

ON ALMOST-ANALYTIC VECTORS IN ALMOST-KÄHLERIAN MANIFOLDS¹⁾

SHUN-ICHI TACHIBANA

(Received February 2, 1959)

In pseudo-Kählerian manifolds, many interesting results concerning contravariant or covariant pseudo-analytic vectors are known.²⁾ Even though there were many papers about pseudo-Kählerian manifolds, but were few about almost-Kählerian ones. Recently, M. Apte generalized Bochner's theorem to compact almost-Kählerian manifolds. His work seems to be very suggestive for me. In the present paper we shall generalize several theorems in pseudo-Kählerian manifolds to almost-Kählerian ones. The main results are integral formulas on vector fields in compact almost-Kählerian manifolds.

In §1 and §2 we shall prepare identities and lemmas and in §3 and §4 define almost-analytic vectors which are generalizations of pseudo-analytic vectors. As applications of integral formulas in §5, we shall obtain several theorems in §6. In §7, we shall give a decomposition theorem of the Lie algebra of contravariant almost-analytic vectors in a compact almost-Kähler-Einstein manifold. The canonical connection will be introduced in §8 and in the last section, to contravariant almost-analytic vectors, we shall generalize Apte's theorem.

1. Identities. In an n -dimensional real differentiable manifold M with local coordinates $\{x^i\}$, a tensor field φ_j^i such that

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_r^i \varphi_j^r = -\delta_j^i$$

is called an almost-complex structure. If an almost-complex structure φ_j^i and a positive definite Riemannian metric tensor g_{ji} on M satisfy the relation

$$(1.2) \quad g_{rs} \varphi_j^r \varphi_i^s = g_{ji},$$

then the pair (φ_j^i, g_{ji}) is called an almost-Hermitian structure. Then, from (1.1) and (1.2), we get

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi_{ji} = -\varphi_{ij},$$

where $\varphi_{ji} = \varphi_j^r g_{ri}$. To an almost-Hermitian structure (φ_j^i, g_{ji}) , an exterior dif-

1) This paper was prepared in a term when the present author was ordered to study at Tohoku University. I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. S. Sasaki for his encouragements during the term.

2) For example, cf. Yano, K. [7], Lichnerowicz, A. [3], Sasaki, S. and K. Yano [5], Yano, K. and I. Mogi [9].

differential form $\varphi = \varphi_{ji} dx^j \wedge dx^i$ can be associated. An almost-Hermitian structure is called an almost-Kählerian structure, if the associated differential form φ is closed, and then the manifold M is called an almost-Kählerian manifold.

Throughout this paper, by M we shall always mean an n -dimensional differentiable manifold with a fixed almost-Kählerian structure (φ_j^i, g_{ji}) . In this section, we shall deduce identities which are useful in the later sections.

In our M , the form φ being closed, so we have

$$(1.4) \quad \nabla_k \varphi_{jh} + \nabla_j \varphi_{ik} + \nabla_i \varphi_{kj} = 0,$$

where ∇_k denotes the operator of covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection.

On the other hand, since the identity

$$\nabla^r \varphi_{rh} = -\frac{3}{2} \nabla_{[r} \varphi_{pq]} \varphi^{rp} \varphi^q_h$$

is well known⁴⁾, in our case, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla^r \varphi_{rh} = 0.$$

The Nijenhuis' tensor $N_{ji}{}^h$ of an almost-complex structure φ_j^i is defined by

$$N_{ji}{}^h = \varphi_j^l (\nabla_l \varphi_i^h - \nabla_i \varphi_l^h) - \varphi_i^l (\nabla_l \varphi_j^h - \nabla_j \varphi_l^h),$$

so on taking account of (1.1), (1.3) and (1.4), we find

$$(1.6) \quad N_{ji}{}^h = 2 \varphi_j^l (\nabla_l \varphi_i^h - \nabla_i \varphi_l^h).$$

Let $R_{kji}{}^h$ be Riemannian curvature tensor, that is,

$$R_{kji}{}^h = \partial_k \{^h_{ji}\} - \partial_j \{^h_{ki}\} + \{^h_{kr}\} \{^r_{ji}\} - \{^h_{jr}\} \{^r_{ki}\}$$

where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial x^k$, and put

$$\text{and} \quad R_{ji} = R_{rji}{}^r, \quad R_{kjih} = R_{kji}{}^r g_{rh}$$

$$(1.7) \quad R_{kj}^* = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{pq} R_{pqrj} \varphi_k{}^r$$

We notice that, in pseudo-Kählerian manifold, $R_{kj}^* = R_{kj}$ holds good. The Ricci's identities are given by the following formulas for any vector field v_i and v^i .

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k v_i = -R_{kji}{}^r v_r,$$

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j v^i - \nabla_j \nabla_k v^i = R_{kjr}{}^i v^r.$$

Applying to φ_i^h the Ricci's identity, we have

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j \varphi_i^h - \nabla_j \nabla_k \varphi_i^h = R_{kjr}{}^h \varphi_i{}^r - R_{kji}{}^r \varphi_r^h.$$

3) Indices $i, j, k, \dots, p, q, r, s, \dots$ run over $1, \dots, n$. Notations are followed to Yano, K. [7] except some trivial changes.

4) Schouten, J. A. and K. Yano [6].

5) For example Yano, K. [7], p. 229

Transvecting the last equation with g^{ki} and using (1.5), we find

$$\nabla^r \nabla_j \varphi_r^h = R_{ijr}^h \varphi^{ir} + R_j^r \varphi_r^h,$$

where we put $\varphi^{ir} = \varphi_p^r g^{pi}$. On the other hand, φ^{ir} being skew symmetric with respect to i and r , so we have

$$R_{ijr}^h \varphi^{ir} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{ir} (R_{ijr}^h - R_{rji}^h) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{ri} R_{rij}^h.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\nabla^r \nabla_j \varphi_r^h = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{pq} R_{pqj}^h + R_j^r \varphi_r^h,$$

and from which we obtain

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_j \varphi_{rh} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{pq} R_{pqjh} + R_j^r \varphi_{rh}.$$

Operating $\nabla^k = g^{kr} \nabla_r$ to (1.4), we have

$$\nabla^k \nabla_k \varphi_{ji} = \nabla^k \nabla_j \varphi_{ki} - \nabla^k \nabla_i \varphi_{kj}.$$

Hence on taking account of (1.8) we find

$$(1.9) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} = \varphi^{pq} R_{pqji} + R_j^r \varphi_{ri} - R_i^r \varphi_{rj}.$$

The equations (1.8) and (1.9) are important identities in the later sections.

