

ON THE LITTLEWOOD-PALEY AND MARCINKIEWICZ FUNCTIONS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

MAKOTO KANEKO* AND GEN-ICHIRO SUNOUCHI

(Received May 2, 1984)

1. Introduction. In this paper we deal with the generalized Littlewood-Paley, Marcinkiewicz and related square functions of spherical sense in the n -dimensional space. So our functions are different from Stein's $g_\lambda^*(\mathbf{x}; f)$ [14. p. 99] and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f)(\mathbf{x})$ [15, p. 102].

In what follows, we shall use the following notations. $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \dots$ will denote points in the Euclidean n -space \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$). In coordinate notation we write $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$; $|\mathbf{x}|$ denotes the length of the vector \mathbf{x} , i.e., $|\mathbf{x}|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$; $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n)$ denotes the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{x} , i.e., $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$; Σ is the unit sphere, $|\mathbf{x}| = 1$; and $d\sigma$ is the Euclidean element of measure on Σ , hence $\int_\Sigma d\sigma = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing C^∞ -functions, the Fourier transform of f is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} d\mathbf{x},$$

where $\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = x_1 \xi_1 + x_2 \xi_2 + \dots + x_n \xi_n$. Throughout this paper, we assume $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ unless otherwise specified.

If $K(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega(\mathbf{x}')/|\mathbf{x}|^n$ is the Calderón-Zygmund kernel, then

$$\tilde{f}_\Omega(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|\mathbf{y}| > \varepsilon} K(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

exists almost everywhere and

$$\|\tilde{f}_\Omega\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

\tilde{f}_Ω is a conjugate integral in n -dimensions.

The spherical mean of order $\alpha > 0$ of f is

$$(1.1) \quad (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_\alpha t^{-n} \int_{|\mathbf{y}| < t} (1 - |\mathbf{y}|^2/t^2)^{\alpha-1} f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

where $c_\alpha = \Gamma(\alpha + n/2)/\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha)$. Also we define

* Partly supported by the Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

$$(1.2) \quad (M_{\partial,t}^{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_{\alpha}t^{-n} \int_{|\mathbf{y}|<t} (1 - |\mathbf{y}|^2/t^2)^{\alpha-1} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

for $\alpha > 0$. We need $(M_t^{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x})$ and $(M_{\partial,t}^{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x})$ with negative order α . More generally, $M_t^{\alpha}f$ and $M_{\partial,t}^{\alpha}f$ can be defined for complex α as distributions (the finite part in the sense of Hadamard or the canonical regularization of Gel'fand-Shilov [6, vol. 1, §3.7]). Then this $M_t^{\alpha}f$ is identical with Stein-Wainger's [20, p. 1270] which was defined by the analytic continuation of its Fourier transform (cf. [6, vol. 1, Ch. II]).

$M_t^{\alpha}f$ was studied in Chandrasekharan [2]. See also Stein [17] and Stein-Wainger [20].

Corresponding to these, let the Riesz-Bochner means of order $\beta > -1$ of the Fourier integral and the conjugate Fourier integral of f be

$$(1.3) \quad (S_R^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{|\xi|<R} (1 - |\xi|^2/R^2)^{\beta} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad (\tilde{S}_{\partial,R}^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{|\xi|<R} (1 - |\xi|^2/R^2)^{\beta} \hat{K}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

respectively. From these means, we can define several square functions, see Stein [18]. For example,

$$(1.5) \quad (h^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (S_R^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 R dR \right\}^{1/2} \\ = \left[\int_0^{\infty} \left| -2\beta \{ (S_R^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) - (S_R^{\beta-1}f)(\mathbf{x}) \} \right|^2 dR/R \right]^{1/2}$$

is the generalized Littlewood-Paley function defined by Stein [12, p. 130] and one of the authors [22, p. 504]. Another example is

$$(1.6) \quad (\mu_{\partial}^{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} |(M_{\partial,t}^{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}.$$

This is a generalized Marcinkiewicz function. In fact, if $\alpha = 1$, then (1.6) is equivalent to $\mu(f)(\mathbf{x})$ defined by Stein [13, p. 435], see § 4.

We give more examples of analogous square functions. For examples, set

$$(1.7) \quad (\tilde{h}_{\partial}^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (\tilde{S}_{\partial,R}^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 R dR \right\}^{1/2} \\ = \left[\int_0^{\infty} \left| -2\beta \{ (\tilde{S}_{\partial,R}^{\beta}f)(\mathbf{x}) - (\tilde{S}_{\partial,R}^{\beta-1}f)(\mathbf{x}) \} \right|^2 dR/R \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad (\nu^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \right| t dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ = \left[\int_0^\infty \left| -2(\alpha + n/2 - 1) \{ (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) - (M_t^{\alpha-1} f)(\mathbf{x}) \} \right|^2 dt/t \right]^{1/2}.$$

One of the objects of this paper is to give pointwise relationship among such square functions. For any two square functions Ff and Gf , we shall write $(Ff)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (Gf)(\mathbf{x})$, if there exist two positive constants A and B , independent of \mathbf{x} and f , such that, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $(Gf)(\mathbf{x}) \leq A(Ff)(\mathbf{x})$, provided that $(Ff)(\mathbf{x})$ is finite, and $(Ff)(\mathbf{x}) \leq B(Gf)(\mathbf{x})$, provided that $(Gf)(\mathbf{x})$ is finite. If F and G have some parameters, then A and B may depend on them. When both A and B are independent of some of the parameters, we say that the relation $(Ff)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (Gf)(\mathbf{x})$ holds uniformly in them. Our typical theorems are as follows.

THEOREM 1. *If $\beta = \alpha + n/2 > 0$ and Y_k is any surface spherical harmonic with degree $k \geq 1$, then*

$$(\mu_{Y_k}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) / |\gamma_{k,0}|$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $\gamma_{k,0} = i^{-k} \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(k/2) / \Gamma((k+n)/2)$. This relation holds uniformly in Y_k and k .

THEOREM 2. *If $\beta = \alpha + n/2 - 1 > 0$, then*

$$(\nu^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

These theorems arose in connection with the Cesàro-Riesz summation concerning a function and its Fourier transform. In an analogous way, we can define some square functions associated with other summation methods. In particular, the spherical Abel-Poisson summation yields the original Littlewood-Paley function $g(f)(\mathbf{x})$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In §§2 and 3 we prove Theorems 1 and 2. §4 is concerned with Marcinkiewicz function $\mu(f)$ introduced by Stein [13]. §5 contains some theorems about square functions arising as Riesz-potentials. We shall also give there a relationship between our square functions and $g_i^*(f)$ of Stein [14]. In §6 we give some theorems on Abel-Poisson and other summations. §7 is devoted to applications of our theorems. In particular, we can deduce new and known results on the L^p -boundedness of several square functions constructed from L^p -functions. In this case we can give an answer to a problem by Stein-Wainger [20, p. 1289, Problem 6 (a)].

