# Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of *m*-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions

H. M. Srivastava<sup>1,\*</sup>, S. Sivasubramanian<sup>2</sup> and R. Sivakumar<sup>2</sup>

E-mail: harimsri@math.uvic.ca, sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com, mrsiva75@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

belonging to the normalized analytic function class  $\mathcal{A}$  in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , which are bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , that is, both the function f and its inverse  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . The usual method for computation of the coefficients of the inverse function  $f^{-1}(z)$  by means of the relation  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$  is too difficult to apply in the case of m-fold symmetric analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Here, in our present investigation, we aim at overcoming this difficulty by using a general formula to compute the coefficients of  $f^{-1}(z)$  in conjunction with the residue calculus. As an application, we introduce two new subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Sigma$  in which both f(z) and  $f^{-1}(z)$  are m-fold symmetric analytic functions with their derivatives in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  of analytic functions with positive real part in  $\mathbb{U}$ . For functions in each of the subclasses introduced in this paper, we obtain the coefficient bounds for  $|a_{m+1}|$  and  $|a_{2m+1}|$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45. 30C50, 30C80

Keywords. Analytic function, Univalent functions, Bi-Univalent functions, m-Fold symmetric functions, m-Fold symmetric bi-univalent functions.

### 1 Introduction and definitions

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the following form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad |z| < 1\}.$$

We denote by S the class of all functions in A which are *univalent* in  $\mathbb{U}$  (see, for details, [2, 4]). It is well known that every function  $f \in S$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$ , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
(1.2)

Tbilisi Mathematical Journal 7(2) (2014), pp. 1–10.

Tbilisi Centre for Mathematical Sciences

Received by the editors: 09 June 2014. Accepted for publication: 13 October 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering, Anna University (Chennai), Tindivanam 604001, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \qquad \left( |w| < r_0(f); \ r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right).$$
 (1.3)

In fact, the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  may be analytically continued to  $\mathbb U$  as follows:

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$$
 (1.4)

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *bi-univalent* in  $\mathbb{U}$  if both f and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which are bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and are given by the equation (1.1).

Lewin [8] investigated the class  $\Sigma$  of bi-univalent functions and obtained a bound given by

$$|a_2| \le 1.51.$$

Motivated by the work of Lewin [8], Brannan and Clunie [1] conjectured that

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$$
.

Some examples of bi-univalent functions are given (see also the work of Srivastava et al. [13]):

$$\frac{z}{1-z}$$
,  $\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  and  $-\log(1-z)$ .

Indeed, as pointed out by Srivastava et al. [13], the coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients:

$$|a_n|$$
  $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\})$ 

is still open.

In recent years, the study of bi-univalent functions has gained momentum mainly due to the work of Srivastava et al. [13], which has apparently revived the subject. Motivated by their work [13], many researchers (see, for example, [3, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18]; see also the various closely-related papers on the subject, which are cited in some of these works) have recently investigated several interesting subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Sigma$  and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of functions belonging to these subclasses.

For each function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , the function

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)}$$
  $(z \in \mathbb{U}; m \in \mathbb{N})$ 

is univalent and maps the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  into a region with m-fold symmetry. A function is said to be m-fold symmetric (see [9, 10]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ m \in \mathbb{N}).$$
 (1.5)

We denote by  $S_m$  the class of m-fold symmetric univalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , which are normalized by the series expansion (1.5). In fact, the functions in the class S are one-fold symmetric.

Analogous to the concept of m-fold symmetric univalent functions, we here introduced the concept of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Each function  $f \in \Sigma$  generates an m-fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The normalized form of f is given as in (1.5) and the series expansion for  $f^{-1}$  is given as follows by using Theorem (1):

$$g(w) = w - a_{m+1}w^{m+1} + \left[ (m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1} \right]w^{2m+1}$$

$$- \left[ \frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m+2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1} \right]w^{3m+1} + \cdots, \qquad (1.6)$$

where  $f^{-1} = g$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_m$  the class of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ . For m = 1, the formula (1.6) coincides with the formula (1.4) of the class  $\Sigma$ . Some examples of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$\left(\frac{z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \left[\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left[-\log(1-z^m)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

with the corresponding inverse functions given by

$$\left(\frac{w^m}{1+w^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \left(\frac{e^{2w^m}-1}{e^{2w^m}+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{e^{w^m}-1}{e^{w^m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

respectively.