By a vector field v we always mean a contravariant vector field v^i , a covariant vector field $v_i = g_{ir} v^r$ and a differential form $v = v_i dx^i$. So the word "a vector is closed" means that the corresponding form v is closed.

Let v be any vector field, then from (1.3) and Ricci's identity we get

$$(1.10) \quad \varphi^{pq} \nabla_p \nabla_q v_i = - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{pq} R_{pqir} v^r$$

And from (1.8) and (1.10) we obtain

$$(1.11) \quad \varphi_i^i v^r \nabla^j \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} = - v^r R_{ri}^* + v^r R_{ri},$$

$$(1.12) \quad \varphi_i^i \varphi^{jr} \nabla_j \nabla_r v_i = - v^r R_{ir}^*,$$

where R_{ir}^* is given by (1.7).

From (1.3) and (1.4) we have

$$\varphi_h^j \varphi_s^r (\nabla^h \varphi^{st}) \varphi_t^i = \varphi_h^j \nabla^h \varphi^{ir} = - \varphi_h^j (\nabla^i \varphi^{rh} + \nabla^r \varphi^{hi})$$

Interchanging r and j and the adding the equation thus obtained to the last equation, we get

$$(\nabla^j \varphi^{ri} + \nabla^r \varphi^{jt}) \varphi_t^i = - \varphi_h^j \varphi_s^r (\nabla^h \varphi^{st} + \nabla^s \varphi^{ht}) \varphi_t^i$$

Consequently, for any vector field v the following equation holds good.

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla_j v_r (\nabla^j \varphi^{rt} + \nabla^r \varphi^{jt}) \varphi_t^i = - \varphi_h^j \varphi_s^r (\nabla_j v_r) (\nabla^h \varphi^{st} + \nabla^s \varphi^{ht}) \varphi_t^i.$$

2. Lemmas. For convenience sake, we shall expose several lemmas which are well known. By V_n we shall always mean an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold.

LEMMA 2.1.⁶⁾ *In a compact, orientable V_n , the following integral formulas are valid for any vector field v .*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{V_n} [(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i - R_{ri} v^r) v^i + S(v)] d\sigma = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{V_n} [(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r) v^i + T(v)] d\sigma = 0,$$

where $d\sigma$ means the volume element of the V_n , and $S(v)$ and $T(v)$ are defined by

$$(2.3) \quad S(v) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^s v^r - \nabla^r v^s) (\nabla_s v_r - \nabla_r v_s) + (\nabla^r v_r) (\nabla^s v_s),$$

$$(2.4) \quad T(v) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^s v^r + \nabla^r v^s) (\nabla_s v_r + \nabla_r v_s) - (\nabla^r v_r) (\nabla^s v_s)$$

respectively.

In a V_n , a vector field v is called a Killing vector (or an infinitesimal isometry) if it satisfies

$$\mathfrak{L}_v g_{ji} \equiv \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = 0,$$

where \mathfrak{L}_v denotes the operator of Lie differentiation with respect to v^i . For Killing vectors, the following theorem is well known.

LEMMA 2.2.⁷⁾ *In a compact, orientable V_n , a necessary and sufficient condition for v to be a Killing vector is that*

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = 0, \quad \nabla^r v_r = 0.$$

In a V_n , a vector field v is called a conformal Killing vector (or an infinitesimal conformal transformation) if it satisfies

$$\mathfrak{L}_v g_{ji} \equiv \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = 2\phi g_{ji},$$

where ϕ is a scalar function. Then as is well known, it holds the following

LEMMA 2.3.⁸⁾ *In a compact, orientable V_n , a necessary and sufficient condition in order that v be a conformal Killing vector is that*

6) For example, Yano, K. [7], p. 278.

7) For example, Yano, K. [7], p. 221.

8) Yano, K. [7], p. 278.

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r + \frac{n-2}{n} \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r = 0.$$

In a V_n , a vector field v is called a projective Killing vector⁹⁾ if it satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad \mathfrak{L}_v \{g_{ij}^h\} \equiv \nabla_j \nabla_i v^h + R_{rji}{}^h v^r = \delta_j^h \psi_i + \delta_i^h \psi_j,$$

ψ_i being a certain vector field. Transvecting (2.6) with g^{jt} , we get

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v^h + R_r{}^h v^r = 2 \psi^h.$$

By contraction with respect to h and i in (2.6), it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_j \nabla_r v^r = (n+1) \psi_j.$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = \frac{2}{n+1} \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r$$

for a projective Killing vector v .

Since an almost-Kählerian manifold M is an orientable Riemannian manifold, the above lemmas and arguments are valid for our M .

For a vector field v we define \tilde{v} by

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{v}_i = \varphi_i{}^t v_t, \quad \tilde{v}^i = g^{ir} v_r = -\varphi_i{}^t v_t,$$

then we have

$$\nabla_r \tilde{v}_i = (\nabla_r \varphi_i{}^t) v_t + \varphi_i{}^t \nabla_r v_t,$$

and

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i = (\nabla^r \nabla_r \varphi_i{}^t) v_t + 2(\nabla^r \varphi_i{}^t) \nabla_r v_t + \varphi_i{}^t \nabla^r \nabla_r v_t.$$

