

ON THE ALMOST-COMPLEX STRUCTURE OF TANGENT BUNDLES OF RIEMANNIAN SPACES

SHUN-ICHI TACHIBANA AND MASAFUMI OKUMURA

(Received November 10, 1961)

Recently we can see several papers concerning almost-Kählerian spaces, but it seems for the authors that there does not exist a non-Kählerian global example of such a space. In this paper we shall show that the tangent bundle space $T(M^n)$ of any non-flat Riemannian space M^n always admits an almost-Kählerian structure which is not Kählerian. This is done by making use of the almost-complex structure of $T(M^n)$ owing to T. Nagano [1]¹⁾ and of the Riemannian metric of $T(M^n)$ owing to S. Sasaki [2]. By virtue of this structure we shall also see that an infinitesimal affine transformation has an almost-analytic property in a certain sense.

The authors wish to express their hearty thanks to Prof. S. Sasaki by whom they were interested in the almost-complex structure of tangent bundles.

1. Almost-Kählerian spaces. Let us consider a $2n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold admitting a tensor field φ_κ^λ such that $\varphi_\lambda^\alpha \varphi_\alpha^\kappa = -\delta_\lambda^\kappa$ ²⁾. Such a manifold is called an almost-complex space and it is said that the tensor field assigns to the manifold an almost-complex structure. An almost-complex structure is called to be integrable if the tensor field defined by

$$N_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa = \varphi_\mu^\alpha (\partial_\alpha \varphi_\lambda^\kappa - \partial_\lambda \varphi_\alpha^\kappa) - \varphi_\lambda^\alpha (\partial_\alpha \varphi_\mu^\kappa - \partial_\mu \varphi_\alpha^\kappa)$$

vanishes identically.

An infinitesimal transformation V^κ of an almost-complex space is called to be almost-analytic [3] if it satisfies $\mathfrak{L}_V \varphi_\lambda^\kappa = 0$, where \mathfrak{L}_V means the operator of Lie derivation.

An almost-complex space always admits a Riemannian metric $G_{\mu\lambda}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad G_{\beta\alpha} \varphi_\mu^\beta \varphi_\lambda^\alpha = G_{\mu\lambda}$$

which is equivalent to the fact that $\varphi_{\mu\lambda}$ defined by $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \varphi_\mu^\alpha G_{\lambda\alpha}$ is skew-symmetric or that $G_{\mu\lambda}$ is hybrid [3].

An almost-complex space with such a Riemannian metric is called an almost-Hermitian space and the differential form $\varphi = (1/2)\varphi_{\mu\lambda} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\lambda$ is called the fundamental form. If the form is closed, the almost-Hermitian

1) The number in brackets refers to Bibliography at the end of the paper.

2) $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \alpha, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$.

space is called an almost-Kählerian space. An almost-Hermitian space satisfying $\nabla_\nu \varphi_{\mu\lambda} = 0$ is nothing but Kählerian, where ∇_ν means the operator of Riemannian covariant derivation.

It is known that the almost-complex structure of an almost-Kählerian space is integrable if and only if the space is Kählerian.

2. Tangent bundles. Let M^n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold and $T(M^n)$ be its tangent bundle space. $T(M^n)$ is a $2n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with the natural structure.

Let x^i ³⁾ be local coordinates of a point P of M^n , then a tangent vector y at P , which is an element of $T(M^n)$, is expressible in the form (x^i, y^i) , where y^i are components of y with respect to the natural frame $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$. We may consider (x^i, y^i) local coordinates of $T(M^n)$. To a transformation of local coordinates of M^n

$$x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$$

there corresponds in $T(M^n)$ the coordinate transformation

$$(2. 1) \quad x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad y^{i'} = y^r \partial_r x^{i'}.$$

If we put

$$x^{i*} = y^i, \quad x^{i'*} = y^{i'},$$
⁴⁾

then we may write (2. 1) as

$$(2. 2) \quad x^{k'} = x^{k'}(x^1, \dots, x^{2n}).$$

The Jacobian matrix of (2. 1) or (2. 2) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_j x^{i'} & y^r \partial_r x^{i'} \\ 0 & \partial_j x^{i'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_{rj} = \partial^2/\partial x^r \partial x^j.$$

For an infinitesimal transformation or a contravariant vector field v^i on M^n , if we define V^λ by

$$(2. 3) \quad V^i = v^i, \quad V^{i*} = y^r \partial_r v^i = x^{r*} \partial_r v^i$$
⁵⁾,

then it is a contravariant vector field on $T(M^n)$ or it defines an infinitesimal transformation of $T(M^n)$. V^λ is called the extension of v^i .

