

involve

a journal of mathematics

The sharp log-Sobolev inequality
on a compact interval

Whan Ghang, Zane Martin and Steven Waruhiu



The sharp log-Sobolev inequality on a compact interval

Whan Ghang, Zane Martin and Steven Waruhiu

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We provide a proof of the sharp log-Sobolev inequality on a compact interval.

1. Introduction

The Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality, due to A. J. Stam [1959, Equation 2.3] or Paul Federbush [1969, Equation (14)], although often attributed to L. Gross [1975, Corollary 4.2], played a crucial role in Perelman’s proof [2002] of the Poincaré conjecture. We consider log-Sobolev inequalities for finite Lebesgue measure. F. Maggi [Morgan 2009] observed that the sharp log-Sobolev inequality on the interval follows from an isoperimetric conjecture of Díaz et al. [2012], which remains open, but provided no proof. We found it in [Wang 1999], which cited Deuschel and Stroock [1990], who gave a proof of the sharp log-Sobolev inequality on the circle. We then traced this result back to [Émery and Yukich 1987, page 1; Rothaus 1980, Theorem 4.3; Weissler 1980, Theorem 1]. Our **Theorem 2.2** shows that the interval case follows quickly from the circle case.

2. Log-Sobolev inequality on a compact interval

In considering the isoperimetric problem in sectors of the plane with density r^p , Díaz et al. [2012, Corollary 4.24, Conjecture 4.18] conjectured the inequality

$$\left[\int_0^1 r^q d\alpha \right]^{1/q} \leq \int_0^1 \sqrt{r^2 + (q-1) \frac{r'^2}{\pi^2}} d\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where $1 < q \leq 2$. F. Maggi [Morgan 2009] observed that (1) implies the log-Sobolev inequality of **Theorem 2.2**. Here we observe that **Theorem 2.2** follows from a proposition of Weissler.

MSC2010: primary 46; secondary 53.

Keywords: isoperimetric inequality, log-Sobolev inequality.

Proposition 2.1 [Weissler 1980, Theorem 1]. *Let f be a nonnegative C^1 function on the circle S^1 of length 1. Suppose $\int_{S^1} f^2 = 1$. Then we have the sharp inequality*

$$4\pi^2 \int_{S^1} f^2 \log f \leq \int_{S^1} f'^2.$$

Various proofs are discussed in [Section 3](#).

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be a nonnegative C^1 function on the interval $[0, 1]$. Suppose $\int_0^1 f^2 = 1$. Then we have the inequality*

$$\pi^2 \int_0^1 f^2 \log f \leq \int_0^1 f'^2. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let f be any nonnegative C^1 function on $[0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 f^2 = 1$. Define a nonnegative piecewise C^1 function g on S^1 such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(2x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ f(2 - 2x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\int_{S^1} g^2 = 1$. By smoothing, [Proposition 2.1](#) applies to g . By simple computation, we have that

$$\int_{S^1} g^2 \log g = \int_0^1 f^2 \log f \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^1} g'^2 = 4 \int_0^1 f'^2.$$

The conclusion follows. □

Remark 2.3. Feng-Yu Wang [1999, Example 1.2] suggested an alternative proof of (2), but we don't understand his proof. He considered densities $C_\epsilon \exp(\epsilon \cos \pi x)$ and functions $f_\epsilon = \exp(-\epsilon \cos \pi x)$, with C_ϵ chosen to make the integral of f_ϵ^2 equal to 1. Then f_ϵ satisfies the differential equation

$$f_\epsilon'' - \pi \epsilon \sin \pi x f_\epsilon' = -\pi^2 f_\epsilon \log f_\epsilon. \quad (3)$$

He said that it follows that (2) holds for those functions and densities with sharp constant π^2 . This might follow if it were known that functions realizing equality exist, but Wang himself [1999, page 655] admits that “the author is not sure yet whether there always exists [such a function].” Indeed, in the case of the circle with unit density, there apparently is no such function. Of course, the sharp inequality for density 1 would follow as ϵ approaches 0.

A similar result holds on the interval $[a, b]$ for a function with root mean square m .

Corollary 2.4. *Let f be a nonnegative C^1 function on the interval $[a, b]$. Suppose*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^2 = m^2, \quad m > 0.$$

Then we have the inequality

$$\frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2} \left(\int_a^b f^2 \log f - (b-a)m^2 \log m \right) \leq \int_a^b f'^2. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative C^1 function on the interval $[a, b]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^2 = m^2 > 0, \quad m > 0.$$

Define a function g on the interval $[0, 1]$ as

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{m} f((b-a)x + a).$$

Then g is nonnegative and C^1 . Moreover, we have

$$\int_0^1 g(x)^2 dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m^2} f((b-a)x + a)^2 dx = \frac{1}{(b-a)m^2} \int_0^a f(y)^2 dy = 1.$$

Therefore, we can apply [Theorem 2.2](#) to the function g . We have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 g^2 \log g \leq (b-a) \int_0^1 g'^2. \quad (5)$$