Substituting (1.9) in the right hand side of the last equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i &= (\varphi^{pq} R_{pqit} + R_i{}^r \varphi_{rt} - R_t{}^r \varphi_{ri}) v^t \\ &\quad + 2(\nabla^r \varphi_i{}^t) \nabla_r v_t + \varphi_i{}^t \nabla^r \nabla_r v_t, \end{aligned}$$

from which we find

$$(2.11) \quad (\nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i - R_{ri} \tilde{v}^r) \tilde{v}^i = (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r) v^i - 2R_{ri}^* v^r v^i + 2(\nabla^r v^t) (\nabla_r \varphi_{ti}) \varphi_p{}^i v^p.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (1.2) and (1.4), we find

$$2 \nabla_r \varphi_{ti} = \nabla_r \varphi_{ti} + \nabla_t \varphi_{ri} - \nabla_i \varphi_{rt},$$

so (2.11) can be written as

$$(2.12) \quad (\nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i - R_{ri} \tilde{v}^r) \tilde{v}^i = (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r) v^i + \nabla^r v^t (\nabla_r \varphi_{ti} + \nabla_t \varphi_{ri}) \varphi_p{}^i v^p$$

9) Yano, K. [7], p.133.

$$- \{2 R_{rp}^* v^r + \nabla^r v^i (u_i \varphi_{ri}) \varphi_p^i\} v^p.$$

Hence, if the equation

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla^r v^i (\nabla_r \varphi_{ii} + \nabla_i \varphi_{ri}) \varphi_p^i v^p = 0$$

holds, by virtue of (2.12) and lemma 2.1, we get the following

LEMMA 2.4. *In a compact, almost-Kählerian manifold M , if the equation (2.13) holds, the integral formula*

$$\int_M (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r) v^i - \{2 R_{rp}^* v^r + \nabla^r v^i (\nabla_i \varphi_{ri}) \varphi_p^i\} v^p + S(\tilde{v})] d\sigma = 0$$

is valid, where $S(\tilde{v})$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad S(\tilde{v}) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^r \tilde{v}^s - \nabla^s \tilde{v}^r) (\nabla_r \tilde{v}_s - \nabla_s \tilde{v}_r) + (\nabla^r \tilde{v}_r) (\nabla^s \tilde{v}_s),$$

$$\tilde{v}_i = \varphi_i^t v_t.$$

3. Contravariant almost-analytic vectors. In this section, we shall generalize a notion of contravariant pseudo-analytic vectors¹⁰⁾ in pseudo-Kählerian manifold to an almost-Kählerian manifold M .

In our manifold M , we shall say that a vector field v is *contravariant almost-analytic* (or *analytic*) if it satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \oint \varphi_j^i \equiv v^r \nabla_r \varphi_j^i - \varphi_j^r \nabla_r v^i + \varphi_r^i \nabla_j v^r = 0.$$

In the next section, we shall define a covariant almost-analytic vector, but by analytic vectors we shall always mean contravariant almost-analytic vectors.

Let v be an analytic vector, then from (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad v^r \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} = \varphi_j^r \nabla_r v_i + \varphi_i^r \nabla_j v_r,$$

from which, by virtue of (1.4), we find

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_j \tilde{v}_i - \nabla_i \tilde{v}_j = - \varphi_j^r (\nabla_r v_i + \nabla_i v_r).$$

These three equations are equivalent to each other.

From (3.3) we obtain

THEOREM 3.1. *In an almost-Kählerian manifold, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that an analytic vector v be a Killing vector is that the vector \tilde{v} is closed, where $\tilde{v}_i = \varphi_i^t v_t$.*

From (3.2), for an analytic vector v it holds that

$$(\nabla^j v^r) \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} + v^r \nabla^j \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} - \varphi_j^r \nabla^j \nabla_r v_i - (\nabla^j \varphi_i^r) \nabla_j v_r - \varphi_i^r \nabla^j \nabla_j v_r = 0.$$

Transvecting the last equation with φ_i^i we get

10) Yano, K. [7], p. 236.

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + \varphi_i^i v^r \nabla^j \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} - \varphi_i^i \varphi^{jr} \nabla_j \nabla_r v_i + \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_p^i v^p = 0.$$

On taking account of (1.11) and (1.12), the above equation can be written in the following form,

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r + \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_i^i = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (3.2) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla_j v_r - \varphi_j^h \varphi_r^s \nabla_h v_s = -v^s (\nabla_s \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_r^i.$$

Hence for an analytic vector v we obtain, from (1.13) and (3.5),

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_j v_r (\nabla^j \varphi^{ri} + \nabla^r \varphi^{ji}) \varphi_i^i = 0,$$

because the right hand side of (3.5) is skew symmetric with respect to j and r . Consequently we find

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = 0$$

for any analytic vector field v . The equation (3.7) can be obtained in the following way, also. For an analytic vector, we have $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \varphi_j^i = 0$. Hence from (1.6) it holds that

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i N_{ji}{}^h = \varphi_j^i (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \nabla_l \varphi_i^h - \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \nabla_i \varphi_l^h).$$

On the other hand, the following formula holds good,

$$\mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \nabla_l \varphi_i^h = \nabla_l \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \varphi_i^h + \varphi_i^r \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \{l_r\}^h - \varphi_r^h \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \{l_i\}^r$$

between Lie differentiation and covariant derivative.¹²⁾ Hence, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i N_{ji}{}^h = \varphi_j^i \varphi_i^r \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \{l_r\}^h + \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \{l_j\}^h.$$

Transvecting the last equation with g^{ji} and taking account of skew symmetry of $N_{ji}{}^h$, we get

$$g^{ji} \mathfrak{L}_\varphi^i \{l_j\}^h = 0,$$

from which we get again the equation (3.7).

4. Covariant almost-analytic vectors. In a pseudo-Kählerian manifold, a vector field v is called a covariant pseudo-analytic vector¹³⁾ if it satisfies

$$\varphi_j^r \nabla_i v_r - \varphi_i^r \nabla_r v_j = 0.$$

If the manifold is compact, any covariant pseudo-analytic vector coincides with a harmonic vector.¹⁴⁾ And the following theorem is well known.¹⁵⁾

12) Yano, K. [7], p. 16.

13) Yano, K. [7], p. 235.

14) Yano, K. [7], p. 236.

15) Sasaki, S. and K. Yano [5].

In a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold, the inner product of a contravariant pseudo-analytic vector and a covariant pseudo-analytic vector is constant over the manifold.

