

# PROPAGATION OF ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR WEAKLY HYPERBOLIC SEMI-LINEAR EQUATIONS

By

Yasuo YUZAWA

**Abstract.** We consider a weakly hyperbolic operator with constant coefficients. We shall derive a priori estimates for it and by applying the estimate we prove local existence of the solution of semi-linear Cauchy problem and investigate the propagation of analyticity of the solutions.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the linear partial differential operator of order  $m$  with constant coefficients

$$P = P(D_t, D_x) = D_t^m + \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m, j < m} a_{j,\alpha} D_t^j D_x^\alpha$$

in the  $n+1$  variables  $(t, x)$ , where  $D_t = -i\partial/\partial t$ ,  $D_{x_k} = -i\partial/\partial x_k$  and  $D_x = (D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_n})$ . Let  $\tau_{m,j}(\xi)$  be the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $P(\tau, \xi) = \tau^m + \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m, j < m} a_{j,\alpha} \tau^j \xi^\alpha$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $s \geq 1$ . A differential operator  $P$  with a symbol  $P(\tau, \xi)$  is said to be  $s$ -hyperbolic with respect to  $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  if there exists a non-negative constant  $C$  such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \tau_{m,j}(\xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where  $\langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$ . Especially, when  $s = \infty$ , that is  $1/s = 0$ ,  $P$  is said to be hyperbolic with respect to  $t$ .

---

Received May 11, 2000.

Revised September 13, 2000.

E. Larsson introduced the  $s$ -hyperbolicity in [8] and solved the Cauchy problem for  $s$ -hyperbolic operators in Gevrey classes by using Laplace transformation. In this paper we shall obtain semi-group estimates of the solution to the Cauchy problem for  $s$ -hyperbolic operators and moreover by applying this estimates we can investigate propagation of analyticity of solutions to the Cauchy problem.

We consider the following  $m + 1$  polynomials  $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, m$ , which result from  $m$  differentiation of  $P(\tau, \xi)$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

$$H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{(m-k)!}{m!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \tau^k} P(\tau, \xi) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-k} (\tau - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)),$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, m$ , where we number the roots  $\tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)$  to be continuous and let each  $H_{m-k}$  be a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol  $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$ . Put  $Hu = (H_0u, H_1u, \dots, H_{m-1}u)$ . We note that  $H_{m-k}$  is  $s$ -hyperbolic if  $P$  is  $s$ -hyperbolic. From each polynomial  $H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi)$  we now create  $m - k$  new polynomials  $P_{m-k-1}^j(\tau, \xi)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m - k$ , of degree  $m - k - 1$ , by crossing out one factor at a time.

$$P_{m-k-1}^j(\tau, \xi) = \prod_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m-k} (\tau - \tau_{m-k,l}(\xi)).$$

From elementary considerations it follows that

$$H_{m-k}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{1}{m-k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} P_{m-k}^j(\tau, \xi)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

We introduce some function spaces, called Gevrey classes, and their norms. For  $\rho \geq 0$ ,  $s > 1$ , and  $m \in \mathbf{R}$ , we define

$$H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{u \in L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^n); \langle \xi \rangle^m e^{\rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi) \in L_\xi^2(\mathbf{R}^n)\},$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  stands for a Fourier transform of  $u(x)$  and for  $\rho < 0$  define  $H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$  as the dual space of  $H_{-\rho,s}^{-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . If  $\rho > 0$ ,  $H_{\rho,s}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is a Hilbert space with a norm  $\|u\|_{H_{\rho,s}^m} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^m e^{\rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2}$ . Put  $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\rho > 0} H_{\rho,s}^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

For a topological space  $X$  we denote by  $C^k([0, T]; X)$  the set of functions which are  $k$  times differentiable in  $X$  with respect to  $t$  in  $[0, T]$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $1 < s < s_0 \leq \infty$ . Assume that  $P$  is  $s_0$ -hyperbolic of order  $m$ . Then for arbitrary  $T > 0$  there are  $\rho_0 > 0$ ,  $\rho_1 < 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that to any  $t \in (0, T)$  and  $l \geq 0$ ,*

$$\|Hu(t, \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho(t),s}} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} \|P_{m-k}^j u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho_0,s}} + \int_0^t \|Pu(t', \cdot)\|_{H^l_{\rho(t'),s}} dt' \right\}$$

for any  $u(t, x) \in C^m([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n))$ , where  $\rho(t) = \rho_1 t + \rho_0$ .