The method of proof comes from the same idea as in the one-dimen-

sional case by one of the authors [23], that is to say, Wiener's transformation method. However, we shall meet several subtle calculations in the higher dimensional case.

2. Square functions arising from spherical Cesàro-Riesz means of functions. $(M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ and $(M_{\Omega, t}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ are defined by (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. We consider first $\alpha > 0$. For the sake of simplicity we set, for a fixed function f and a point \mathbf{x} , the average over sphere

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(t) = \phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f) = \int_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}').$$

Then we can get

$$(2.2) \quad (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_\alpha \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-1} \phi(tr) dr.$$

Analogously, set

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(t) = \psi(t; \mathbf{x}, f, \Omega) = \int_{\Sigma} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}').$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad (M_{\Omega, t}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_\alpha \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-1} \psi(tr) dr.$$

For the sake of calculation, we set

$$(2.5) \quad \theta(t) = \theta(t; \mathbf{x}, f) = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f) = - \int_{\Sigma} t\mathbf{y}' \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}').$$

Then we get

$$(2.6) \quad t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = -2 \left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \{ (M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) - (M_t^{\alpha-1} f)(\mathbf{x}) \} \\ = c_\alpha \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-1} \theta(tr) dr.$$

If we change variables by $r = e^y$ and $t = e^{-z}$, then the square functions (1.6) and (1.8) become the L^2 -norms of convolutions by (2.4) and (2.6) i.e.,

$$(2.7) \quad (\mu_\Omega^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(K_\alpha * \Psi)(x)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad (\nu^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(K_\alpha * \Theta)(x)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

where K_α , Ψ and Θ are defined by the following formulae.

$$(2.9) \quad K_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} c_\alpha e^{nx}(1 - e^{2x})^{\alpha-1} & (x < 0) \\ 0 & (x \geq 0), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \Psi(x) = \Psi(x; \mathbf{x}, f, \Omega) = \psi(e^{-x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(x) = \Theta(x; \mathbf{x}, f) = \theta(e^{-x}).$$

When $\alpha \neq -n/2 - \nu$ ($\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), the above relations are preserved in distributional sense (see the proof of Proposition 1).

We now take the Fourier transform of K_α as a distribution and prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\alpha > -n/2$, then*

$$(2.11) \quad (\mu_\alpha^\alpha f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\kappa_\alpha(\xi)\{\Psi(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, \Omega)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad (\nu^\alpha f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\kappa_\alpha(\xi)\{\Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad \kappa_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n/2)\Gamma(n/2 - i\pi\xi)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha + n/2 - i\pi\xi)}$$

is the distributional Fourier transform of K_α , and

$$(2.14) \quad A(|\xi| + 1)^{-\alpha} \leq |\kappa_\alpha(\xi)| \leq B(|\xi| + 1)^{-\alpha}$$

for $-\infty < \xi < \infty$.

REMARK. In the sequel, we write the formula such as (2.14) as

$$|\kappa_\alpha(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-\alpha} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

PROOF. Assume that α is complex. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}') = 0$, we evidently have $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty, \infty)$, and $\Theta \in \mathcal{S}(-\infty, \infty)$ is evident. We can establish convolutional rule for these convolutions. The distributional Fourier transform of K_α is gotten by analytic continuation. See Gel'fand-Shilov [6, vol. 1, Chap. 2, §2]. When $\text{Re } \alpha > 0$, the complex Fourier transform of K_α is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_\alpha(\zeta) &= c_\alpha \int_{-\infty}^0 (1 - e^{2x})^{\alpha-1} e^{(\zeta+n)x} dx = 2^{-1} c_\alpha \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\alpha-1} t^{(\zeta+n)/2-1} dt \\ &= 2^{-1} c_\alpha \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma((\zeta + n)/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + (\zeta + n)/2)} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n/2)\Gamma((\zeta + n)/2)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha + (\zeta + n)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\text{Re } \alpha > -n/2$, $\hat{K}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is also equal to the last term by analytic continuation, so we get

$$\hat{K}_\alpha(-2\pi i\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n/2)\Gamma(n/2 - i\pi\xi)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha + n/2 - i\pi\xi)} = \kappa_\alpha(\xi).$$

Since $\kappa_\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$ ($-\infty < \xi < \infty$), the asymptotic formula of the gamma function, i.e.,

$$Ae^{-\pi|y|/2}|y|^{x-1/2} \leq |\Gamma(x + iy)| \leq Be^{-\pi|y|/2}|y|^{x-1/2}$$

for sufficiently large $|y|$, gives us the conclusion. q.e.d.

3. Square functions arising from Bochner-Riesz means of Fourier and conjugate Fourier integral. First we define the space of distributions of which test functions are between the space $\mathcal{S}(-\infty, \infty)$ and the space $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, \infty)$ following the method of Zemanian [25, Chap. 3]. We shall prove that in this space the above mentioned functions Ψ and Θ are the convolutes in the sense of Gel'fand-Shilov [6, vol. II, p. 137 and p. 148]. f is the convolute in the space \mathcal{F} of test functions, if the distribution $f \in \mathcal{F}'$ has the property that $(\check{f} * \phi)(x) = \langle f(y), \phi(x+y) \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ and that the relation $\phi_\nu \rightarrow 0$ implies $\check{f} * \phi_\nu \rightarrow 0$ in the topology of \mathcal{F} .

Let m be a large positive number defined in a moment. $\{a_p\}$ and $\{b_p\}$ are positive decreasing sequences such that

$$(3.1) \quad m < a_p < m + 1, \quad 1/2 < b_p < 1,$$

$\lim a_p = m$ and $\lim b_p = 1/2$. Set

$$(3.2) \quad k_p(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(a_p x) & (x \geq 0) \\ \exp(-b_p x) & (x < 0). \end{cases}$$

For any $\phi \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$, set

$$(3.3) \quad \gamma_{p,q}(\phi) = \sup\{k_p(x) | D^q \phi(x) |; -\infty < x < \infty\}$$

($q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). The class of functions $\phi \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_{p,q}(\phi) < \infty \quad (q = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_p = \mathcal{L}_{a_p, b_p}$ and its topology is defined by the method of Zemanian [25, p. 50]. Set $\mathcal{F}_m = \cup_{p=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}_p$. Then the fundamental space \mathcal{F}_m of test functions is contained in $\mathcal{S}(-\infty, \infty)$ and the convergence of \mathcal{F}_m implies that of $\mathcal{S}(-\infty, \infty)$; see [25, p. 55]. In \mathcal{F}'_m , the distributional space defined on \mathcal{F}_m , we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The function Φ such that*

$$(3.5) \quad |\Phi(x)| \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{-x} & (x \geq 0) \\ Ce^{(m+1)x} & (x < 0) \end{cases}$$

is a convolute in the space \mathcal{F}_m .

PROOF. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, set $\psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(y)\phi(x+y)dy$. We must estimate $I(x) = (D^q\psi)(x)$, where

$$(3.6) \quad I(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(y-x)(D^q\phi)(y)dy.$$

Since $\gamma_{p,q}(\phi) < \infty$ by (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$|I(x)| \leq \gamma_{p,q}(\phi) \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 + \int_0^{\infty} \right) \{ |\Phi(y-x)|/k_p(y) \} dy = \gamma_{p,q}(\phi)(I_1 + I_2),$$

say. Then, by (3.2),

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} |\Phi(-x-y)| \exp(-b_p y) dy$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} |\Phi(-x+y)| \exp(-a_p y) dy.$$

If $x \geq 0$, then by (3.5) and (3.1)

$$I_1 \leq C e^{-(m+1)x} \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-(m+1+b_p)y\} dy \leq C' \exp(-a_p x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \left[e^{-(m+1)x} \int_0^x \exp\{(m+1-a_p)y\} dy + e^x \int_x^{\infty} \exp\{-(1+a_p)y\} dy \right] \\ &\leq C' \exp(-a_p x), \end{aligned}$$

because $m+1-a_p > 0$.

If $x < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \left[e^x \int_0^{-x} \exp\{(1-b_p)y\} dy + e^{-(m+1)x} \int_{-x}^{\infty} \exp\{-(m+1+b_p)y\} dy \right] \\ &\leq C' \exp(b_p x) \end{aligned}$$

by $1-b_p > 0$, and

$$I_2 \leq C e^x \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-(1+a_p)y\} dy \leq C' \exp(b_p x).$$

Hence by (3.6) $\gamma_{p,q}(\psi) = \sup\{k_p(x)|I(x)|; -\infty < x < \infty\} \leq C' \gamma_{p,q}(\phi)$.

q.e.d.

In (1.4) we set $K(\mathbf{x}) = Y_k(\mathbf{x}')/|\mathbf{x}|^n$, where Y_k is the surface spherical harmonic of degree $k (\geq 1)$. Then by Stein-Weiss [21, p. 164],

$$\hat{K}(\xi) = \gamma_{k,0} Y_k(\xi'),$$

where $\gamma_{k,0} = i^{-k}\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(k/2)/\Gamma((k+n)/2)$. Hence (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad (\tilde{S}_{Y_k,R}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \gamma_{k,0} \int_{|\xi| < R} (1 - |\xi|^2/R^2)^\beta Y_k(\xi') \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi \\
 &= \gamma_{k,0} \int_{R^n} f(\mathbf{x} - R^{-1}\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \int_{|\xi| < 1} (1 - |\xi|^2)^\beta Y_k(\xi') e^{2\pi i \mathbf{y} \cdot \xi} d\xi \\
 &= \gamma_{k,0} \int_{R^n} f(\mathbf{x} - R^{-1}\mathbf{y}) |\mathbf{y}|^{-n} Y_k(\mathbf{y}') \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(|\mathbf{y}|) d\mathbf{y} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(t) = (2\pi)^{n/2} t^n \int_0^1 u^{n-1} (1-u^2)^\beta (2\pi i t u)^k V_{k+(n/2)-1}(2\pi t u) du ,$$

$V_\mu(t) = J_\mu(t)/t^\mu$ and J_μ is the Bessel function of order μ ; see Stein-Weiss [21, p. 158].

Now we set as in (2.3)

$$(3.9) \quad \psi(t) = \psi(t; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) = \int_\Sigma Y_k(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}') .$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad (\tilde{S}_{Y_k,R}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{k,0} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(r) \psi(r/R) dr / r .$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, if $\beta < (n-1)/2$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(t) \sim t^{-\beta+(n-1)/2}$$

for large t ; see Chang [3, p.p. 17-18, Lemma 7].

If we change variables by $r = e^x$ and $R = e^y$, and set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad \Psi(x) &= \Psi(x; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) = \psi(e^{-x}) \quad \text{and} \\
 \tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*(x) &= -2\beta\gamma_{k,0} \{ \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(e^x) - \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta-1,k}(e^x) \} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

then the square function $(\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$ becomes

$$(3.13) \quad (\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |(\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^* * \Psi)(x)|^2 dx$$

by (1.7), (3.10) and (3.12). Now we can prove the following.

PROPOSITION 2. For $\beta > 0$,

$$(3.14) \quad (\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi) \{ \Psi(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) \}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi ,$$

where $\lambda_{\beta,k}^*$ is the distributional Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*$,

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k+n)/2)} \frac{\pi^{2\pi i \xi} \Gamma(1+i\pi\xi)\Gamma((k+n)/2-i\pi\xi)}{\Gamma(\beta+1+i\pi\xi)\Gamma(k/2+i\pi\xi)}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad |\lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-\beta+(n/2)} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

PROOF. By the formulas (3.8), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*(x)| \leq C \max\{1, \exp([-\beta + (n + 1)/2]x)\}$$

for $x \geq 0$. If we take a positive number m such that $m > (n + 1)/2 - \beta$ in \mathcal{S}'_m of Lemma 1, then $\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^* \in \mathcal{S}'_m$ and the convolution rule is established, because Ψ satisfies the condition (3.5). Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi * \tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\Psi}(\xi)(\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*)^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where $(\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*)^\wedge$ is the distributional Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\zeta x} \tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*(x) dx \\ &= -2\beta\gamma_{k,0} \int_0^{\infty} t^\zeta \{\tilde{\gamma}_{\beta,k}(t) - \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta-1,k}(t)\} dt/t \\ &= (2\pi)^{n/2} 2\beta\gamma_{k,0} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\zeta+n-1} dt \int_0^1 u^{n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} (2\pi i t u)^k V_{k+(n/2)-1}(2\pi t u) du \\ &= 2\beta\gamma_{k,0} i^k (2\pi)^{-\zeta-(n/2)+1} \int_0^1 u^{-\zeta+2} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} du \int_0^{\infty} (2\pi u t)^{\zeta+k+n-1} V_{k+(n/2)-1}(2\pi u t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k + n)/2)} \frac{\Gamma(-(\zeta/2) + 1)\Gamma((\zeta + k + n)/2)}{\pi^\zeta \Gamma(-(\zeta/2) + \beta + 1)\Gamma(-\zeta + k/2)} \end{aligned}$$

for $-(k + n) < \text{Re } \zeta < -(n + 1)/2$ by Watson [24, p. 391, (1)]. The last formula is analytic in a broader domain which contains the imaginary axis. Hence by the argument of Gel'fand-Shilov, we get $(\tilde{K}_{\beta,k}^*)^\wedge(\xi)$ by letting $\zeta = -2\pi i \xi$ in the last formula. We denote this by $\lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi)$ as in (3.15). Since $\lambda_{\beta,k}^*$ has no zero and the asymptotic formula for Γ -function is applicable, we get (3.16). q.e.d.

For $(h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$, we can proceed in an analogous way. Since

$$(S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{|\xi| < R} (1 - |\xi|^2/R^2)^\beta \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

by (1.3), we get

$$(3.17) \quad (S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty \gamma_\beta(r) \phi(r/R; \mathbf{x}, f) dr/r,$$

where $\phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f)$ is the same as in (2.1) and

$$\gamma_\beta(t) = 2^\beta (2\pi)^{n/2} \Gamma(\beta + 1) t^n V_{\beta+(n/2)}(2\pi t),$$

see Stein-Weiss [21, p. 171]. Differentiating (3.17) with respect to R , we

get

$$R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = - \int_0^\infty \gamma_\beta(r) \theta(r/R; \mathbf{x}, f) dr/r ,$$

where θ is defined by (2.5). If we set $r = e^y$ and $R = e^x$, then the square function $(h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$ defined by (1.5) becomes

$$(3.18) \quad (h^\beta f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |(K_\beta^* * \Theta)(x)|^2 dx ,$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad K_\beta^*(x) = \gamma_\beta(e^x) = 2^\beta (2\pi)^{n/2} \Gamma(\beta + 1) e^{nx} V_{\beta+(n/2)}(2\pi e^x)$$

and $\Theta(x) = \theta(e^{-x})$ as in (2.10). Since the order of $\gamma_\beta(t)$ is $t^{-\beta+(n-1)/2}$ as t tends to infinity, $K_\beta^* \notin \mathcal{S}'(-\infty, \infty)$, if $\beta < (n-1)/2$. Now we take $m > (n-1)/2 - \beta$ in Lemma 1 and consider the test function space \mathcal{S}_m . Then $K_\beta^* \in \mathcal{S}'_m$. Evidently $|\Theta(x)| \leq Ce^{-2x} \leq Ce^{-x}$ ($x \geq 0$), $\leq Ce^{(m+1)x}$ ($x < 0$). Therefore, Θ is a convolute of this space. Hence the convolution rule is true for $K_\beta^* * \Theta$. The complex Fourier transform of K_β^* is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\zeta x} K_\beta^*(x) dx &= 2^\beta (2\pi)^{n/2} \Gamma(\beta + 1) \int_0^\infty t^{\zeta+n-1} V_{\beta+(n/2)}(2\pi t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1) \Gamma((\zeta + n)/2)}{2\pi^{\zeta+(n/2)} \Gamma(-\zeta/2 + \beta + 1)} , \end{aligned}$$

and is analytic in $-n < \text{Re } \zeta < m - \{(n-1)/2 - \beta\}$. Hence we get the following.

PROPOSITION 3. For $\beta > 0$,

$$(3.20) \quad (h^\beta f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\kappa_\beta^*(\xi) \{\Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi ,$$

where κ_β^* is the distributional Fourier transform of K_β^* ,

$$(3.21) \quad \kappa_\beta^*(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{2\pi^{n/2}} \frac{\pi^{2\pi i \xi} \Gamma(n/2 - i\pi \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1 + i\pi \xi)}$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad |\kappa_\beta^*(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-\beta+(n/2)-1} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty) .$$

From Propositions 1, 2 and 3, we get Theorems 1 and 2, because any bounded function is an L^2 -multiplier. To prove the uniformity in Theorem 1, it is sufficient to note that (3.16) holds uniformly in k , if $\lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi)$ is replaced by $\lambda_{\beta,k}^*(\xi)/\gamma_{k,0}$.

4. Other square functions associated with the Marcinkiewicz function. Stein [13] introduced the square function $\mu(f)$:

$$(4.1) \quad \mu(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| t^{-1} \int_{|\mathbf{y}| < t} |\mathbf{y}|^{-n+1} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}.$$

This is a generalization of the classical Marcinkiewicz function to the higher dimensional case. Hörmander [8, p. 136] generalized this. We consider now more general square function $\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f$. We set first

$$(4.2) \quad (\tilde{M}_{\delta, t}^{\alpha, \delta} f)(\mathbf{x}) = c'_{\alpha, \delta} t^{-\delta} \int_{|\mathbf{y}| < t} (1 - |\mathbf{y}|^2/t^2)^{\alpha-1} |\mathbf{y}|^{-n+\delta} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

for $\delta > 0$, where $c'_{\alpha, \delta} = \Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha + \delta/2)/\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\delta/2)$ and define $\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f$ by

$$(4.3) \quad (\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(\tilde{M}_{\delta, t}^{\alpha, \delta} f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Obviously $(\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f)(\mathbf{x})$ coincides with the one defined by Hörmander and $(\mu_\delta^{*1, 1} f)(\mathbf{x}) = \{\Gamma(n/2)/2\pi^{n/2}\} \mu(f)(\mathbf{x})$. Furthermore, $(\mu_\delta^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = (\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, n} f)(\mathbf{x})$. Tracing the proof of Proposition 1, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let*

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha, \delta}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(\alpha + \delta/2)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\delta/2)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta/2 - i\pi\xi)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \delta/2 - i\pi\xi)}.$$

If $\alpha > -\delta/2$ and $\delta > 0$, then

$$(4.4) \quad (\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha, \delta}(\xi)| \{\Psi(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, \Omega)\}^\wedge(\xi) |\xi|^2 d\xi$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad |\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha, \delta}(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-\alpha} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

Taking (2.14) and (4.5) into account, we have the following from (2.11) and (4.4).

THEOREM 3. *If $\alpha > -\delta/2$ and $\delta > 0$, then*

$$(\mu_\delta^{*\alpha, \delta} f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (\mu_\delta^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and the relation holds uniformly in Ω .

We set further

$$(T_{\delta, t}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = c'_\beta \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} t^{-n} V_{\beta+(n/2)}(2\pi|\mathbf{y}|/t) \Omega(\mathbf{y}') f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

where $c'_\beta = 2^\beta (2\pi)^{n/2} \Gamma(\beta + 1)$, and

$$(\tau_\delta^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(T_{\delta, t}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$(T_{\Omega,t}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \{K_\beta^* * \Psi(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, \Omega)\}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (t = e^{-x}),$$

where K_β^* is defined by (3.19). As shown in §3,

$$|\hat{K}_\beta^*(\xi)| = |\kappa_\beta^*(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-\beta + (n/2) - 1} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

Comparing this with Proposition 1, we have the following.

THEOREM 4. *If $\beta = \alpha + n/2 - 1 > 0$, then*

$$(\tau_\Omega^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (\mu_\Omega^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and the relation holds uniformly in Ω .

5. Spherical square functions arising as Riesz potentials. In this section we assume $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ near the origin for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and denote the class of all such f by $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The Riesz potential of f is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad (I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\xi|^{-\alpha} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Set

$$(5.2) \quad (I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\xi|^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Now we will define such a spherical square function as

$$(5.3) \quad (D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\int_0^\infty \left| t^{-\alpha} \int_{\Sigma} \{f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') - f(\mathbf{x})\} d\sigma(\mathbf{y}') \right|^2 dt/t \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ is essentially smaller than

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})|^2 |\mathbf{y}|^{-n-2\alpha} d\mathbf{y} \right\}^{1/2}$$

of Stein [15, p. 102], because

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma} |f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') - f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\sigma(\mathbf{y}') t^{-1-2\alpha} dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$

We will prove the following.