In this section we shall define a covariant almost-analytic vector in almost-Kählerian manifolds so that an analogous theorem holds good if the manifold is compact.

In the first place, we shall prove the following Lemma which is a generalization of Liouville's theorem in the theory of functions of a complex variable.

LEMMA 4.1. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , if scalar functions f and g satisfy the equation*

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_i f = \varphi_i^r \nabla_r g,$$

then the functions are both constant over the M .

PROOF. From (4.1) we have $\nabla^i \nabla_i f = \varphi^{ir} \nabla_i \nabla_r g = 0$, from which it follows that

$$\nabla(f^2) \equiv \nabla^i \nabla_i (f^2) = 2 (\nabla^i f)(\nabla_i f).$$

Hence, by Green's theorem we get $\nabla_i f = 0$.

q. e. d.

Let u be a vector field and v be an analytic vector and put $g = u_i v^i$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_i^r \nabla_r g = \varphi_i^r (\nabla_r u_i) v^i + \varphi_i^r (\nabla_r v^i) u_i.$$

Since v is an analytic vector, by virtue of (2.1), we have

$$\varphi_i^r \nabla_r v^i = v^r \nabla_r \varphi_i^i + \varphi_r^i \nabla_i v^r.$$

Substituting this into, (4.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_i^r \nabla_r g = \varphi_r^i u_i \nabla_i v^r + (u_i \nabla_r \varphi_i^r + \varphi_i^i \nabla_i u_r) v^r.$$

Next we put $f = \varphi_r^i u_i v^r$, then it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_i f = \varphi_r^i u_i \nabla_i v^r + \nabla_i (\varphi_r^i u_i) v^r.$$

Comparing (4.3) with (4.4), if the vector u satisfies the equation

$$\nabla_i (\varphi_r^i u_i) = u_i \nabla_r \varphi_i^i + \varphi_i^i \nabla_i u_r,$$

i. e.

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_i (\varphi_j^i u_j) = u_r \nabla_j \varphi_i^r + \varphi_i^r \nabla_r u_j,$$

we find that the functions f and g defined above satisfy (4.1).

We call u satisfying (4.5) a *covariant almost-analytic vector* (or a *covariant analytic vector*).

This vector is a generalization of a covariant pseudo-analytic vector in a pseudo-Kählerian manifold.

By the above definition and Lemma 4.1 we have the following

THEOREM 4.2. *In a compact, almost-Kählerian manifold, the inner product of an analytic vector and a covariant analytic vector is constant over M .*

We shall deform the equation (4.5) which gives the definition of a covariant analytic vector. From (4.5), we have

$$u^r(-\nabla_j\varphi_{ir} + \nabla_i\varphi_{jr}) = \varphi_i^r\nabla_r u_j - \varphi_j^r\nabla_i u_r.$$

On taking account of (1.4) we find

$$(4.6) \quad u^r\nabla_r\varphi_{ji} = \varphi_i^r\nabla_r u_j - \varphi_j^r\nabla_i u_r.$$

As the left hand side is skew symmetric with respect to j and i , we get

$$(4.7) \quad u^r\nabla_r\varphi_{ji} = -\varphi_j^r\nabla_r u_i + \varphi_i^r\nabla_j u_r.$$

We call our attention to the similarity of (3.2) and (4.7).

On the otherhand, from (4.5) we have

$$\nabla_i(\varphi_j^r u_r) = \nabla_j(\varphi_i^r u_r) - \varphi_i^r\nabla_j u_r + \varphi_i^r\nabla_r u_j,$$

from which we find

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla_i \tilde{u}_j - \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i = \varphi_i^r(\nabla_r u_j - \nabla_j u_r).$$

The equations (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) are equivalent to each other. Transvecting (4.5) with g^{ji} , the equation

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla^r \tilde{u}_r = 0$$

holds good for a covariant analytic vector u . From (4.8) and (4.9), we have

THEOREM 4.3. *In an almost-Kählerian manifold M , if a covariant analytic vector u is closed, then \tilde{u} is harmonic.*

In general, \tilde{v} is not necessarily a harmonic resp. analytic vector even though v is a harmonic resp. analytic vector, but about covariant analytic vectors we have the following

THEOREM 4.4. *In an almost-Kählerian manifold M , if a vector field u is a covariant analytic vector, then \tilde{u} is covariant analytic.*

PROOF. We put $v_i = \tilde{u}_i = \varphi_i^j u_j$, then $\tilde{v}_i = -u_i$. From (4.8) we have

$$\nabla_i v_j - \nabla_j v_i = -\varphi_i^r(\nabla_r \tilde{v}_j - \nabla_j \tilde{v}_r).$$

Transvecting the last equation with φ_i^j , we find

$$\varphi_i^j(\nabla_i v_j - \nabla_j v_i) = \nabla_i \tilde{v}_j - \nabla_j \tilde{v}_i. \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

From (4.8), we have evidently the following

THEOREM 4.5. *In an almost-Kählerian manifold M , if vector fields u and \tilde{u} are both closed, then u is a covariant analytic vector.*

Transvecting (4.7) with φ_i^j it follows that

$$u^r(\nabla_r\varphi_{ji})\varphi_i^j = -(\varphi_i^j\varphi_j^r + \delta_i^j\delta_j^r)\nabla_r u_i$$

The left hand side is skew symmetric with respect to j and l , so find

THEOREM 4.6. *In an almost-Kählerian manifold M , if a covariant analytic vector is closed, then we have*

$$u^r\nabla_r\varphi_{ji} = 0.$$

In §6, we shall prove that a covariant analytic vector is always closed if the manifold M is compact.

If a vector field v is at the same time analytic and covariant analytic, then we have $\nabla_j v_i = 0$, by virtue of (3.2) and (4.7). Hence

THEOREM 4.7.¹⁶⁾ *In an almost-Kählerian manifold M , if an analytic vector is at the same time covariant analytic, then it is a parallel vector field.*

Let u be a covariant analytic vector, then from (4.7) we have

$$\nabla^r\nabla_r u_i + \varphi_i^j u^r \nabla^j \nabla_r \varphi_{ji} + \varphi_i^j \varphi_j^r \nabla_j \nabla_r u_i + \nabla^j u^r (\nabla_r \varphi_{ji} + \nabla_j \varphi_{ri}) \varphi_i^j = 0.$$

On taking account of (1.11) and (1.12), we find

$$(4.10) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r u_i + R_{ri} u^r - R_{ri}^* u^r + \nabla^j u^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_i^j = 0.$$

5. Integral formulas. In the present section, we shall prove some formulas on vector fields in a compact almost-Kählerian manifold.

