## SOME PROPERTIES OF MANIFOLDS WITH CONTACT METRIC STRUCTURE

## Yoji Hatakeyama, Yosuke Ogawa and Shukichi Tanno

(Received December 11, 1962)

**Introduction.** Recently S.Sasaki  $[2]^{1}$  defined the notion of  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ structure of a differentiable manifold and showed that the structure is closely related to almost contact structure defined by J.W.Gray [1]. Further he and one of the authors [3] defined four tensors  $N^{i}_{jk}$ ,  $N^{i}_{j}$ ,  $N_{jk}$  and  $N_{j}$  associated with this structure and enumerated relations connecting these tensors. Especially  $N_{jk}$  and  $N_{j}$  vanish identically when the structure is the one associated to contact structure, or so-called contact metric structure. And it was shown that the vanishment of  $N^{i}_{jk}$  implies the vanishment of all other tensors  $N^{i}_{j}$ ,  $N_{jk}$  and  $N_{j}$ , and that in the case of contact metric structure the vanishment of  $N^{i}_{j}$  is equivalent to the fact that the vector field  $\xi^{i}$  is a Killing vector field.

In this note we call contact metric structure with vanishing  $N^{i}{}_{j}$  or  $N^{i}{}_{jk}$ K-contact metric structure or normal contact metric structure respectively, and we shall study some conditions for a manifold with almost contact metric structure or a Riemannian manifold to admit such structure.

1. Conditions for manifolds to admit K-contact metric structure. In this section, we shall study the case of K-contact metric structure, i. e., the case such that the associated vector field  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field. We shall begin with the following

LEMMA 1. Suppose  $\xi^i$  be a Killing vector field on an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M^m$ , then the relations

(1. 1)  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = R^{i}{}_{jhk}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{h}$ 

hold good, where commas mean the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection and  $R^{i}_{jhk}$  is the curvature tensor.

**PROOF.** Since  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field, we have

$$\pounds(\xi)g_{ij}=0,$$

where  $\pounds(\xi)$  means the Lie derivation with respect to the infinitesimal transformation  $\xi^i$ , which implies

<sup>1)</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

 $\mathfrak{L}(\xi) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} i \\ jk \end{array} \right\} = \xi^{i}_{,j,k} - R^{i}_{,jhk} \xi^{h} = 0.$ 

Hence we get the relations (1, 1).

COROLLARY. If  $M^{2n+1}$  is a manifold with K-contact metric structure, then the tensor fields  $\xi^i$  and  $\phi^i_j$  satisfy the following relations

(1. 2) 
$$\xi_{i,j}^i = -\frac{1}{2}\phi_{j,j}^i,$$

(1. 3) 
$$\phi_{j,k}^i = -2R_{jhk}^i \xi^h$$

PROOF. By definition, we have

$$\phi_{ij}=\eta_{j,i}-\eta_{i,j}.$$

Since  $\eta_{i,j}$  is skew symmetric with respect to the indices i and j, we have

$$\phi_{ij} = -2\eta_{i,j}.$$

Transvecting these by  $g^{ki}$ , we get (1. 2). The second part follows immediately from (1. 2) and Lemma 1. Q. E. D.

THEOREM 1. If  $M^{2n+1}$  is a manifold with K-contact metric structure, then the sectional curvatures for planes containing the vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^i$  are always equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$  at every point of  $M^{2n+1}$ .

PROOF. From the above corollary, we have

$$egin{aligned} R_{ijhk}m{\xi}^im{\xi}^h &= R^i{}_{jhk}m{\xi}^h\eta_i \ &= -rac{1}{2}\, \phi^i_{j,k}\,\eta_i\, = rac{1}{2}\, \phi^i_j\eta_{i,k} \ &= -rac{1}{4}\, \phi^i_j\phi_{ik} = -rac{1}{4}\, (g_{jk}-\eta_j\eta_k). \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $v^i$  is a unit vector orthogonal to  $\xi^i$ , and K is a sectional curvature for a plane spanned by  $\xi^i$  and  $v^i$ , we get

$$K = \frac{R_{ijhk} \xi^{i} v^{j} \xi^{h} v^{k}}{(g_{ij} g_{hk} - g_{ih} g_{jk}) \xi^{i} v^{j} \xi^{h} v^{k}} = \frac{-\frac{1}{4} (g_{jk} - \eta_{j} \eta_{k}) v^{j} v^{k}}{-1} = \frac{1}{4}.$$
Q. E. D.

Now we consider the converse of this theorem, which characterizes in some sense a manifold with K-contact metric structure.

