

A NOTE ON ABSOLUTE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES

KENJI YANO

(Received December 2, 1959)

1. Let $f(x)$ be an integrable function in Lebesgue sense, and periodic of period 2π , and let

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx),$$

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha (a_\nu \cos \nu x + b_\nu \sin \nu x) / A_n^\alpha,$$

where $\alpha > -1$, and $A_n^\alpha = \binom{\alpha + n}{n}$.

DEFINITION 1. If $\alpha > -1$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^\alpha(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha(x)| < \infty,$$

then the Fourier series of $f(t)$ is said to be absolutely summable (C, α) , or briefly summable $|C, \alpha|$ at the point x .

Various theorems concerning the absolute Cesàro summability of Fourier series have been obtained by many authors.

Supposing that $p \geq 1$ and $f \in L^p$, we write

$$(1.1) \quad w_p(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (t > 0).$$

Recently, Chow [3] has proved that

(I) If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $f \in L^p$, and

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt < \infty,$$

then the Fourier series of f is summable $|C, \alpha|$ almost everywhere for $\alpha > 1/p$

(II) If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $f \in L^p$, and

$$w_p(t) = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{-(1+1/p+\epsilon)} \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the conclusion in (I) is true for $\alpha = 1/p$.

We can show that the condition (1.2) itself implies the conclusion in (II) when $1 < p \leq 2$, under some additional condition, and to do it is the purpose of this note.

DEFINITION 2. We define $\lambda(x)$ such as

1° $\lambda(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq x_0 > 0$,

2° $\lambda(x) \uparrow \infty$ as $x \uparrow \infty$,

3° $H < \lambda(x^\delta)/\lambda(x) \leq 1$ for $0 < \delta < 1$ and $x \geq x_0$, where H is a positive constant depending only on δ .

We may take as $\lambda(x)$, e. g.,

$$(\log x)^\alpha, (\log x)^\alpha / \log \log x, (\log \log x)^2, \dots \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

After this definition, we see easily that $\lambda(x) = o(x^\varepsilon)$ as $x \uparrow \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now, the theorem to be proved is as follows:

THEOREM 1. If $1 < p \leq 2$, $f \in L^p$, and for a function $w_p^*(t) \geq w_p(t)$,

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{w_p^*(t)}{t} dt < \infty,$$

then the Fourier series of f is summable $|C, 1/p|$ almost everywhere, provided that

$$[w_p^*(1/x) \log x]^{-1}$$

is a function λ defined by Definition 2.

We have the ‘‘allied Fourier series’’-analogue, cf. loc. cit. [3].

COROLLARY 1. The conclusion in Theorem 1 is true, if $1 < p \leq 2$, and for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$w_p(t) = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We write for the sake of convenience,

$$\alpha = 1/p.$$

Employing the identity

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha(x) = \frac{\alpha}{n} [\sigma_n^{\alpha-1}(x) - f(x)] - \frac{\alpha}{n} [\sigma_n^\alpha(x) - f(x)],$$

in order to prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} |\sigma_n^{\alpha-1}(x) - f(x)| < \infty$$

for almost every x , since (2. 1) implies, as it may be easily verified, the convergence of $\sum n^{-1}|\sigma_n^\alpha(x) - f(x)|$.

We have

$$(2. 2) \quad \sigma_n^{\alpha-1}(x) - f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) K_n^{\alpha-1}(t) dt,$$

where

$$(2. 3) \quad \varphi_x(t) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)],$$

and $K_n^{\alpha-1}(t)$ is the n -th Fejér kernel of order $\alpha - 1$. And, as it is well known,

$$K_n^{\alpha-1}(t) = \Lambda_n^{\alpha-1}(t) + R_n^{\alpha-1}(t),$$

where

$$(2. 4) \quad \Lambda_n^{\alpha-1}(t) = \frac{\cos(nt + \alpha(t - \pi)/2)}{A_n^{\alpha-1}(2 \sin(t/2))^\alpha},$$

$$(2. 5) \quad K_n^{\alpha-1}(t) = O(n) \quad (0 \leq t \leq \pi),$$

$$(2. 6) \quad R_n^{\alpha-1}(t) = O(1/nt^2) \quad \left(\frac{\pi}{n} \leq t \leq \pi\right),$$

O being uniform in n and t .

(2. 2) is written as

$$(2. 7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2} [\sigma_n^{\alpha-1}(x) - f(x)] \\ &= \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) \Lambda_n^{\alpha-1}(t) dt + \int_0^{\pi/n} \varphi_x(t) K_n^{\alpha-1}(t) dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^{\pi/n} \varphi_x(t) \Lambda_n^{\alpha-1}(t) dt + \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \varphi_x(t) R_n^{\alpha-1}(t) dt \\ &= I_n(x) + I_n^{(1)}(x) + I_n^{(2)}(x) + I_n^{(3)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, for the proof, supposing that $[w_p(1/x) \log x]^{-1}$ is a function λ defined by Definition 2, we may use the function $w_p(t)$ itself in place of $w_p^*(t)$, since the conclusion remains unchanged by the assumption $w_p^*(t) \geq w_p(t)$. Besides, then,

$$[w_p(1/x)]^{-1} = [w_p(1/x) \log x]^{-1} \log x$$

is also a function λ , and the condition (1. 3) replaced w_p^* by w_p , i. e.,

$$(2. 8) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt < \infty$$

is equivalent to

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} w_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