Consider a vector field v in an almost-Kählerian manifold M and define tensors $a(v)_{jk}$ and $b(v)_{jk}$ by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a(v)_{jk} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}\right) \varphi_j^i \varphi_{ik} \\ &= v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_j^i) \varphi_{ik} - \varphi_j^r (\nabla_r v^i) \varphi_{ik} - \nabla_j v_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} b(v)_{jk} &= (v^r \nabla_r \varphi_j^i + \varphi_r^i \nabla_j v^r + \varphi_j^r \nabla_r v^i) \varphi_{ik} \\ &= v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_j^i) \varphi_{ik} + \varphi_j^r (\nabla_r v^i) \varphi_{ik} - \nabla_j v_k \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We notice that $a(v)_{jk} = 0$ resp. $b(v)_{jk} = 0$ is equivalent to the fact that the vector field v is analytic resp. covariant analytic.

In the following we denote a_{jk} resp. b_{jk} instead of $a(v)_{jk}$ resp. $b(v)_{jk}$ for simplicity. We shall put

$$a^2(v) = a_{jk} a^{jk}, \quad b^2(v) = b_{jk} b^{jk}$$

and compute these. By definition (4.1) we have

$$a^2(v) = [v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_j^i) \varphi_{ik} - \varphi_j^r (\nabla_r v^i) \varphi_{ik} - \nabla_j v_k] \times$$

16) For pseudo-Kählerian case, see Yano, K. [7], p. 238.

$$[v^s(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\varphi_p^k - \varphi^{js}(\nabla_s v^p)\varphi_p^k - \nabla^j v^k],$$

whose right hand member is the sum of the following nine terms A_1, A_2, \dots, C_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk}v^s(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\varphi_p^k = -2v^r v^s(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\nabla_j \varphi_{pr}, \\ A_2 &= -v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk}\varphi^{js}(\nabla_s v^p)\varphi_p^k = -v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_{jp})\varphi^{js}\nabla_s v^p, \\ A_3 &= -v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk}\nabla^j v^k = A_2, \\ B_1 &= -\varphi_j^r(\nabla_r v^l)\varphi_{lk}v^s(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\varphi_p^k = A_2, \\ B_2 &= \varphi_j^r(\nabla_r v^l)\varphi_{lk}\varphi^{js}(\nabla_s v^p)\varphi_p^k = (\nabla^r v^s)\nabla_r v_s, \\ B_3 &= \varphi_j^r(\nabla_r v^l)\varphi_{lk}\nabla^j v^k = -\varphi^{rj}\varphi^{lk}(\nabla_r v_l)\nabla_j v_k, \\ C_1 &= -v^s(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\varphi_p^k \nabla_j v_k = A_2, \\ C_2 &= \varphi^{js}(\nabla_s v^p)\varphi_p^k \nabla_j v_k = B_3, \\ C_3 &= (\nabla_j v_k)\nabla^j v^k = B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a^2(v) = A_1 + 4A_2 + 2B_2 + 2B_3$, so we obtain the following formula :

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} a^2(v) = -v^s v^r(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\nabla_j \varphi_{pr} - 2v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_{jp})\varphi^{js}\nabla_s v^p - \varphi^{rj}\varphi^{lk}(\nabla_r v_l)\nabla_j v_k + (\nabla_j v_k)\nabla^j v^k.$$

For $b^2(v)$, on taking account of the similarity of (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$b^2(v) = A_1 + 2B_2 - 2B_3,$$

from which we see that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} b^2(v) = -v^s v^r(\nabla_s \varphi^{jp})\nabla_j \varphi_{pr} + \varphi^{rj}\varphi^{lk}(\nabla_r v_l)\nabla_j v_k + (\nabla_j v_k)\nabla^j v^k.$$

In the next place we shall compute $\nabla^j a_{jk}$ which is the sum of the following six terms a_1, \dots, a_6 .

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (\nabla^j v^r)(\nabla_r \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk} = -(\nabla^j v^r)\varphi_j^l \nabla_r \varphi_{lk}, \\ a_2 &= v^r(\nabla^j \nabla_j \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk} = v^r R_{rk}^* - v^r R_{rk}, \\ a_3 &= v^r(\nabla_r \varphi_j^l)\nabla^j \varphi_{lk}, \\ a_4 &= -\varphi_j^r(\nabla^j \nabla_r v \varphi_j^l)\varphi_{lk} = -v^r R_{rk}^*, \\ a_5 &= -(\nabla_r v^l)\varphi_j^r \nabla^j \varphi_{lk} = (\nabla^j v^r)\varphi_j^l \nabla_l \varphi_{rk}, \\ a_6 &= -\nabla^j \nabla_j v_k. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by virtue of

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_5 &= (\nabla^j v^r) \varphi_j^l \nabla_k \varphi_{rl}, \\ a_2 + a_4 &= -v^r R_{rk}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(5.5) \quad \nabla^j a_{jk} = -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_k + v^r R_{rk}) + v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_j^l) \nabla^j \varphi_{lk} + (\nabla^j v^r) \varphi_j^l \nabla_k \varphi_{rl}.$$

For $\nabla^j b_{jk}$, using the above notation, we have

$$\nabla^j b_{jk} = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - a_4 - a_5 + a_6.$$

As the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 - a_4 &= 2v^r R_{rk}^* - v^r R_{rk}, \\ a_1 - a_5 &= -(\nabla^j v^r) \varphi_j^l (\nabla_r \varphi_{lk} + \nabla_l \varphi_{rk}) \end{aligned}$$

hold good, it follows that

$$(5.6) \quad \nabla^j b_{jk} = -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_k + v^r R_{rk}) + 2v^r R_{rk}^* + v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_j^l) \nabla^j \varphi_{lk} - (\nabla^j v^r) \varphi_j^l (\nabla_r \varphi_{lk} + \nabla_l \varphi_{rk})$$

We next substitute (5.1) and (5.5) in the equation

$$\nabla^j (a_{jk} v^k) = v^k \nabla^j a_{jk} + a_{jk} \nabla^j v^k,$$

then we get

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^j (a_{jk} v^k) &= -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_k + v^r R_{rk}) v^k + v^r v^k (\nabla_r \varphi_j^l) \nabla_j \varphi_{lk} \\ &\quad + 2(\nabla^j v^r) \varphi_j^l v^k \nabla_k \varphi_{rl} + \varphi_j^l \varphi^{jk} (\nabla_r v_l) \nabla_j v_k \\ &\quad - (\nabla_j v_k) \nabla^j v^k. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.3) and (5.7), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} a^2(v) + \nabla^j (a_{jk} v^k) = -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + v^r R_{ri}) v^i.$$

Hence, by Green's theorem, we obtain the following

THEOREM 5.1.¹⁷⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , the integral formula*

$$(5.9) \quad \int_M \left[(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + v^r R_{ri}) v^i + \frac{1}{2} a^2(v) \right] d\sigma = 0$$

is valid for any vector field v , where

$$a^2(v) = a_{jk} a^{jk}, \quad a_{jk} = \left(\int_0^1 \varphi_j^l \right) \varphi_{lk}.$$

We next substitute (5.2) and (5.6) in

$$\nabla^j (b_{jk} v^k) = v^k \nabla^j b_{jk} + b_{jk} \nabla^j v^k$$

and obtain the formula

$$(5.10) \quad \nabla^j (b_{jk} v^k) = -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_k + v^r R_{rk}) v^k + R_{rk}^* v^r v^k$$

17) For pseudo-Kählerian case, see Yano, K. [7], p. 278, Lichnerowicz, A. [3].

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ v^r v^k (\nabla_r \varphi_j^i) \nabla_j \varphi_{lk} - 2(\nabla^i v^r) \varphi_j^i (\nabla_r \varphi_{lk}) v^k \\
 &- \varphi^{rj} \varphi^{lk} (\nabla_r v_l) \nabla_j v_k - (\nabla_j v_k) \nabla^j v^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (5.4) and (5.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.11) \quad \nabla^j (b_{jk} v^k) + \frac{1}{2} b^2(v) &= -(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + v^r R_{ri}) v^i \\
 &+ R_{ri}^* v^r v^i - 2 \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_r \varphi_{jl}) \varphi_k^i v^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (2.11) holds good for any vector field v . Hence subtracting (5.11) from (2.11) and dividing by 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \{ (\nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}^r R_{ri}) \tilde{v}^i - \nabla^j (b_{jk} v^k) \} \\
 = (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + v^r R_{ri}) v^i - 2 R_{ri}^* v^r v^i + \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} \\
 + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_i^l v^i + \frac{1}{4} b^2(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we apply Lemma 2.1 to \tilde{v} , we have in compact M

$$\int_M (\nabla^r \nabla_r \tilde{v}_i - R_{ri} \tilde{v}^r) \tilde{v}^i d\sigma = - \int_M S(\tilde{v}) d\sigma,$$

so integrating (5.12) we obtain the following

THEOREM 5.2. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , the integral formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_M \left[(\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r - 2 R_{ri}^* v^r) v^i + \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_i^l v^i \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{1}{4} b^2(v) + \frac{1}{2} S(\tilde{v}) \right] d\sigma = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

is valid for any vector field v , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^2(v) &= b_{jk} b^{jk}, \quad \tilde{v}_i = \varphi_i^l v_l, \\
 b_{jk} &= (v^r \nabla_r \varphi_j^i + \varphi_r^i \nabla_j v^r + \varphi_j^r \nabla_r v^i) \varphi_{lk}, \\
 S(\tilde{v}) &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^r \tilde{v}^s - \nabla^s \tilde{v}^r) (\nabla_r \tilde{v}_s - \nabla_s \tilde{v}_r) + (\nabla^r \tilde{v}_r) (\nabla^s \tilde{v}_s).
 \end{aligned}$$

6. Theorems. In this section we shall consider a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M and obtain several theorems. In §3, we have proved that an analytic vector v satisfies the equation (3.7) i. e.

$$(6.1) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = 0.$$

In a compact manifold, we know from Theorem 5.1 that (6.1) is also sufficient for the analyticity of v .

THEOREM 6.1.¹⁸⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a vector v be an analytic vector is that*

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = 0.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, it follows the following

COROLLARY.¹⁹⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, any Killing vector is an analytic vector.*

COROLLARY.²⁰⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that an analytic vector v be a Killing vector is that $\nabla_r v^r = 0$.*

Consider an analytic vector v , then from (3.6), (6.1) and Theorem 5.2, we get

$$\int_M (R_{ri}^* v^r v^i) d\sigma = \int_M \left[\frac{1}{4} b^2(v) + \frac{1}{2} S(\tilde{v}) \right] d\sigma \geq 0.$$

Hence we have, on taking account of Theorem 4.7,

THEOREM 6.2. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , the integral*

$$J(v) = \int_M (R_{ri}^* v^r v^i) d\sigma$$

is positive or zero for any analytic vector v . If $J(v) = 0$ for an analytic vector v , then the vector v is a parallel vector field.

Now suppose that the manifold M is a space of constant curvature, that is

$$R_{kji}{}^h = k(g_{ki} \delta_j^h - g_{ji} \delta_k^h), \quad \text{where } k = -\frac{R}{n(n-1)},$$

holds good. Then we have $R_{ji}^* = -2kg_{ji}$, from which it follows the following

COROLLARY.²¹⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold of constant curvature, there does not exist a non-trivial analytic vector field if $R < 0$.*

Let v be a conformal Killing vector, then from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\int_M (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + v^r R_{ri}) v^i d\sigma = -\frac{n-2}{n} \int_M v^i \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r d\sigma.$$

18) For pseudo-Kählerian case, Yano, K. [7], p. 280.