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that a Riemannian manifold M satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) M admits a unit Killing vector field  $\xi^i$ ,
- (ii) the sectional curvatures for planes containing  $\xi^i$  are equal to

 $\frac{1}{4}$  at every point of M.

Then M admits K-contact metric structure defined by  $\eta_i = g_{ij}\xi^j$ .

PROOF. Since  $\xi^i$  is a unit vector field, we have

(1) 
$$\eta_i \xi^i = g_{ij} \xi^j \xi^i = 1.$$

Next, if we put

$$\phi_j^i = -2\xi_{j,j}^i,$$

then we can easily verify the relations

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \phi_{j\xi^{j}}^{i\xi^{j}} = 0$$

hold good. Next, as  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field, by virtue of Lemma 1, we get

$$\phi^i_{j,k} = -2\xi^i_{,j,k} = -2R^i_{jhk}\xi^h.$$

So making use of (2), we get

$$\begin{split} \phi^{i}_{j}\phi^{j}_{k} &= -2\phi^{i}_{j}\xi^{j}_{,k} = 2\phi^{i}_{j,k}\xi^{j} \\ &= -4\,R^{i}_{\,jhk}\xi^{h}\xi^{j}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, the condition (ii) gives the relations

$$R_{ijhk}\xi^i\xi^h=-rac{1}{4}(g_{jk}-\eta_j\eta_k),$$

because both sides of these equations are symmetric with respect to the indices j and k, and these imply

(3) 
$$\phi_j^i \phi_k^j = -\delta_k^i + \xi^i \eta_k.$$

And from the definition of  $\phi_{j}^{i}$ , we get

(4) 
$$\phi_{ij} = -2\eta_{i,j} = \eta_{j,i} - \eta_{i,j},$$

(5) 
$$g_{ij}\phi_k^i\phi_h^j = g_{kh} - \eta_k\eta_h.$$

Therefore, the tensors  $\phi_{j}^{i}$ ,  $\xi^{i}$ ,  $\eta_{j}$  and  $g_{ij}$  give a K-contact metric structure to the manifold in consideration. Q. E. D.

REMARK 1. In this theorem, the existence of a unit Killing vector field in condition (i) can be replaced by the existence of a Killing autoparallel vector field, as can be easily verified. REMARK 2. The value of sectional curvatures in condition (ii) need not be necessarily equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$ , but it may be equal to any positive constant K. In this case, it is sufficient to replace the fundamental metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  by  $\frac{1}{4K}g_{ij}$  and  $\xi^i$  by  $2\sqrt{K}\xi^i$  respectively.

2. Conditions equivalent to normality of contact metric structure. In this section, we shall study the conditions equivalent to normality of contact metric structure. To begin with, we propose a lemma for later use.

LEMMA 2. In a manifold with almost contact metric structure, we suppose that the following relations are valid:

Then  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field and the almost contact metric structure is the one induced by contact structure defined by  $\eta_i$ .

PROOF. Contracting (2. 1) with  $\xi^i$ , we get

$$2\phi_{ij,k}\xi^i = g_{jk} - \eta_j\eta_k$$

from which we have

 $-2\phi_{ij}\xi^{i}_{,k}=\phi_{ij}\phi^{i}_{,k},$ 

or equivalently

(2. 2)  $\phi^{i}{}_{j}(\phi_{ik} + 2\eta_{i,k}) = 0.$ 

On the other hand, it is clear that the relations

(2. 3) 
$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{i}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{ik}+2\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,k})=0$$

hold good. From (2. 2) and (2. 3), it follows that  $\eta_{i,k} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_{ik}$  and  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field, and this shows that the almost contact metric structure is induced by contact structure defined by  $\eta_i$ . Q. E. D.

THEOREM 3. In a manifold with normal contact metric structure, we have

(2. 4) 
$$2\phi_{ij,k} = \eta_i g_{jk} - \eta_j g_{ik}.$$

Conversely, in a manifold M with almost contact metric structure, if the relations (2. 4) hold good, then M has normal contact metric structure defined by  $\eta_i$ .

PROOF. Since the first part of Theorem 3 is proved in [4], we have only

to give the proof for converse. By Lemma 2 we see that  $\eta_i$  defines contact metric structure in M and that the relations

(2. 5) 
$$\eta_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi_{ij}$$

hold good. Putting (2. 4) and (2. 5) into  $g_{ir}N^r_{jk}$ , and making use of the relations

Q. E. D.

$$\phi_{ij}+\phi_{ji}=0,\ \phi_{ij,k}+\phi_{jk,i}+\phi_{ki,j}=0,$$

we find that  $N^{i}_{jk}$  vanishes.