3. The almost-complex structure of $T(M^n)$. In the following we shall mean by M^n an n -dimensional Riemannian space whose metric tensor is g_{ji} . Following T. Nagano [1] we shall introduce in $T(M^n)$ an almost-complex structure as follows.

Put

3) $i, j, k, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

4) $i^* = n + i, i'^* = n + i'$.

5) Of course we adopt the summation convention on r .

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma_i^h = \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ i \ r \end{matrix} \right\} y^r,$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ i \ r \end{matrix} \right\}$ denotes the Christoffel's symbol formed by the Riemannian metric g_{ji} .

If we define φ_λ^k with respect to each local coordinates (x^i, y^i) of $T(M^n)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_i^h &= \Gamma_i^h, & \varphi_i^{h*} &= -\delta_i^h - \Gamma_i^r \Gamma_r^h, \\ \varphi_{i*}^h &= \delta_i^h, & \varphi_{i*}^{h*} &= -\Gamma_i^h, \end{aligned}$$

then we can see that $\varphi_\lambda^\alpha \varphi_\alpha^k = -\delta_\lambda^k$ holds good. On the other hand we can also show that φ_λ^k defines a tensor field on $T(M^n)$.

Hence the tangent bundle of any Riemannian space is an almost-complex space.

REMARK. The tangent vector space of $T(M^n)$ is spanned by n vertical vectors $e_{i*} = \partial_{i*} = \partial/\partial y^i$ and n horizontal vectors $e_i = \partial_i - \Gamma_i^r \partial_{r*}$. φ_λ^k defines a transformation on each tangent vector space of $T(M^n)$ and by the transformation a tangent vector X with components (X^i, X^{i*}) with respect to the frame (e_i, e_{i*}) is transformed into a vector with components $(X^{i*}, -X^i)$.

Next we consider under what condition the almost-complex structure of $T(M^n)$ is integrable.

Let R_{kji}^h be the Riemannian curvature tensor of M^n , i. e.

$$R_{kji}^h = \partial_k \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} - \partial_j \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ k \ i \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ k \ r \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ r \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ k \ i \end{matrix} \right\}$$

and put

$$R_{ji}^h = R_{jir}^h y^r.$$

After some complicated calculations we have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ji}^h &= \Gamma_j^r R_{ri}^h - \Gamma_i^r R_{rj}^h, \\ N_{ji}^{h*} &= R_{ji}^h - \Gamma_j^s \Gamma_i^r R_{sr}^h + \Gamma_s^h (-\Gamma_j^r R_{ri}^s + \Gamma_i^r R_{rj}^s), \\ N_{ji*}^h &= R_{ji}^h, \\ N_{j*i}^h &= 0, \\ N_{ji*}^{h*} &= -\Gamma_j^r R_{ri}^h - \Gamma_r^h R_{ji}^r, \\ N_{j*i}^{h*} &= -R_{ji}^h. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations we have

THEOREM 1.⁶⁾ *In order that the almost-complex structure of $T(M^n)$ is*

6) The analogous theorem has been obtained by T. Nagano [1], but his almost-complex structure of $T(M^n)$ is slightly different from ours.

integrable, it is necessary and sufficient that the Riemannian space M^n is flat. (C. J. Hsu [4])

Now let V^λ be the extension of an infinitesimal transformation v^i . If we denote by \mathfrak{L}_v the operator of Lie derivation with respect to V^λ , then we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_v \varphi_\lambda^\kappa = V^\alpha \partial_\alpha \varphi_\lambda^\kappa - \varphi_\lambda^\alpha \partial_\alpha V^\kappa + \varphi_\alpha^\kappa \partial_\lambda V^\alpha.$$

On taking account of (2. 3) and (3. 2) we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_v \varphi_j^h &= - \mathfrak{L}_v \varphi_{j^*}^{h^*} = y^r t_{rj}^h, \\ \mathfrak{L}_v \varphi_{j^*}^{h^*} &= 0, \\ \mathfrak{L}_v \varphi_j^{h^*} &= - y^r (\Gamma_j^s t_{rs}^h + \Gamma_s^h t_{rj}^s), \end{aligned}$$

where t_{ji}^h is given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ji}^h &= \nabla_j \nabla_i v^h + v^r R_{rji}^h \\ &= \partial_{ji} v^h + v^r \partial_r \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ r \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_i v^r + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ i \ r \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_j v^r - \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \partial_r v^h, \end{aligned}$$

∇_j being the operator of Riemannian covariant derivation in M^n .