19) Apte, M. [1].

20) For pseudo-Kählerian case, Yano, K. [7], p. 281.

21) We remark that there does not exist a compact almost-Kählerian manifold of constant curvature with $R > 0$. cf. Yano, K. and S. Bochner, [8], p. 80.

On the other hand, integrating

$$\nabla_i(v^i \nabla_r v^r) = v^i \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r + (\nabla_i v^i) \nabla_r v^r$$

over M , we get

$$(6.2) \quad - \int_M v^i \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r \, d\sigma = \int_M (\nabla_r v^r)^2 \, d\sigma.$$

Hence, the equation

$$\int_M (\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r) v^i \, d\sigma = \frac{n-2}{n} \int_M (\nabla_r v^r)^2 \, d\sigma$$

holds good. Therefore by virtue of Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\int_M \left[\frac{n-2}{n} (\nabla_r v^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} a^2(v) \right] d\sigma = 0,$$

from which, taking account of Lemma 2.2, we find the following

THEOREM 6.3.²²⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , any conformal Killing vector is a Killing vector if $n > 2$ and an analytic vector for $n = 2$.*

Let v be a projective Killing vector, then the equation (2.9) i. e.

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = \frac{2}{n+1} \nabla_i \nabla_r v^r$$

holds. Hence by virtue of Theorem 5.1 and (6.2), we have the following integral formula

$$-\frac{2}{n+1} \int_M (\nabla_r v^r)^2 \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int_M a^2(v) \, d\sigma.$$

Thereby we get

THEOREM 6.4. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, if an analytic vector is at the same time a projective Killing vector, then it is a Killing vector.*

In a compact pseudo-Kählerian manifold, if v is harmonic, then \tilde{v} is also harmonic. In our case, the same argument does not hold.

A covariant analytic vector v satisfies

$$(6.3) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r - R_{ri}^* v^r + \nabla^j v^r (\nabla_j \varphi_{ri} + \nabla_r \varphi_{ji}) \varphi_i{}^l = 0,$$

by virtue of (4.10). Conversely, in compact M , if a vector field v satisfies (6.3),

Theorem (5.2) asserts that $b^2(v) = 0$ and $S(\tilde{v}) = 0$. $b^2(v)$ means that the vector v is covariant analytic and $S(\tilde{v}) = 0$ means that the vector \tilde{v} is harmonic. Then from Theorem 4.4, since \tilde{v} is also a covariant analytic vector, the

22) For Kählerian case, Lichnerowicz, A. [3].

same argument is applicable to \tilde{v} , so v is also harmonic. Consequently we find

THEOREM 6.5. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a vector field v be covariant analytic is that v satisfies (6.3).*

THEOREM 6.6. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a vector v be covariant analytic is that v and \tilde{v} are both harmonic.*

7. Lie algebra of analytic vectors in a compact almost-Kähler-Einstein manifold. In this section we shall consider a compact almost-Kähler-Einstein manifold M and give a theorem on the Lie algebra of analytic vectors on M . This theorem corresponds to Matsushima's theorem²³⁾ in a compact Kähler-Einstein manifold and similar to Lichnerowicz' theorem²⁴⁾ on the Lie algebra of conformal Killing vectors in a compact Einstein manifold.

As M is an Einstein manifold, it holds that

$$R_{ji} = cg_{ji}, \quad c = \frac{R}{n}.$$

We shall assume that $c \neq 0$. In this case, (6.1) reduces to

$$(7.1) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + cv_i = 0,$$

from which we have

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r \nabla^i v_i + 2c \nabla^i v_i = 0,$$

or if we put $f = \nabla^i v_i$, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r f + 2cf = 0.$$

If a scalar function f is a solution of (7.2), the equation

$$(7.3) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r \nabla_i f + c \nabla_i f = 0$$

is valid. Hence the gradient $\nabla_i f$ of $f = \nabla_i v^i$ for an analytic vector v is also an analytic vector.

Now we put

$$(7.4) \quad p_i = v_i + \frac{1}{2c} \nabla_i f, \quad f = \nabla_i v^i,$$

then from (7.2) we have $\nabla^i p_i = 0$. Hence by virtue of the Corollary of Theorem 6.1, p_i is a Killing vector. From (7.4), we have

23) Matsushima, Y. [4]. Yano, K. [7], p. 285.

24) Lichnerowicz, A. [2]. Yano, K. [7], p. 276.

$$(7.5) \quad v_i = p_i - \frac{1}{2c} \nabla_i f.$$

Conversely, if p_i is a Killing vector and f is a solution of (7.2), then the vector v defined by (7.5) is an analytic vector. We shall denote by L resp. L_1 the Lie algebra of analytic resp. Killing vectors and by L_2 the vector space of the gradient of solutions of (7.2). Then the above argument asserts that $L = L_1 + L_2$, where $+$ means a direct sum. After some simple calculation, we have the relation

$$[L_1, L_2] \subset L_2, \quad [L_2, L_2] \subset L_1.$$

Hence we get the following

THEOREM 7.1. *In a compact almost-Kähler-Einstein ($R \neq 0$) manifold M , the direct sum*

$$L = L_1 + L_2$$

is valid, where L resp. L_1 is the Lie algebra of analytic resp. Killing vectors on M and L_2 is the vector space consisting of the gradient of solutions of

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r f + 2cf = 0, \quad c = \frac{R}{n}.$$

In this decomposition, the relations

$$[L_1, L_2] \subset L_2, \quad [L_2, L_2] \subset L_1$$

hold.

Now let v be an analytic vector in a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M . Then v satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^r \nabla_r v_i + R_{ri} v^r = 0.$$

Hence we get easily

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla^r \nabla_r (v_i v^i) = (\nabla_r v_i) (\nabla^r v^i) - R_{ri} v^r v^i.$$

Integrating the last equation over M , we have

THEOREM 7.2. *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M , the integral*

$$\int_M (R_{ri} v^r v^i) d\sigma$$

is positive or zero for an analytic vector v .

COROLLARY. *In a compact almost-Kähler-Einstein manifold with scalar curvature $R < 0$, there does not exist a non-trivial analytic vector.*

From this corollary we have again the corollary of Theorem 6.2.

We remark here the following facts. In theorems in this section the one

which plays the essential role is not the almost-complex structure but the equation (7.1).

8. **Canonical connection.** In an almost-Hermitian manifold, if we put

$$(8.1) \quad \Gamma_{ji}^h = \{ \begin{smallmatrix} h \\ ji \end{smallmatrix} \} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_r^h \nabla_j \varphi_i^r,$$

then tensors g_{ji} and φ_j^i are both covariantly constant with respect to the affine connection Γ_{ji}^h . There are many affine connections with this property, but we shall call Γ_{ji}^h defined by (8.1) the canonical connection. In this section we shall calculate the curvature tensor of the canonical connection and obtain certain identities which are useful in the next section. Consider the canonical connection (8.1) in our almost-Kählerian manifold and denote by K_{kji}^h the curvature tensor. If we put

$$t_{ki}^h = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_p^h \nabla_k \varphi_i^p$$

and substitute in the identity

$$K_{kji}^h = R_{kji}^h + \nabla_k t_{ji}^h - \nabla_j t_{ki}^h + t_{kr}^h t_{ji}^r - t_{jr}^h t_{ki}^r,$$

then we find, after some calculation,

$$(8.2) \quad K_{kji}^h = \frac{1}{2} (R_{kji}^h - R_{kjp}^r \varphi_i^p \varphi_r^h) - \frac{1}{4} \{ (\nabla_k \varphi_r^h) \nabla_j \varphi_i^r - (\nabla_j \varphi_r^h) \nabla_k \varphi_i^r \}.$$

Transvecting (8.2) with φ_h^i , we get

$$(8.3) \quad K_{kji}^h \varphi_h^i = R_{kji}^h \varphi_h^i - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_k \varphi_r^h) (\nabla_j \varphi_i^r) \varphi_h^i,$$

from which it follows that

$$(8.4) \quad K_{hi}^* = R_{hi}^* - \frac{1}{4} (\nabla_k \varphi_{pq}) \nabla_l \varphi^{pq},$$

where

$$K_{hi}^* = \frac{1}{2} K_{kip}^q \varphi_q^p \varphi_i^i.$$

Now we put

$$T_{kj} = -(\nabla_k \varphi_r^h) (\nabla_j \varphi_i^r) \varphi_h^i,$$

and shall calculate $T_{jk} v^k$ for any vector field v . On taking account of (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla_k \varphi_{rh}) v^k &= (\nabla_r \varphi_{hk} - \nabla_h \varphi_{rk}) v^k \\ &= \nabla_r \tilde{v}_h - \nabla_h \tilde{v}_r + \varphi_{rk} \nabla_h v^k - \varphi_{hk} \nabla_r v^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it holds that

$$T_{jk} v^k = (\nabla_r \tilde{v}_h - \nabla_h \tilde{v}_r) (\nabla_j \varphi_p^r) \varphi^{hp} + 2(\nabla^p v^q) \nabla_j \varphi_{pq}.$$

Let v be an analytic vector, then by virtue of (3.3), we have

$$(\nabla_r \tilde{v}_h - \nabla_h \tilde{v}_r)(\nabla_j \varphi_p^r) \varphi^{hp} = -\nabla_j \varphi^{hr}(\nabla_h v_r + \nabla_r v_h) = 0.$$

Therefore, for an analytic vector v we have the following formulas

$$(8.5) \quad v^k K_{jk}^* = v^k R_{jk}^* + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^p v^q (\nabla_i \varphi_{pq}) \varphi_j^i.$$

9. A generalization of Apte's theorem. Let v be an analytic vector in a compact almost-Kählerian manifold M . Then, from (3.6) and lemma 2.4 we have

$$\int_M \left[R_{kj}^* v^k v^j + \nabla^p v^q (\nabla_i \varphi_{pq}) \varphi_i^i v^t \right] d\sigma = \int_M S(\tilde{v}) d\sigma.$$

On taking account of (8.5), we find

$$\int_M (K_{kj}^* v^k v^j) d\sigma = \int_M S(\tilde{v}) d\sigma.$$

If $S(\tilde{v}) = 0$ holds, then \tilde{v} is harmonic, because of (2.14). And in this case, v is a Killing vector by virtue of (3.3). Hence we obtain the following theorem which is a generalization of Apte's theorem.

THEOREM 9.1.²⁵⁾ *In a compact almost-Kählerian manifold, the integral*

$$I(v) = \int_M (K_{kj}^* v^k v^j) d\sigma$$

is positive or zero for an analytic vector. If $I(v) = 0$ for an analytic vector, then the vector v is a Killing vector and \tilde{v} is harmonic.

From the above theorem and (8.4), we have Theorem 6.2, again.

OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, TOKYO.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] APTE, M. Sur les isométries des variétés presque kähleriennes, C. R. 242(1956), 63-65.
- [2] LICHNEROWICZ, A. Transformations infinitésimales conformes de certaines variétés riemanniennes compactes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 241(1955), 726-729.
- [3] LICHNEROWICZ, A. Sur les transformations analytiques des variétés kähleriennes compactes, C. R., 1957, 244(1957), 3011-3013.
- [4] MATSUSHIMA, Y. Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kählerienne, Nagoya, 11 (1957) 145-150.
- [5] SASAKI, S. AND K. YANO, Pseudo-analytic vectors on pseudo-Kählerian manifolds. Pacific Journal of Math. 5(1955), 987-993.
- [6] SCHOUTEN, J. A. AND K. YANO, On invariant subspaces in the almost complex X_{2n} , Indagationes Math. (1955), 261-269.
- [7] YANO, K. The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications, Amsterdam, 1955.
- [8] YANO, K. AND S. BOCHNER, Curvature and Petti numbers, Princeton Univ. Press, 1958.
- [9] YANO, K. AND I. MOGI, On real representations of Kählerian manifolds. Ann. of Math., 61(1955), 170-189.

25) For Killing vector, see ApteM. [1].