Finally, we denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the Carathéodary class of functions p(z) of the following form:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots, (1.7)$$

which are analytic in U such that

$$\Re\left\{p(z)\right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{1.8}$$

The objective of the present paper is to introduce an elegant formula for computing the coefficients of the inverse functions for the class  $\Sigma_m$  of m-fold symmetric functions by means of residue calculus. As an application, we introduce two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions in which both f and  $f^{-1}$  are m-fold symmetric analytic functions with their derivative in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  and obtain coefficient bounds for  $|a_{m+1}|$  and  $|a_{2m+1}|$  for functions in each of these new subclasses.

In order to derive our main results, we use the following lemma for the Carathéodary class  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma.** If  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$|c_k| \le 2$$
  $(k \in \mathbb{N}),$ 

where the Carathéodary class P is the family of all functions h, analytic in U, for which

$$\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$
  $(z \in \mathbb{U}),$  (1.9)

the extremal function being given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

## 2 Coefficients of the inverse functions

Coefficients of the inverse  $f^{-1}$  of a given function f are obtained generally by virtue of the relation

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z.$$

However, this technique is much too difficult to apply in the case of m-fold symmetric functions. To overcome this difficulty, we use a general formula [4] to compute the coefficients of  $f^{-1}$  by means of the residue calculus as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let f(z) be in the class S. Then the coefficients  $\gamma_n$  of the inverse function

$$f^{-1}(w) = w + \gamma_2 w^2 + \gamma_3 w^3 + \cdots$$

are given by

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n!} \lim_{z \to 0} \left\{ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^n \right\}.$$
 (2.1)

*Proof.* Let f(z), given by (1.4), be in the class S and suppose that

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$$

is given as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1. Then, from Cauchy's integral formula and by the method described in [7], we have

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{g(w)}{w^{n+1}} \right) dw = \frac{1}{2n\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{[f(z)]^n} \qquad (0 < r < 1), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $C_{\varepsilon}$  denotes a closed positively-oriented circle in the complex w-plane with centre at w=0 and radius  $\varepsilon$  (0 <  $\varepsilon$  < 1). Since the function f(z) is univalent and f(0)=0, the integrand  $\frac{1}{[f(z)]^n}$  has a pole of order n at z=0. Therefore, we have

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n!} \lim_{z \to 0} \left\{ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^n \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

Q.E.D.

which evidently proves Theorem 1.

In view of Theorem 1, the first two coefficients  $\gamma_{m+1}$  and  $\gamma_{2m+1}$  of the m-fold symmetric bi-univalent function f(z) in (1.5) are given by

$$\gamma_{m+1} = \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \lim_{z \to 0} \left\{ \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{m+1} \right\}$$
 (2.4)

and

$$\gamma_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} \lim_{z \to 0} \left\{ \frac{d^{2m}}{dz^{2m}} \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{2m+1} \right\}.$$
 (2.5)

## 3 Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\Sigma,m}$

**Definition 1.** A function f(z), given by (1.5), is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\Sigma,m}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma_m$$
 and  $|\arg\{f'(z)\}| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$   $(z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha \leq 1)$  (3.1)

and

$$\left|\arg\left\{g'(w)\right\}\right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \qquad (w \in \mathbb{U}; \ 0 < \alpha \le 1),$$
 (3.2)

where the function g(w) is given by (1.6).

