

Quillen's plus construction and the D(2) problem

W H MANNAN

Given a finite connected 3–complex with cohomological dimension 2, we show it may be constructed up to homotopy by applying the Quillen plus construction to the Cayley complex of a finite group presentation. This reduces the D(2) problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups.

57M20; 19D06, 57M05

1 Introduction

Given a finite cell complex one may ask what the minimal dimension of a finite cell complex in its homotopy type is. If $n \neq 2$ and the cell complex has cohomological dimension n (with respect to all coefficient bundles), then the cell complex is in fact homotopy equivalent to a finite n –complex (a cell complex whose cells have dimension at most n). Although this has been known for around forty years (for $n > 2$ it is proved by Wall [13] and for $n = 1$ it follows from Swan [12] and Stallings [11]), it is an open question whether or not this holds when $n = 2$. This question is known as Wall's D(2) problem:

Let X be a finite 3–complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Must X be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex?

If X (as above) is not homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex, we say it is a counterexample which solves the D(2) problem.

For connected X with certain fundamental groups, it has been shown that X must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex (see for example Johnson [7], Edwards [4] and Mannan [9]). However no general method has been forthcoming.

Also, whilst potential candidates for counterexamples have been constructed (see Beyl and Waller [1] and Bridson and Tweedale [2]), no successful method has yet emerged for verifying that they are not homotopy equivalent to finite 2–complexes.

In Section 2 we apply the Quillen plus construction to connected 2–complexes, resulting in cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complexes. These are therefore candidates for

counterexamples which solve the D(2) problem. In Section 3 we show that in fact all finite connected cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complexes arise this way, up to homotopy equivalence.

Finally, in Section 4 we use these results to reduce the D(2) problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups. This allows us to generalize existing approaches to the D(2) problem such as Johnson [8, Theorem I] and Harlander [6, Theorem 3.5].

Before moving on to the main argument we make a few notational points. All modules are right modules except where a left action is explicitly stated. The basepoint of a Cayley complex is always assumed to be its 0–cell.

If X is a connected cell complex with basepoint, we denote its universal cover by \tilde{X} . Given two based loops $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi_1(X)$ their product $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ is the composition whose initial segment is γ_2 and final segment is γ_1 . With this convention, we have a natural right action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the cells of \tilde{X} . Let $G = \pi_1(X)$. We can regard the associated chain complex of \tilde{X} as an algebraic complex of right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. We follow [8] in denoting this algebraic complex $C_*(X)$. Note that this differs from the convention in other texts. Thus in particular $C_*(X)$ and $C_*(\tilde{X})$ have the same underlying sequence of abelian groups, but the former is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ whilst the latter is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\tilde{X})] = \mathbb{Z}$.

If Y is a subcomplex of X then $C_*(Y)$ is a sequence of right modules over $\pi_1(Y)$. Let $E = \pi_1(Y)$. The induced map $E \rightarrow G$ yields a left action of E on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus we have an algebraic complex $C_*(Y) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The inclusion $Y \subset X$ induces a chain map $C_*(Y) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow C_*(X)$. The complex $C_*(X, Y)$ is defined to be the relative chain complex associated to this chain map.

The basepoint allows us to interchange between coefficient bundles over X and right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus for a right module N we have:

$$H^n(X; N) = H^n(C_*(X); N)$$

A left module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ may be regarded as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where right multiplication by a group element is defined to be left multiplication by its inverse. Hence a left module M may also be regarded as a coefficient bundle and we have:

$$H_n(X; M) = H_n(C_*(X); M), \quad H_n(X, Y; M) = H_n(C_*(X, Y); M)$$

Given a finitely generated Abelian group A we may regard it as a finitely generated module over \mathbb{Z} . Thus $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q} . The dimension of this vector space will be denoted $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$.

Finally given a group G and elements $g, h \in G$, we follow the convention that $[g, h]$ denotes the element $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$.

2 The plus construction applied to a Cayley complex

Let $\varepsilon = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$ be a finite presentation for a group E . We say a normal subgroup of E is *finitely closed* when it is the normal closure in E of a finitely generated subgroup. Let $K \triangleleft E$ be finitely closed and perfect (so $K = [K, K]$). Let \mathcal{K}_ε denote the Cayley complex associated to ε .

Theorem 2.1 (Quillen; see Rosenberg [10, Theorem 5.2.2]) *There is a 3-complex $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$, containing \mathcal{K}_ε as a subcomplex, such that the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups and $H_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon; M) = 0$ for all left modules M over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$. Further, given another such 3-complex X , there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+ \rightarrow X$ extending the identity map of the common subspace \mathcal{K}_ε .*

In fact we may construct $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ explicitly, using the fact that K is finitely closed to ensure that we end up with a finite cell complex. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in K$ generate a subgroup of E whose normal closure (in E) is K . As $K = [K, K]$, each k_i may be expressed as a product of commutators $k_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [a_{ij}, b_{ij}]$ with each $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in K$. Then each a_{ij}, b_{ij} may be represented by words A_{ij}, B_{ij} in the $g_l, l = 1, \dots, n$. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ attach a 2-cell E_i to \mathcal{K}_ε whose boundary corresponds to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}]$. Denote the resulting chain complex \mathcal{K}'_ε .

The chain complex $C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)$ may be written:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon): C_2(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)$$

The boundary map ∂_2 applied to a 2-cell is the Fox free differential $\partial: F_{\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}} \rightarrow C_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)$, applied to the word which the 2-cell bounds (see Johnson [8, Section 48] and Fox [5]). Let e_i denote the generator in $C_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)$ representing the generator g_i . The free Fox differential is then characterized by:

- (i) $\partial g_i = e_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (ii) $\partial(AB) = \partial(A)B + \partial(B)$ for all words A, B .

Clearly the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon$ induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups. There is a right action of $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$ on itself. Further there is a left action of E on $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.

Lemma 2.2 *As an algebraic complex of right $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$ modules $C_*(\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon)$ may be written:*

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon): C_2(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\partial_2 \oplus 0} C_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K]$$

Proof The boundary of E_i is given by the free Fox differential ∂ , applied to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}]$. However,

$$\partial \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}] = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} [\partial A_{ij} + \partial B_{ij} - \partial A_{ij} - \partial B_{ij}] = 0$$

as each A_{ij}, B_{ij} represents an element of K and hence is trivial in $\pi_1(\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon) = E/K$. \square

Each E_i therefore generates an element of $H_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon}; \mathbb{Z})$. By the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem we have isomorphisms $H_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon}) \cong \pi_2(\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon)$ coming from the Hurewicz homomorphism and the covering map respectively. Let $\psi_i: S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon$ represent the element of $\pi_2(\mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon)$ which corresponds to E_i under these isomorphisms.

For each $i \in 1, \dots, r$ we then attach a 3-cell B_i to \mathcal{K}'_ε via the attaching map $\psi_i: \partial B_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_\varepsilon$. Let $\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon$ denote the resulting 3-complex. Then we have that $C_*(\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon)$ is

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon) : \quad \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\partial_3} C_2(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \\ \xrightarrow{\partial_2 \oplus 0} C_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K]$$

where ∂_3 is inclusion of the second summand.

Hence we have:

Lemma 2.3 $H_*(\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon; M) = 0$ for all left modules M over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.

Proof We have the following relative complex:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) : \quad \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

Thus by [Theorem 2.1](#) we may conclude that $\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon$ has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$.

Lemma 2.4 The complex $\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon$ is cohomologically 2-dimensional.

Proof The inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon$ induces a chain homotopy equivalence:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon) \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.5 We may choose $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ to be the cohomologically 2-dimensional finite 3-complex $\mathcal{K}''_\varepsilon$.

3 Cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complexes

Let X be a finite connected 3–complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . In this section we will show that up to homotopy, X arises as the Quillen plus construction applied to a finite Cayley complex.

Let T be a maximal tree in the 1–skeleton of X . The quotient map $X \rightarrow X/T$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that X has one 0–cell. We take this to be the basepoint of X and any complexes obtained from X by adding or removing cells. Also we set $G = \pi_1(X)$ with respect to this basepoint.

Let $C_*(X)$ be denoted by

$$F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0$$

where the F_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and the ∂_i are linear maps over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

We have $H^3(X; F_3) = 0$ so in particular there exists ϕ such the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F_3 & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & F_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & F_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & F_0 \\ & & \searrow \phi & & & & \\ & & F_3 & & & & \end{array}$$

Hence ∂_3 is the inclusion of the first summand $\partial_3: F_3 \hookrightarrow \partial_3(F_3) \oplus S = F_2$, where S is the kernel of ϕ . Let X' denote the wedge of X with one disk for each 3–cell in X . Then the inclusion of cell complexes $X \hookrightarrow X'$ is a homotopy equivalence and:

$$C_*(X'): \quad F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} F_2 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} F_1 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

Here $F'_3 \cong F_3$ and the maps are defined as follows:

∂'_1 restricts to ∂_1 on F_1 and restricts to 0 on F'_3 ,

$$\partial'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

∂'_3 is $\partial_3: F_3 \rightarrow F_2$ composed with the natural inclusion: $F_2 \hookrightarrow F_2 \oplus F'_3$.

