$; and let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ denote the set of quartets $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma})$ such that*

(i) *$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$,*

(ii) *$\bar{Z}$ is a non-zero semisimple element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}} \bar{Z}$ is ± 1 or zero,*

(iii) *$\bar{\tau}$ is a Cartan involution of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ which satisfies $\bar{\tau}(\bar{Z}) = -\bar{Z}$,*

(iv) *$\bar{\sigma}$ is an involutive automorphism of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{Z}) = \bar{Z}$ and $\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau} \circ \bar{\sigma}$.*

Then, the following mapping F is a bijection of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$:

$$F: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}} \\ \Psi & & \Psi \\ (\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta) & \mapsto & (\mathfrak{g}^d, iS, \eta, \theta)\,. \end{array} \quad \text{(bijective)}$$

Here, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$.

PROOF. First, let us confirm that, for any $(\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$, the quartet $(\mathfrak{g}^d, iS, \eta, \theta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$) denote the $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces of θ (resp. η) in $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Then, $\mathfrak{g}^d$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m})\,,$$

because $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$ (cf. Oshima-Sekiguchi [15, p. 435–436]). Notice that η is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}^d$ (cf. Oshima-Sekiguchi [15, p. 435]), where η is extended to $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ as $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear involution. From $\theta(S) = S$ and $\eta(S) = -S$, we have $iS \in i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^d$. Naturally, iS is a non-zero semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}^d$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d} iS$ is ± 1 or zero. It is obvious that $\eta(iS) = -iS$ and $\theta(iS) = iS$, where θ is also extended to $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ as $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear involution. Consequently, by virtue of $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$ we deduce that the quartet $(\mathfrak{g}^d, iS, \eta, \theta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$. This means that $F((\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta)) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ for every $(\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$.

In a similar way, we can see that, for any $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$, a quartet $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^d, -i\bar{Z}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\tau})$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$. Here, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^d$ denotes a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ such that $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^d, \bar{\tau})$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\sigma})$. Accordingly, one gets a mapping F' of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ into $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}$ defined by

$F' : (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma}) \mapsto (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^d, -i\bar{Z}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\tau})$. It is natural that $F \circ F' = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}}$ and $F' \circ F = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}}$. Hence, F is a bijection of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$. □

From now on, let us demonstrate Theorem 1.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. (I): Let us prove the first item (I). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real form $\mathfrak{g}_C$, and let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ be a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair with complex structure J. Proposition 2.10 assures that there exist an elliptic element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$, a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and an involutive automorphism η of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

(i) $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$,
(ii) J is induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, S$,
(iii) $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$ and $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$.

Therefore, it suffices to deduce that $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}\, iS$. Here, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m}),$$

where $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$) denote the $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces of θ (resp. η) in $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. It is clear that $iS \in i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^d$. Besides, by Remark 2.11, iS is a non-zero semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}^d$ such that the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}\, iS$ is ± 1 or zero. Consequently, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ is a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}\, iS$ (cf. Lemma 2.8-(b)).

(II): Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a real form $\mathfrak{g}_C$, and let $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ be a para-Hermitian symmetric pair with para-complex structure $\bar{I}$. Then, Proposition 2.12 implies that there exist a hyperbolic element $\bar{Z} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, a Cartan involution $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, and an involutive automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that

(i) $\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{c}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}(\bar{Z})$,
(ii) $\bar{I}$ is induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}\, \bar{Z}$,
(iii) $\bar{\tau}(\bar{Z}) = -\bar{Z}$, $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{Z}) = \bar{Z}$ and $\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau} \circ \bar{\sigma}$.

Thus by Remark 2.13 and Proposition 3.1 for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$, we deduce that the quartet $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma})$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$. Proposition 3.1 enables us to obtain an element $(\mathfrak{g}, S, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}^d, iS, \eta, \theta) = (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\sigma})$. Here, $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \theta)$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}_C}$, it follows that (1) $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_C$, (2) S is an elliptic element of $\mathfrak{g}$, (3) θ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies $\theta(S) = S$ and (4) η is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies $\eta(S) = -S$ and $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$. Since $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{Z}) = (\mathfrak{g}^d, iS)$, the rest of proof is to confirm that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S))$ is a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair with complex structure induced by $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, S$. However, that is confirmed, because the element S is a non-zero semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, S$ is $\pm i$ or zero (see Lemma 2.8-(a)). Hence the second item (II) holds, too. □