We remark that when  $P$  is  $\infty$ -hyperbolic, that is hyperbolic in the sense of Gårding, a priori estimate of  $P$  was derived by G. Peysers [10], [11]. Applying Theorem 1.1, we can solve the Cauchy problem for semi-linear equations and investigate the propagation of the analyticity of the solutions.

For  $s > 1$  and open set  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\gamma_\rho^{\{s\}}(B)$  the set of all functions satisfying the following condition: there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|D_x^\alpha u(x)| \leq C |\alpha|!^s \rho^{|\alpha|}$$

for any  $x \in B$  and  $\alpha \in N^n$ . Put  $\gamma^{(s)}(B) = \bigcup_{\rho>0} \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$  and  $\gamma^{\{s\}}(B) = \bigcap_{\rho>0} \gamma_\rho^{\{s\}}(B)$ .

For  $\Omega$ , an open domain of  $\mathbf{C}^m$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  the set of all holomorphic functions in  $\Omega$ .

For an open set  $B$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and an open domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , we denote by  $\gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$  the set of all functions which are in Gevrey class with respect to  $x$ -variables and uniformly holomorphic with respect to  $z$ -variables, in the following sense: for any  $K \Subset \Omega$  there exists a constant  $C_K > 0$  such that

$$|D_x^\alpha f(x, z)| \leq C_K \rho^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^s,$$

for all  $x \in B$  and  $z \in K$ .

We consider the following semi-linear Cauchy problem in  $(0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^n$ :

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = F(t, x, Hu) \\ D_i^j u(0, x) = u_j(x) \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $F(t, x, z)$  is complex-valued function. Set  $u^{(0)}(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (it)^j u_j(x)/j!$ .

The function

$$F : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

where  $\Omega$  is open in  $\mathbf{C}^m$  and contains the origin, is assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

(A1)<sub>s</sub>:  $F(t, x, z)$  is continuous in  $t$ , belongs to Gevrey class  $\gamma_{\sigma_1}^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with respect to  $x$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  with respect to  $z$ .

(A2)<sub>s</sub>: There exists a constant  $\sigma_2 > 0$  such that

$$F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot)) \in H^l_{\sigma_2,s}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Then we get the following local existence theorem and investigate the propagation of analyticity of the solutions.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $1 < s \leq s_0$  and an integer  $l > 2n + 1$ . Assume that  $P$  be  $s_0$ -hyperbolic and  $F(t, x, z)$  satisfying  $(A1)_s$  and  $(A2)_s$ . If  $u_j(x)$  belong to  $H^l_{\sigma_1, s}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $Hu^{(0)}(t, x)$  runs in a compact set contained by  $\Omega$ , then there exist  $T_2 \in (0, T)$  such that there exists a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) with  $T = T_2$ .*

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $1 < s \leq s_0$  and  $l$  be sufficiently large. Assume that  $P$  is  $s_0$ -hyperbolic and  $F(t, x, z)$  satisfies  $(A1)_1$  and  $(A2)_1$ , and besides assume that there exists  $u(t, x) \in C^m([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n))$  a solution of Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data  $u_j(x) \in L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then if all initial values  $u_j(x)$  are analytic, that is there exists  $r > 0$  such that for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ ,*

$$|D_x^\alpha u_j(x)| \leq r^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \tag{1.2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ , then there exists  $r' > 0$  such that

$$|D_x^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq r'^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \tag{1.3}$$

for any  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ .

Several results of the propagation of analyticity are known for non-linear hyperbolic equations. S. Alinhac and G. Métivier [1] studied for strictly hyperbolic case. S. Spagnolo [12] treated a second order degenerate hyperbolic equations and M. Cicognani and L. Zanghirati treated a higher order hyperbolic equations with constant multiplicity. P. D’Ancona and S. Spagnolo [3] investigated the propagation of analyticity for non-uniformly symmetrizable systems and K. Kajitani and K. Yamaguti [7] treated uniformly symmetrizable systems.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we mention the fundamental properties for Gevrey classes. Throughout the paper, we denote  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{(l)} = \|\cdot\|_{H^l}$ , that is Sobolev’s norm. For  $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_m(x))$  we denote  $\|v\| = \|v_1\| + \dots + \|v_m\|$ . We introduce the semi-norms for  $\gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$  and  $\gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$  as follows: for  $u \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$ ,

$$|u|_{\rho, s, B} = \sup_{x \in B, \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n} \frac{|D_x^\alpha u(x)| \rho^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!^s},$$

and for  $f \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ ,

$$|f|_{\rho,s,B;K} = \sup_{x \in B, z \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{|D_x^\alpha f(x, z)| \rho^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|!^s},$$

where  $K$  is a compact set of  $\Omega$ . Now, we state some well-known facts of their classes.

LEMMA 2.1. (i) Let  $a(x) \in \gamma_\rho^{(s)}(B)$ . Then for any  $\rho' \in (0, \rho)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $D_x^\alpha a(x)$  belongs to  $\gamma_{\rho'}^{(s)}(B)$  and there exists positive constants  $C$  and  $\sigma = \sigma(\rho, \rho', s)$  such that

$$|D_x^\alpha a|_{\rho',s,B} \leq C |a|_{\rho,s,B} |\alpha|!^s \sigma^{-|\alpha|},$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\rho, \rho'$  and  $a$ .

(ii) Let  $f(x, z)$  be in  $\gamma_{\sigma_1}^{(s)}(B; \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ ,  $v_j(x)$  in  $\gamma_{\sigma_2}^{(s)}(B)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Set  $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_m(x))$  and  $|v|_{\sigma_2,s,B} = \sum_{j=1}^m |v_j|_{\sigma_2,s,B}$ . Assume that  $v(x)$  runs in  $K$ , a compact set of  $\Omega$ , for all  $x \in B$ . Then, there exists a constant  $\sigma_3 = \sigma_3(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \rho_K, n, |v|_{\sigma_2,s,B})$ , where  $\rho_K$  is the convergence radius of  $f(x, \cdot)$ , such that  $f(x, v(x)) \in \gamma_{\sigma_3}^{(s)}(B)$  and satisfies

$$|f(\cdot, v(\cdot))|_{\sigma_3,s,B} \leq C_{n,m} |f|_{\sigma_1,s,B;K},$$

where  $C_{n,m}$  depends only on the dimensions  $n$  and  $m$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $S^m$  the usual symbol class of order  $m$ , and introduce the semi-norms as follows: for  $a \in S^m$

$$|a|_l^{(m)} = \sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \frac{|a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}},$$

where  $a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$  means  $D_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)$ . Next we define the symbols of Gevrey class in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $s \geq 1$  and  $A > 0$ , we denote by  $\gamma_A^s S^m$  the set  $\{a \in S^m; \text{satisfying that for any } l \in \mathbb{N},$

$$|a|_{A,s,l}^{(m)} = \sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \frac{|a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| A^{|\beta|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} |\beta|!^s} < \infty \},$$

and let  $\gamma^s S^m = \bigcap_{A>0} \gamma_A^s S^m$ . We note that  $\gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is contained in  $\gamma_A^s S^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

For  $\rho > 0$  we define  $e^{\rho \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$  by

$$e^{\rho \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi + \rho \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

for  $u \in H_{\rho,s}^m$ .

Let  $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \rho(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}$ , where  $\rho(t)$  is a positive decreasing function on  $[0, T]$ . We denote by  $e^\Lambda C^k([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l)$  the set of functions satisfying  $e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(t, x) \in C^k([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. (i) Assume that  $l$  is large enough. Then there exists a constant  $C_l$  such that

$$\|uv\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \leq C_l \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l}$$

for any  $u, v \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l$ , where  $C_l$  is independent of  $u$  and  $v$ .

(ii)  $e^{\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$  maps from  $\mathbf{H}_{\rho',s}^l$  to  $\mathbf{H}_{\rho'-\rho,s}^l$  continuously.