Note that

$$g'(x) = \frac{b-a}{m} f((b-a)x + a).$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\int_0^1 g'(x)^2 dx = \frac{(b-a)^2}{m^2} \int_0^1 f'((b-a)x + a)^2 dx = \frac{(b-a)^2}{m^2} \int_a^b f'(x)^2 dx.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g(x)^2 \log g(x) dx &= \frac{1}{m^2} \int_0^1 f((b-a)x + a)^2 \log \frac{f((b-a)x + a)}{m} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(b-a)m^2} \int_a^b f(x)^2 \log \frac{f(x)}{m} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(b-a)m^2} \int_a^b f^2 (\log f - \log m) \\ &= \frac{1}{(b-a)m^2} \left(\int_a^b f^2 \log f - (b-a)m^2 \log m \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by plugging these identities into (5), we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)m^2} \left(\int_a^b f^2 \log f - (b-a)m^2 \log m \right) \leq \frac{b-a}{m^2} \int_a^b f'^2.$$

This is equivalent to the desired inequality (4). \square

Corollary 2.4 can be written in the following form.

Corollary 2.5. *Let f be a nonnegative C^1 function on the interval $[a, b]$. Suppose*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f = m > 0.$$

Then we have the inequality

$$\frac{2\pi^2}{(b-a)^2} \left(\int_a^b f \log f - m \log m \right) \leq \int_a^b \frac{f'^2}{f}.$$

Proof. Define a nonnegative piecewise C^1 function g on the interval $[a, b]$ as $g = \sqrt{f}$. Plugging g into Corollary 2.4 yields the desired result. \square

Proposition 2.6. *In Theorem 2.2, π^2 is the best possible constant.*

Proof. For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, define

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} + \sqrt{2}\epsilon \cos \pi x.$$

Then by direct computation, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^1 f_\epsilon'^2}{\int_0^1 f_\epsilon^2 \log f_\epsilon} = \pi^2.$$

Therefore, the constant π^2 cannot be replaced by a larger constant. \square

Remark 2.7. The function $\cos \pi x$ comes from the equality case of a Wirtinger inequality which follows from the log-Sobolev inequality [Morgan 2009].

3. Proofs of the sharp log-Sobolev inequality on the circle

We summarize three proofs of Proposition 2.1 given by Rothaus [1980, Theorem 4.3], Weissler [1980, Theorem 1], Émery and Yukich [1987, page 1], and Deuschel and Stroock [1990, Remark 1.14 (i)].

3.1. Weissler's proof. Weissler proved a stronger result than Proposition 2.1 by Fourier expansion of functions of period 2π .

Proposition 3.1 [Weissler 1980, Theorem 1]. *Let $f(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$ be in L^2 and suppose $f(\theta) \geq 0$ almost everywhere. Then*

$$\int f^2 \log f \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |a_n|^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \log \|f\|_2$$

in the sense that if the right-hand side is finite, then so is the left-hand side and the inequality holds. ($0^2 \log 0$ is taken to be 0.)

Obviously the above inequality is stronger than the inequality

$$\int f^2 \log f \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^2 |a_n|^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \log \|f\|_2,$$

which is equivalent to [Proposition 2.1](#) by change of variables as in [Corollary 2.4](#).

Weissler [1980] cited [Rothaus 1978] but did not have [Rothaus 1980], where Rothaus gave his proof of [Proposition 2.1](#).

3.2. Rothaus's proof. Rothaus proved [Proposition 2.1](#) by a variational method. (References in this section are relative to [Rothaus 1980].) He considered an equivalent problem with a positive parameter ρ in Section 4. If a related constant b_ρ is zero, then the log-Sobolev inequality on the circle with the constant $2/\rho$ holds. For each b_ρ , he showed in Theorem 4.2 that a minimizing function exists, is positive and satisfies a related differential equation. Moreover, for $\rho > 1/2\pi^2$, the only positive solution to the differential equation is the constant function 1 (Theorem 4.3) and hence b_ρ is zero. Therefore in the limit $b_{1/2\pi^2}$ is zero, and our [Proposition 2.1](#) follows.

Rothaus cited [Weissler 1980], saying that “a result related to Theorem 6.3 appears in” that paper.

3.3. Émery and Yukich's proof. [Proposition 2.1](#) was proved by Émery and Yukich [1987, page 1] by using estimates deploying the Brownian motion semigroup.

Émery and Yukich [1987] cited both Weissler [1980] and Rothaus [1980].

3.4. Deuschel and Stroock's proof. Deuschel and Stroock considered the log-Sobolev inequality in general spaces with densities. As a special case, they proved [Deuschel and Stroock 1990, Remark 1.14 (i)] that the log-Sobolev constant for the circle of length 1 with Lebesgue measure is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian, namely $4\pi^2$ (corresponding to the first eigenfunction $\sin 2\pi x$).

Deuschel and Stroock [1990] cited [Émery and Yukich 1987].