THEOREM 5. *If $\beta = \alpha + n/2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$(5.5) \quad (h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx D^\alpha(I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

For the proof of Theorem 5, we give the following two propositions. First we consider

$$(\tau_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = (S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) - (S_R^{\beta-1} f)(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then elementary calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.6) \quad & \tau_{1/t}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \\
 &= -(2\pi)^{n/2} t^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \left\{ r^{n-1} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} V_{(n/2)-1}(2\pi r u) du \right\} \\
 & \quad \times \phi(tr; \mathbf{x}, f) dr,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(t) = \phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f)$ is given by (2.1). Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.7) \quad & \Gamma_0(t) = \int_0^t r^{n-1} dr \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} V_{(n/2)-1}(2\pi r u) du \\
 &= t^n \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} V_{n/2}(2\pi t u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by integration by parts we have

$$(5.8) \quad \tau_{1/t}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{n/2} t^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \Gamma_0(r) \theta(tr; \mathbf{x}, f) dr/r,$$

where $\theta(t) = \theta(t; \mathbf{x}, f)$ is given by (2.5). Moreover, we set

$$(5.9) \quad \theta_{-\alpha}(t) = \theta_{-\alpha}(t; \mathbf{x}, f) = t^{-\alpha} \theta(t; \mathbf{x}, f).$$

As in the preceding sections, putting $K^*(x) = K_{\alpha,\beta}^*(x) = (2\pi)^{n/2} e^{\alpha x} \Gamma_0(e^x)$ and $\Theta_{-\alpha}(x; \mathbf{x}, f) = \theta_{-\alpha}(e^{-x})$, (5.8) becomes

$$\tau_{1/t}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \{K^* * \Theta_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}(x) \quad (t = e^{-x}).$$

The complex Fourier transform of K^* is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{i\xi x} K^*(x) dx \\
 &= (2\pi)^{n/2} \int_0^\infty t^{\zeta+\alpha+n-1} dt \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} V_{n/2}(2\pi t u) du \\
 &= (2\pi)^{n/2} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n+1} (1-u^2)^{\beta-1} du \int_0^\infty t^{\zeta+\alpha+n-1} V_{n/2}(2\pi u t) dt \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(-\zeta/2 + 1) \Gamma((\zeta + \alpha + n)/2)}{4\pi^{\zeta+\alpha+(n/2)} \Gamma(-\zeta/2 + \beta + 1) \Gamma(-\zeta/2 - \alpha/2 + 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $-(\alpha + n) < \text{Re } \zeta < -\alpha - (n - 1)/2$. By an argument analogous to that in Proposition 2, we have:

PROPOSITION 5. For $-n < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$,

$$(5.10) \quad \{h^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})\}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\xi) \{\Theta_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^*$ is the distributional Fourier transform of $K_{\alpha,\beta}^*$, that is to say,

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{2\pi^{\alpha+(n/2)}} \frac{\pi^{2\pi i \xi} \Gamma(1 + i\pi \xi) \Gamma((\alpha + n)/2 - i\pi \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1 + i\pi \xi) \Gamma(-\alpha/2 + 1 + i\pi \xi)}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad |\eta_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{\alpha-\beta+(n/2)-1} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

Concerning $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ defined by (5.3) we proceed analogously. By (2.1), (2.5) and (5.9), we have

$$\phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f) - \phi(0; \mathbf{x}, f) = \int_0^1 \theta(tr; \mathbf{x}, f) dr/r$$

and

$$(5.12) \quad t^{-\alpha} \{\phi(t; \mathbf{x}, f) - \phi(0; \mathbf{x}, f)\} = \int_0^1 r^\alpha \theta_{-\alpha}(tr; \mathbf{x}, f) dr/r.$$

Hence, if we set $K(x) = e^{\alpha x}$ ($x \leq 0$) and $= 0$ ($x > 0$), then (5.12) becomes

$$\{K * \theta_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}(x)$$

with $t = e^{-x}$. Hence we get:

PROPOSITION 6. *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$(D^\alpha f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\kappa(\xi) \{\theta_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where $\kappa(\xi) = (\alpha - 2\pi i \xi)^{-1}$ and

$$|\kappa(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{-1} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

Theorem 5 follows, if we take $I_\alpha f$ as f in Propositions 5 and 6.

For $(\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$, we get analogous one. For a surface spherical harmonic Y_k of degree $k(\geq 1)$, set

$$(5.13) \quad (D_{Y_k}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| t^{-\alpha} \int_{\Sigma} f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}') Y_k(\mathbf{y}') d\sigma(\mathbf{y}') \right|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}.$$

THEOREM 6. *If $\beta = \alpha + n/2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the relation*

$$(\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) / |\gamma_{k,0}| \approx D_{Y_k}^\alpha (I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$$

holds uniformly in Y_k and k for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where the constant $\gamma_{k,0}$ is the same as in Theorem 1.

The method of proof is the same as that for Theorem 1 and the one above. If we set

$$\psi_{-\alpha}(t; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) = t^{-\alpha} \psi(t; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k)$$

and

$$\Psi_{-\alpha}(x; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) = \psi_{-\alpha}(e^{-x}; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k),$$

then we have

$$(5.14) \quad (D_{Y_k}^\alpha f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\Psi_{-\alpha}(x; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k)|^2 dx$$

by definition. On the other hand, by an argument parallel to that in the proof of Proposition 2, we have

$$\tilde{S}_{Y_k, R}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{k,0} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha, \beta, k}(r) \gamma_{r, -\alpha}(r/R; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k) dr/r$$

and

$$\{\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})\}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\{\tilde{K}_{\alpha, \beta, k}^* \Psi_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k)\}(x)|^2 dx,$$

where $\tilde{K}_{\alpha, \beta, k}^*(x) = -2\beta\gamma_{k,0}\{\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha, \beta, k}(e^x) - \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha, \beta-1, k}(e^x)\}$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha, \beta, k}(t) = (2\pi)^{n/2} t^{\alpha+n} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha+n-1} (1-u)^{\beta} (2\pi i t u)^k V_{k+(n/2)-1}(2\pi t u) du.$$

Furthermore, the same calculation as in the proof of Proposition 2 yields that the complex Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}_{\alpha, \beta, k}^*$ is equal to

$$(5.15) \quad \pi^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k+n)/2)} \frac{\pi^{-\zeta} \Gamma(-\zeta/2+1)\Gamma(\zeta/2+(\alpha+k+n)/2)}{\Gamma(-\zeta/2+\beta+1)\Gamma(-\zeta/2-\alpha/2+k/2)}.$$

Let $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, k}^*(\xi)$ be in the form which we obtain by exchanging ζ by $-2\pi i \xi$ in (5.15). Then

$$(5.16) \quad \{\tilde{h}_{Y_k}^\beta(I^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})\}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, k}^*(\xi) \{\Psi_{-\alpha}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f, Y_k)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

By the asymptotic estimate of Γ -function, we have

$$(5.17) \quad |\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, k}^*(\xi)| / |\gamma_{k,0}| \sim (|\xi|+1)^{\alpha-\beta+(n/2)} \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty)$$

uniformly in k . Replacement of f by $I_\alpha f$ in (5.14) and (5.16), and the relation (5.17) prove Theorem 6.

Now, we give a relation between $h^\beta f$ defined by (1.5) and the Littlewood-Paley g^* -function $g_\lambda^*(f)$:

$$(5.18) \quad g_\lambda^*(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{\lambda+1}}{(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^2+t^2)^{(\lambda+n)/2}} |\nabla u(\mathbf{y}, t)|^2 d\mathbf{y} dt \right\}^{1/2},$$

defined by Stein [14], where u is the Poisson integral of f .

As remarked in the definition of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f)$ in (5.4), we have $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_n \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f)(\mathbf{x})$. Theorem 5 shows $(h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_\beta D^\alpha(I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ ($\beta = \alpha + n/2, 0 < \alpha < 1$). Stein [15] showed that $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\alpha, \lambda} g_\lambda^*(f)(\mathbf{x})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \lambda < 2\alpha$). Therefore we have

$$(5.19) \quad (h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\beta, \lambda} g_\lambda^*(f)(\mathbf{x}) \quad (0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda + n < 2\beta).$$

Next we consider the relation between $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{*\alpha}f = \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{*\alpha,1}f$ and $g_{\lambda}^*(f)$. By Theorems 3, 1 and 6, we have

$$(\mu_{Y_k}^{*\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx D_{Y_k}^{\alpha}(I_{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

uniformly in Y_k . Hence, by the Schwarz inequality and the above result of Stein,

$$D_{Y_k}^{\alpha}(I_{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq \|Y_k\|_{L^2(\Upsilon)} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(I_{\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda} \|Y_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} g_{\lambda}^*(f)(\mathbf{x})$$

$$(0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \lambda < 2\alpha).$$

Therefore we have

$$(5.20) \quad (\mu_{Y_k}^{*\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda} \|Y_k\|_{L^2(\Upsilon)} g_{\lambda}^*(f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 2\alpha$. If we have any good condition for the expansion $\Omega = \sum Y_k$, we shall be able to get

$$(\mu_{\Omega}^{*\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda,\Omega} g_{\lambda}^*(f)(\mathbf{x}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \lambda < 2\alpha).$$

6. Square functions arising from the Abel-Poisson summation. We define the spherical Abel-Poisson means of a function f by

$$(6.1) \quad (A_t^{m,\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_{m,\alpha} \int_{R^n} |\mathbf{y}|^{\alpha} \exp(-|\mathbf{y}|^{m+1}) f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

where $c_{m,\alpha} = (m + 1)\Gamma(n/2)/2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma((\alpha + n)/(m + 1))$, $m > -1$ and $\alpha > -n$, following Levinson [11]. The corresponding square function is

$$(6.2) \quad (\delta^{m,\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A_t^{m,\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 t dt \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \left[\int_0^{\infty} | -(\alpha + n) \{ (A_t^{m,\alpha}f)(\mathbf{x}) - (A_t^{m,\alpha+m+1}f)(\mathbf{x}) \} |^2 dt / t \right]^{1/2}.$$

We also define the square function from the spherical means of Abel-Poisson type of Fourier transform. Let

$$(6.3) \quad u_m(\mathbf{x}, t) = c_m'' \int_{R^n} (|\mathbf{y}|^{2(m+1)} + 1)^{-(n+1)/2} f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

where $m > -1/(n + 1)$ and the constant c_m'' is taken so that $u_m(\mathbf{x}, 0) = f(\mathbf{x})$. Set

$$(6.4) \quad g_{m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_m(\mathbf{x}, t) \right|^2 t dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$

When $m = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, (6.1) agrees with the Gauss-Weierstrass integral of f , and when $m = 0$, (6.3) is the Poisson integral of f and (6.4) is the ‘‘real part’’ of the original Littlewood-Paley function $g(f)(\mathbf{x})$. See Stein [16, p. 83], where it is denoted by $g_1(f)(\mathbf{x})$.

We can prove the following:

THEOREM 7. *If $m > -1/(n + 1)$ and $\alpha = (m - 1)n/2$, then*

$$(\delta^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx g_{m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

The proof uses the same idea as that in the preceding sections.

PROPOSITION 7. *For $m > -1$ and $\alpha > -n$,*

$$(\delta^{m,\alpha} f)^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\alpha}(\xi) \{\Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where Θ is defined by (2.10),

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\alpha}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{2\pi^{n/2} \Gamma((\alpha + n)/(m + 1))} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + n - i2\pi\xi}{m + 1}\right)$$

and

$$|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\alpha}(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{(\alpha+n)/(m+1)-(1/2)} \exp(-\pi^2 |\xi|/(m + 1)) \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

PROPOSITION 8. *For $m > -1/(n + 1)$,*

$$(6.5) \quad \{g_{m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x})\}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{P}_m(\xi) \{\Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}^\wedge(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{P}_m(\xi) = c_m''' \Gamma\left(\frac{n - i2\pi\xi}{2(m + 1)}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{m(n + 1) + 1 + i2\pi\xi}{2(m + 1)}\right)$$

with $c_m''' = \Gamma(n/2)/2\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2(m + 1)) \Gamma(\{m(n + 1) + 1\}/2(m + 1))$, and

$$(6.7) \quad |\hat{P}_m(\xi)| \sim (|\xi| + 1)^{(n-1)/2} \exp(-\pi^2 |\xi|/(m + 1)) \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{m,\alpha}(x) = c_{m,\alpha} e^{(\alpha+n)x} \exp(-e^{(m+1)x}).$$

Then, by the change of variables $t = e^{-x}$, we have

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A_t^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathcal{A}_{m,\alpha} * \Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}(x)$$

as in the proof of Proposition 1. In this case, the convolution is ordinary and we can prove Proposition 7 without the concept of distribution. It is easy to calculate the Fourier transform $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\alpha}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{m,\alpha}$ and we get Proposition 7. q.e.d.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8. We set

$$P_m(x) = c'_m e^{nx} \{e^{2(m+1)x} + 1\}^{-(n+1)/2}.$$

Moreover, by the change of variables $t = e^{-x}$, then

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_m(\mathbf{x}, t) = \{P_m * \Theta(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, f)\}(\mathbf{x}).$$

The Fourier transform of P_m is (6.6).

q.e.d.