(iii) a pseudo-differential operator  $a(x, D_x) \in \gamma^s S^m$  maps from  $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l$  to  $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^{l-m}$  continuously.

(iv) Let  $a_\rho(x, D_x) = e^{-\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} a(x, D_x) e^{\rho\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}}$  for  $a \in \gamma_A^s S^m$ . If  $|\rho| \leq (48n^{2/s})^{-1} A^{1/s}$ , then  $a_\rho(x, D_x)$  belongs to  $S^m$  and satisfies

$$|a_\rho|_l^{(m)} \leq C_l |a|_{A,s,l}^{(m)},$$

where  $C_l$  is independent of  $a$ .

(v) If  $|\rho| \leq (48n^{2/s})^{-1} A^{1/s}$ , then

$$\|au\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l} \leq C_n |a|_{A,s,\mathbf{R}^n} \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l}$$

for any  $a(x) \in \gamma_A^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

The proof of this lemma is given in Proposition 2.3 of [6].

### 3. A Priori Estimate

We shall derive a priori estimate in Gevrey class  $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $s$ -hyperbolic equation. Since all  $H_{m-k}$  are  $s$ -hyperbolic with respect to  $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , there is a  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (3.1)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m-k$ , and  $k = 0, \dots, m$ .

Put  $v(t, x) = e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} u(t, x)$ , where  $\rho(t) = \rho_1 t + \rho_0$  and we define  $\hat{u}(t, \xi)$  by the Fourier transform of  $u(t, x)$  with respect to  $x$ . Then we have

$$e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} P(D_t, D_x) u(t, x) = P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}, D_x) v(t, x).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{m-k} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (m-k)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \left[ \prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} (m-k)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \left| \left[ \prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2 \\
 &\quad + (m-k)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k} ((\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,l}(\xi)) \\
 &\quad \times \left| \left[ \prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.1), for any  $C_0 > 0$  there exists a negative constant  $\rho_1$  such that to any  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m - k$ ,

$$\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} - \operatorname{Im} \tau_{m-k,j}(\xi) \leq -C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Put

$$K_m(t, \xi) = |P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)|^2,$$

$$K_{m-k}(t, \xi) = (m-k+1)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k+1} \left| \left[ \prod_{j \neq l} (D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle - \tau_{m-k+1,j}(\xi)) \right] \hat{v}(t, \xi) \right|^2$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

We note that by virtue of Schwarz' inequality,

$$K_{m-k}(t, \xi) \geq |H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)|^2 \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) + mC_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) \\
 & \leq -\operatorname{Im} \left\{ (H_{m-k}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying  $\langle \xi \rangle^{2l}$  and integrating with respect to  $\xi$  over  $\mathbf{R}^n$  both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_\xi^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi + mC_0 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\
& \leq - \sum_{k=1}^m \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} (H_{m-k+1} \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-k} \hat{v}(t, \xi)}) \} d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\
& \quad - \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \overline{H_{m-1}(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)} \right\} d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $C_0$  is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi \\
& \leq \| \langle \xi \rangle^l P(D_t + i\rho_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}, \xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi) \| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l} \sum_{k=1}^m K_{m-k}(t, \xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Gronwall's inequality, we have Theorem 1.1.

We note that if  $u_j(x) \equiv 0$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{m-k+1} \| P_{m-k}^j u(0, \cdot) \|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho_0, s}^l} = 0$ .

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Consider the following Cauchy problem in  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ :*

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = f(t, x) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = u_j(x) \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

For any  $T > 0$  there exists  $\Lambda(t, \xi) = (\rho_1 t + \rho_0) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/s}$  such that there exists a unique solution of this problem in  $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$  for any  $f(t, x) \in e^\Lambda C([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$  and  $u_j(x) \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho_0, s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

#### 4. Local Existence Theorem

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 by using standard contraction mapping method.

At first, we shall prove this theorem in the case all  $u_j(x) \equiv 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = G(t, x, Hu) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$



We define for  $T_1 \in (0, T]$  and  $M > 0$ ,

$$X_{T_1, M} = \left\{ u(t, x); Hu(t, x) \in e^\Lambda C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n)) \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \|u\|_{X_{T_1}} = \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} \|e^{\rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}} Hu(t, x)\|_{(l)} \leq M \right\},$$

where  $\rho(t)$  is given by Theorem 1.1, depending on  $T_1$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let an integer  $l$  be large enough. Assume that  $G(t, x, z)$  satisfies the following conditions:*

(B1)<sub>s</sub>: *there exists a constant  $\mu_1 > 0$  such that  $G(t, x, z) \in C([0, T_1]; \gamma_{\mu_1}^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathcal{O}(\Omega)))$ , where  $\Omega$  is open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbf{C}^m$ .*

(B2)<sub>s</sub>: *there exists a constant  $\mu_2 > 0$  such that  $G(t, x, 0) \in C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}_{\mu_2, s}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ .*

*Then there exist constants  $M > 0$  and  $T_1 > 0$  such that  $G(t, x, w(t, x))$  belongs to  $e^\Lambda C([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$  for any  $w(t, x)$  in  $X_{T_1, M}$ , where  $\Lambda = (\rho_1 t + \rho_0)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$  is given in Theorem 1.1.*

PROOF. Let  $K$  be a compact neighborhood of the origin contained in  $\Omega$ . Since  $G$  satisfies the conditions (B1)<sub>s</sub>, there exists a constant  $\rho_K$  such that for any  $|z| < \rho_K$ ,  $G$  can expand into power series of  $z$ :

$$G(t, x, z) = G(t, x, 0) + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, x, 0) z^\alpha.$$

By virtue of Sobolev's lemma, we pick  $M > 0$  small enough, hence that  $|Hw(t, x)| < \rho_K$  for any  $(t, x) \in [0, T_1] \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then,

$$\|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, Hw(t, \cdot))\|_{(l)} \leq \|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, 0)\|_{(l)} + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \|e^\Lambda (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0) \cdot (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)}. \tag{4.2}$$

From the assumption (B2)<sub>s</sub> and Lemma 2.2, we pick  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $T_1 > 0$  small enough, if necessary, hence that  $\|e^\Lambda G(t, \cdot, 0)\|_{(l)}$  is bounded and moreover,

$$\|e^\Lambda (\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0) \cdot (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)} \leq C_n |(\partial_z^\alpha G)(t, \cdot, 0)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n} \|e^\Lambda (Hw(t, \cdot))^\alpha\|_{(l)} \\ \leq C_n |G(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \alpha! \rho_K^{-|\alpha|} \tilde{C}_l^{|\alpha|-1} \|e^\Lambda Hw(t, \cdot)\|_{(l)}^{|\alpha|} \\ \leq C_{n, l} \left( \frac{\tilde{C}_l M}{\rho_K} \right)^{|\alpha|} \alpha! |G(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K}.$$

Therefore we pick  $M$  small enough again, if necessary, hence that the right hand side of (4.2) converges. Thus the proof of Lemma 4.1 is finished. ■

For  $w \in X_{T_1, M}$  we denote an operator  $\Phi$  from  $X_{T_1, M}$  to  $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$  by  $\Phi(w) = u$  which is a solution of the following Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{cases} P(D)u(t, x) = G(t, x, Hw) \\ D_t^j u(0, x) = 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

From Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we have a unique solution in  $e^\Lambda C^m([0, T_1]; \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ . Moreover,

LEMMA 4.2. *There exist  $T_2 \in (0, T_1]$  and  $M > 0$  such that*

- (i)  $\Phi$  is a mapping from  $X_{T_2, M}$  into itself.
- (ii)

$$\|\Phi(v) - \Phi(v')\|_{X_{T_2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v - v'\|_{X_{T_2}}$$

for any  $v, v' \in X_{T_2, M}$ .