In these circumstances, by (2.3) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} |I_n^{(1)}(x)| dx &= O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi/n} dt \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_x(t)| dx\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi/n} w_p(t) dt\right) = O\left(\int_0^{\pi} \frac{du}{u^2} \int_0^u w_p(t) dt\right) \\ &= O\left(\int_0^{\pi} w_p(t) dt \int_t^{\pi} \frac{du}{u^2}\right) = O\left(\int_0^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is finite by (2.8). Similarly, by (2.4),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} |I_n^{(2)}(x)| dx = O\left(\int_0^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt\right) < \infty.$$

Next, by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} |I_n^{(3)}(x)| dx &= O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{t^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_x(t)| dx\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t^2} dt\right) = O\left(\int_0^{\pi} du \int_u^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t^2} dt\right) \\ &= O\left(\int_0^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t du\right) = O\left(\int_0^{\pi} \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt\right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by (2.4),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} |I_n(x)| dx \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{an^{\alpha}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_x(t) e^{i(nt + \alpha(t-\pi)^2)}{(2 \sin(t/2))^{\alpha}} dt \right| dx.$$

Hence, letting

$$\rho_n(x) = \left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} G_x(t) e^{int} dt \right|,$$

where

$$G_x(t) = \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{|2 \sin(t/2)|^{\alpha}} \quad (0 < |t| \leq \pi),$$

the proof is, by 2.1) and (2.7), completed if it be shown that

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_n(x) dx < \infty,$$

since the conclusion is unchanged using $\varphi_x(t)$ in place of $\varphi_x(t)e^{i\alpha(t-\pi)/2}$.

Supposing that $G_x(t)$ considered as a function of t is periodic of period 2π , we have, since $\alpha = 1/p$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} dx \int_0^{2\pi} |G_x(t)|^p dt = O\left(\int_0^\pi \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} dt\right) < \infty,$$

which implies $G_x(t) \in L^p$ in $0 \leqq t \leqq 2\pi$, for almost every x . So, in view of $1 < p \leqq 2$, by a Paley's theorem, cf. Zygmund [5, p. 203], we see that, for almost every x ,

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \rho_n(x) \sin \frac{1}{2}nh \right|^p n^{p-2} \leqq A_p \int_0^{2\pi} |G_x(t) - G_x(t+h)|^p dt,$$

where A_p is a constant depending only on p . And, it is seen with no difficulty that, for $0 < t \leqq \pi$,

$$G_x(t) - G_x(t+h) = \frac{\varphi_x(t) - \varphi_x(t+h)}{(2 \sin 2^{-1}(t+h))^\alpha} + \varphi_x(t) \cdot O\left(\frac{h}{t^\alpha(t+h)}\right),$$

where O is independent of x, t and h . Hence, neglecting the constant factors, and since $\alpha = 1/p$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} dx \int_0^\pi |G_x(t) - G_x(t+h)|^p dt \\ & < \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{t+h} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_x(t) - \varphi_x(t+h)|^p dx + h^p \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{t(t+h)^p} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_x(t)|^p dx \\ & < \int_0^\pi \frac{[w_p(h)]^p dt}{t+h} + h^p \int_0^\pi \frac{[w_p(t)]^p dt}{t(t+h)^p}. \end{aligned}$$

It is analogous to $\int_0^{2\pi} dx \int_\pi^{2\pi} |G_x(t) - G_x(t+h)|^p dt$.

Integrating both sides of (2.10) with respect to x over $(0, 2\pi)$, and again neglecting the constant factor and the term $O(h^p)$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sin \frac{1}{2}nh \right|^p \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[\rho_n(x)]^p dx}{n^{2-p}} < [w_p(h)]^p \log \frac{\pi}{h} + h^p \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p dt}{t(t+h)^p}.$$

By the assumption,

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) = \left[w_p(h) \log \frac{\pi}{h} \right]^{-(p-1)}$$

is a function λ defined by Definition 2. Multiplying both sides of (2. 11) by

$$\frac{\lambda(1/h)}{h[\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} = \frac{1}{h[w_p(h)]^{p-1} \log(\pi/h)},$$

and then integrating them with respect to h over $(0, 1)$, we obtain

$$(2. 13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(1/h) |\sin(nh/2)|^p dh}{h[\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[\rho_n(x)]^p dx}{n^{2-p}} \\ & < \int_0^1 \frac{w_p(h)}{h} dh + \int_0^1 \frac{h^{p-1} \lambda(1/h) dh}{[\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p dt}{t(t+h)^p} = J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

J_1 is clearly finite by (2. 8). And

$$J_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} dt \left(\int_0^{t^2} + \int_{t^2}^1 \right) \frac{h^{p-1} \lambda(1/h) dh}{(t+h)^p [\log(\pi/2)]^{2-p}} = J_2^{(1)} + J_2^{(2)}.$$