REMARK. Except when $m = 0$, $u_m(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in (6.3) does not represent the exact Abel-Poisson mean of Fourier transform of f . In fact, in the case $m = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, $(A_t^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x})$ is the Gauss-Weierstrass mean of function f and also that of its Fourier transform coincidentally. However, if we take $m = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ in Proposition 7 and $m = 0$ in Proposition 8, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{1,0}(\xi)| &\sim (|\xi| + 1)^{(n-1)/2} \exp(-\pi^2 |\xi|/2) \quad \text{and} \\ |\widehat{P}_0(\xi)| &\sim (|\xi| + 1)^{(n-1)/2} \exp(-\pi^2 |\xi|). \end{aligned}$$

These show that the square function $(\delta^{1,0} f)(\mathbf{x})$ arising from the Gauss-Weierstrass summation is not smaller than the classical Littlewood-Paley function $g_1(f)(\mathbf{x})$. Hardy [7, p. 176] already observed that a summable (W) Fourier series is certainly summable (A).

It may be natural to consider the square functions

$$(\check{\delta}_\Omega^m, \alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(\tilde{A}_{\Omega,t}^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}$$

for $m > -1$ and $\alpha > -n$, and

$$\tilde{g}_{\Omega,m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |\tilde{u}_{\Omega,m}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}$$

for $m > -1/(n + 1)$, as the counterparts of $(\delta^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x})$ and $g_{m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x})$, where

$$(\tilde{A}_{\Omega,t}^{m,\alpha} f)(\mathbf{x}) = c_{m,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') |\mathbf{y}|^\alpha \exp(-|\mathbf{y}|^{m+1}) f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_{\Omega,m}(\mathbf{x}, t) = c''_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(\mathbf{y}') (|\mathbf{y}|^{2(m+1)} + 1)^{-(n+1)/2} f(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Between them, we have the following relation:

THEOREM 8. *If $m > -1/(n + 1)$ and $\alpha = (m - 1)n/2$,*

$$(\check{\delta}_\Omega^m, \alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx \tilde{g}_{\Omega,m+1}(f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and the relation is uniform in Ω .

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 7.

If we take $\Omega_j(\mathbf{y}) = y_j/|\mathbf{y}|$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) as Ω , then we have the relation

$$g_x(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |\nabla_x u(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \approx \sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{\partial_j}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$$

($\alpha = -n/2 + 1$), where u is the Poisson integral of f . The left hand side in the above relation is another part of the classical Littlewood-Paley g -function. See Stein [16, p. 83].

7. Applications. Let $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$, be the Hardy spaces in the sense of Fefferman-Stein [4]. If $1 < p < \infty$, then $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ coincides with $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and its norms are comparable. So for any p , $0 < p < \infty$, we assume that $\|f\|_p$ denotes the $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -norm of f . Moreover, we denote by $\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ the $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -norm of $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$.

It is known that the class $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ defined in §5 is dense in $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, and $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) = H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. See Calderón-Torchinsky [1, II, pp. 104-105]. This is useful for extension of f .

The square function arising from the Cesàro summation is generally greater than that arising from the Abel summation, except for a constant factor (Flett [5, p. 116]). Thus concerning the inequality $\|S(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq A_p \|f\|_p$ for any square function $S(f)$, if $S(f)$ is generated from a Cesàro type summation, then it is better than the inequality whose $S(f)$ is generated from an Abel type summation.

The following two H^p -boundedness theorems about square functions are fundamental for our argument.

THEOREM A. For $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_p \leq A_p \|g_1(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_p \leq A'_p \|g_x(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

This was given by Fefferman-Stein [4, p. 185] and Calderón-Torchinsky [1, I, p. 55].

THEOREM B. For $\beta > n(1/p - 1/2) + 1/2$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\beta > (n - 1)(1/2 - 1/p) + 1/2$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$\|h^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\beta} \|f\|_p.$$

For $1 < p \leq 2$, Theorem B was given by Sunouchi [22]. We cannot find the case $0 < p \leq 1$ in the literature, but it can be proved by the atomic decomposition of $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$; see Latter [10]. Furthermore, when $0 < p < 1$ and $\beta = n(1/p - 1/2) + 1/2$, h^β is weak type (H^p, L^p) . For the

case $2 \leq p < \infty$, we can prove Theorem B as follows.

As proved in Theorem 5, for $\beta = \alpha + n/2, 0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$(h^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx D^\alpha(I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq A_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha(I_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}).$$

However, for $p \geq 2$, Stein [15, p. 103, Lemma 1] showed that, for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{D}_\alpha(I_\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p.$$

Hence, for $n/2 < \beta < n/2 + 1$ and $p \geq 2$,

$$\|h^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A_{p,\beta} \|f\|_p.$$

So we can get the conclusion by interpolation between $p_1 = 2, \beta > 1/2$ and $p_2 = p, \beta > n/2$.

This result is better than that of Igari-Kuratsubo [9].

Combining these two theorems with our results in the preceding sections, we have following Corollaries 1, 2, 3 and 4.

COROLLARY 1. For $\alpha > n/p - n + 3/2$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\alpha > -(n-1)/p + 1$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$A_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p \leq \|\nu^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p.$$

COROLLARY 2. For $\alpha > n/p - n + 1/2$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\alpha > -(n-1)/p$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$A_{p,\alpha,k} \|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p \leq \|\mu_{Y_k}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\alpha,k} \|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p.$$

Since $\|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p \leq C_{p,Y_k} \|f\|_p$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \|\mu_{Y_k}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,\alpha,Y_k} \|f\|_p$$

for the above range. By Theorem 3, we can replace $\mu_{Y_k}^\alpha f$ by $\mu_{Y_k}^{*\alpha} f = \mu_{Y_k}^{*\alpha,1} f$ in (7.1) for $\alpha > -1/2$. In particular for $\alpha \geq 1/2$, we get

$$\|\mu_{Y_k}^{*\alpha} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,\alpha,Y_k} \|f\|_p \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

So the case $\alpha = 1$ is true. This case was studied by Stein [13] and Hörmander [8]. Their operators are more general than ours, but the methods of proofs are different.

In order to get converse inequalities for $\mu_{Y_k}^\alpha f$, we need $\|f\|_p \leq C \|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p$. From this point of view, if Y_k is the j -th component of the Riesz transform, i.e., $Y_k(\mathbf{x}') = x_j/|\mathbf{x}'|$, then

$$A_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|\mu_j^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p$$

for the same range as in Corollary 2, where $\mu_j^\alpha f$ means $\mu_\Omega^\alpha f$ for $\Omega(\mathbf{x}') = x_j/|\mathbf{x}'|$. This was also given by Stein and Hörmander.

