

A NON-LIFTABLE CALABI-YAU THREEFOLD IN CHARACTERISTIC 3

MASAYUKI HIROKADO

(Received February 16, 1998, revised May 13, 1999)

Abstract. We show the existence of a Calabi-Yau threefold in characteristic 3 with its third Betti number zero. This example admits no lifting to characteristic zero and hence indicates that a theorem by Deligne that any $K3$ surface in positive characteristic has a lifting to characteristic zero cannot be generalized straightforward to the case of Calabi-Yau threefolds.

0. Introduction. Calabi-Yau threefolds as complex manifolds have been studied by a number of algebraic geometers as well as physicists, and a great deal of advancement has been achieved in the theory. On the other hand, $K3$ surfaces in positive characteristics have also been studied intensively through the seventies and eighties. It is the purpose of our study to see to what extent we can understand Calabi-Yau threefolds in positive characteristics with the help of these two theories. In this paper, we observe several results with strong emphasis on specific phenomena of Calabi-Yau threefolds in positive characteristics which are known at this stage.

One of the interesting problems of Calabi-Yau threefolds in characteristic p is whether they have liftings to characteristic zero or not. For $K3$ surfaces it was proved by Deligne [2] that any $K3$ surface lifts projectively to characteristic zero.

We consider, in this paper, quotient varieties of P^3 by p -closed rational vector fields, and obtain a Calabi-Yau threefold X with its third Betti number zero. Then it is seen that this X admits no lifting to characteristic zero, which illustrates a clear difference from the case of $K3$ surfaces.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Toshiyuki Katsura for his advice and encouragement.

1. Preliminaries. We consider a smooth projective variety X defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

DEFINITION 1.1. A smooth projective threefold X is said to be a Calabi-Yau threefold if $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

One of the most important properties of Calabi-Yau threefolds in positive characteristics is that the invariant $\text{ht}(X)$, called the height of X , can be defined.

DEFINITION 1.2 (Artin-Mazur [1]). Let X be a Calabi-Yau threefold and $\Phi^3(X/k, \mathbf{G}_m)$ be the Artin-Mazur formal group associated to X . Then we define the height $\text{ht}(X)$ associated to X to be the height of $\Phi^3(X/k, \mathbf{G}_m)$.

Let $W\mathcal{O}_X$ denote the sheaf of Witt vectors over X introduced by Serre [14]. Then the above definition is equivalent to the following:

$$\text{ht}(X) = \begin{cases} \dim_K H^3(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_W K & \text{if } H^3(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_W K \neq 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } H^3(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_W K = 0, \end{cases}$$

where K is the quotient field of the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$. In particular, we say that X is a supersingular Calabi-Yau if $\text{ht}(X) = \infty$, after the case of $K3$ surfaces.

As is the case with $K3$ surfaces, this invariant is expected to be closely related to the specific phenomena of positive characteristics. It is known that the following property, which is well-known for $K3$ surfaces, continues to hold for Calabi-Yau threefolds.

THEOREM 1.3. *If a Calabi-Yau threefold X is uniruled, then X is supersingular.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the following observation (cf. [5]). Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a generically finite surjective morphism of smooth complete varieties over k . If $H^j(W\mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes_W K = 0$, then $H^j(W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_W K = 0$. In particular, if $H^j(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$, then $H^j(W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_W K = 0$.

REMARK 1.4 (The Hodge Symmetry). For a smooth projective complex n -fold X , it is well-known that the following equalities, known as the Hodge symmetry, hold:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(\Omega_X^i) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(\Omega_X^j), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

In characteristic $p > 0$, the Hodge symmetry does not hold in general. However, Rudakov-Shafarevich proved in [10] that these equalities hold for $K3$ surfaces, by showing the non-existence of non-zero vector fields. For a Calabi-Yau threefold X , we have the equality $\Omega_X^2 \cong T_X$ and the Serre duality. So we see that the Hodge symmetry would follow if one could prove the vanishing $H^0(\Omega_X^1) = H^0(\Omega_X^2) = 0$, which is one of the main questions about Calabi-Yau threefolds in positive characteristics.