THEOREM 4. If M is a manifold with normal contact metric structure, then the following relations hold good:

(2. 6) 
$$\eta_h R^h{}_{kij} = -\frac{1}{4} (\eta_i g_{jk} - \eta_j g_{ik}).$$

Conversely, if a Riemannian manifold M admits a unit Killing vector field  $\xi^i$  and the covector  $\eta_i = g_{ij}\xi^j$  satisfies the above relations, then M admits normal contact structure defined by  $\eta_i$ .

PROOF. By the normality of the structure,  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field. Hence we get the relations

$$(2. 7) \qquad \qquad \phi_{ij,k} = -2\eta_h R^h{}_{kij}$$

by virtue of the corollary of Lemma 1. So (2. 6) follows from Lemma 2.

Conversely, if  $\eta_i = g_{ij}\xi^j$  satisfies the relations (2. 6), we can easily verify that sectional curvatures for planes containing  $\xi^i$  are always equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$ . So, by virtue of Theorem 2, we see that M admits K-contact metric structure defined by  $\eta_i$ . Moreover, since  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field, we have the relations (2. 7) and the normality of the structure follows immediately by Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. In a manifold with contact metric structure, we suppose that  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field. If, for any two vectors  $X^i$  and  $Y^i$  which are orthogonal to the vector field  $\xi^i$  with respect to the metric g, we have the relations

$$\phi_{ij,k}X^iY^j=0,$$

then  $N^{i}_{jk}$  vanishes.

PROOF. For any vectors  $W^i$  and  $Z^i$ ,  $(\delta^i_l - \xi^i \eta_l) W^l$  and  $(\delta^j_m - \xi^j \eta_m) Z^m$  are orthogonal to  $\xi^i$ , and hence

$$\phi_{ij,k}(\delta^i_l - \xi^i\eta_l)(\delta^j_m - \xi^j\eta_m)W^lZ^m = 0,$$

from which it follows

 $\phi_{\iota m,k} - \phi_{\iota j,k} \xi^j \eta_m - \phi_{im,k} \xi^i \eta_l = 0.$ 

On the other hand, making use of (2. 2), we get

$$\begin{split} \phi_{lm,k} &- \phi_{lj,k} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{j} \eta_{m} - \phi_{im,k} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{i} \eta_{l} \\ &= \phi_{lm,k} + \phi_{lj} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{j}_{,k} \eta_{m} + \phi_{im} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{i}_{,k} \eta_{l} \\ &= \phi_{lm,k} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{lj} \phi^{j}_{,k} \eta_{m} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{im} \phi^{i}_{,k} \eta_{l} \\ &= \phi_{lm,k} + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{m} g_{lk} - \eta_{l} g_{mk}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2 follows from Theorem 3.

THEOREM 5. Let M be a manifold with contact metric structure such that  $\xi^i$  is a Killing vector field, then the vanishment of  $N^i_{jk}$  is equivalent to the following condition for any vector  $X^i$  and  $Y^i$  orthogonal to  $\xi^i$ :

$$\eta_h R^h{}_{kij} X^i Y^j = 0.$$

PROOF. As we have seen, (2.7) is valid. So Theorem 5 is a consequence of Lemma 3.

REMARK.  $N_{jk}^{i} = 0$  is also equivalent to the condition that  $\xi^{i}$  is a Killing vector field and  $\phi_{ij,k}$  is hybrid with respect to *i* and *j* in contact manifold (see [5]).

By virtue of Theorems 1, 2 and 5, we get the following

THEOREM 6. In order that a Riemannian manifold M admits normal contact metric structure, it is necessary and sufficient that M satisfies the following three conditions:

(i) M admit a unit Killing vector field  $\xi^i$ ,

(ii) the sectional curvatures for planes containing  $\xi^i$  are equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$  at every

point of M,

(iii) if  $X^i$  and  $Y^i$  are vectors orthogonal to  $\xi^i$ , then the relations

$$R_{i\,ikh}\xi^i X^k Y^h = 0$$

hold good.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] J.W.GRAY, Some global properties of contact structure, Ann. of Math., 69(1959),

Q. E. D.

421-450.

- [2] S.SASAKI, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related
- [2] biolandi, on anifolds maintoide maintoide with certain of almost contact structure I. Tôhoku Math. J., (2) 12(1960), 456-476.
  [3] S. SASAKI and Y. HATAKEYAMA, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure II, Tôhoku Math. J., (2) 13(1961), 281-294.
- [4] -, On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures. J. Japan Math. Soc. 14(1962), 249-271.
- [5] S. TANNO, Note on infinitesimal transformations over contact manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., (2) 14(1962), 416-430

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.

48