On the other hand we have known that an infinitesimal transformation v^i of M^n is called affine if its t_{ji}^h vanishes.

Thus we have the following

THEOREM 2. *In order that an infinitesimal transformation of a Riemannian space M^n is affine, it is necessary and sufficient that its extension in $T(M^n)$ is almost-analytic.*

4. The almost-Hermitian structure of $T(M^n)$. Following S.Sasaki [2] we shall introduce a Riemannian metric into $T(M^n)$. This is done by defining a line element of $T(M^n)$ such as

$$(4. 1) \quad d\sigma^2 = g_{ji} dx^j dx^i + g_{ji} Dy^j Dy^i,$$

where Dy^i are n differential forms on $T(M^n)$ given by

$$Dy^i = dy^i + y^r \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ r \ s \end{matrix} \right\} dx^s.$$

If we write (4. 1) in the form

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{\mu\lambda} dx^\mu dx^\lambda,$$

the Riemannian metric $G_{\mu\lambda}$ of $T(M^n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ji} &= g_{ji} + \Gamma_j^r \Gamma_{ir}, \\ G_{j^*i^*} &= \Gamma_{ji}, \\ G_{j^*i} &= g_{ji} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{ji} = \Gamma_j^r g_{ri}$.

Computing $\varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \varphi_\mu^\alpha G_{\alpha\lambda}$ we get

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{ji} &= \Gamma_{ji} - \Gamma_{ij} = y^r(\partial_j g_{ir} - \partial_i g_{jr}), \\ \varphi_{j^*i} &= -\varphi_{ij^*} = g_{ji}, \\ \varphi_{j^*i^*} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations we know that $\varphi_{\mu\lambda}$ is skew-symmetric. Hence $G_{\mu\lambda}$ and φ_λ^* satisfy (1.1) and $T(M^n)$ is an almost-Hermitian space by virtue of this structure.

Now we define a covariant vector field η_λ in $T(M^n)$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \eta_i = g_{ir} y^r, \quad \eta_{i^*} = 0,$$

then the differential form $\eta = \eta_\lambda dx^\lambda$ is defined globally on $T(M^n)$.

As we obtain $\varphi = d\eta$ by virtue of (4.2) and (4.3), the fundamental form of $T(M^n)$ is derived. Thus we get

THEOREM 3. *The tangent bundle space of any Riemannian space admits an almost-Kählerian structure.*

The form η is called the homogeneous contact form of $T(M^n)$.⁷⁾

Consider an infinitesimal transformation v^i on M^n and its extension V^λ . Since we have by definition

$$\mathfrak{L}_V \eta_\lambda = V^\alpha \partial_\alpha \eta_\lambda + \eta_\alpha \partial_\lambda V^\alpha,$$

we get the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_V \eta_i &= y^s(v^r \partial_r g_{si} + g_{sr} \partial_i v^r + g_{ir} \partial_s v^r), \\ \mathfrak{L}_V \eta_{i^*} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 4. *In order that an infinitesimal transformation of a Riemannian space M^n is an isometry, it is necessary and sufficient that its extension leaves invariant the homogeneous contact form of $T(M^n)$.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] NAGANO, T., Isometries on complex-product spaces, Tensor, New Series, 9(1959), 47-61.

7) S. Sasaki have obtained this form in a different point of view. Sasaki, S., On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds II, This Journal Vol. 14, No. 2, 146~155

- [2] SASAKI, S., On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J.10 (1958) 338-354.
- [3] TACHIBANA, S., Analytic tensor and its generalization, Tôhoku Math. J.12(1960), 208-221.
- [4] HSU, C.J., On some structures which are similar to the quaternion structure. Tôhoku Math. J.12(1960), 403-428.

OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY,
AND
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEER, NIHON UNIVERSITY,