We use the following notation in this paper:

NOTATION 1.5.

- X : a smooth projective threefold defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.
- $b_l(X)$: the l -adic Betti number of X given by $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ ($l \neq p$), which is also equal to $\text{rank}_W H_{\text{crys}}^i(X/W)$.
- $b_i^{\text{DR}}(X)$: the de Rham Betti number of X , which is given by $\dim_k H_{\text{DR}}^i(X)$. If τ_i denotes the number of generators of the torsion part of $H_{\text{crys}}^i(X/W)$, then $b_i^{\text{DR}}(X) = b_i(X) + \tau_i + \tau_{i+1}$ holds.
- $e(X)$: the Euler number of X defined by $e(X) = \sum_{i=0}^6 (-1)^i b_i(X)$.
- $X \rightarrow X^{(-1)}$: the relative Frobenius morphism of X .
- δ : a rational vector field on X which is p -closed, i.e., $\delta^p = \alpha\delta$ for some $\alpha \in k(X)$.

- (δ) : the divisor on X associated to a p -closed rational vector field δ , which is given as follows: Locally δ is expressed as $\delta = \alpha(A\partial/\partial x + B\partial/\partial y + C\partial/\partial z)$, where x, y, z are local coordinates and A, B, C are regular functions without common factors. Then the divisor (α) is given in each affine open set, and can be glued together to form a divisor (δ) on X .
- Sing δ : the set of singular points of a p -closed rational vector field δ . This is given locally by $\{A = B = C = 0\}$ under the expression of δ as above.
- $\mathcal{L} \subset T_X$: the 1-foliation induced by a p -closed rational vector field δ , i.e., a saturated invertible subsheaf of the tangent bundle T_X which is locally generated by δ .
- \mathbf{P}^n : the n -dimensional projective space defined over k . When considering a different base field, for example F_p , we indicate it as $\mathbf{P}_{F_p}^n$.

For a Calabi-Yau threefold X , we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $e(X) = -2\chi(\Omega_X^1)$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem.

We call a morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ a fibration if S is normal and $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_S$. We say that X has a projective lifting to characteristic zero if there exists a smooth projective morphism

$$\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$$

over a discrete valuation ring R such that the closed fiber is isomorphic to X , and the quotient field of R is of characteristic zero.

2. Construction. In this section, we investigate a Calabi-Yau threefold obtained as the quotient of \mathbf{P}^3 by a p -closed rational vector field. Our method of constructing quotient varieties by rational vector fields was introduced by Rudakov-Shafarevich, and has been used in various works. We refer the reader to [3] and [11].

PROPOSITION 2.1. i) Let $A^3 := \text{Spec } k[x, y, z] \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be an affine open set. The derivation

$$\delta := (x^p - x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (y^p - y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (x^p - z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

determines a p -closed rational vector field on \mathbf{P}^3 with $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$ isolated singular points Sing δ . Each singular point of δ can be resolved by one point blowing-up.

ii) Let $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the blowing-ups at $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$ singular points Sing δ . Then the smooth rational vector field on S , which we denote by $\pi^*\delta$, induces a smooth projective threefold X as its quotient:

$$(2-A) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & S^{(-1)} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{P}^3 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_0} & \mathbf{P}^{3(-1)}, \end{array}$$

where g (resp. g_0) is the finite and flat (resp. finite) morphism of degree p which is induced by $\pi^*\delta$ (resp. δ). $\tilde{\pi}$ is a naturally induced birational morphism. In particular, we have

$$g^*K_X \cong \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}((p-1)^2-4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \left((3-p) \sum_{i=1}^{p^3+p^2+p+1} E_i \right),$$

where $\{E_i\}$ are the exceptional divisors of π .

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose $p = 3$. Then the birational morphism $\tilde{\pi} : X \rightarrow V$ in (2-A) is a crepant resolution. The smooth projective threefold X satisfies the following properties:*

- i) X is a Calabi-Yau threefold.
- ii) X is unirational, therefore supersingular.
- iii) $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) = \{1\}$.
- iv) $b_2(X) = 41, b_3(X) = 0$.
- v) $H^0(\Omega_X^1) = H^0(T_X) = 0$, therefore the Hodge symmetry holds.
- vi) X has quasi-elliptic fibrations.