Thus ∂'_3 is the inclusion into the first summand $\partial'_3: F_3 \hookrightarrow \partial'_3 F_3 \oplus S \oplus F'_3$.

Let m denote the number of 2–cells in X . The submodule $S \oplus F'_3 \subset (\partial'_3 F_3 \oplus S) \oplus F'_3$ is isomorphic to $S \oplus F_3 \cong F_2$ and hence has a basis $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in F_2 \oplus F'_3$.

The cell complex X' has one 0-cell, so $F_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let n denote the number of 1-cells in X' . Then each 1-cell corresponds to a generator $g_i, i \in [1, \dots, n]$ of G . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_1 \oplus F'_3$.

Let r denote the number of 2-cells in X' . The attaching map for each 2-cell maps the boundary of a disk round a word in the g_i . For each 2-cell let $R_j, j \in [1, \dots, r]$ denote this word. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_2 \oplus F'_3$. Thus we have a presentation $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_r \rangle$.

We may therefore express each x_i as a linear combination of the E_j . Thus for some integers v_i and sequences $j_{i1}, \dots, j_{iv_i} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have

$$x_i = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} E_{j_{il}} \lambda_{il} \sigma_{il}$$

with each $\lambda_{il} \in G$ and $\sigma_{il} \in \{1, -1\}$. For each $i \in [1, \dots, m], l \in [1, \dots, v_i]$ let w_{il} be a word in the $g_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, representing λ_{il} . Now for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, let:

$$S_i = \prod_{l=1}^{v_i} w_{il}^{-1} R_{j_{il}}^{\sigma_{il}} w_{il}$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, attach a 2-cell a_i to X' by mapping the boundary of the disk around the path in the 1-skeleton of X' corresponding to the word S_i . Let Z denote the resulting finite cell complex. Note that each word S_i corresponds to a trivial element of G , so the inclusion $X' \subset Z$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(X') \cong \pi_1(Z)$. Hence we may write $C_*(Z)$:

$$C_*(Z) : F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} (F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 \xrightarrow{(\partial'_2 \ \partial'_2)} (F_1 \oplus F'_3) \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

where ∂'_3 is understood to be $\partial'_3: F_3 \rightarrow (F_2 \oplus F'_3)$ composed with the natural inclusion $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \hookrightarrow (F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2$.

For $i = 1, \dots, m$ let A_i be the basis element of F'_2 corresponding to the 2-cell a_i . Recall the Fox free differential, ∂ . We have:

$$\partial''_2 A_i = \partial S_i = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} \partial(w_{il}^{-1} R_{j_{il}}^{\sigma_{il}} w_{il}) = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} \partial'_2 E_{j_{il}} \lambda_{il} \sigma_{il} = \partial'_2 x_i$$

Thus $A_i - x_i$ represents a class in $H_2(\widetilde{Z}^{(2)}; \mathbb{Z})$ which is isomorphic to $\pi_2(Z^{(2)})$ via the Hurewicz isomorphism composed with the map $\pi_2(\widetilde{Z}^{(2)}) \rightarrow \pi_2(Z^{(2)})$ induced by the covering map. Let $\psi_i: S^2 \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$ represent the corresponding element of $\pi_2(Z^{(2)})$.

Then for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ we may attach a 3-cell b_i to Z via the map ψ_i . We denote the resulting complex X'' .

Lemma 3.1 *The inclusion $\iota: X' \subset X''$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof Starting with X' , for each i we attached a 2-cell a_i with contractible boundary in X' , and then attached a 3-cell b_i with a_i as a free face. Thus X'' is obtained from X' through a series of cell expansions and the inclusion $X' \subset X''$ is a simple homotopy equivalence. \square

Let Y denote the subcomplex of X'' consisting of the 1-skeleton, $X''^{(1)}$, together with the $a_i, i = 1, \dots, m$. Let ε denote the group presentation $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid S_1, \dots, S_m \rangle$ and let E denote the underlying group. By construction we have $Y = \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$.

Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in E$ denote the elements represented by the words R_1, \dots, R_r . Let K denote the normal closure in E of k_1, \dots, k_r . By construction then, K is finitely closed and we have a short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

Lemma 3.2 *K is a perfect group.*

Proof Clearly $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a right module over itself and there is a left action of E on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The algebraic complex $C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is given by:

$$F'_2 \xrightarrow{\partial''_2} (F_1 \oplus F'_3) \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

Now consider $C_*(X')$:

$$F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} F_2 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} F_1 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

As \tilde{X}' is simply connected, we have $\ker(\partial'_1) = \text{Im}(\partial'_2)$.

Recall that $F_2 \oplus F'_3 = \partial'_3(F_3) \oplus S \oplus F'_3$ and that $S \oplus F'_3$ has basis $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$. Clearly ∂'_2 restricts to 0 on $\partial'_3(F_3)$, so $\ker(\partial'_1) = \text{Im}(\partial'_2)$ which is generated by the $\partial'_2(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

Also recall that $\partial'_2 \mathbf{x}_i = \partial''_2 A_i$. Hence $\ker(\partial'_1) = \text{Im}(\partial''_2)$ and $H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$.

However by restricting coefficients $C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon)$ may be regarded as an algebraic complex of free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[K]$. Hence we have

$$K/[K, K] = H_1(K; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_K \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$$

where \mathbb{Z} is regarded as having a trivial left K -action. \square

Lemma 3.3 $X'' = \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ where $+$ is taken with respect to K .

Proof We may identify \mathcal{K}_ε with the subcomplex $Y \subset X''$. The inclusion $\ell: \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow X''$ then induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups. By [Theorem 2.1](#) it is sufficient to show that $H_*(X'', Y; M) = 0$ for all left coefficient modules M .

Let $\ell_*: C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow C_*(X'')$ be the chain map induced by the inclusion $\ell: \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow X''$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F'_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial''_2} & (F_1 \oplus F'_3) & \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} & F_0 \\
 \downarrow \ell_2 & & \downarrow \ell_1 & & \downarrow \ell_0 \\
 F_3 \oplus F'_2 & \xrightarrow{(\partial''_3 \ \partial''_3)} & (F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 & \xrightarrow{(\partial'_2 \ \partial'_2)} & (F_1 \oplus F'_3) \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0
 \end{array}$$

where F'_2 has a basis $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_m$ corresponding to the 3-cells b_1, \dots, b_m , so for $i = 1, \dots, m$ we have $\partial'''_3(D_i) = \mathbf{A}_i - \mathbf{x}_i$. Here ℓ_0 and ℓ_1 are the identity maps and ℓ_2 is the inclusion of the second summand.

We have that $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus (S \oplus F'_3)$. Hence we have $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus (S \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2$.

The submodule $(S \oplus F'_3)$ has basis $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$. The submodule F'_2 has basis $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_m$. Also $\partial'''_3 F'_2$ has basis $\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_m - \mathbf{x}_m$. Hence we have the following equality of submodules: $(S \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial'''_3 F'_2 \oplus F'_2$.

Thus:
$$(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus \partial'''_3 F'_2 \oplus F'_2$$

The relative chain complex $C_*(X'', Y)$ is therefore given by

$$F_3 \oplus F'_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus \partial'''_3 F'_2 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0$$

and $H_*(X'', Y; M) = 0$ for all left coefficient modules M as required. □

As $X \sim X''$, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4 Let X be a finite connected 3-complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Then X has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ for some finite presentation ε of a group E , where $+$ is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

4 Implications for the D(2) problem

The D(2) problem asks if every finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex. Clearly a counterexample must have a connected component which is also cohomologically 2–dimensional but not homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex. By [Theorem 3.4](#) this component must have the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ for some finite presentation ε of a group E , where $+$ is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

Conversely, by [Corollary 2.5](#), given any finite presentation ε of a group E together with some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$ we have a cohomologically 2–dimensional finite 3–complex, $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$. It follows that the D(2) problem is equivalent to:

Given a finite presentation ε for a group E , and a finitely closed perfect normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$, must $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex?

Suppose that we have a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+ \sim Y$ for some finite 2–complex Y . Let T be a maximal tree in the 1–skeleton of Y . The quotient map $Y \rightarrow Y/T$ is a homotopy equivalence so $Y \sim \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}$ for some finite presentation \mathcal{G} of $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+) = E/K$.

Hence the affirmative answer to the D(2) problem would be equivalent to:

For all finitely presented groups E and all perfect finitely closed normal subgroups $K \triangleleft E$ and all finite presentations ε of E , there exists a finite presentation \mathcal{G} of E/K and a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+ \sim \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}$ inducing the identity $1: E/K \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups.

Lemma 4.1 *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+ \sim \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}$ inducing the identity $1: E/K \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups.*
- (ii) *There exists a chain homotopy equivalence $C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+) \sim C_*(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G})$ over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.*

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is immediate. Conversely, from (ii) we have a chain homotopy equivalence between the algebraic complexes associated to a finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex and a finite 2–complex (with respect to an isomorphism of fundamental groups). To show that (ii) \Rightarrow (i) we must construct a homotopy equivalence between the spaces, inducing the same isomorphism on fundamental groups. For finite fundamental groups this is done in [[8](#), Proof of Theorem 59.4]. The same argument holds for all finitely presented fundamental groups [[8](#), Appendix B, Proof of Weak Realization Theorem]. □

From the proof of [Lemma 2.4](#), $C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \sim C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+)$. Hence we have:

Theorem 4.2 *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *Let X be a finite 3–complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex.*
- (ii) *Let K be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup of a finitely presented group E . For each finite presentation ε of E , there exists a finite presentation \mathcal{G} of E/K , such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$:*

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G})$$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

where G is a finitely presented group and F is a free group generated by elements g_1, \dots, g_n . Let R_1, \dots, R_m be elements of L .

Definition 4.3 $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$ is called a finite partial presentation for G when the normal closure $N_F(R_1, \dots, R_m)$ surjects onto $L/[L, L]$ under the quotient map $L \rightarrow L/[L, L]$.

Note that a finite partial presentation $\varepsilon = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$ as above is an actual finite presentation of some group E , so it has a well defined Cayley complex \mathcal{K}_ε .

Let K denote the kernel of the homomorphism $E \rightarrow G$ sending each g_i to the corresponding element in G . If G is finitely presented then it is finitely presented on the generators in ε [[3](#), Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. As K is the normal closure in E of the images of this finite set of relators we have that K is finitely closed.

Further K is perfect as every $k \in K$ may be lifted to an element of L which may be written in the form ab where $a \in [L, L]$ and $b \in N_F(R_1, \dots, R_m)$. Thus k is equal to the image of a in E , so $k \in [K, K]$. Thus a finite partial presentation ε of a finitely presented group G may be viewed as a presentation satisfying the hypothesis’ of statement (ii) in [Theorem 4.2](#).

Conversely, given ε as in statement (ii) of [Theorem 4.2](#), we have that ε is a finite partial presentation of E/K (as $K = [K, K]$), and E/K is finitely presented (as K is finitely closed).

Thus statement (ii) is equivalent to:

- (ii)' Given a finite partial presentation ε of a finitely presented group G , there exists a finite presentation \mathcal{G} of G , such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G})$$

where E is the group presented by ε and each $x \in E$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ by left multiplication by its image in G .

One approach to the D(2) problem is to use Euler characteristic as an obstruction. That is, given a finite cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complex X , if we can show that every finite 2-complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ satisfies $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$ then clearly X cannot be homotopy equivalent to any such Y . It has been shown that certain constructions involving presentations of a group would allow one to construct such a space [6, Theorem 3.5]. A candidate for such a space is given in [2]. In light of Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.4 we are able to generalize this approach.

The deficiency $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G})$ of a finite presentation \mathcal{G} is the number of generators minus the number of relators. We say a presentation of a group is minimal if it has the maximal possible deficiency. A finitely presented group G always has a minimal presentation, because an upper bound for the deficiency of a presentation is given by $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G/[G, G])$. The deficiency $\text{Def}(G)$ of a finitely presented group G is defined to be the deficiency of a minimal presentation.

Again let $K \triangleleft E$ be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup. Then if ε is a finite presentation of E and \mathcal{G} is a finite presentation for E/K we have:

$$\chi(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon) = 1 - \text{Def}(\varepsilon), \quad \chi(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}) = 1 - \text{Def}(\mathcal{G})$$

Lemma 4.4 *If $\text{Def}(E) > \text{Def}(E/K)$ then given a minimal presentation ε of E we have that $\chi(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+) < \chi(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G})$ for any finite presentation \mathcal{G} of E/K .*

Proof $\chi(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}) = 1 - \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}) \geq 1 - \text{Def}(E/K) > 1 - \text{Def}(E) = 1 - \text{Def}(\varepsilon) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+)$. \square

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

with E, G finitely presented. Then given a finite presentation for E , the images in G of the generators will generate G . We may present G on these generators with a finite set of relators [3, Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. Let k_1, \dots, k_r denote the elements of K represented by these relators. Then K is the normal closure in E of k_1, \dots, k_r and so

K is finitely closed in E . In particular $K/[K, K]$ is generated by the k_1, \dots, k_r as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ (where G acts on $K/[K, K]$ by conjugation). Let $\text{rk}_G(K)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate $K/[K, K]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Theorem 4.5 *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a connected finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex X , such that for all finite connected 2–complexes Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ we have $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$.*
- (ii) *There exists a short exact sequence of groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ with E, G finitely presented and:*

$$\text{rk}_G(K) + \text{Def}(G) < \text{Def}(E)$$

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By [Theorem 3.4](#), X is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$ for some finite presentation ε of some group E and some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup K . Let $G = E/K$. We have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

As K is finitely closed, G is finitely presented. As K is perfect we have $\text{rk}_G(K) = 0$. Let \mathcal{G} be some finite presentation of G . We have:

$$1 - \text{Def}(\varepsilon) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+) < \chi(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}) = 1 - \text{Def}(\mathcal{G})$$

Thus $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}) < \text{Def}(\varepsilon)$. As \mathcal{G} was chosen arbitrarily, we have $\text{Def}(G) < \text{Def}(\varepsilon) \leq \text{Def}(E)$. Hence $0 + \text{Def}(G) < \text{Def}(E)$ as required.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) We start with the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in K$ generate $K/[K, K]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where $r = \text{rk}_G(K)$. Let K' denote the normal closure in E of k_1, \dots, k_r . Then we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow K/K' \rightarrow E/K' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

Then $K = K'[K, K]$ so K/K' is perfect. From the discussion preceding this theorem we know that K is finitely closed in E , so K/K' must be finitely closed in E/K' . Also E/K' may be presented by taking a minimal presentation of E and adding r relators (representing to k_1, \dots, k_r). Hence:

$$\text{Def}(E/K') \geq \text{Def}(E) - \text{rk}_G(K) > \text{Def}(G)$$

Take a minimal presentation ε of E/K' and let $X = \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^+$, where $+$ is taken with respect to K/K' . Any finite connected 2–complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{G}$ for some finite presentation \mathcal{G} of G . Therefore by [Lemma 4.4](#) we have $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$ as required. □

We note that Michael Dyer proved (ii) \Rightarrow (i) in the case where $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ and E is a free group whose generators are the generating set for some minimal presentation of G [6, Theorem 3.5].

References

- [1] **F R Beyl, N Waller**, *Examples of exotic free 2-complexes and stably free nonfree modules for quaternion groups*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 8 (2008) 1–17 [MR2377275](#)
- [2] **M R Bridson, M Tweedale**, *Deficiency and abelianized deficiency of some virtually free groups*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 143 (2007) 257–264 [MR2364648](#)
- [3] **D E Cohen**, *Combinatorial group theory: a topological approach*, *London Math. Soc. Student Texts 14*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1989) [MR1020297](#)
- [4] **T Edwards**, *Generalised Swan modules and the $D(2)$ problem*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 6 (2006) 71–89 [MR2199454](#)
- [5] **R H Fox**, *Free differential calculus. V. The Alexander matrices re-examined*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 71 (1960) 408–422 [MR0111781](#)
- [6] **J Harlander**, *Some aspects of efficiency*, from: “Groups—Korea '98 (Pusan)”, (Y G Baik, D L Johnson, A C Kim, editors), de Gruyter, Berlin (2000) 165–180 [MR1751092](#)
- [7] **F E A Johnson**, *Explicit homotopy equivalences in dimension two*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 133 (2002) 411–430 [MR1919714](#)
- [8] **F E A Johnson**, *Stable modules and the $D(2)$ -problem*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* 301, Cambridge Univ. Press (2003) [MR2012779](#)
- [9] **W H Mannan**, *The $D(2)$ property for D_8* , *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 7 (2007) 517–528 [MR2308955](#)
- [10] **J Rosenberg**, *Algebraic K -theory and its applications*, *Graduate Texts in Math.* 147, Springer, New York (1994) [MR1282290](#)
- [11] **J R Stallings**, *On torsion-free groups with infinitely many ends*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 88 (1968) 312–334 [MR0228573](#)
- [12] **R G Swan**, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, *J. Algebra* 12 (1969) 585–610 [MR0240177](#)
- [13] **C T C Wall**, *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 81 (1965) 56–69 [MR0171284](#)

Building 54, School of Mathematics, University of Southampton
University Road, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

wajid@mannan.info

Received: 21 May 2008 Revised: 21 February 2009