## **ON THE SATURATION AND BEST APPROXIMATION**

## GEN-ICHIRÔ SUNOUCHI

## (Received April 16, 1962)

Let f(x) be an integrable function with period  $2\pi$  and let its Fourier series be

$$a_0/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x).$$

Denote the method of typical means of this series by

$$R_n^{\lambda}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{k^{\lambda}}{n^{\lambda}}\right) A_k(x).$$

Then this method saturates with the order  $n^{-\lambda}$ , that is, we have

THEOREM A. For the typical means,

- (1°)  $f R_n^{\lambda}(f) = o(n^{-\lambda}) \iff f = a \text{ constant},$ (2°)  $f - R_n^{\lambda}(f) = O(n^{-\lambda}) \longleftrightarrow f \in W^{\lambda},$

where  $W^{\lambda}$  means the class of functions for which

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\lambda} A_k(x) \sim f^{\lambda} \in L^{\infty}(0, 2\pi).$$

See Aljančić [1], Sunouchi [3] Sunouchi-Watari [4]. Recently Aljančić [2] proved the following theorm.

THEOREM B. Let  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then

$$f^{(k)}(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{\alpha}(k + \alpha < \lambda) \Longleftrightarrow f - R_{n}^{\lambda}(f) = O(n^{-k-\alpha}),$$

where  $f^{(k)}(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{\alpha}$  means

$$f^{(k)}(x+h) + f^{(k)}(x-h) - 2f^{(k)}(x) = O(|h|^{\alpha})$$

However this fact is not confined to only the typical means, but also is valid for more general approximation processes. Indeed we can deduce Theorem B from Theorem A by method of the moving average.

THEOREM. Let  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Suppose that for linear approximation processes  $T_n(f)$ 

(1°)  $|f(x)| \leq M_1$  implies  $|T_n(f)(x)| \leq k_1 M_1$ , and

(2°)  $|f^{\lambda}(x)| \leq M_2$  implies  $|f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \leq k_2 M_2 n^{-\lambda}$ , where  $n^{-\lambda}$  is the best approximation of the class of functions

 $f^{(k)}(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{\alpha}; \ k + \alpha = \lambda, \ k \ is \ an \ integer, \ 0 < \alpha \leq 1.$ 

Then

$$f^{(k)}(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{\alpha}, \ k + \alpha < \lambda \longleftrightarrow f(x) - T_{n}(f)(x) = O(n^{-k-\alpha}).$$

Roughly speaking, this method yields the best approximation, whenever the order of the Lipschitz class is smaller than the order of saturation.

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that  $f^{(k)}(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ ,  $(k + \alpha < \lambda)$  implies  $f - T_n(f) = O(n^{-k-\alpha})$ , because the converse part is evident from the best approximation (Zygmund [5], I, p. 119) and the first difference theorem can be transferred to the second difference theorem (Aljančić [2]).

We set  $I_1(f)(x)$  the moving average of f(x), that is

$$I_1(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(x+t) dt$$

and

$$I_{k}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\delta)^{k}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} I_{k-1}(f)(x+t) dt, \ k = 2, 3, \dots$$

At the beginning we suppose that  $\lambda$  is an integer. For simplicity we consider  $\lambda = 3$ . The proof for  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots$  is principally the same.

Case 1.  $k = 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ .

Since

$$I_{3}(f)(x) = \{f_{3}(x+3\delta) - 3f_{3}(x+\delta) + 3f_{3}(x-\delta) - f_{3}(x-3\delta)\}/(2\delta)^{3},$$
  
where  $f(x)$  is the third primitive of  $f(x)$ , we have

where  $f_3(x)$  is the third primitive of f(x), we have

$$rac{d^3}{dx^3} I_3(f)(x) = \Delta_\delta^3 f(x)/(2\delta)^3$$

and f(x) belonging to the class  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ ,

$$\left| rac{d^3}{dx^3} I_3(f)(x) 
ight| \leq c_1 \delta^{lpha-3}.$$

When  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\widetilde{f}(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$  and when  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $f(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{1}$  which yields  $\widetilde{f}(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{1}$  which yields  $f(x) \in {}^{2}\Lambda_{1}$  (Zygmund [5], I, p. 121). Hence we get similarly

$$(*) \qquad \left| \frac{d^3}{dx^3} I_3(\tilde{f})(x) \right| \leq c_2 \delta^{\alpha-3}.$$