COROLLARY 2.3. *The Calabi-Yau threefold X in $p = 3$ obtained above does not admit a projective lifting to characteristic zero.*

PROOF. Suppose that the Calabi-Yau threefold X in question has a projective lifting to characteristic zero:

$$\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R,$$

over a discrete valuation ring R (cf. Section 1). Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ be its geometric generic fiber. Then we have, by the Hodge theory, $b_3(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) = \dim H_{\text{DR}}^3(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) = \sum_{i+j=3} h^j(\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}^i)$. However, from the fact that the Betti numbers and the arithmetic genus are invariant under deformation, we deduce that $b_3(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) = 0$ and $h^3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}}) = 1$. But this is absurd. \square

Before proceeding to the proof of the theorem, we first introduce the following notation.

NOTATION 2.4.

$\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow T_S$ stands for the smooth 1-foliation on S , which is locally generated by $\pi^*\delta$. We denote a general hyperplane of \mathbf{P}^n by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)$. The hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}_{F_p}^3$ are denoted by $\{F_i \mid i = 1, \dots, p^3 + p^2 + p + 1\}$. The base change $F_i \times_{\text{Spec } F_p} \text{Spec } k$ is also denoted by the same F_i .

\bar{F}_i is the strict transform of F_i by $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ in (2-A). In particular, $\pi|_{\bar{F}_i} : \bar{F}_i \rightarrow F_i$ corresponds to blowing-ups at F_p -rational points of $F_i \cong \mathbf{P}_{F_p}^2$.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. i) Suppose that the local coordinates are given by

$$U := \text{Spec } k[x, y, z], \quad U_1 := \text{Spec } k[x_1, y_1, z_1] \subset \mathbf{P}^3 := \text{Proj } k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3],$$

where $(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) = (1, x, y, z) = (x_1, 1, y_1, z_1)$. In U_1 , the derivation δ is expressed as:

$$\delta = \frac{1}{x_1^{p-1}} \left[(x_1^p - x_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + (y_1^p - y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + (z_1^p - z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right].$$

It can be observed that δ has a pole of degree $p - 1$ at $x_1 = 0$, and the singular points $\text{Sing } \delta$ correspond to the F_p -rational points of $\mathbf{P}_{F_p}^3 := \text{Proj } F_p[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$.

Consider the blowing-up at the origin: $x = s, y = st, z = su$. Then we have $\partial/\partial x = \partial/\partial s - (t/s)\partial/\partial t - (u/s)\partial/\partial u, \partial/\partial y = (1/s)\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z = (1/s)\partial/\partial u$ and

$$\pi^* \delta = s \left[(s^{p-1} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + s^{p-2}(t^p - t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + s^{p-2}(u^p - u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right].$$

We see that $\pi^* \delta$ vanishes along an exceptional divisor $E_1 := \{s = 0\}$ with degree one, that is, the equality of divisors $(\pi^* \delta) = \pi^*(\delta) + E_1$ holds. Moreover, $\pi^* \delta$ has no singular points lying on E_1 , so the singularity at the origin is resolved. Other singular points in $\text{Sing } \delta$ can also be resolved in the same way.

ii) The first assertion follows from the result (i). For the second, we use the canonical bundle formula (cf. [11]):

$$g^* K_X \sim K_S - (p - 1)(\pi^* \delta),$$

where $(\pi^* \delta) \sim -(p - 1)\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p^3+p^2+p+1} E_i$. □

REMARK 2.5. Let $q \in \text{Sing } \delta$ be a singular point of δ in Proposition 2.1. Then the complete local ring of the singular point $g_0(q) \in \text{Sing } V$ is given as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{P}^3, q} & \leftrightarrow & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V, g_0(q)} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ k[[x, y, z]] & \leftrightarrow & k[[x^i y^j z^k \mid i + j + k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, 0 \leq i, j, k < p]] \end{array}$$