PROOF. Let  $v$  belong to  $X_{T_1, M}$  and  $u$  be  $\Phi(v)$ . From Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^\Lambda Hu(t, \cdot)\|_{(t)} &\leq C_n \int_0^t \|e^\Lambda G(t', \cdot, Hv)\|_{(t)} dt' \\ &\leq C_{n, l} \int_0^t \{ \|e^\Lambda G(t', \cdot, 0)\|_{(t)} + |G(t', \cdot, \cdot)|_{\sigma_{2, s, \mathbf{R}^n; K}} \} dt' \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t \in [0, T_1]$ . Therefore we pick  $T_2 \in (0, T_1]$  small enough, then  $\|e^\Lambda G(t, x, Hv)\|_{(t)} \leq M$  for all  $v \in X_{T_2, M}$ , so that (i) is proved. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^\Lambda(\Phi(v) - \Phi(v'))\|_{(t)} \\ &\leq \int_0^{T_2} \left\| e^\Lambda \int_0^1 \nabla_y G(t', x, Hv' + \theta(Hv - Hv')) d\theta \cdot (Hv - Hv') \right\|_{(t)} dt' \\ &\leq CT_2 \|v - v'\|_{X_{T_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $T_2$ . Then choose small  $T_2$  again, if necessary,  $CT_2 < 1/2$ . Thus the proof of (ii) is finished. ■

Hence, there exists a unique solution of Cauchy problem (4.1) in  $X_{T_2, M}$  by virtue of the fixed point theorem. In order to solve the general case, the Cauchy problem (1.1), we change the unknown function  $w(t, x) = u(t, x) - u^{(0)}(t, x)$ . Then we can reduce the problem (1.1) to (4.3) by the next Lemma. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Assume that  $F(t, x, z)$  satisfies the conditions  $(A1)_s$  and  $(A2)_s$ . Then there exist constants  $T' > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that for any  $w(t, x) \in X_{T', M}$ ,  $G(t, x, z) = F(t, x, z + w(t, x))$  satisfies the conditions  $(B1)_s$  and  $(B2)_s$  in Lemma 4.1.*

In order to prove Lemma 4.3, we essentially use Lemma 2.1. We omit the proof of this lemma.

### 5. Propagation of Analyticity

We introduce semi-norms in  $C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ . Let an integer  $N \geq 2$  and a real number  $r \in (0, 1]$ . We denote

$$|u|_{r, N}^{t_0, t_1} = \sup_{t' \in (t_0, t_1], 2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} \frac{\|D_x^\beta u\|_{H_{\rho(t'), s}'} r^{|\beta|-2}}{\Gamma_2(|\beta|)}$$

for  $u \in C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ , where  $\rho(t)$  is a positive decreasing function,  $\Gamma_2(k) = \lambda_0 k! k^{-2}$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $\Gamma_2(0) = \lambda_0$ . We can pick  $\lambda_0$  such that

$$\sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \Gamma_2(|\alpha'| + k) \Gamma_2(|\alpha - \alpha'|) \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha| + k)$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ . In brief we write  $|u|_{r, N} = |u|_{r, N}^{t_0, t_1}$  if there is no confusion.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $v_i \in C([t_0, t_1]; L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and we denote  $v^\beta = v_1^{\beta_1} v_2^{\beta_2} \dots v_n^{\beta_n}$  for  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^n$ . Then there is a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

(i) for  $2 \leq |\beta| \leq N$ ,

$$|v^\beta|_{r, N} \leq C_0^{|\beta|-1} \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{H_{\rho(t'), s}^{t+1}} + r^2 |v|_{r, N} \right)^{|\beta|-1} |v|_{r, N}$$

and

(ii) for  $|\beta| > N$ ,

$$|v^\beta|_{r,N} \leq C_0^{|\beta|-1} \sup_{2 \leq j \leq N} \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{l+1}} \right)^{|\beta|-j} \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{l+1}} + r^2 |v|_{r,N} \right)^{|\alpha|-1} |v|_{r,N} \right. \\ \left. + \sup_{2 \leq j \leq N} \left( \sup_{t_0 \leq t' \leq t_1} \|v(t', \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t'),s}^{l+1}} \right)^j \right\}$$

where constant  $C_0$  depends only on the dimension  $n$ .

(iii) Let  $a \in \gamma^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , that is an entire function, and  $v \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then for any  $r \in (0, 1]$  and  $N \geq 2$ ,

$$|a(x)v(x)|_{r,N} \leq C_n |a|_{\rho',1,\mathbf{R}^n} |v|_{r,N},$$

where  $\rho' = \max\{5r, n(\rho(0)/24)^s\}$  and the constant  $C_n$  depends only on the dimension  $n$ .

The proof of this lemma can be seen K. Kajitani and K. Yamaguti [7]. The last term in the right hand side of (iii) of Lemma 5.1 is lacked in Lemma 3.1 in [7].

Now, we shall prove Theorem 1.3. From the assumption, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t, \cdot) - u(k\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t),s}^{l+1}} < \varepsilon$$

for  $t \in [k\tau, (k+1)\tau]$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\tau] - 1$  and  $t \in [[T/\tau], T]$ , where  $[x]$  stands for the greatest integer not greater than  $x$ . From the assumption (1.2), there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|D_x^\alpha H u^{(0)}(k\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\rho(k\tau),s}^l} \leq C r_1^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!.$$

Put  $v(t, x) = u(t, x) - u^{(0)}(t, x)$ . Then

$$Pv(t, x) = F(t, x, Hu(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x) = F(t, x, Hv(t, x) + Hu^{(0)}(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x).$$

We define  $G(t, x, z) = F(t, x, z + Hu^{(0)}(t, x)) - Pu^{(0)}(t, x)$ , and by Lemma 4.3,  $G(t, x, z)$  satisfies (B1)<sub>1</sub> and (B2)<sub>1</sub>. To differentiate both sides, then we have

$$PD_x^\alpha v(t, x) = D_x^\alpha (F(t, x, Hv(t, x) + Hu^{(0)}(t, x))) - PD_x^\alpha u^{(0)}(t, x),$$

and we denote  $G_\alpha$  by the right hand side. Now  $D_t^j v(0, x) = 0$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , therefore from Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$\|e^\Lambda H D_x^\alpha v(t, \cdot)\|_{(I)} \leq \int_0^t \|e^\Lambda G_\alpha(t, x)\|_{(I)} dt' \tag{5.1}$$

for any  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , where  $\Lambda = \rho(t)\langle D_x \rangle^{1/s}$  is given by Theorem 1.1. For simplicity we write  $\|u\|_{(\rho(t))} = \|e^\Lambda u\|_{(I)}$ . By virtue of Lemma 5.1, for any  $2 \leq \alpha \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \leq \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} \|D_x^\alpha (\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot)) (Hv(t, \cdot))^\beta)\|_{(\rho(t))} \\ & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|) r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} |(\partial_z^\beta F)(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot)) (Hv(t, \cdot))^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \\ & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|) r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\beta > 0} \beta!^{-1} |\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \\ & = \Gamma_2(|\alpha|) r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r, N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C \left\{ \sum_{0 < |\beta| < 2} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} + \sum_{|\beta| > N} \right\} \beta!^{-1} |\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r, N} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1 \geq \max\{5r, n(\rho(0)/24)^s\}$ . From the assumption, for fixed  $t$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  such that  $\{Hu^{(0)}(t, x); x \in \mathbf{R}^n\} \subset K$ . Then by Lemma 4.3, there exists a constant  $v_2 > 0$  such that

$$|\partial_z^\beta F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{v_1, 1, \mathbf{R}^n} \leq C_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \beta! v_1^{-|\beta|}$$

for any  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^m$ . For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + C \left\{ \sum_{|\beta|=1} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} + \sum_{|\beta| > N} \right\} v_1^{-|\beta|} |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} |(Hv)^\beta|_{r,N} \right\} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \right. \\
 & \quad \left\{ |Hv|_{r,N} + \sum_{2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} v_1^{-|\beta|} C_0^{|\beta|-1} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^{|\beta|-1} |Hv|_{r,N} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{|\beta| > N} v_1^{-|\beta|} C_0^{|\beta|-1} \varepsilon^{|\beta|-2} \left\{ \sum_{2 \leq |\gamma| \leq N} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^{|\gamma|-1} |Hv|_{r,N} + \varepsilon^2 \right\} \right\} \right\} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C'_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + r^2 |Hv|_{r,N})^j |Hv|_{r,N} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose  $r = r(t) = r_0 e^{-t}$ , where  $0 < r_0 \leq 1$ . Denote

