Casper Goffman, Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Modification of Functions

 It has been known for more than a century that there are continuous functions whose Fourier series do not converge every where [3], This defect may be removed in several ways. The most important manner of obtaining a convergence result is the one of Fejer  $[6]$ . This consists in showing that the  $(C,1)$  sums of the Fourier series of f converge uniformly to f if f is continuous and periodic of period  $2\pi$ . The main point here is that the Fejer kernels, which are the averages of the Dirichlet kernels, turn out to be positive. The Dirichlet kernels themselves are oscillatory. It might further be mentioned that there are functions in L, whose Fourier series diverge everywhere, whereas for each  $f \in L_1$ , the  $(C,1)$  sums of the Fourier series of f converge almost everywhere.

 We are concerned with two other ways in which the above defect has been removed. The first is the so called Bohr-Pal theorem which says that, for each f, continuous and of period  $2\pi$ , there is a homeomorphism g of  $[-\pi,\pi]$  with itself such that the Fourier series of fog converges uniformly. The standard proof of this result is elegant and uses several facts from complex variables. An outline of the proof is given in £4j. Until very recently no real variable proof was known. The second method is due to Menchov [1] who showed that if f is continuous on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a g such that  $f(x) = g(x)$ ,

85

except on a set of measure less than  $\varepsilon$ , such that the Fourier series of g converges uniformly on  $[-\pi,\pi]$ . By Lusin's theorem, the same fact holds even if f is merely measurable. We make some remarks related to each of these modes of adjusting a function

 In regard to the Bohr-Pal theorem, a study has not been made of other orthonormal systems of functions. As long as the only viable proof of the theorem involves complex methods there is no chance of generalizing the proof to cover other systems. A proof using only real variable methods is welcome but does not necessarily lend itself to generalization. A different sort of extension of the Bohr-Pal theorem also is suggested. Accordingly, it is plausible that if f is regulated there is a homeomorphism g such that fog has an everywhere convergent Fourier series. An inplausible, but interesting, conjecture is that if f is just measurable then there is a homeomorphism g such that fog has everywhere convergent Fourier series. The falseness of this con jecture follows from a fact which has little to do with Fourier series. Observe that if a Fourier series converges everywhere the limit is a Baire one function. Accordingly, in order for the conjecture to have a chance, for every measurable function f, there must be a homeomorphism  $g$ , such that fog is equivalent to a Baire 1 function. This is true for functions which assume only finitely many values but is false for some functions which assume infinitely many values £5]. On examination, these functions are not fully satisfactory. Although measurable, it turns out that, for each function of this sort, there is a homeo morphism g such that fog is not measurable. We say that f

86

is absolutely measurable if fog is measurable for every homeo morphism g. Then, for each absolutely measurable f there is a homeomorphism g such that fog is equivalent to a function of type Baire  $1, [2]$ .

 It follows from this discussion that to show the conjecture false for absolutely measurable functions it would require some difficult analysis.

 Related conjectures occur which may be easier to handle. Since the (C,l) sums of the Fourier series converge almost everywhere for every  $f \in L_1$ , it may be more plausible to conjecture that, for every absolutely measurable f, there is a homeomorphism g such that the (C,1) sums of fog converge everywhere. This suggests a more general problem. Let  $k_h$ , h > 0, be mollifiers. For every absolutely measurable f is there a homeomorphism g such that lim [(f∘g)\*k<sub>h</sub>](x) = (f∘g)(x) for every x? Perhaps more general problem. Let  $\kappa_h$ ,  $n > 0$ ,<br>absolutely measurable f is there a hor<br>lim  $[(f \circ g) * k_h](x) = (f \circ g)(x)$  for ever<br> $h \to 0$ <br>st example is that for which  $k_h(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ suggests a more general problem. Let  $k_h$ ,  $h > 0$ , be mollifiers.<br>For every absolutely measurable f is there a homeomorphism g<br>such that lim  $[(f \circ g) * k_h](x) = (f \circ g)(x)$  for every x? Perhaps<br>the simplest example is that for wh For every absolutely measurable f is there a homeomorphism g<br>such that lim  $[(f \circ g) * k_h](x) = (f \circ g)(x)$  for every x? Perhaps<br>the simplest example is that for which  $k_h(x) = \frac{1}{2h}$ ,  $-h \le x \le h$ ,<br>and  $k_h(x) = 0$  elsewhere? Restricte of measurable sets this gives the following question: For every measurable set S, is there a homeomorphism g such that the symmetric density of  $g(S)$  exists at every point? A related question concerns the right, or left, density.

 We now say a word about the Menchov modification. The result holds for Walsh functions but little more is known. We conjecture that there is a complete orthonormal system for which the Menchov theorem fails, but that if the system is the sequence of characters of a group then the theorem holds.

87

## References

- 1. N. Bary, A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, 1964, Ch. 6.
- 2. A. M. Bruckner, R. 0. Davies, and C. Goffman, Transformations into Baire 1 functions, Proc. A.M.S.  $67(1977)$ ,  $62-66$ .
- 3. C. Goffman and G. Pedrick, A First Course in Functional Analysis, 1965, p. 77.
- 4. C. Goffman and D. Waterman, Some aspects of Fourier series, Amer. Math. Monthly, 77(1970), 119-133.
- 5. William 0. Gorman, Lebesgue equivalence of functions of the first Baire class, Proc. AMS 17(1966), 831-834.
- 6. G. P. Tolstov, Fourier Series, 1962, p. 158.