As it is noticed before, $\lambda(x) = o(x^\varepsilon)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. So, taking $\varepsilon = 1/2$, and observing that $1 < p \leq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^{(1)} & < \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} dt \int_0^{t^2} \frac{h^{p-1-1/2}}{(t+h)^p} dh \\ & < \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t^{p+1}} (t^2)^{p-1/2} dt \\ & = \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t^{2-p}} dt = O\left(\int_0^1 \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt\right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Further, taking into account the property of the function λ , and $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^{(2)} & < \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} dt \int_{t^2}^1 \frac{\lambda(1/h) dh}{h[\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} \\ & < \int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt \int_{t^2}^1 \frac{dh}{h[\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} \\ & = O\left(\int_0^1 \frac{[w_p(t)]^p}{t} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left(\log \frac{\pi}{t}\right)^{p-1} dt\right) \\ & = O\left(\int_0^1 \frac{w_p(t)}{t} dt\right), \end{aligned} \quad \text{by (2. 12),}$$

which is finite by (2. 8). On the other hand, the coefficient of $\int_0^{2\pi} [\rho_n(x)]^p n^{p-2} dx$ in the first member of (2. 13) is, since $p \leq 2$ and $|\sin(nh/2)|^p \geq |\sin(nh/2)|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(1/h) |\sin(nh/2)|^p dh}{h [\log(\pi/h)]^{2-p}} &> \int_{1/n}^{1/\sqrt{n}} \\
 &> \frac{\lambda(\sqrt{n})}{[\log(n\pi)]^{2-p}} \int_{1/n}^{1/\sqrt{n}} \frac{1 - \cos nh}{2h} dh \\
 &> \frac{\lambda(\sqrt{n})}{[\log(n\pi)]^{2-p}} \left(\frac{1}{4} \log n - 1 \right) \\
 &> K\lambda(n) [\log(n\pi)]^{p-1} = \frac{K}{[w_p(1/n)]^{p-1}}, \quad \text{by (2.12),}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq n_0$, where K is a positive constant independent of n . Thus, observing that J_1 and J_2 are finite we see, from (2.13),

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[\rho_n(x)]^p n^{p-2}}{[w_p(1/n)]^{p-1}} dx < \infty.$$

Letting $q = p/(p - 1)$, we now obtain by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_n(x) dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w_p(1/n)}{n} \right)^{1/q} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{[\rho_n(x)]^p n^{p-2}}{[w_p(1/n)]^{p-1}} \right)^{1/p} dx \\
 &\leq \left(2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_p(1/n)}{n} \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{[\rho_n(x)]^p n^{p-2}}{[w_p(1/n)]^{p-1}} dx \right)^{1/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is finite by (2.8)' and (2.14), and we get (2.9). This completes the proof.

3. REMARK 1. Using the notations in § 1, and applying the argument employed in the preceding proof to the Parseval's equation

$$[w_2(t)]^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x)]^2 dx = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \left(\sin \frac{1}{2} nt \right)^2,$$

where $f \in L^2$, we see that one of the two expressions

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) [w_2(t)]^2 dt$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \lambda(n) \log n$$

converges, then the other does.

Hence, if $f(x)$ satisfies the condition

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_2(t) = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{-(a+\varepsilon)} \left(a \geq \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon > 0\right),$$

then, taking $\lambda(1/t) = (\log(1/t))^{2a-1+\varepsilon}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) (\log n)^{2a+\varepsilon} < \infty.$$

In particular, we see that by a theorem of Wang [1], also cf. Tsuchikura [2], the condition (3.2) and so (3.1) implies the summability $|C, \alpha|$, a. e., of the Fourier series of f for $\alpha > 1/2$, or $\alpha = 1/2$, according as $a = 1/2$ or $a = 1$. Thus, Corollary 1 stated in § 1 is a result from the Wang's theorem with $a = 1$, when $p = 2$.

REMARK 2. Using the Parseval's equation in place of the Paley's inequality we can prove the following theorem quite analogously as Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let by $w(t)$ denote the modulus of continuity of the function f in $(0, 2\pi)$. If for a function $w^*(t) \geq w(t)$,

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{w^*(t)}{t} dt < \infty,$$

then the Fourier series of f is summable $|C, 1/2|$ everywhere, provided that

$$[w^*(1/x) \log x]^{-1}$$

is a function λ defined by Definition 2.

COROLLARY 2. The conclusion in Theorem 2 is true, if for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$w(t) = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

This corollary improves a result of Chow [4, Theorem 3].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. T. WANG, The absolute Cesàro summability of trigonometrical series, *Duke Math. J.*, 9(1942), 567-572.
- [2] T. TSUCHIKURA, Absolute Cesàro summability of orthogonal series. *Tôhoku Math. J.*, (2), 5(1953), 52-66.
- [3] H. C. CHOW, Some new criteria for the absolute summability of a Fourier series and its conjugate series, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 30(1955), 439-448.
- [4] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometrical series*, Warszawa-Lwow, 1935.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE,
NARA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY.