

involve

a journal of mathematics

Differentiation with respect to parameters of
solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems
for difference equations

Johnny Henderson and Xuewei Jiang



Differentiation with respect to parameters of solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for difference equations

Johnny Henderson and Xuewei Jiang

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

For the n -th order difference equation, $\Delta^n u = f(t, u, \Delta u, \dots, \Delta^{n-1}u, \lambda)$, the solution of the boundary value problem satisfying $\Delta^{i-1}u(t_0) = A_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $u(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j u(\tau_j) = A_n$, where $t_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_0 < \dots < t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m < t_1$, and $a_1, \dots, a_m, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{R}$, is differentiated with respect to the parameter λ .

1. Introduction

With differences defined by $\Delta u(t) = u(t+1) - u(t)$ and $\Delta^i u(t) = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1}u(t))$ for $i > 1$, we will be concerned with solutions of the n -th order difference equation,

$$\Delta^n u = f(t, u, \Delta u, \dots, \Delta^{n-1}u, \lambda), \quad (1-1)$$

satisfying Dirichlet conditions

$$\Delta^{i-1}u(t_0) = A_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (1-2)$$

and nonlocal boundary conditions

$$u(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j u(\tau_j) = A_n, \quad (1-3)$$

where $t_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m < t_1$, $A_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{N} denote, respectively, the integers, the real numbers and the natural numbers. Given $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $S_{\mathbb{Z}} := S \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We assume throughout the paper that for (1-1):

MSC2010: primary 39A10, 34B08; secondary 34B10.

Keywords: difference equation, boundary value problem, nonlocal, differentiation with respect to parameters.

- (A) $f(t, s_1, \dots, s_n, \lambda) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
 (B) $(\partial f / \partial s_i)(t, s_1, \dots, s_n, \lambda) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
 (C) $(\partial f / \partial \lambda)(t, s_1, \dots, s_n, \lambda) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Given a solution $u(t)$ of (1-1), two linear equations playing fundamental roles for our results are the *variational equation along $u(t)$* given by

$$\Delta^n z = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i}(t, u(t), \dots, \Delta^{n-1}u(t), \lambda) \Delta^{i-1} z, \quad (1-4)$$

and the corresponding nonhomogeneous equation along $u(t)$ given by

$$\Delta^n z = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i}(t, u(t), \dots, \Delta^{n-1}u(t), \lambda) \Delta^{i-1} z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(t, u(t), \dots, \Delta^{n-1}u(t), \lambda). \quad (1-5)$$

Our primary motivation arises from results by Henderson, Horn and Howard [Henderson et al. 1994] dealing with differentiation with respect to parameters for solutions of difference equations satisfying multipoint boundary conditions. Study of the relationship between a solution to a differential or difference equation and the associated variational equation can trace its origin to a result that Hartman [1982] attributed to Peano concerning differentiation of solutions of a differential equation with respect to initial conditions. Since then, these results have been extended and refined in various ways including boundary value problems for differential equations and difference equations [Datta 1998; Ehme and Henderson 1992; Henderson and Lee 1991; Spencer 1975]. Datta and Henderson [1992] did research on differentiation of solutions of difference equations with respect to boundary conditions. Benchohra et al. [2007] extended these results to nonlocal boundary value problems for second order difference equations. Also, interest in multipoint and nonlocal boundary value problems has grown significantly [Ashyralyev et al. 2004; Benchohra et al. 2007; Henderson et al. 2008; Lyons 2011]. Hopkins et al. [2009] proved a theorem about boundary data smoothness for solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for second order difference equations. Then, Lyons [2014] generalized those results to n -th order difference equations.

Lyons [2014] has obtained extensive results for solutions of (1-1)–(1-3) when f is independent of λ . Our main results concern differentiation of solutions of (1-1)–(1-3) with respect to the parameter λ . Section 2 is devoted to results for initial value problems. We state theorems concerning solutions of initial value problems for (1-1) and their continuity and differentiability properties with respect to initial values and parameters. Then, in Section 3, we present two uniqueness assumptions and state theorems concerning continuous dependence with respect to both boundary values and parameters. Finally, in Section 4, we provide our result dealing with solutions of (1-1)–(1-3) and their differentiability properties with respect to the parameter λ .

2. Initial value problems

The n -th order difference equation (1-1) along with the conditions

$$\Delta^{i-1}v(\sigma_0) = c_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \tag{2-1}$$

where $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called an initial value problem. For notational purposes, we let $v(t) = v(t, \sigma_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda)$ denote the solution of the initial value problem (1-1), (2-1) on $[\sigma_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Results stated in this section concerning continuous dependence and differentiability of v with respect to initial conditions and parameters can be found in [Datta and Henderson 1992; Henderson and Lee 1991].

Theorem 2.1 (continuous dependence with respect to initial values). *Assume that condition (A) is satisfied. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon, \sigma_0, k, c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda_0) > 0$ such that if $|c_i - d_i| < \delta$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $|\lambda_0 - p_0| < \delta$, then*

$$|\Delta^{i-1}v(t, \sigma_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda_0) - \Delta^{i-1}v(t, \sigma_0, d_1, \dots, d_n, p_0)| < \varepsilon$$

on $[\sigma_0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 2.2 (discrete Peano). *Assume that conditions (A), (B) and (C) are satisfied. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, given $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\alpha_j(t) := \frac{\partial v}{\partial c_j}(t, \sigma_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \lambda_0), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

exists, is the solution of the variational equation (1-4) along $v(t, \sigma_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \lambda_0)$ and satisfies the initial conditions

$$\Delta^{i-1}\alpha_j(\sigma_0) = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Moreover,

$$\beta(t) := \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(t, \sigma_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \lambda_0)$$

exists, is the solution of the nonhomogeneous equation (1-5) along $v(t, \sigma_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \lambda_0)$, and satisfies the initial conditions

$$\Delta^{i-1}\beta(\sigma_0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

3. Boundary value problems

In order to establish a relation between the work in the last section and boundary value problems, we need two uniqueness assumptions.

- (D) Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n < t_1$, and $A_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are solutions of (1-1)–(1-3), then $u_1(t) \equiv u_2(t)$ on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
- (E) For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for each solution $u(t)$ of (1-1), the only solution $\rho(t)$ of the boundary value problem for the variational equation (1-4) along $u(t)$ and satisfying

$$\Delta^{(i-1)}\rho(t_0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$\rho(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \rho(\tau_j) = 0,$$

where $t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m < t_1$, is

$$\rho(t) \equiv 0 \text{ on } [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Theorem 3.1 (continuous dependence with respect to boundary values and parameters). *Assume conditions (A) and (D) are satisfied. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1-1) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ on $[a, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $t_0 < \dots < t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m < t_1$ in $[a, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be given. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $|\Delta^{i-1}y(t_0) - A_i| < \varepsilon$, $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and $|y(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j y(\tau_j) - A_n| < \varepsilon$, and if $|\lambda - \mu| < \varepsilon$, then the boundary value problem for (1-1) with respect to the parameter μ satisfying*

$$\Delta^{i-1}h(t_0) = A_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$h(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j h(\tau_j) = A_n$$

has a unique solution, $h(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \mu)$, on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and moreover,

$$h(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \mu) \rightarrow y(t),$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

4. Main result

Now, we provide our main result concerning differentiation of solutions of (1-1)–(1-3) with respect to the parameter λ .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume conditions (A)–(E) are satisfied. For $t_0 < \dots < t_0 + n - 1 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m < t_1$ in \mathbb{Z} , let $u(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda)$ denote the solution of (1-1)–(1-3) on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then, $\partial u / \partial \lambda$ exists on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and*

$w(t) := (\partial u / \partial \lambda)(t)$ is the solution of the nonhomogeneous linear equation (1-5) along $u(t)$ and satisfies

$$\Delta^{i-1}w(t_0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$w(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j w(\tau_j) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For $0 < |h| < \varepsilon$, we consider the difference quotient

$$w_h(t) := \frac{1}{h} \left(u(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda + h) - u(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda) \right).$$

We show that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w_h(t)$ exists on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For $h \neq 0$, we first observe that, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{i-1}w_h(t_0) &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\Delta^{i-1}u(t_0, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda + h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Delta^{i-1}u(t_0, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (A_i - A_i) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w_h(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j w_h(\tau_j) &= \frac{1}{h} \left(u(t_1, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda + h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j u(\tau_j, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda + h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u(t_1, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j u(\tau_j, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (A_n - A_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we set

$$D := \Delta^{n-1}u(t_0, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_h := \varepsilon_0(h) = \Delta^{n-1}u(t_0, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda + h) - D.$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. With $v(t, t_0, c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda)$ being our notation for solutions of initial value problems (1-1), (2-1) corresponding to λ in (1-1), we

have, by using a telescoping sum,

$$\begin{aligned} w_h(t) &= \frac{1}{h} (v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D+\varepsilon, \lambda+h) - v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D+\varepsilon, \lambda+h) - v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda+h) \\ &\quad + v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda+h) - v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

By [Theorem 2.2](#), $\alpha_n = \partial v / \partial c_n$ and $\beta = \partial v / \partial \lambda$ both exist. So, by the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} w_h(t) &= \frac{1}{h} (\alpha_n(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h))(D + \varepsilon - D) \\ &\quad + \beta(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})(\lambda + h - \lambda))) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{h} \alpha_n(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h)) \\ &\quad + \beta(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h)) &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial c_n}(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h), \\ \beta(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})) &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(t, t_0, A_1, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h}), \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{\varepsilon}$ is between 0 and ε , and \bar{h} is between 0 and h .

To show that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w_h(t)$ exists, it suffices to show that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon/h$ exists. We have the $n-1$ conditions, $\Delta^{i-1} w_h(t_0) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the condition $w_h(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j w_h(\tau_j) = 0$. So, from the last condition,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\varepsilon}{h} \frac{\partial v}{\partial c_n}(t_1, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(t_1, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{h} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \frac{\partial v}{\partial c_n}(t_1, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(t_1, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{h} &= \frac{1}{M_{h, \bar{\varepsilon}}} \left(-\beta(t_1, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \beta(\tau_j, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{h,\bar{\varepsilon}} := \alpha_n(t_1, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h)) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \alpha_n(\tau_j, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D + \bar{\varepsilon}, \lambda + h)).$$

Now, $\Delta^{n-1} \alpha_n(t_0, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) = 1$, so

$$\alpha_n(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) \neq 0.$$

By uniqueness assumption (E),

$$\alpha_n(t_1, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \alpha_n(\tau_j, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) \neq 0.$$

By Theorem 3.1, for h sufficiently small, $M_{h,\bar{\varepsilon}} \neq 0$. So, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon/h$ exists, and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-1}{M_{h,\bar{\varepsilon}}} \left(\beta(t_1, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \beta(\tau_j, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda + \bar{h})) \right) := J.$$

Hence, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w_h(t)$ exists, or in particular, $(\partial u / \partial \lambda)(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w_h(t)$ exists on $[t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &:= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w_h(t) \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(t) \\ &= J \cdot \alpha_n(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) + \beta(t, v(t, t_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, D, \lambda)) \\ &= J \cdot \alpha_n(t, u(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda)) \\ &\quad + \beta(t, u(t, t_0, t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, A_1, \dots, A_n, \lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a solution of (1-5) along $u(t)$, and from above satisfies the boundary conditions,

$$\Delta^{i-1} w(t_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Delta^{i-1} w_h(t_0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

and

$$w(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j w(\tau_j) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(w_h(t_1) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j w_h(\tau_j) \right) = 0. \quad \square$$

References

- [Ashyralyev et al. 2004] A. Ashyralyev, I. Karatay, and P. E. Sobolevskii, “On well-posedness of the nonlocal boundary value problem for parabolic difference equations”, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2004**:2 (2004), 273–286. MR 2006j:39004 Zbl 1077.39015
- [Benchohra et al. 2007] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, “Differentiation and differences for solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for second order difference equations”, *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **2**:1 (2007), 37–47. MR 2008k:39015 Zbl 1177.39003
- [Datta 1998] A. Datta, “Differences with respect to boundary points for right focal boundary conditions”, *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **4**:6 (1998), 571–578. MR 99k:39007 Zbl 0921.39003
- [Datta and Henderson 1992] A. Datta and J. Henderson, “Differentiation of solutions of difference equations with respect to right focal boundary values”, *Panamer. Math. J.* **2**:1 (1992), 1–16. MR 93a:39002 Zbl 0746.39002
- [Ehme and Henderson 1992] J. Ehme and J. Henderson, “Differentiation of solutions of boundary value problems with respect to boundary conditions”, *Appl. Anal.* **46**:3–4 (1992), 175–194. MR 93g:34028 Zbl 0808.34018
- [Hartman 1982] P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, 2nd (aka corrected reprint) ed., S. M. Hartman, Baltimore, 1982. Reprinted Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982 and SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002. MR 49 #9294 Zbl 281.34001
- [Henderson and Lee 1991] J. Henderson and L. Lee, “Continuous dependence and differentiation of solutions of finite difference equations”, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **14**:4 (1991), 747–756. MR 92f:39008 Zbl 0762.39004
- [Henderson et al. 1994] J. Henderson, M. Horn, and L. Howard, “Differentiation of solutions of difference equations with respect to boundary values and parameters”, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **1**:2 (1994), 47–60. MR 95g:39005 Zbl 0856.39002
- [Henderson et al. 2008] J. Henderson, B. Hopkins, E. Kim, and J. W. Lyons, “Boundary data smoothness for solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for n -th order differential equations”, *Involve* **1**:2 (2008), 167–181. MR 2009d:34010 Zbl 1151.34016
- [Hopkins et al. 2009] B. Hopkins, E. Kim, J. W. Lyons, and K. Speer, “Boundary data smoothness for solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for second order difference equations”, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **16**:2 (2009), 1–12. MR 2526876 Zbl 1188.39006
- [Lyons 2011] J. W. Lyons, “Differentiation of solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems with respect to boundary data”, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* (2011), Article ID #51. MR 2012i:34024
- [Lyons 2014] J. W. Lyons, “Disconjugacy, differences and differentiation for solutions of non-local boundary value problems for n th order difference equations”, *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **20**:2 (2014), 296–311. MR 3173548 Zbl 06259244
- [Spencer 1975] J. D. Spencer, “Relations between boundary value functions for a nonlinear differential equation and its variational equations”, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **18**:2 (1975), 269–276. MR 53 #3402 Zbl 0321.34014

Received: 2014-05-12

Revised: 2014-05-21

Accepted: 2014-05-31

johnny_henderson@baylor.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Baylor University,
One Bear Place #97328, Waco, TX 76798, United States*

xuewei_jiang@baylor.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Baylor University,
One Bear Place #97328, Waco, TX 76798, United States*

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tbriell@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jpgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nhritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 4

The Δ^2 conjecture holds for graphs of small order	541
COLE FRANKS	
Linear symplectomorphisms as R -Lagrangian subspaces	551
CHRIS HELLMANN, BRENNAN LANGENBACH AND MICHAEL VANVALKENBURGH	
Maximization of the size of monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle corresponding to the measures in the Steklov class	571
JOHN HOFFMAN, MCKINLEY MEYER, MARIYA SARDARLI AND ALEX SHERMAN	
A type of multiple integral with log-gamma function	593
DUOKUI YAN, RONGCHANG LIU AND GENG-ZHE CHANG	
Knight's tours on boards with odd dimensions	615
BAOYUE BI, STEVE BUTLER, STEPHANIE DEGRAAF AND ELIZABETH DOEBEL	
Differentiation with respect to parameters of solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for difference equations	629
JOHNNY HENDERSON AND XUEWEI JIANG	
Outer billiards and tilings of the hyperbolic plane	637
FILIZ DOGRU, EMILY M. FISCHER AND CRISTIAN MIHAI MUNTEANU	
Sophie Germain primes and involutions of \mathbb{Z}_n^\times	653
KARENNA GENZLINGER AND KEIR LOCKRIDGE	
On symplectic capacities of toric domains	665
MICHAEL LANDRY, MATTHEW MCMILLAN AND EMMANUEL TSUKERMAN	
When the catenary degree agrees with the tame degree in numerical semigroups of embedding dimension three	677
PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND CATERINA VIOLA	
Cylindrical liquid bridges	695
LAMONT COLTER AND RAY TREINEN	
Some projective distance inequalities for simplices in complex projective space	707
MARK FINCHER, HEATHER OLNEY AND WILLIAM CHERRY	