COROLLARY 3. For $1 > \alpha > n/p - n + 1/2$ ($2n/(2n + 1) < p < 2n/(2n - 1)$) and $1 > \alpha > 0$ ($2n/(2n - 1) \leq p < \infty$),

$$A_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p \leq \|D^\alpha(I_\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p$$

and

$$A_{p,\alpha,k} \|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p \leq \|D_{Y_k}^\alpha(I_\alpha f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,\alpha,k} \|\tilde{f}_{Y_k}\|_p,$$

where Y_k is a surface spherical harmonic of degree $k \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 4. When $m \geq 0$ and $\alpha = (m - 1)n/2$, the relation

$$A_{p,m} \|f\|_p \leq \|\delta^{m,\alpha} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{p,m} \|f\|_p$$

holds for $0 < p < \infty$.

Stein-Wainger's "Problem 6 (a)" in [20, p. 1289] is concerned with $g(f)(\mathbf{x})$ and $(\nu^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ for $\alpha = 0$. However, $g_1(f)(\mathbf{x}) \approx (\delta^{0,-n/2} f)(\mathbf{x})$ is concerned with the Abel means and $(\nu^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ with the Cesàro means. These facts and Corollaries 1 and 4 may be an answer to the problem.

Let $\mathcal{M}^\alpha f$ be the maximal function for $(M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ of (1.1), i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{M}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \sup\{|(M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})|; 0 < t < \infty\}.$$

COROLLARY 5. For $\alpha > n/p - n + 1$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\alpha > (-n + 2)/p$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$\|\mathcal{M}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p.$$

PROOF. For $0 < p \leq 2$, we can deduce the conclusion by a routine argument from Corollary 1. The other case is immediate from interpolation between the case $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$, which is obvious. q.e.d.

Stein-Wainger [20, p. 1283, Th. 14] and Stein-Taibleson-Weiss [19, Th. II] gave this result. In particular, for $n/(n - 1) < p < \infty$, $n \geq 3$,

$$\|\mathcal{M} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $(\mathcal{M} f)(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{M}^0 f)(\mathbf{x})$. This had already been proved by Stein [17].

Let ϕ be a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -function with $\hat{\phi}(0) = 1$ and set $\phi_t(\mathbf{x}) = t^{-n}\phi(t^{-1}\mathbf{x})$. Then Stein-Wainger [20, p. 1271] gave the following definition:

$$(7.2) \quad (g_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(M_t^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) - (f * \phi_t)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2}$$

and proved for $\alpha > (1 - n)/2$,

$$\|g_\alpha f\|_2 \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_2.$$

To avoid confusion of this notation $(g_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ in (7.2) with (6.4), we denote (7.2) by $(N^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$ instead of $(g_\alpha f)(\mathbf{x})$.

COROLLARY 6. For $\alpha > n/p - n + 1/2$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\alpha > -(n - 1)/p$

($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$\|N^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_p.$$

PROOF. Take N so that $\alpha + N > 2$. Then

$$(7.3) \quad (N^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^N \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(M_t^{\alpha+\nu} f)(\mathbf{x}) - (M_t^{\alpha+\nu-1} f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2} \\ + \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(K_t * f)(\mathbf{x})|^2 dt/t \right\}^{1/2},$$

where $K_t(\mathbf{x}) = t^{-n} K(t^{-1}\mathbf{x})$ and $K(\mathbf{x}) = c_{\alpha+N} (1 - |\mathbf{x}|_+^2)^{\alpha+N-1} - \phi(\mathbf{x})$. If we apply Corollary 1 for the first term in (7.3) and apply a multiplier theorem in Stein [16, p. 46, Th. 5] for the last term, then we have the conclusion in the case $1 < p < \infty$. For $0 < p \leq 1$, it is obtained, if N is taken sufficiently larger and the atomic decomposition of f is applied to the last term on the right hand side of (7.3). q.e.d.

Analogously, if we use Theorem B instead of Corollary 1, then we get the following.

COROLLARY 7. For $\beta > n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ($0 < p \leq 2$) and $\beta > (n-1)(1/2 - 1/p) - 1/2$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$),

$$\|G^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,\beta} \|f\|_p,$$

where

$$(G^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |(S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x}) - (f * \phi_{1/R})(\mathbf{x})|^2 dR/R \right\}^{1/2}$$

and $(S_R^\beta f)(\mathbf{x})$ is given by (1.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. TORCHINSKY, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, I, *Adv. in Math.* 16 (1975), 1-64; II, *ibid.* 24 (1977), 101-171.
- [2] K. CHANDRASEKHARAN, On the summation of multiple Fourier series, I, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 50 (1948), 210-222.
- [3] C. P. CHANG, On certain exponential sums arising in conjugate multiple Fourier series, Ph. D. Thesis, Chicago Univ., Illinois, 1964.
- [4] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [5] T. M. FLETT, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 7 (1957), 113-141.
- [6] I. M. GEL'FAND AND G. E. SHILOV, *Generalized functions*, vol. 1, 2, Academic Press, New York-London, 1964, 1968.
- [7] G. H. HARDY, Remarks on some points in the theory of divergent series, *Ann. of Math.* 36 (1935), 167-181.
- [8] L. HÖRMANDER, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* 104 (1960), 93-140.

- [9] S. IGARI AND S. KURATSUBO, A sufficient condition for L^p -multipliers, Pacific J. Math. 38 (1971), 85-88.
- [10] R. H. LATTER, A characterization of $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in terms of atoms, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 93-101.
- [11] N. LEVINSON, On the Poisson summability of Fourier series, Duke Math. J. 2 (1936), 138-146.
- [12] E. M. STEIN, Localization and summability of multiple Fourier series, Acta Math. 100 (1958), 93-147.
- [13] E. M. STEIN, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 430-466.
- [14] E. M. STEIN, On some functions of Littlewood-Paley and Zygmund, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 99-101.
- [15] E. M. STEIN, The characterization of functions arising as potentials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 102-104.
- [16] E. M. STEIN, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical series 30, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [17] E. M. STEIN, Maximal functions: Spherical means, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 73 (1976), 2174-2175.
- [18] E. M. STEIN, The development of square functions in the work of A. Zygmund, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 359-376.
- [19] E. M. STEIN, M. H. TAIBLESON AND G. WEISS, Weak type estimates for maximal operators on certain H^p classes, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 1 (1981), 81-97.
- [20] E. M. STEIN AND S. WAINGER, Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), 1239-1295.
- [21] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Mathematical series 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1971.
- [22] G. SUNOUCHI, On the Littlewood-Paley function g^* of multiple Fourier integrals and Hankel multiplier transformations, Tôhoku Math. J. 19 (1967), 496-511.
- [23] G. SUNOUCHI, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley and Marcinkiewicz, Tôhoku Math. J. 36 (1984), 505-519.
- [24] G. N. WATSON, A treatise of the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1966.
- [25] H. ZEMANIAN, Generalized integral transformations, Interscience, New York, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS	AND	DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION		TAMAGAWA UNIVERSITY
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY		MACHIDA, TOKYO, 194
KAWAUCHI, SENDAI, 980		JAPAN
JAPAN		