In this section, we first state and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let the function f(z), given by (1.5), be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma,m}^{\alpha}$   $(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ . Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{(m+1)(\alpha m + m + 1)}} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha \left[ (2m+1)\alpha + m + 1 \right]}{(m+1)(2m+1)}.$$
(3.4)

*Proof.* From (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$f'(z) = [p(z)]^{\alpha} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$g'(w) = \left[q(w)\right]^{\alpha},\tag{3.6}$$

where the functions p(z) and q(w) are in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  and have the following series representations:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_m z^m + p_{2m} z^{2m} + p_{3m} z^{3m} + \cdots$$
(3.7)

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_m w^m + q_{2m} w^{2m} + q_{3m} w^{3m} + \cdots$$
 (3.8)

Now, equating the coefficients in (3.5) and (3.6), we find that

$$(m+1)a_{m+1} = \alpha p_m, \tag{3.9}$$

$$(2m+1)a_{2m+1} = \alpha p_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}p_m^2, \tag{3.10}$$

$$-(m+1)a_{m+1} = \alpha q_m (3.11)$$

and

$$(2m+1)\left[(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}\right] = \alpha q_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}q_m^2.$$
(3.12)

From (3.9) and (3.11), we get

$$p_m = -q_m (3.13)$$

and

$$2(m+1)^2 a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2). \tag{3.14}$$

Also, from (3.10), (3.12) and (3.14), a simple computation shows that

$$(2m+1)(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}(p_m^2 + q_m^2)$$
$$= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\frac{2(m+1)^2}{\alpha^2}a_{m+1}^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{(m+1)(\alpha m + m + 1)}.$$

Applying the Lemma of the preceding section for the coefficients  $p_{2m}$  and  $q_{2m}$ , we obtain

$$|a_{m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{(m+1)(\alpha m + m + 1)}}.$$

This gives the desired estimate for  $|a_{m+1}|$  as asserted in (3.3).

Next, in order to find the bound on  $|a_{2m+1}|$ , by subtracting (3.12) from (3.10), we get

$$2(2m+1)a_{2m+1} - (m+1)(2m+1)a_{m+1}^{2}$$

$$= \alpha(p_{2m} - q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_{m}^{2} - q_{m}^{2}).$$
(3.15)

By a simple computation, and using (3.13) to (3.15), we get

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\alpha^2(p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{4(m+1)} + \frac{\alpha(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(2m+1)}.$$

Thus, by applying the Lemma of Section 1 again for the coefficients  $p_m, p_{2m}, q_m$  and  $q_{2m}$ , we find that

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le \frac{2\alpha \left[ (2m+1)\alpha + m + 1 \right]}{(m+1)(2m+1)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Q.E.D.

## 4 Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma,m}(\beta)$

**Definition 2.** A function f(z), given by (1.5), is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma,m}(\beta)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma_m$$
 and  $\Re\{f'(z)\} > \beta$   $(z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \le \beta < 1)$  (4.1)

and

$$\Re\left\{g'(w)\right\} > \beta \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ 0 \le \beta < 1), \tag{4.2}$$

where the function g is defined by (1.6).

In this section, we state and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let the function f(z), given by (1.5), be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma,m}(\beta)$   $(0 \le \beta < 1)$ . Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \le 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)}{(m+1)(2m+1)}}$$
 (4.3)

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le 2(1-\beta) \left( \frac{(1-\beta)(2m+1)+m+1}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \right).$$
 (4.4)

*Proof.* First of all, the argument inequalities in (4.1) and (4.2) can be written in the following forms:

$$f'(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta)p(z) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$g'(w) = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w),$$
 (4.6)

where the functions p(z) and q(w) are in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  and have the forms given by (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. Now, as in the proof of Theorem 2, by equating the coefficients in (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$(m+1)a_{m+1} = (1-\beta)p_m, (4.7)$$

$$(2m+1)a_{2m+1} = (1-\beta)p_{2m}, (4.8)$$

$$-(m+1)a_{m+1} = (1-\beta)q_m \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$(2m+1)\left[(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}\right] = (1-\beta)q_{2m}. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.7) and (4.9), we get

$$p_m = -q_m \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$2(m+1)^{2}a_{m+1}^{2} = (1-\beta)^{2}(p_{m}^{2} + q_{m}^{2}).$$
(4.12)