4. Application. In 1979, Leung [10, p. 182] has determined Lagrangian reflective submanifolds of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces. By use of his results, we will determine the para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ which a (pseudo-)Hermitian symmetric pair

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ brings about by means of Theorem 1.1-(I) (see Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.4). For the goal, we first prove the following:

LEMMA 4.1. *Let* $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}}) = (\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ *be the para-Hermitian symmetric pair which a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}) = (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S))$ *and two involutions* $\theta, \eta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ *bring about by means of Theorem* 1.1-(I). *Then*, $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ *is given as follows*:

(i) $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta)$ *is the Berger dual symmetric pair of* $(\mathfrak{g}, \eta)$;

(ii) $\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = (\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$, *where* $((\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d, \theta')$ *is the Berger dual symmetric pair of* $(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}, \eta')$.

Here, $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ *denotes the semisimple part of* $\mathfrak{r}$, *and* $\theta' := \theta|_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}}$ *(resp.* $\eta' := \eta|_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}}$*).*

REMARK 4.2. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ denote the $+1$-eigenspace of η in $\mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 4.1, we can completely determine $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ by using three structures of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$, $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. Indeed, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is determined by the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Furthermore, $(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d$ is determined by the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}, \mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{h})$, and $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ is given by $\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = (\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d \oplus \boldsymbol{R}$. Here, we remark that Oshima-Sekiguchi [15] tables Berger's dual symmetric pairs, where there are some minor misprints in [15] (cf. [5, p. 660]).

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.1. The first item (i) is obvious (see Theorem 1.1-(I)). So, we only show the second item (ii). Since $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ is reductive, it is decomposed as follows:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{ss}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{z}}\,,$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{z}}$ denote the semisimple part and the center of $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$, respectively. Since $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ and $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}}) = (\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS))$ is para-Hermitian, Koh [7, p. 304 Lemma I and p. 306 Theorem 6] allows us to have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{z}} = \boldsymbol{R}\,.$$

Therefore, the rest of proof is to deduce that $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{ss}} = (\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d$. From $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$, it follows that $\theta(\mathfrak{r}) \subset \mathfrak{r}$ and $\eta(\mathfrak{r}) \subset \mathfrak{r}$. This, combined with $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} = [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$, implies that $\theta(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}) \subset \mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ and $\eta(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}) \subset \mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}$. Thus, $\theta' = \theta|_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}}$ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ and $\eta' = \eta|_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}}$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}$. Naturally, $\eta' \circ \theta' = \theta' \circ \eta'$ comes from $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$. Now, let us consider the semisimple Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d$. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$) denote the $+1$ and -1-eigenspaces of θ (resp. η) in $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d =&(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m})\\
=&([\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S), \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)] \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i([\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S), \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)] \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m})\\
&\oplus i([\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S), \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)] \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus ([\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S), \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)] \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m})\\
=&[\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS), \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS)]\\
=&\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{ss}},
\end{aligned}$$

because $((\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}})^d, \theta')$ is the Berger dual symmetric pair of $(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}}, \eta')$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$=$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}^d}(iS)$= $(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S) \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S) \cap \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S) \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S) \cap \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m})$. Hence, (ii) is also proved. □

Leung [10, p. 182] determines Lagrangian reflective submanifolds of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces by selecting them from reflective submanifolds in his previous papers

[8, 9]. Furthermore, he determines reflective submanifolds in [8, 9], by using Table II in Berger [1, p. 157–161]. Considering Berger's process of getting Table II, we can assert the following:

LEMMA 4.3. *Let G/R be an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type* (*resp. compact type*), *let L be a Lagrangian reflective submanifold of G/R determined by* Leung [10, p. 182], *let θ denote the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{r} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}\,;\theta(X) = X\}$* (*resp. $\theta = \mathrm{id}$*), *and let η denote the involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ inducing L, where $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{r} := \mathrm{Lie}(R)$. Then, θ and η satisfy the following two conditions*:

(1) $\theta(S) = S$, $\eta(S) = -S$ *and* $\eta \circ \theta = \theta \circ \eta$;

(2) *T_oL is isomorphic to the coset vector space $\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})$.*

Here, we denote by S any central element of $\mathfrak{r}$, denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ the $+1$-eigenspace of η in $\mathfrak{g}$, and denote by T_oL the tangent space of L at the origin.