In particular, this is a toric singularity of type $(1/p)(1, 1, 1)$, and there exists a crepant resolution if $p = 3$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $\pi_0 : \bar{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2 (\cong \mathbf{P}_{F_p}^2 \times \text{Spec } k)$ be the birational morphism obtained by blowing up the F_p -rational points in $\mathbf{P}_{F_p}^2$. Then we have

$$H^0 \left(\pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}((p - 1)p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{F}} \left(-p \sum_{j=1}^{p^2+p+1} e_j \right) \right) = 0,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_{p^2+p+1} are the exceptional curves for π_0 .

PROOF. Consider the lines $\{l_i \mid i = 1, \dots, p^2 + p + 1\}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{F_p}^2$. We denote the strict transform of $l_i \times_{\text{Spec } F_p} \text{Spec } k$ for $\pi_0 : \bar{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ by the same l_i . Then it can be expressed as $l_k \sim \pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1) - \sum_{l=1}^{p^2+p+1} e_{jl}$.

Suppose that there exists an effective divisor $\bar{D} \in H^0(\pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}((p - 1)p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{F}}(-p \sum_{j=1}^{p^2+p+1} e_j))$. Then we have $(\bar{D} \cdot l_k) = -2p < 0$. This implies that \bar{D} has $\sum_{k=1}^{p^2+p+1} l_k$ as its component. On the other hand, we have the intersection number $(\bar{D} - \sum_{k=1}^{p^2+p+1} l_k \cdot \pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)) = -2p - 1 < 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$ is nef. Thus we have the desired assertion. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. If $p = 3$, we have $g^*K_X \sim 0$ by Proposition 2.1 (ii), that is, K_X is numerically equivalent to zero. Here, we show that X is indeed a Calabi-Yau threefold.

First, we prove $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p}) = 0$, where $\mathcal{L} \cong \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-(p-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{p^3+p^2+p+1} E_i)$. Suppose there exists an effective divisor $D \in H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p})$. Then consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(D - \bar{F}_i)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{F}_i}(D|_{\bar{F}_i})),$$

where the last term vanishes because of Lemma 2.6. This implies that $D - \sum_{i=1}^{p^3+p^2+p+1} \bar{F}_i$ is an effective divisor. On the other hand, we have

$$\left(D - \sum_{i=1}^{p^3+p^2+p+1} \bar{F}_i \cdot (\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))^2 \right) < 0.$$

But this is absurd. Thus, we have $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p}) = 0$.

Secondly, we show that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ is derived from $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p}) = 0$. Consider the smooth 1-foliation $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow T_S$ locally generated by $\pi^*\delta$, and let $\Omega_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ be its dual. Consider the composition map with the universal derivation d .

$$\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1}.$$

This composition map is the one which sends $s \in \mathcal{O}_S$ to $\delta(s) \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Taking the direct images by the quotient morphism $g : S \rightarrow X$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & g_*\mathcal{O}_S & \rightarrow & g_*\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \cap \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & g_*\mathcal{O}_S & \rightarrow & g_*\mathcal{L}^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Here, these two rows are exact by definition. Then the assertion verified above $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p}) = 0$ indicates that the first term in the following exact sequence vanishes:

$$H^0(g_*\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(g_*\mathcal{O}_S).$$

Indeed, the last term also vanishes, since g is a finite morphism and S is a smooth rational threefold. Thus we obtain the desired assertion $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Thirdly, we prove $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. By the Riemann-Roch formula, we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) - h^3(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Then by the Serre duality and the facts: $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1, h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, we have the following inequality:

$$1 \leq 1 + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^3(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(K_X).$$

Here, we see that the last term is at most one, because K_X is numerically trivial in $p = 3$, from which the assertions $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ follow. Thus X is a Calabi-Yau threefold.

The assertions ii), iii) follow from the construction, iv) follows from the equalities $b_i(S) = b_i(X)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 6$, since the quotient morphism g in (2-A) is finite and purely inseparable. The quasi-elliptic fibrations in vi) are induced from the projection $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3$ is a one point blowing-up of \mathbf{P}^3 . So there remains to prove v).

Let $\mathcal{M} := T_{X/S^{(-1)}} \hookrightarrow T_X$ be the smooth 1-foliation of rank two on X , which corresponds to the purely inseparable finite morphism $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow S^{(-1)}$ of degree p^2 . Then we have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow g^* \mathcal{M}^{-1} \rightarrow \Omega_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \tilde{g}^* \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{-1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then look at the long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{g}^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_X) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}^{-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Here we have $H^0(\mathcal{M}^{-1}) = 0$ because of the inclusion $H^0(g^* \mathcal{M}^{-1}) \hookrightarrow H^0(\Omega_S) = 0$. Moreover, $H^0(\tilde{g}^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ holds, since we have

$$H^0(\tilde{g}^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \hookrightarrow H^0(g_*(g^* \tilde{g}^* \mathcal{L}^{-1})) = H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-p})$$

and we already know that the last term vanishes. Thus we have $H^0(\Omega_X) = 0$.

The assertion $H^0(T_X) = 0$ follows from Proposition 2.7 mentioned below. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Consider the p -closed rational vector field on \mathbf{P}^3 given by*

$$\delta = (G_1^p - x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (G_2^p - y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (G_3^p - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

with $G_1, G_2, G_3 \in k[x, y, z]$. Let $g_0 : \mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow V$ be its quotient and suppose that the resolution of singularities $\tilde{\pi} : X \rightarrow V$ such that $X \setminus \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\text{Sing } V) \cong V \setminus \text{Sing } V$ exists. Suppose further that $\{1, G_1, G_2, G_3\} \cup \{G_i G_j \mid i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ in $k[x, y, z]$ are k -linearly independent and $\delta \notin H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$. Then we have $H^0(T_X) = 0$.

PROOF. For the proof, we consider the purely inseparable morphisms which factor the Frobenius morphism:

$$\mathbf{P}^3 \xrightarrow{g_0} V \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_0} \mathbf{P}^{3(-1)}.$$

Then there exist 1-foliations $\mathcal{L}_0 := T_{\mathbf{P}^3/V} \subset T_{\mathbf{P}^3}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 := T_{V/\mathbf{P}^{3(-1)}} \subset T_V$ which correspond to g_0 and \tilde{g}_0 , respectively. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^3} \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^3/\mathcal{L}_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

We also have an exact sequence on $V_0 := V \setminus \text{Sing } V$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow T_V \rightarrow \tilde{g}_0^* \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

and $T_{\mathbf{P}^3/\mathcal{L}_0} \cong g_0^* \mathcal{M}_0$ holds on $g_0^{-1}(V_0)$ (cf. [3]). So the following long exact sequences are induced:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3}) \rightarrow H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3/\mathcal{L}_0}) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow H^0(V_0, \mathcal{M}_0) \rightarrow H^0(V_0, T_V) \rightarrow H^0(V_0, \tilde{g}_0^* \mathcal{L}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here $H^0(\mathcal{L}_0) = 0$ holds from the hypothesis $\delta \notin H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$. Then $H^0(V_0, \tilde{g}_0^* \mathcal{L}_0) = 0$ also follows. By computation of local cohomologies, we have $H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, T_{\mathbf{P}^3}/\mathcal{L}_0) \cong H^0(g_0^{-1}(V_0), T_{\mathbf{P}^3}/\mathcal{L}_0)$. So, we obtain the inclusion $H^0(V_0, T_V) \hookrightarrow H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$.

Now, we show that there exists no element $\theta \in H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$ such that the restriction $\theta|_{k(V)}$ determines a derivation of $k(V)$. Take a basis of $H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ & x \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad y \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad z \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The function field of V is given by $k(V) = k(x^p, y^p, z^p, w_1, w_2)$, where $w_1 := (G_1^p - x)(G_2^p - y)^{p-1}$ and $w_2 := (G_2^p - y)(G_3^p - z)^{p-1}$.