On the other hand

$$I_{3}(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\delta)^{3}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \{f(x + t + u + v) - f(x)\} dt du dv$$

and

G. SUNOUCHI

 $(**) |I_3(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq c_3 \delta^{\alpha}.$ 

Hence, if we set

$$g(x) = f(x) - I_3(f)(x),$$

then

$$f(x) - T_n(f)(x) = I_3(f)(x) - T_n\{I_3(f)\}(x) + g(x) - T_n(g)(x)$$

From the hypothesis, (\*) and (\*\*),

$$|f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \leq k_2 c_2 \delta^{\alpha - 3} n^{-3} + k_1 c_3 \delta^{\alpha}.$$

We set  $\delta = \pi/n$  and

$$|f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \leq Cn^{-\alpha} \ (0 < \alpha \leq 1).$$

Case 2.  $k = 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, f'(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ .

Applying Taylor's theorem to the fact  $f'(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ ,

$$|\Delta_{\delta}^{3}f| = O(\delta^{1+\alpha}).$$

In the same way as Case 1, we have

$$\left|rac{d^3}{dx^3}I_3(f)(x)
ight| \leq d_1\delta^{lpha-2}, \ \left|rac{d^3}{dx^3}I_3( ilde{f})(x)
ight| \leq d_2\delta^{lpha-2}.$$

On the other hand

$$g'(x) = I_{3}(f')(x) - f'(x)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{(2\delta)^{3}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \{f'(x+t+u+v) - f'(x)\} dt du dv$ 

and

$$|g'(x)| \leq d_3 \delta^{\alpha}.$$

Hence from the hypothesis and the result of Case 1, we get

$$egin{aligned} &|f(x) - {T}_n(f)(x)| \ &\leq |I_3(f)(x) - {T}_n(I_3(f))| \,+\, |g(x) - {T}_n(g)| \ &\leq k_2 d_2 \delta^{lpha - 2} n^{-3} + C d_3 \delta^{lpha} n^{-1} = D n^{-(1+lpha)} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \pi/n$ .

Case 3.  $k = 2, 0 < \alpha < 1, f''(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ . In this case,  $\alpha$  is fractional and

$$\left|rac{d^3}{dx^3}\,I_3(f)(x)
ight|{\,\leq\,} e_1\delta^{lpha{\,-\,}1},\;\; \left|rac{d^3}{dx^3}\,I_3( ilde f)(x)
ight|{\,\leq\,} e_2\delta^{lpha{\,-\,}1}.$$

Moreover

$$g''(x) = I_3(f'')(x) - f''(x)$$

214

$$=\frac{1}{(2\delta)^3}\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \{f^{\prime\prime}(x+t+u+v)-f^{\prime\prime}(x)\}dtdudv,\\\leq e_3\delta^{\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$|f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \\ \leq |T_3(f)(x) - T_n(I_3(f))| + |g(x) - T_n(g)| \\ \leq k_2 \delta^{\alpha - 1} n^{-3} + e_3 D\delta^{\alpha} n^{-2} = En^{-(2+\alpha)}$$

where  $\delta = \pi/n$ .

When  $\lambda$  is fractional, the proof may be done in the same idea. For simplicity we suppose  $1 < \lambda < 2$ . Then it is sufficient to prove  $\alpha = 1$  and  $1 < \alpha < \lambda$ . If we can prove these cases, another cases will be proved by method of the moving average (Zygmund [5], I, p. 117).

Case 1.  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $f \in \Lambda_1$ ,  $1 < \lambda < 2$ .