REMARK 4.4. Theorem 1.1-(I) enables us to obtain a para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ by using a pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ and two involutions $\theta, \eta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. So, both θ and η are required in the determination of $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$. However, Lemma 4.3 implies that L can be substituted for η, and the involution whose $+1$-eigenspace coincides with $\mathfrak{r}$ (resp. the identity mapping) can be substituted for θ, in the case where $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ is non-compact (resp. compact) Hermitian. For these reasons, $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ and L bring about a para-Hermitian symmetric pair by means of Theorem 1.1-(I), if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ is Hermitian.

Now, let us explain how to determine the para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ which a Hermitian symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ and L bring about by means of Theorem 1.1-(I). Here, L is a Lagrangian reflective submanifold of G/R determined by Leung [10, p. 182], $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \mathrm{Lie}(R)$.

EXAMPLE 4.5 (Case $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}) = (\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{t})$ and $L = (E_{6(-26)}/F_4) \times \boldsymbol{R}$). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}) := (\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{t})$. Leung [10, p. 182] shows that $L := (E_{6(-26)}/F_4) \times \boldsymbol{R}$ is a Lagrangian reflective submanifold of $G/R = E_{7(-25)}/(E_6 \times T)$. We are going to determine the para-Hermitian symmetric pair $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ which $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ and L bring about by means of Theorem 1.1-(I). In terms of $L = (E_{6(-26)}/F_4) \times \boldsymbol{R}$ and Lemma 4.3, one comprehends that

$$\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = (\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}/\mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus \boldsymbol{R}\,. \tag{4.0.1}$$

Here and hereafter, we utilize the same notation in Lemma 4.3. Then, Table II in Berger [1, p. 157–161] enables us to obtain

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \boldsymbol{R} \tag{4.0.2}$$

since $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a symmetric pair and satisfies (4.0.1). Therefore from (4.0.1), it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{f}_4$. That yields

$$\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{ss}} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{f}_4 \tag{4.0.3}$$

since $\mathfrak{r}_{ss} = \mathfrak{e}_6$ and $(\mathfrak{r}_{ss}, \mathfrak{r}_{ss} \cap \mathfrak{h})$ is a symmetric pair. Accordingly, Remark 4.2, together with (4.0.2) and (4.0.3), implies that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ and L bring about a para-Hermitian symmetric pair

$$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}}) = (\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$$

by means of Theorem 1.1-(I) (recall Remark 4.4).

In a similar way, we deduce the following (recall Remark 4.4 again):

THEOREM 4.6. *By means of Theorem* 1.1-(I), *a Hermitian symmetric pair* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ *and* L *bring about the following para-Hermitian symmetric pair* $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$. *Here*, L *denotes a Lagrangian reflective submanifold of* G/R *determined by Leung* [10, p. 182], $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ *and* $\mathfrak{r} = \mathrm{Lie}(R)$.

Compact type		
1	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{su}(n+m), \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), n \geq m \geq 1$
	L	$SO(n+m)/(SO(n) \times SO(m))$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(n+m, \boldsymbol{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \boldsymbol{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(m, \boldsymbol{R}) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
2	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{su}(2n+2m), \mathfrak{su}(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2m) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), n \geq m \geq 1$
	L	$Sp(n+m)/(Sp(n) \times Sp(m))$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{su}^*(2n+2m), \mathfrak{su}^*(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{su}^*(2m) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
3	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{su}(2p), \mathfrak{su}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), p \geq 2$
	L	$U(p)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{su}(p, p), \mathfrak{sl}(p, \boldsymbol{C}) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
4	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(q+2), \mathfrak{so}(q) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), q \geq 3$
	L	$(SO(k+1)/SO(k)) \times (SO(q-k+1)/SO(q-k)), 1 \leq k \leq [q/2]$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(k+1, q-k+1), \mathfrak{so}(k, q-k) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
5	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(p+2), \mathfrak{so}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), 1 \leq p$ and $p \neq 2$
	L	$SO(p+1)/SO(p)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(1, p+1), \mathfrak{so}(p) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
6	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(2n), \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), n \geq 3$
	L	$SO(n)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(n, n), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \boldsymbol{R}) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
7	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4n), \mathfrak{su}(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{t}), n \geq 3$
	L	$(SU(2n)/Sp(n)) \times T$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{so}^*(4n), \mathfrak{su}^*(2n) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$

Compact type		
8	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n), \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{t})$, $n \geq 3$
	L	$(SU(n)/SO(n)) \times T$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n, \boldsymbol{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \boldsymbol{R}) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
9	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(2m), \mathfrak{su}(2m) \oplus \mathfrak{t})$, $m \geq 2$
	L	$Sp(m)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(m, m), \mathfrak{su}^*(2m) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
10	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{t})$
	L	$F_4/SO(9)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}, \mathfrak{so}(1, 9) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
11	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	the same as $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ in the above 10-th item
	L	$Sp(4)/(Sp(2) \times Sp(2))$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}, \mathfrak{so}(5, 5) \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
12	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{t})$
	L	$SU(8)/Sp(4)$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}, \mathfrak{e}_{6(6)} \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
13	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	the same as $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ in the above 12-th item
	L	$(E_6/F_4) \times T$
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \boldsymbol{R})$
Non-compact type		
$1 \leq j \leq 13$	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$	the non-compact dual of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$ in the above j-th item
	L	the non-compact dual of L in the above j-th item
	$(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$	the same as $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ in the above j-th item

REMARK 4.7. Theorem 4.6 gives us all para-Hermitian symmetric pairs $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ on the list of Kaneyuki-Kozai [4, p. 97], in the case where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ are real forms of complex simple Lie algebras.

REFERENCES

[1] M. BERGER, Les espaces symétriques noncompacts, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 74 (1957), 85–177.

[2] S. HELGASON, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, American Mathematical Society, Providence-Rhode Island, 2001.

[3] S. KANEYUKI, On classification of parahermitian symmetric spaces, Tokyo J. Math. 8 (1985), 473–482.

[4] S. KANEYUKI AND M. KOZAI, Paracomplex structures and affine symmetric spaces, Tokyo J. Math. 8 (1985), 81–98.

[5] T. KOBAYASHI, A necessary condition for the existence of compact Clifford-Klein forms of homogeneous spaces of reductive type, Duke Math. J. 67 (1992), 653–664.
[6] T. KOBAYASHI, Harmonic analysis on homogeneous manifolds of reductive type and unitary representation theory, Sūgaku 46 (1994), 124–143; Translations, Series II, Selected papers on harmonic analysis, groups, and invariants K. Nomizu, ed.), 1–33, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 183, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1998.
[7] S. S. KOH, On affine symmetric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), 291–309.
[8] D. S. P. LEUNG, On the classification of reflective submanifolds of Riemannian symmetric spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1974), 327–339.
[9] D. S. P. LEUNG, Errata: On the classification of reflective submanifolds of Riemannian symmetric spaces, Vol. 24 (1974), 327–339, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1975), 1199.
[10] D. S. P. LEUNG, Reflective submanifolds. IV. Classification of real forms of Hermitian symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geom. 14 (1979), 179–185.
[11] S. MURAKAMI, On the automorphisms of a real semisimple Lie algebra, J. Math. Soc. Japan 4 (1952), 103–133.
[12] S. MURAKAMI, Supplements and corrections to my paper: On the automorphisms of a real semisimple Lie algebra, J. Math. Soc. Japan 5 (1953), 105–112.
[13] S. MURAKAMI, Sur la classification des algèbres de Lie réelles et simples, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 291–307.
[14] T. NAGANO AND J. SEKIGUCHI, Commuting involutions of semisimple groups, Tokyo J. Math. 14 (1991), 319–327.
[15] T. OSHIMA AND J. SEKIGUCHI, The restricted root system of a semisimple symmetric pair, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 4 (1984), 433–497.
[16] R. A. SHAPIRO, Pseudo-hermitian symmetric spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 46 (1971), 529–548.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
1–1, MACHIKANEYAMA, TOYONAKA
OSAKA, 560–0043
JAPAN

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY
ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
3–3–138, SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU
OSAKA, 558–8585
JAPAN

E-mail address: boumuki@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp