

involve

a journal of mathematics

The Δ^2 conjecture holds for graphs of small order

Cole Franks



The Δ^2 conjecture holds for graphs of small order

Cole Franks

(Communicated by Ronald Gould)

An $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of a simple graph G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that if $xy \in E(G)$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$, and if the distance between x and y is two, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 1$. $L(2, 1)$ -labelings are motivated by radio channel assignment problems. Denote by $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ the smallest integer such that there exists an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G using the integers $\{0, \dots, \lambda_{2,1}(G)\}$. We prove that $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2$, where $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, if the order of G is no greater than $(\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)(\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1) - 1$. This shows that for graphs no larger than the given order, the 1992 “ Δ^2 conjecture” of Griggs and Yeh holds. In fact, we prove more generally that if $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$, $\Delta \geq 1$, and

$$|V(G)| \leq (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1,$$

then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq L - 1$. In addition, we exhibit an infinite family of graphs with $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$.

1. Introduction

The *channel assignment problem* is the determination of assignments of channels (integers) to stations in such a way that those stations close enough to interfere receive distant enough channels. Hale [1980] formulated the problem in terms of T -colorings, which are integer colorings in which adjacent vertices' colors cannot differ by a member of a set of integers T with $\{0\} \subset T$. Roberts [1988] proposed a generalization in which closer transmitters would be required to have channels that differed by more than those of the slightly more distant transmitters, adding a condition for nonadjacent vertices as well. The $L(2, 1)$ -labeling problem was first studied by Griggs and Yeh [1992] in response to Roberts' proposal. An $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of a graph G is an integer labeling of G in which two vertices at distance one from each other must have labels differing by at least 2, and those at distance two must differ by at least 1. Denote by $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ the smallest number such that there exists an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G with the difference $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ between the highest and

MSC2010: 97K30.

Keywords: $L(2,1)$ -labeling, graph labeling, channel assignment.

lowest label. If there is no possibility for confusion, $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ is sometimes written $\lambda_{2,1}$. The $L(2, 1)$ -labeling problem has been studied extensively with the central goal of finding bounds on $\lambda_{2,1}$. Griggs and Yeh bounded the $\lambda_{2,1}$ number for cycles, paths, trees, and the n -cube. They also proved the bound $\lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta(G)^2 + 2\Delta(G)$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree over the set of degrees of vertices in $V(G)$. In this paper, we will write Δ when the meaning is clear from context. Chang and Kuo [1996] improved the bound to $\Delta^2 + \Delta$, and by modifying their algorithm, Gonçalves [2007] reduced the bound to $\Delta^2 + \Delta - 2$. Bounds on the $\lambda_{2,1}$ number have been found for many subclasses of graphs, such as Sakai's bound [1991] of $(\Delta + 3)^2/4$ for *chordal* graphs — graphs containing no induced cycle of length four. All examples tested have corroborated the conjecture Griggs and Yeh made in their 1992 paper:

Δ^2 **conjecture.** If $\Delta(G) \geq 2$, then $\lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2$.

However, the conjecture remains unproven, and it is difficult to test the bound for graphs of any significant size. The largest step towards the proof of the conjecture was made by Havet, Reed, and Sereni [2012] who proved that the conjecture holds for all graphs with Δ larger than some Δ_0 , but $\Delta_0 \approx 10^{69}$. Consequently, $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 + C$ for some absolute constant C . The upper bound set by the conjecture, if proven, would be tight — the Moore graphs are known to satisfy $\lambda_{2,1} = \Delta^2$ [Griggs and Yeh 1992].

2. Preliminaries

The proof of [Theorem 3](#) involves a classic result of Pósa about the existence of Hamilton cycles and paths in graphs of high degree (see [Kronk 1969]). In this respect, our argument has a similar flavor to the proof in [Griggs and Yeh 1992] that $\lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2$ for graphs of order less than $\Delta^2 + 1$. In addition, we will use the powerful result of Szemerédi and Hajnal [1970] on equitable colorings.

Theorem (Pósa). *Let G have $n \geq 3$ vertices. If for every k , $1 \leq k \leq (n - 1)/2$ and $|\{v : d(v) \leq k\}| < k$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Corollary 1. *Let G have $n \geq 2$ vertices. If for every k , $0 \leq k \leq (n - 2)/2$ and $|\{v : d(v) \leq k\}| \leq k$, then G has a Hamilton path.*

Proof. The corollary follows easily by adding a dominating vertex to G and observing that by Pósa's theorem the new graph is Hamiltonian. \square

Theorem (Szemerédi, Hajnal). *If $\Delta(G) \leq r$, then G can be equitably colored with $r + 1$ colors; that is, the sizes of the color classes differ by at most one.*

See also [Kierstead et al. 2010; Kierstead and Kostochka 2008].

3. Main result

The following lemma is the key ingredient in the proof of the main result. The lemma requires a concept which we will call the square color graph. Let G be a graph. Let C_0, \dots, C_{l-1} be the color classes of a proper coloring C of G^2 with l colors, where G^2 is the graph with $V(G^2) = V(G)$ and $E(G^2) = \{xy \mid d(x, y) \leq 2\}$. The *square color graph* of C , denoted \mathcal{G} , is the graph with

$$V(\mathcal{G}) = \{C_0, \dots, C_{l-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\mathcal{G}) = \{C_i C_j \mid G[C_i \cup C_j] \text{ contains an edge of } G\}.$$

Here $G[C_i \cup C_j]$ denotes the induced subgraph formed by the vertices in $C_i \cup C_j$.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a graph, and let C be a proper coloring of G^2 with l colors. If the complement \mathcal{G}^c of the square color graph of C has a Hamilton path, then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq l - 1$.*

Proof. By assumption, \mathcal{G}^c has a Hamiltonian path $P = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}\}$. Recall that the vertices of P are color classes partitioning G . Let $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined as $f : v \mapsto i$, where i is the unique index such that $v \in p_i$. We now check that f is an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G . If $d(x, y) = 2$, then x and y are given two different labels because C is a coloring of G^2 . If $d(x, y) = 1$, then x and y are in two distinct color classes p_i and p_j such that $p_i p_j \in E(\mathcal{G})$. Then $p_i p_j \notin E(\mathcal{G}^c)$, so $i \neq j \pm 1$ because otherwise $p_i p_j \in E(P)$. Therefore $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$, and f is an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling for G . \square

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$, and let L be an integer with $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq L - 1$ if*

$$|V(G)| \leq (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1.$$

Before the proof of [Theorem 3](#), we will discuss two corollaries that have implications for the Δ^2 conjecture.

Corollary 4. *Let G be a graph of with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2$ if*

$$|V(G)| \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) (\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1) - 1.$$

Proof. Using [Theorem 3](#) with $L = \Delta^2 + 1$ gives the desired result. \square

[Corollary 4](#) significantly expands the known orders of graphs that satisfy the Δ^2 conjecture; it does so more dramatically as $\Delta(G)$ increases. For $\Delta(G) = 3$, $|V(G)| \leq 13$ suffices as opposed to the previously known $|V(G)| \leq 10$ [[Griggs and Yeh 1992](#)]. For $\Delta(G) = 4$, we have $|V(G)| \leq 38$ as opposed to $|V(G)| \leq 17$ [[loc. cit.](#)]. If G is the Hoffman–Singleton graph, then $\Delta(G) = 7$, $|V(G)| = 50 = \Delta^2 + 1$, and, in fact, $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = 49 = \Delta^2$ [[loc. cit.](#)]. It might seem productive to look

among minor variations of the Hoffman–Singleton graph for counterexamples to the Δ^2 conjecture, but [Corollary 4](#) suggests otherwise—the conjecture holds if $\Delta(G) = 7$ and $|V(G)| \leq 169$. The bounds on $|V(G)|$ established in [Corollary 4](#) grow quickly with Δ , as they are cubic in Δ rather than quadratic as in [[loc. cit.](#)].

For some $|V(G)|$, we can also use [Theorem 3](#) to find upper bounds on $\lambda_{2,1}(G)$ that are stronger than the best known bound of Gonçalves [[loc. cit.](#)]. The bound on $|V(G)|$ in the following corollary is larger than the bound in [Theorem 3](#).

Corollary 5. *Let G be a graph with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 3$. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(G) < \Delta^2 + \Delta - 2$ if*

$$|V(G)| \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) (\Delta^2 - 2) - 1.$$

Proof. Apply [Theorem 3](#) with $L = \Delta^2 + \Delta - 2$. This gives

$$|V(G)| \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) (\Delta^2 - 2) - 1.$$

Since we have assumed $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $0 \leq 1/2 - 3/(2\Delta) < 1/2$, so

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad \square$$

We now proceed to the proof of [Theorem 3](#).

Proof. Let L be as in [Theorem 3](#). We will show that for any integers q, r with $q \geq 0, 0 \leq r \leq L - 1$, and

$$Lq + r \leq M = (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1,$$

if $|V(G)| = Lq + r$ and $\Delta(G) = \Delta$, then G has an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling with span at most $L - 1$. This is sufficient to prove [Theorem 3](#), as for any integer n , there exist unique integers $q \geq 0$ and $r \in \{0, \dots, L - 1\}$ with $Lq + r = n$. Suppose $|V(G)| = Lq + r$. Recall that $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1 \geq \Delta(G^2) + 1$. By the Szemerédi–Hajnal theorem, G^2 has an equitable coloring C with L color classes. For convenience, we will use all L color classes even if several are empty. This means $L - r$ classes have q vertices and r classes have $q + 1$ vertices. Our goal is to prove that the complement of the square color graph of C , or \mathcal{G}^c , has a Hamiltonian path. Note that $d_{\mathcal{G}}(V) \leq \Delta|V|$ for all $V \in V(\mathcal{G})$. Write the degree of V in \mathcal{G}^c as $d_c(V)$.

If $q \leq \lfloor (L - 1)/2\Delta \rfloor - 1$, then

$$\Delta(q + 1) \leq \Delta \left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

so that $\delta(\mathcal{G}^c) \geq L - 1 - \lfloor (L - 1)/2 \rfloor \geq (L - 1)/2$, and the conditions of [Corollary 1](#) are satisfied. Therefore \mathcal{G}^c has a Hamiltonian path.

Otherwise, $q = \lfloor (L - 1)/2\Delta \rfloor$ and

$$r \leq L - 1 - \Delta \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \leq L - 1$$

because otherwise $Lq + r > M$.

Now suppose k is an integer with $0 \leq k \leq (L - 2)/2$ as in [Corollary 1](#). If $d_c(V) \leq k$, then

$$\frac{L-2}{2} \geq L - 1 - d_G(V) \geq L - 1 - \Delta|V|,$$

so that $|V| \geq (1/\Delta)(L - 1 - (L - 2)/2) = (L - 1)/2\Delta + 1/2\Delta > q$. Therefore $|V| = q + 1$, so we know there are at most r vertices with $d_c(V) \leq k$. For any such vertex V ,

$$d_c(V) \geq L - 1 - (q + 1)\Delta = L - 1 - \Delta \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \geq r \geq 0.$$

Now the conditions of [Corollary 1](#) are satisfied, so \mathcal{G}^c still has a Hamiltonian path. From [Lemma 2](#), \mathcal{G}^c having a Hamiltonian path implies that $\lambda_{2,1}G \leq L - 1$. As

$$Lq + L - 1 - \Delta \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) = (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1 = M,$$

this argument works for any $|V(G)| \leq M$. □

Corollary 6. *Let G be a graph of order n with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$, and let L be an integer with $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$. If*

$$n \leq (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1,$$

then there is an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G with a span at most $L - 1$ that is equitable. If $n \geq L$, the labeling is no-hole.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the proof of [Theorem 3](#). □

The next corollary concerns algorithms involved in finding these labelings. In general, determining if $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq k$ for positive integers $k \geq 4$ is NP-complete [[Fiala et al. 2001](#)].

Corollary 7. *Let G be a graph of order n with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 1$ and $L \geq \Delta^2 + 1$. There is an algorithm with polynomial running time in n to compute an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G with span at most $L - 1$ for all n and L such that*

$$n \leq (L - \Delta) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L-1}{2\Delta} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - 1.$$

Proof. If $L \geq 2n + 1$, the appropriate labeling can be obtained by labeling the vertices $0, 2, \dots, 2n$ in any order [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. This can clearly be done in polynomial time. Otherwise, in [Kierstead et al. 2010] there is shown to be an algorithm, polynomial in n , to equitably color G^2 with L colors. Degree sequences satisfying the conditions of Pósa's theorem also satisfy those of Chvátal's theorem [Bondy and Chvátal 1976], and the paper's authors exhibit an algorithm, polynomial in p , to find Hamilton cycles in graphs of order p which satisfy the conditions of Chvátal's theorem. From the proofs of Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, we see that to find the labeling, it is enough find a Hamilton cycle in a certain graph, namely \mathcal{G}^c with a dominating vertex added, of order $L + 1 \leq 2n + 2$ that satisfies the conditions of Pósa's theorem. From [Bondy and Chvátal 1976], we can do this with an algorithm that is polynomial in $L + 1$, which must also be polynomial in n . These two algorithms in succession yield the desired algorithm. \square

4. Comments on diameter-2 graphs

It was previously known that diameter-2 graphs satisfy the Δ^2 conjecture, and for other than a few exceptional graphs, $\Delta^2 - 1$ suffices to label diameter-2 graphs [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. In this section, we knock this bound down by one, showing that $\Delta^2 - 2$ suffices to label all but a finite handful of diameter-2 graphs.

Theorem 8 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. *The Δ^2 conjecture holds for diameter-2 graphs. In addition, $\lambda_{2,1} \leq \Delta^2 - 1$ for diameter-2 graphs with $\Delta \geq 2$ except for C_3, C_4 , and the Moore graphs. For these exceptional graphs, $\lambda_{2,1} = \Delta^2$.*

The proof of these facts rely on Brooks' theorem and several results from Griggs and Yeh:

Theorem 9 (Brooks [Lovász 1975]). *If G is an odd cycle or a complete graph, $\chi(G) \leq \Delta + 1$; otherwise, $\chi(G) \leq \Delta$.*

Lemma 10 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq |V(G)| + \chi(G) - 2$.

Lemma 11 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. *There exists an injective $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of a graph G with span $|V(G)| - 1$ if and only if the complement of G has a Hamilton path.*

Theorem 12 [Griggs and Yeh 1992]. *Let C_n be a cycle on n vertices. Then $\lambda_{2,1}(C_n) = 4$.*

We now proceed to prove Theorem 8.

Proof. If $\Delta = 2$, one can verify the theorem readily using Theorem 12. Suppose $\Delta \geq 3$. We now split into cases.

In the first case, suppose $\Delta \geq (|V(G)|)/2$. Lemma 10 implies

$$\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq 2\Delta + \chi(G) - 2.$$

If G is a complete graph, then clearly $\lambda_{2,1}(G) = 2\Delta(G)$. As $\Delta \geq 3$, G is not an odd cycle. Otherwise, $2\Delta + \chi(G) - 2 \leq 3\Delta - 2$ by Brooks' theorem. Note that in both cases, $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ implies that $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 - 2$.

In the second case, suppose $\Delta \leq (|V(G)| - 1)/2$. Then $\delta(G^c) \geq (|V(G)| - 1)/2$. Also, we have assumed G has $\Delta \geq 3$, so $|V(G)| \geq 7$. By [Corollary 1](#), G^c has a Hamilton path. By [Lemma 11](#), there is an $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of G with span $|V(G)| - 1$. As the Moore graphs are the only diameter-2 graphs with $|V(G)| = \Delta^2 + 1$, [Theorem 8](#) holds. \square

In fact, we can do better by the following result:

Theorem 13 [[Erdős et al. 1980](#)]. *Except C_4 , there is no diameter-2 graph of order Δ^2 .*

This and the proof of [Theorem 8](#) imply the following theorem.

Theorem 14. *With the exception of C_3, C_4, C_5 , and the Moore graphs, any diameter-2 graph with $\Delta(G) \geq 2$ has $\lambda_{2,1}(G) \leq \Delta^2 - 2$.*

We also have some comments on a special family of diameter-2 graphs that have large $\lambda_{2,1}$ number. In order to do this, we must define the points of the *Galois plane*, denoted $PG_2(n)$. Let F be a finite field of order n . Let $P = F^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Define an equivalence relation \equiv on P by $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (y_1, y_2, y_3) \iff (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (cy_1, cy_2, cy_3)$ for some $c \in F$. The *points* of $PG_2(n)$ are the equivalence classes.

Definition 15. The *polarity graph* of $PG_2(n)$, denoted H , is the graph with the points of $PG_2(n)$ as vertices and with two vertices (x_1, x_2, x_3) and (y_1, y_2, y_3) adjacent if and only if $y_1x_1 + y_2x_2 + y_3x_3 = 0$.

By the properties of $PG_2(n)$, we know that the diameter of H is two, $\Delta(H) = n + 1$, and its order is $n^2 + n + 1 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$ [[Kárteszi 1976](#)]. This implies that $\lambda_{2,1}(H) \geq \Delta^2 - \Delta$. In fact, Yeh showed that $\lambda_{2,1}(H) = \Delta^2 - \Delta$ [[Griggs and Yeh 1992](#)]. This is an infinite family of graphs, as finite fields exist for $n = p^k$ with p prime.

However, we can improve this by one. This construction follows that of Erdős, Fajtlowicz and Hoffman [[Erdős et al. 1980](#)]. A vertex (x, y, z) in H has degree n if and only if the norm $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is equal to 0. Suppose F has characteristic 2 and the order of F is n . If (a, b, c) is in H then it is adjacent to the point $(b+c, a+c, a+b)$, which has norm equal to 0 and is also in H . In other words, every vertex in H is adjacent to a vertex of degree n . We proceed to find the number of points of degree n in H . Since F has characteristic 2, $f(x) = x^2$ is injective and hence surjective on F . This means we can choose x^2 and y^2 freely as long as one of them is nonzero, and then z^2 is determined. We must also eliminate proportional pairs, so in total this leaves $(n^2 - 1)/(n - 1) = n + 1$ vertices of degree n .

Now we can make an $(n + 1)$ -regular, diameter-2 graph $\tilde{H}(n)$ by adding a vertex that is adjacent to all vertices of degree n . This graph is of order $n^2 + n + 2 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 2$.

Theorem 16. *The graph $\tilde{H}(n)$ has $\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$.*

Proof. Because \tilde{H} has diameter 2, $\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) \geq \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$. As $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $\Delta \leq (\Delta^2 - \Delta + 1)/2 = (|V(H)| - 1)/2$. By the proof of [Theorem 8](#), $\lambda_{2,1}(\tilde{H}) \leq |V(G)| - 1 = \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$. \square

Since $\tilde{H}(n)$ exists for all $n = 2^k$, this is an infinite family of graphs.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank J. R. Griggs for helpful suggestions and interesting discussions, as well as J.-S. Sereni for his astute observations which helped resolve an error. The author also would like to thank the University of South Carolina for its support.

References

- [Bondy and Chvátal 1976] J. A. Bondy and V. Chvátal, “A method in graph theory”, *Discrete Math.* **15**:2 (1976), 111–135. [MR 54 #2531](#)
- [Chang and Kuo 1996] G. J. Chang and D. Kuo, “The $L(2, 1)$ -labeling problem on graphs”, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **9**:2 (1996), 309–316. [MR 97b:05132](#)
- [Erdős et al. 1980] P. Erdős, S. Fajtlowicz, and A. J. Hoffman, “Maximum degree in graphs of diameter 2”, *Networks* **10**:1 (1980), 87–90. [MR 81b:05061](#) [Zbl 0427.05042](#)
- [Fiala et al. 2001] J. Fiala, T. Kloks, and J. Kratochvíl, “Fixed-parameter complexity of λ -labelings”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **113**:1 (2001), 59–72. [MR 2002h:68075](#)
- [Gonçalves 2007] D. Gonçalves, “On the $L(p, 1)$ -labelling of graphs”, pp. 81–86 in *EUROCOMB '05: combinatorics, graph theory and applications* (Berlin, 2005), vol. 5, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [Griggs and Yeh 1992] J. R. Griggs and R. K. Yeh, “Labelling graphs with a condition at distance 2”, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **5**:4 (1992), 586–595. [MR 93h:05141](#)
- [Hajnal and Szemerédi 1970] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, “Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős”, pp. 601–623 in *Combinatorial theory and its applications, II* (Balatonfüred, 1969), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970. [MR 45 #6661](#)
- [Hale 1980] W. Hale, “Frequency assignment: Theory and applications”, pp. 1497–1514 in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 68, IEEE, 1980.
- [Havet et al. 2012] F. Havet, B. Reed, and J.-S. Sereni, “Griggs and Yeh’s conjecture and $L(p, 1)$ -labelings”, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **26**:1 (2012), 145–168. [MR 2902638](#)
- [Kártész 1976] F. Kártész, *Introduction to finite geometries*, Texts in Advanced Mathematics **2**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976. [MR 54 #11156](#) [Zbl 0325.50001](#)
- [Kierstead and Kostochka 2008] H. A. Kierstead and A. V. Kostochka, “A short proof of the Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem on equitable colouring”, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **17**:2 (2008), 265–270. [MR 2009a:05071](#)

- [Kierstead et al. 2010] H. A. Kierstead, A. V. Kostochka, M. Mydlarz, and E. Szemerédi, “A fast algorithm for equitable coloring”, *Combinatorica* **30**:2 (2010), 217–224. [MR 2011h:05097](#)
- [Kronk 1969] H. V. Kronk, “Variations on a theorem of Pósa”, pp. 193–197 in *The many facets of graph theory*, edited by G. Chartrand and S. F. Kapoor, Lecture Notes in Math. **110**, Springer, Berlin, 1969. [MR 41 #99](#)
- [Lovász 1975] L. Lovász, “Three short proofs in graph theory”, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* **19**:3 (1975), 269–271. [MR 53 #211](#) [Zbl 0322.05142](#)
- [Roberts 1988] F. S. Roberts, 1988. private communication to J. R. Griggs.
- [Sakai 1991] D. Sakai, 1991. private communication to J. R. Griggs.

Received: 2013-02-15

Revised: 2013-04-15

Accepted: 2013-10-02

franks@math.rutgers.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University,
Hill Center - Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Road,
Piscataway, NJ 08854, United States*

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tbriell@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jpgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Pomomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nhritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 4

The Δ^2 conjecture holds for graphs of small order	541
COLE FRANKS	
Linear symplectomorphisms as R -Lagrangian subspaces	551
CHRIS HELLMANN, BRENNAN LANGENBACH AND MICHAEL VANVALKENBURGH	
Maximization of the size of monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle corresponding to the measures in the Steklov class	571
JOHN HOFFMAN, MCKINLEY MEYER, MARIYA SARDARLI AND ALEX SHERMAN	
A type of multiple integral with log-gamma function	593
DUOKUI YAN, RONGCHANG LIU AND GENG-ZHE CHANG	
Knight's tours on boards with odd dimensions	615
BAOYUE BI, STEVE BUTLER, STEPHANIE DEGRAAF AND ELIZABETH DOEBEL	
Differentiation with respect to parameters of solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for difference equations	629
JOHNNY HENDERSON AND XUEWEI JIANG	
Outer billiards and tilings of the hyperbolic plane	637
FILIZ DOGRU, EMILY M. FISCHER AND CRISTIAN MIHAI MUNTEANU	
Sophie Germain primes and involutions of \mathbb{Z}_n^\times	653
KARENNA GENZLINGER AND KEIR LOCKRIDGE	
On symplectic capacities of toric domains	665
MICHAEL LANDRY, MATTHEW MCMILLAN AND EMMANUEL TSUKERMAN	
When the catenary degree agrees with the tame degree in numerical semigroups of embedding dimension three	677
PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND CATERINA VIOLA	
Cylindrical liquid bridges	695
LAMONT COLTER AND RAY TREINEN	
Some projective distance inequalities for simplices in complex projective space	707
MARK FINCHER, HEATHER OLNEY AND WILLIAM CHERRY	