

involve

a journal of mathematics

A generalization of modular forms

Adam Haque

 mathematical sciences publishers



A generalization of modular forms

Adam Haque

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

We prove a transformation equation satisfied by a set of holomorphic functions with rational Fourier coefficients of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} arising from modular forms. This generalizes the classical transformation property satisfied by modular forms with rational coefficients, which only applies to a set of cardinality \aleph_0 for a given weight.

Modular forms play a crucial role in number theory, complex analysis, and geometry. However, from a set-theoretic point of view, the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $M_r(\Gamma)$ of holomorphic modular forms of a given weight r on $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is only a small subset of the meromorphic functions of $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ on the open unit disc D centered at the origin of the complex plane with rational power series coefficients. This is because the set of all modular forms of a given weight r with rational Fourier coefficients is countable (has cardinality \aleph_0), as can be seen from the fact that the algebra of all modular forms on Γ over \mathbb{Q} is finitely generated by modular forms with rational coefficients [Ono 2004]. In contrast, since every meromorphic function of $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ on the unit disc D with a pole having at most finite order at $q = 0$ can be represented as a power series of the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} a(n)e^{2\pi inz} \quad (1)$$

uniformly convergent on compact subsets of D and conversely, it is clear that the cardinality of the set of meromorphic or holomorphic functions of $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with rational power series coefficients is 2^{\aleph_0} . We discuss this in more detail in the proof of [Corollary 4](#) and [Proposition 5](#).

Since modular forms are only a small subset of the set of all meromorphic functions, it is interesting to ask whether or not it is possible to generalize the definition of modularity so as to encompass a set of functions with cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} , while still

MSC2000: 11F11, 11F30.

Keywords: generalized modular forms, Dirichlet multiplication, cardinality.

preserving some of the remarkable transformation properties of modular forms. This can be done by allowing the level of the modular form to become infinite.

To be specific, we consider sequences of elements of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, that is, integer matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ such that $|ad - bc| = 1$, where the entries depend on a positive integer k , which will be suppressed from the notation. We will assume that c is an increasing (and therefore unbounded) function of k , and that the quotient d/c approaches a finite limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to the modular group $\Gamma_0(c)$ —by definition, $\Gamma_0(N)$ consists of the matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ whose lower left entry is a multiple of N . We let $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ act on the upper half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im} z > 0\}$ in the usual way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$

Let r be a positive integer. Let g be a meromorphic function on the upper half-plane with a pole of at most finite order at $z = i\infty$. Suppose there is a sequence $c = c(k)$ with the property that, for *any* sequence $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of the form above *consistent with this choice of c* , the function g satisfies the transformation equation

$$\left(z + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c^{-r} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right). \quad (2)$$

In that case we say that g is a *generalized modular form of weight r* , or a *modular form of weight r and level infinity*.

To see that this notion is a generalization of traditional modular forms, consider a modular form g of weight r and level N , and take for c the sequence given by $c(k) = Nk$. Any element of any sequence $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ consistent with this choice of c is an element of $\Gamma_0(N)$; therefore, by the definition of a modular form, g satisfies

$$(cz + d)^r g(z) = g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right)$$

for all k . Dividing both sides by c^r and taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we see that (2) is satisfied.

We will now see how to create uncountably many generalized modular forms with rational coefficients. We recall the definition of Dirichlet multiplication for two sequences $\{h(n)\}$ and $\{C(n)\}$:

$$(h * C)_n = \sum_{d|n} h(d)C\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

We will assume $C(1) \neq 0$ in order to guarantee the existence of the Dirichlet inverse $\{C^{-1}(n)\}$, the inverse of the sequence $\{C(n)\}$ under the operation of Dirichlet multiplication. For efficient notation, we use $\{A_n\}$ and $\{A(n)\}$ interchangeably for any sequence $\{A_n\}$. Here is our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n)e^{2\pi inz}$$

be a cusp form of even weight $r > 0$ on Γ with $C(1) \neq 0$ and $\{|h(n)|\} \in \ell^1$ (i.e., $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n)$ is absolutely convergent). Then any holomorphic function on the upper half-plane of the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h * C)_n e^{2\pi inz} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} h(d) C\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) e^{2\pi inz} \quad (3)$$

is a holomorphic generalized modular form of weight r and level infinity that satisfies the transformation equation

$$\left(z + \lim_{c(k) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = \lim_{c(k) \rightarrow \infty} c^{-r} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right); \quad (4)$$

here $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(c)$ with $c(k) = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, \dots, k)$. Thus $g(z)$ satisfies an approximate modular transformation equation, with its accuracy increasing as $c(k) \rightarrow \infty$. Here we define (4) to be such an approximate modular transformation equation.

This theorem generalizes the result

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^r f(z)$$

when $h(n)$ in (3) is the identity element of Dirichlet multiplication $I(n)$, since in this case $g(z)$ is a cusp form by definition:

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I * C)_n e^{2\pi inz} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n) e^{2\pi inz},$$

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = c^{-r} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right).$$

Of course, in this case $\{|I(n)|\} \in \ell^1$ since $I(n) = 0$ for $n > 1$, and thus the hypotheses of [Theorem 1](#) are satisfied. We also note that $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z$ approaches the real line as $c(k) \rightarrow \infty$, since $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(c)$ implies

$$\frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{c(cz+d)}, \quad \lim_{c(k) \rightarrow \infty} \text{Im} \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = 0.$$

Proof. We prove [Theorem 1](#) using series of modular forms. In particular we use the cusp form of weight r on Γ given by

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n) e^{2\pi inz},$$

where $\{C(n)\}$ is any cusp form coefficient sequence. It is well known that there exist functions that are analytic in the upper half-plane and satisfy the functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^r f(z),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ and $ad-bc=1$ (Γ being the modular group). From this property, it is easy to see that if n divides c , that is, if $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(n)$, then for positive integer n we have

$$f\left(n \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^r f(nz).$$

The Fourier expansion for $f(mz)$

$$f(mz) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n) e^{2\pi i m n z}$$

is absolutely convergent in the upper half-plane, since $C(n) = O(n^{r/2})$ by a standard argument of Hecke [Apostol 1990]. Assuming $A_m = O(m^p)$ for some natural number p we note that the double series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m f(mz) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_m C_n e^{2\pi i m n z}$$

is absolutely convergent, since both sequences A_m and C_n are bounded by polynomials, while of course $e^{2\pi i m n z}$ decays exponentially in absolute value as m or n increases. Hence rearrangement is justified and we can write

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m f(mz) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} A(d) C\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) e^{2\pi i n z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A * C)_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

We also need the identity

$$e^{2\pi i z} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C^{-1}(m) f(mz) \tag{5}$$

where $C^{-1}(m)$ is the Dirichlet inverse of the cusp form coefficients. Assuming absolute convergence, identity (5) follows easily from the following rearrangement:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C^{-1}(m) f(mz) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C^{-1}(m) C(n) e^{2\pi i m n z} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (C^{-1} * C)_n e^{2\pi i n z} = e^{2\pi i z}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove absolute convergence it is sufficient to prove that $C^{-1}(m)$ is bounded by a polynomial in m . This follows from the fact that $C(n) = O(n^{r/2})$ [Apostol 1990], together with the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *If a sequence $\{C(n)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $C(1) \neq 0$ is bounded by a polynomial in n , then its Dirichlet inverse $C^{-1}(m)$ is also bounded by a polynomial in n . In symbols, if $|C(n)| = O(n^{d_1})$ for some $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|C^{-1}(n)| = O(n^{d_2})$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction. If $|C(n)| = O(n^{d_1})$, then letting $|C(1)| = P$, we find that there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|C(n)| \leq Pn^k$ for any positive integer n . We use the standard recursive definition

$$C^{-1}(n) = -\frac{1}{C(1)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} C\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) C^{-1}(d), \tag{6}$$

which is equivalent to $(C * C^{-1})_n = I(n)$, where $I(n)$ is the identity element of Dirichlet multiplication. We find that $|C(1)| = P$ implies $|C^{-1}(1)| = 1/P$. We make the inductive hypothesis

$$|C^{-1}(d)| \leq \frac{1}{P} d^{k+2} \quad \text{for all } d < n, d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the recursive definition (6) we obtain

$$|C^{-1}(n)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{C(1)} \right| \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \left| C\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \right| |C^{-1}(d)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{C(1)} \right| \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^k d^{k+2} \leq \frac{1}{P} n^k \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} d^2.$$

So,

$$|C^{-1}(n)| \leq \frac{1}{P} n^k \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} d^2 = \frac{1}{P} n^{k+2} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d > 1}} \frac{1}{d^2} \leq \frac{1}{P} n^{k+2} (\zeta(2) - 1) \leq \frac{1}{P} n^{k+2},$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. It follows that

$$|C^{-1}(n)| \leq \frac{1}{P} n^{k+2},$$

and this completes the induction. □

Any complex analytic function $J(q)$ can be written as a power series for q in the open unit disc D centered at $q = 0$:

$$J(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n q^n.$$

Making the substitution $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with $J(e^{2\pi iz}) = g(z)$ and assuming $J(0) = 0$

for convenience, we find

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n e^{2\pi inz}.$$

Using the absolute convergence of

$$e^{2\pi inz} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C^{-1}(m) f(mnz)$$

in the upper half-plane, and assuming A_n is bounded by a polynomial in n , we use rearrangement of series to write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1})_n f(nz) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1})_n C_m e^{2\pi imnz} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1} * C)_n e^{2\pi inz} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n e^{2\pi inz} = g(z). \end{aligned}$$

This is justified by the discussion above and [Lemma 2](#), which imply that all the series above are absolutely convergent. Now consider the partial sums of the series

$$g_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^k (A * C^{-1})_n f(nz).$$

From this definition, assuming $z = x + iy$, we have

$$|g(z) - g_k(z)| = O(e^{-2\pi ky}). \quad (7)$$

This is because the cusp forms $f(nz)$ decay exponentially as n increases [[Shimura 2007](#)], so there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|f(nz)| < M e^{-2\pi ny}$ for all n . Hence, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have by the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - g_k(z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1})_n f(nz) \right| \\ &< M e^{-2\pi ky} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1})_n e^{-2\pi ny} = O(e^{-2\pi ky}). \end{aligned}$$

From the functional equation $f\left(n \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^r f(nz)$, valid if $n|c$ and $ad - bc = 1$, we obtain

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g_k(z) = c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^k (A * C^{-1})_n f\left(n \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right), \quad ad - bc = 1,$$

by choosing $c(k) = \text{lcm}[1, 2, 3, \dots, k]$.

Given this c , we can always choose a, b, d such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(n)$ for all $n \leq k$ and with d/c approaching a finite limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For example, one can take $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or, more generally,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cv + 1 & -cv^2 \\ c & -cv + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some integer v . Hence, we can write

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g_k(z) = c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^k (A * C^{-1})_n f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right). \quad (8)$$

This approach, however, does not work for arbitrary holomorphic functions $f(z)$ since the error term

$$c^{-r} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} (A * C^{-1})_n f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right)$$

diverges as k and c approach ∞ . One way to circumvent this difficulty is to choose an sequence of real numbers $h(n)$ with $\{|h(n)|\} \in \ell^1$, and set

$$A_n = (h * C)_n, \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad (A * C^{-1})_n = h(n), \quad (9)$$

so that

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h * C)_n e^{2\pi inz}. \quad (10)$$

In this case, A_n is bounded by a polynomial in n and the error term is

$$c^{-r} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} h(n) f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right).$$

Lemma 3. *Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be a sequence as on page 16. As $c \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\left| f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) \right| < M n^{-r/2} \frac{|cz + d|^r}{(\text{Im } z)^{r/2}},$$

where the constant M does not depend on n, a, b, d .

Proof. Since f is a cusp form of weight r , we have

$$|f(z)| (\text{Im } z)^{r/2} < M \quad (11)$$

in the upper half-plane, for some bound $M > 0$. We sketch the proof; see [Apostol 1990] for details. Let $\varphi(z) = |f(z)| (\text{Im } z)^{r/2}$. First, $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $\text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty$, since f decays exponentially with $\text{Im } z$, and therefore faster than any polynomial. By compactness, then, $\varphi(z)$ must be bounded in the fundamental region

$$\left\{ z : \text{Im } z > 0, |z| \geq 1, \text{Re } z \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

for the action of the modular group Γ on the upper half-plane. But φ is invariant under Γ (basically because $\text{Im } z$ acts like the absolute value of a modular form of weight -2 , so the weights cancel out). Thus the value of φ at any point z equals its value at some point in the fundamental domain, and is therefore bounded.

From (11) we can write

$$\left| f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) \right| \left(\text{Im}\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) \right)^{r/2} < M.$$

Since

$$\left(\text{Im}\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) \right)^{r/2} = |cz + d|^{-r} n^{r/2} (\text{Im } z)^{r/2},$$

we obtain the desired inequality. \square

We recall that $r > 0$ for holomorphic cusp forms [Apostol 1990]. Thus, if $\{|h(n)|\} \in \ell^1$, the error term

$$c^{-r} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} h(n) f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right)$$

is clearly absolutely convergent and approaches 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, from (7), (8), and (9), we have successively

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g_k(z) = c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n) f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) + O(\epsilon(k)), \quad (12)$$

for some function $\epsilon(k)$ satisfying $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(k) = 0$. This leads to

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n) f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) + O(\epsilon(k)) + O(e^{-2\pi ky}),$$

$$\left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n) f\left(n \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right) + O(\epsilon(k)).$$

From (12) we obtain, using the Fourier expansion $f(nz) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C(m) e^{2\pi imnz}$ and absolute convergence to justify rearrangements,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z + \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) &= c^{-r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n) C(m) e^{2\pi imn \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z} + O(\epsilon(k)) \\ &= c^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h * C)_n e^{2\pi in \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z} + O(\epsilon(k)). \end{aligned}$$

From (10) we have

$$\left(z + \lim_{c(k) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{c}\right)^r g(z) = \lim_{c(k) \rightarrow \infty} c^{-r} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z\right),$$

with $c(k) = \text{lcm}(1, 2, 3, \dots, k)$, which completes the proof of [Theorem 1](#). We note that $g(z)$ is holomorphic in the upper half-plane since $|h(n)| \in \ell^1$ and $C(n) = O(n^{r/2})$ result in uniform convergence of the series (10) on compact subsets. \square

Corollary 4. *If there exists a cusp form of even weight r over \mathbb{Q} with $C(1) \neq 0$, then the set G of generalized modular forms of weight r and level infinity with rational coefficients has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} :*

$$|G| = 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

Proof. This follows from [Theorem 1](#), which implies that, for all $\{h(n)\}$ such that $\{|h(n)|\} \in \ell^1$,

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h * C)_n e^{2\pi inz}$$

is a generalized modular form of weight r over \mathbb{Q} , assuming that $\{C(n)\}$ is the rational Fourier coefficient sequence of a weight r cusp form with $C(1) \neq 0$.

Now let

$$A = (\mathbb{Q}[0, 1])^{\mathbb{N}} = \{a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[0, 1]\}$$

be the set of sequences $\{a(n)\}$ with $a(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[0, 1]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall from set theory that $|\mathbb{Q}[0, 1]| = \aleph_0$ and $|(\mathbb{Q}[0, 1])^{\mathbb{N}}| = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ [[Jech 1997](#)]. Further, let

$$B = \{\{h(n)\} \in A : \{|h(n)|\} \in \ell^1\}$$

be the subset of A consisting of sequences whose sum converges absolutely. We know that $\{a(n)\} \in A$ implies $\{a(n)/n^2\} \in B$, since $|a(n)| \leq 1$ and by the comparison test for series and the absolute convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$. Thus the mapping $\{a(n)\} \rightarrow \{a(n)/n^2\}$ defines an injection $\beta : A \rightarrow B$.

Next, [Theorem 1](#) implies that there exists an injection $\gamma : B \rightarrow G$, which sends a sequence $\{h(n)\} \in B$ to

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h * C)_n e^{2\pi inz},$$

with $g(z) \in G$. The composite map $\gamma\beta : A \rightarrow G$ thus defines an injection from A to G , as long as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n)e^{2\pi inz}$ is a cusp form of weight r with $C(1) \neq 0$. Hence $|G| \geq 2^{\aleph_0} = |A|$.

At the same time, there is an injection from G into the set S of all formal power series of $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ over \mathbb{Q} . This set has the same cardinality as the set $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of maps $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Hence $|S| = |\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}| = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$. We conclude that $|G| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Hence $|G| = 2^{\aleph_0}$. \square

We note that [Corollary 4](#) holds for $r = 12$ and all even $r \geq 16$. This is because the standard $\Delta(z)$ function is a cusp form of weight 12 with $C(1) \neq 0$, and for

even $r \geq 16$ an example of such a cusp form is $\Delta(z)E_{r-12}(z)$ with $E_{r-12}(z)$ an Eisenstein series.

Proposition 5. $M_r(\Gamma)$ has cardinality \aleph_0 as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. This follows from the result that every entire modular form $f \in M_r(\Gamma)$ is a polynomial of the form [Ono 2004]

$$f = \sum_{4a+6b=r} c_{a,b} G_4^a G_6^b,$$

where G_4 and G_6 are Eisenstein series with integer coefficients, $c_{a,b} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If f has rational coefficients, then we conclude $c_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ since G_4 and G_6 have integer coefficients. Algebraically, this implies the following vector space isomorphism over \mathbb{Q} :

$$M_r(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{\dim M_r(\Gamma)}.$$

It is a well-known theorem in set theory that \mathbb{Q} is countable, and in general the Cartesian products of any finite number of countable sets is countable [Jech 1997]. Thus, we conclude $M_r(\Gamma)$ over \mathbb{Q} has cardinality \aleph_0 . These results allow us to gauge the strength of Theorem 1, which generalizes the notion of modularity to encompass a much larger set of holomorphic functions than the classical entire modular forms. \square

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the Center for Undergraduate Research and Fellowships and the University Scholars program of the University of Pennsylvania for providing financial support for this work, and Professor J. Haglund for overseeing the project. The author also thanks Professor K. Ono and the referee for their insightful comments, which led to an improved presentation of this paper.

References

- [Apostol 1990] T. M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **41**, Springer, New York, 1990. [MR 90j:11001](#) [Zbl 0697.10023](#)
- [Jech 1997] T. Jech, *Set theory*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1997. [MR 99b:03061](#) [Zbl 0882.03045](#)
- [Ono 2004] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q -series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **102**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [MR 2005c:11053](#) [Zbl 1119.11026](#)
- [Shimura 2007] G. Shimura, *Elementary Dirichlet series and modular forms*, Springer, New York, 2007. [MR 2008g:11001](#) [Zbl 1148.11002](#)

Received: 2010-07-20

Revised: 2011-07-03

Accepted: 2011-08-04

adahaque@sas.upenn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA kgallian@d.umn.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rjplemmons@wfu.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Karen Kafadar	University of Colorado, USA karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or <http://msp.berkeley.edu/involve> for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2012

vol. 5

no. 1

Elliptic curves, eta-quotients and hypergeometric functions	1
DAVID PATHAKJEE, ZEF ROSNBRICK AND EUGENE YOONG	
Trapping light rays aperiodically with mirrors	9
ZACHARY MITCHELL, GREGORY SIMON AND XUEYING ZHAO	
A generalization of modular forms	15
ADAM HAQUE	
Induced subgraphs of Johnson graphs	25
RAMIN NAIMI AND JEFFREY SHAW	
Multiscale adaptively weighted least squares finite element methods for convection-dominated PDEs	39
BRIDGET KRAYNIK, YIFEI SUN AND CHAD R. WESTPHAL	
Diameter, girth and cut vertices of the graph of equivalence classes of zero-divisors	51
BLAKE ALLEN, ERIN MARTIN, ERIC NEW AND DANE SKABELUND	
Total positivity of a shuffle matrix	61
AUDRA MCMILLAN	
Betti numbers of order-preserving graph homomorphisms	67
LAUREN GUERRA AND STEVEN KLEE	
Permutation notations for the exceptional Weyl group F_4	81
PATRICIA CAHN, RUTH HAAS, ALOYSIUS G. HELMINCK, JUAN LI AND JEREMY SCHWARTZ	
Progress towards counting D_5 quintic fields	91
ERIC LARSON AND LARRY ROLEN	
On supersingular elliptic curves and hypergeometric functions	99
KEENAN MONKS	