So, it suffices to show that there exists no element $\theta \in H^0(T_{\mathbf{P}^3})$ such that $(\delta(\theta w_1), \delta(\theta w_2)) = 0$ in $k(\mathbf{P}^3) \oplus k(\mathbf{P}^3)$. This is equivalent to the following elements in $k(\mathbf{P}^3) \oplus k(\mathbf{P}^3)$ being k -linearly independent:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1 \right), \delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1 \right), \delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1 \right), \delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1 \right), \delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_1 \right), \delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_1 \right), \delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_1 \right), \delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_1 \right), \delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_1 \right), \delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_1 \right), \delta \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_1 \right), \delta \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_2 \right) \right), \left(\delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_1 \right), \delta \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(x \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_1 \right), \delta \left(x \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(y \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_1 \right), \delta \left(y \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_2 \right) \right), \\ & \left(\delta \left(z \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_1 \right), \delta \left(z \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) w_2 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is, indeed, the case under the assumption of Proposition 2.7. Then the desired assertion follows from the inclusion:

$$H^0(X, T_X) \hookrightarrow H^0(\pi^{-1}(V_0), T_X) \cong H^0(V_0, T_V) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7. □

REMARKS 2.8. i) The smooth quotient threefold X obtained in Proposition 2.1 in other characteristics is classified as a rational threefold if $p = 2$, and as a threefold of general type (i.e., the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 3$) if $p \geq 5$.

ii) It is not known if the existence of Calabi-Yau threefolds with the third Betti number zero is a phenomenon specific to characteristic three or not. It follows that such Calabi-Yau threefolds are supersingular.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ARTIN AND B. MAZUR, Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 10 (1977), 87–131.
- [2] P. DELIGNE, Relèvement des surfaces $K3$ en caractéristique nulle, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 868, Springer-Verlag, 1981, 58–79.
- [3] T. EKEDAHL, Foliations and inseparable morphisms, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 46, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 139–149.
- [4] M. HIROKADO, Zariski surfaces as quotients of Hirzebruch surfaces by 1-foliations, preprint.
- [5] M. HIROKADO, Calabi-Yau threefolds obtained as fiber products of elliptic and quasi-elliptic rational surfaces, to appear in *J. Pure Applied Algebra*.
- [6] W. LANG AND N. NYGAARD, A short proof of the Rydakov-Safarevic theorem, *Math. Ann.* 251 (1980), 171–173.
- [7] Y. MIYAOKA, Vector fields on Calabi-Yau manifolds in characteristic p , *Daisuu Kikagaku Symposium at Kinosaki*, 1995, 149–156.
- [8] N. NYGAARD, On the fundamental group of a unirational 3-fold, *Invent. Math.* 44 (1978), 75–86.
- [9] N. NYGAARD, A p -adic proof of the non-existence of vectorfields on $K3$ surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* 110 (1979), 515–528.
- [10] K. OGUIO, On certain rigid fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds, *Math. Z.* 221 (1996), 437–448.
- [11] A. RUDAKOV AND I. SHAFAREVICH, Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces, *Math. USSR Izv.* 10 (1976), 1205–1237.
- [12] A. RUDAKOV AND I. SHAFAREVICH, Surfaces of type $K3$ over fields of finite characteristics, *J. Soviet Math.* 22 (1983), 1476–1533.
- [13] K. SAKAMAKI, Artin-Mazur formal groups and Picard-Fuchs equations attached to certain Calabi-Yau threefolds, Master's Thesis, Kyoto University, 1994.
- [14] J. SERRE, Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p , *Symposium Internacional de Topologia Algebraica*, México, 1958, 24–53.
- [15] N. SUWA, Hodge-Witt cohomology of complete intersections, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 45 (1993), 295–300.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SCIENCES
HIROSHIMA CITY UNIVERSITY
3-4-1 OZUKA-HIGASHI ASAMINAMI-KU
HIROSHIMA 731-3194
JAPAN

E-mail address: hirokado@math.its.hiroshima-cu.ac.jp