Also, from (4.8) and (4.10), we obtain

$$(m+1)(2m+1)a_{m+1}^2 = (1-\beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m}).$$

Thus, clearly, we have

$$|a_{m+1}|^2 \le \frac{(1-\beta)(|p_{2m}|+|q_{2m}|)}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \le \frac{4(1-\beta)}{(m+1)(2m+1)}.$$

This gives the bound on  $|a_{m+1}|$  as asserted in (4.3).

Next, in order to find the bound on  $|a_{2m+1}|$ , by subtracting (4.10) from (4.8), we get

$$2(2m+1)a_{2m+1} - (m+1)(2m+1)a_{m+1}^2 = (1-\beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{(m+1)}{2}a_{m+1}^2 + \frac{(1-\beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(2m+1)}. (4.13)$$

Upon substituting the value of  $a_{m+1}^2$  from (4.12), we get

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{4(m+1)} + \frac{(1-\beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(2m+1)}.$$
 (4.14)

Applying the Lemma of Section 1 for the coefficients  $p_m$ ,  $p_{2m}$ ,  $q_m$  and  $q_{2m}$ , we find that

$$|a_{2m+1}| \le 2(1-\beta) \left( \frac{(1-\beta)(2m+1) + m + 1}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \right) \tag{4.15}$$

which is the bound on  $|a_3|$  as asserted in (4.4).

## 5 Corollaries and consequences

For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 reduce to Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, respectively, which were proven earlier by Srivastava et al. [13].

Corollary 1. (see [13]) Let the function f(z), given by (1.1), be in the class  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\Sigma}$  (0 <  $\alpha \leq 1$ ). Then

$$|a_2| \le \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} \tag{5.1}$$

Q.E.D.

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 2)}{3}.\tag{5.2}$$

Corollary 2. (see [13]) Let the function f(z), given by (1.1), be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$  ( $0 \le \beta < 1$ ). Then

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{3}} \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{(1-\beta)(5-3\beta)}{3}. (5.4)$$

Numerous other (presumably new) corollaries and consequences of our main results can also be deduced.

#### References

- [1] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie (Editors), Aspects of contemporary complex analysis (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Durham; July 1–20, 1979), Academic Press, New York and London, 1980.
- [2] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [3] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1569–1573.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions, Vols. 1 and 2, Mariner Publishing Company, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [5] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of bi-univalent functions for a class defined by fractional derivatives, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **20** (2012), 179–182.
- [6] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, Pan Amer. Math. J. 22
   (4) (2012), 15–26.
- [7] J. G. Krzyż, R. J. Libera and E. J. Złotkiewicz, Coefficients of inverses of regular starlike functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 33 (1979), 103–110.
- [8] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63–68.
- [9] W. Koepf, Coefficients of symmetric functions of bounded boundary rotations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1989), 324–329.
- [10] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 259–269.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava, Some inequalities and other results associated with certain subclasses of univalent and bi-univalent analytic functions, in Nonlinear Analysis: Stability, Approximation, and Inequalities (Panos M. Pardalos, Pando G. Georgiev and Hari M. Srivastava, Editors.), Springer Series on Optimization and Its Applications, Vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 2012, pp. 607–630.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar and N. Yağmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27 (2013), 831–842.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and biunivalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1188–1192.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of biunivalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator, Global J. Math. Anal. 1 (2) (2013), 67–73.
- [15] Q.-H. Xu, H. M. Srivastava and Z. Li, A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 396-401.

- [16] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012), 990–994.
- [17] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao, and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 11461–11465.
- [18] Q.-H. Xu, C.-B. Lv and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for the inverses of a certain general class of spirallike functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (2013), 7000-7011.