Acknowledgements

We thank our advisor Frank Morgan for his patience and invaluable input. We thank our friend Andrew Kelly for substantial contributions to this paper. We thank the National Science Foundation for grants to Professor Morgan and the Williams College SMALL Research Experience for Undergraduates, and Williams College for additional funding. We thank the Mathematical Association of America, MIT, the University of Chicago, and Williams College for supporting our trip to talk at MathFest 2012.

The authors were undergraduate students at MIT (Ghang), Williams College (Martin) and University of Chicago (Waruhui) at the time this work was carried out.

References

- [Deuschel and Stroock 1990] J.-D. Deuschel and D. W. Stroock, “[Hypercontractivity and spectral gap of symmetric diffusions with applications to the stochastic Ising models](#)”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **92**:1 (1990), 30–48. [MR 91j:58174](#) [Zbl 0705.60066](#)
- [Díaz et al. 2012] A. Díaz, N. Harman, S. Howe, and D. Thompson, “[Isoperimetric problems in sectors with density](#)”, *Adv. Geom.* **14**:4 (2012), 589–619.
- [Émery and Yukich 1987] M. Émery and J. E. Yukich, “[A simple proof of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality on the circle](#)”, pp. 173–175 in *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXI*, edited by J. Azéma et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1247**, Springer, Berlin, 1987. [MR 89f:26020](#) [Zbl 0616.46023](#)
- [Federbush 1969] P. Federbush, “[Partially alternate derivation of a result of Nelson](#)”, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**:1 (1969), 50–52.
- [Gross 1975] L. Gross, “[Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities](#)”, *Amer. J. Math.* **97**:4 (1975), 1061–1083. [MR 54 #8263](#) [Zbl 0318.46049](#)
- [Morgan 2009] F. Morgan, “[Log-Sobolev inequality](#)”, blog entry, 2009, <http://sites.williams.edu/Morgan/2009/06/11/sobolev-type-inequality/>.
- [Perelman 2002] G. Perelman, “[The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications](#)”, preprint, 2002. [Zbl 1130.53001](#) [arXiv math/0211159](#)
- [Rothaus 1978] O. S. Rothaus, “[Lower bounds for eigenvalues of regular Sturm–Liouville operators and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality](#)”, *Duke Math. J.* **45**:2 (1978), 351–362. [MR 58 #1368](#) [Zbl 0435.47049](#)
- [Rothaus 1980] O. S. Rothaus, “[Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the spectrum of Sturm–Liouville operators](#)”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **39**:1 (1980), 42–56. [MR 81m:34025](#) [Zbl 0472.47024](#)
- [Stam 1959] A. J. Stam, “[Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon](#)”, *Information and Control* **2** (1959), 101–112. [MR 21 #7813](#) [Zbl 0085.34701](#)
- [Wang 1999] F.-Y. Wang, “[Harnack inequalities for log-Sobolev functions and estimates of log-Sobolev constants](#)”, *Ann. Probab.* **27**:2 (1999), 653–663. [MR 2000i:58067](#) [Zbl 0948.58023](#)
- [Weissler 1980] F. B. Weissler, “[Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and hypercontractive estimates on the circle](#)”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **37**:2 (1980), 218–234. [MR 81k:42007](#) [Zbl 0463.46024](#)

Received: 2012-09-15 Accepted: 2013-01-06

ghang@math.harvard.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Harvard University,
26 Everett Street #314, Cambridge, MA 02138, United States*

zkm1@williams.edu

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Williams College,
Bronfman Science Center, 18 Hoxsey Street,
Williamstown, MA 01267, United States*

waruhius@uchicago.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734
South University Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, United States*

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhs@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ccli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyj@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	UMass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA cjohnson@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2014 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$165/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2014

vol. 7

no. 2

An interesting proof of the nonexistence of a continuous bijection between \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^2 for $n \neq 2$	125
HAMID REZA DANESHPAJOUSH, HAMED DANESHPAJOUSH AND FERESHTE MALEK	
Analysing territorial models on graphs	129
MARIE BRUNI, MARK BROOM AND JAN RYCHTÁŘ	
Binary frames, graphs and erasures	151
BERNHARD G. BODMANN, BIJAN CAMP AND DAX MAHONEY	
On groups with a class-preserving outer automorphism	171
PETER A. BROOKSBANK AND MATTHEW S. MIZUHARA	
The sharp log-Sobolev inequality on a compact interval	181
WHAN GHANG, ZANE MARTIN AND STEVEN WARUHIU	
Analysis of a Sudoku variation using partially ordered sets and equivalence relations	187
ANA BURGERS, SHELLY SMITH AND KATHERINE VARGA	
Spanning tree congestion of planar graphs	205
HIU FAI LAW, SIU LAM LEUNG AND MIKHAIL I. OSTROVSKII	
Convex and subharmonic functions on graphs	227
MATTHEW J. BURKE AND TONY L. PERKINS	
New results on an anti-Waring problem	239
CHRIS FULLER, DAVID R. PRIER AND KARISSA A. VASCONI	