$$y_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} r(t') |Hv|_{r(t'), N},$$

where

$$|v|_{r(t), N} = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq \tau, 2 \leq |\beta| \leq N} \{ \|D_x^\beta v\|_{H_{\rho(t'), s}'} r(t')^{|\beta|-2} \Gamma_2(|\beta|)^{-1} \}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|D_x^\alpha F(t, \cdot, Hv(t, \cdot) + Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))\|_{(\rho(t))} \\
 & \leq \Gamma_2(|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|+2} \left\{ C_1 \left( 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t))^j |Hv|_{r(t), N} \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 = |F(t, \cdot, Hu^{(0)}(t, \cdot))|_{r,N} + C'_n |F(t, \cdot, \cdot)|_{v_2, 1, \mathbf{R}^n; K}$ . Thus from (5.1),

$$|Hv|_{r(t), N} \leq C \int_0^t \left( 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t'))^j |Hv|_{r(t'), N} \right) dt',$$

then

$$y_N(t) \leq C \int_0^t \left( r(t') + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon + y_N(t'))^j y_N(t') \right) dt'.$$

From this inequality, we have  $y_N(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , if we choose  $r_0 > 0$  small enough. In fact, assume that there is  $t_1 \in [0, \tau]$  such that  $y_N(t_1) = \varepsilon$  and  $y_N(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in (0, t_1)$ . Since  $y_N(0) = 0$ , we have  $t_1 > 0$ . It follows from (5.1) that

$$y_N(t) \leq C \left( r_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon} y_N(t') \right) dt'$$

for  $t \in [0, t_1)$ . We note that the constants  $C$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $r_0$  can be chosen independent of  $N$ . Therefore we obtain  $y_N(t) \leq Cr_0 \exp(Ct/(1 - 2\varepsilon))$  for  $t \in [0, t_1)$ . This contradicts  $y_N(t_1) = \varepsilon$ , if we choose  $r_0 > 0$  small enough.

Thus we can get  $y_N(t) \leq \varepsilon$  for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . By induction, there is a constant  $r' > 0$  such that  $|D_x^\alpha v(t, x)| \leq Cr'^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$  and consequently Theorem 1.3 is proved.

### References

- [1] S. Alinhac-G. Métivier, Propagation de l'analyticité des solutions de systèmes hyperboliques nonlinéaires, *Inv. Math.* **75** (1984), pp. 189–203.
- [2] M. Cicognani-L. Zanghirati, Analytic regularity for solutions of nonlinear weakly hyperbolic equations, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7)* **11** (1997), pp. 643–679.
- [3] P. D'Ancona-S. Spagnolo, Quasi-symmetrization of hyperbolic systems and propagation of the analytic regularity, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **1** (1998), pp. 169–185.
- [4] L. Gårding, Linear hyperbolic partial differential equations with constant coefficients, *Acta. Math.* **85** (1950), pp. 1–62.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Academic Press, New York/London (1963).
- [6] K. Kajitani, Cauchy problem for nonstrictly hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes, *J. Math. Kyoto. Univ.* **23-3** (1983), pp. 599–616.
- [7] K. Kajitani-K. Yamaguti, Propagation of analyticity of the solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear symmetrizable systems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **28** (1999), pp. 471–487.
- [8] E. Larsson, Generalized hyperbolicity, *Ark. Mat.* **7** (1967), pp. 11–32.
- [9] S. Mizohata, *The theory of partial differential equations*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo (1965).
- [10] G. Peysner, Energy inequalities for hyperbolic equations in several variables with multiple characteristics and constant coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), pp. 478–490.
- [11] ———, Hyperbolic systems with multiple characteristics, *J. Differential Equations.* **9** (1971), pp. 509–520.
- [12] S. Spagnolo, Some results of analytic regularity for the semi-linear weakly hyperbolic equations of the second order, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, Fascicolo speciale* (1988), pp. 203–229.
- [13] L. Svensson, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the hyperbolicity of polynomials with hyperbolic principal part, *Ark. Mat.* **8** (1968), pp. 145–162.