Since

$$I_2(f)(x) = \{f_2(x+2\delta) - 2f_2(x) + f_2(x-2\delta)\}/(2\delta)^2,$$

we have

$$\frac{d^{\prime}}{dx^{\prime}}I_2(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\delta)^2} \left\{ f_{2-\lambda}(x+2\delta) - 2f_{2-\lambda}(x) + f_{2-\lambda}(x-2\delta) \right\}.$$

 $|f'(x)| \leq M$  implies  $f'_{2-\lambda}(x) \in \Lambda_{2-\lambda}$  (Zygmund [5], II, p. 136), and

$$\left| rac{d^\lambda}{dx^\lambda} I_2(f)(x) 
ight| \leq l_1 \delta^{1-\lambda}$$

Since  $2 - \lambda$  is fractional,  $\tilde{f}_{2-\lambda}(x) \in \Lambda_{2-\lambda}$  and

$$\left| rac{d^\lambda}{dx^\lambda} \, I_2( ilde f)(x) 
ight| {\,\leq\,} l_2 \delta^{1-\lambda}.$$

 $I_2(f)(x) \in W^{\lambda}$  with the constant  $l_3 \delta^{1-\lambda}$ .

On the other hand

$$|g(x)| = |f(x) - I_2(f)(x)| \leq l_4 \delta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \\ & \leq |I_2(f) - T_n(I_2(f))| + |g - T_n(g)| \\ & \leq k_2 l_3 \delta^{1-\lambda} n^{-\lambda} + k_1 l_4 \delta \leq L n^{-1}, \end{split}$$

where  $\delta = \pi/n$ .

Case 2.  $k = 1, 1 < 1 + \alpha < \lambda < 2, f'(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ .

In this case  $f'_{2-\lambda}(x) \in \Lambda_{2-\lambda+\alpha}$ , because  $0 < 2 - \lambda + \alpha < 1$  and  $f'(x) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$  (Zygmund [5], II, p. 136).

Hence

$$igg| rac{d^{\lambda}}{dx^{\lambda}} I_2(f)(x) igg| \leq m_1 \delta^{1-\lambda+lpha} \ igg| rac{d^{\lambda}}{dx^{\lambda}} I_2( ilde f)(x) igg| \leq m_2 \delta^{1-\lambda+lpha}$$

and  $I_2(f) \in W^{\lambda}$  with the constant  $m_3 \delta^{1-\lambda+\alpha}$ . Moreover

$$|g'(x)| \leq m_4 \delta^{\alpha}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - T_n(f)(x)| \\ &\leq k_2 m_3 \delta^{1-\lambda+\alpha} n^{-\lambda} + L n^{-1} m_4 \delta^{\alpha} \\ &= M n^{-(1+\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta = \pi/n$ .

Thus we proves the theorem completely.

Applying this, we may deduce Theorem B from Theorem A. An easy corollary is the following.

COROLLARY. Denote  $\sigma_n^r(f)$  the n-th Cesàro means of the r-th order  $(0 < r < \infty)$ , then

- (1)  $f \sigma_n^r(f) = o(n^{-1}) \longleftrightarrow f = a \text{ constant},$
- (2)  $f \sigma_n^r(f) = O(n^{-1}) \longleftrightarrow \widetilde{f'} \in L^{\infty}(0, 2\pi)$
- (3)  $f \sigma_n^r(f) = O(n^{-\alpha}) \longleftrightarrow f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(0 < \alpha < 1).$
- (1) and (2) is the saturation theorem (Sunouchi-Watari [4]).

## REFERENCES

- S. ALJANČIĆ, (a) Classe de saturation des procédés de sommation de Hölder et de Riesz, C. R. Paris, 246(1958), 2567-2569. (b) Classe de saturation du procédé des typiques de Riesz, Acad. Serbe Publ. Inst. Math., 13(1959), 113-122.
- [2] S. ALJANCIĆ, Approximation of continuous functions by typical means of their Fourier series, Proc. Amer. M.S., 12(1961), 681-688.
- [3] G. SUNOUCHI, Characterization of certain classes of functions, Tôhoku Math. J., 12(1962).
- [4] G. SUNOUCHI-C. WATARI, On determination of the class of saturation in the theory of approximation of functions I, Proc. Japan Acad. 34(1958), 477-481, II, Tôhoku M. J., 11(1959), 480-488.
- [5] A.ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series, I, II, Cambridge (1959).

MATHMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY

216