

## THE $H_\infty$ -WELLPOSED CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR SCHRÖDINGER TYPE EQUATIONS

By

Akio BABA

### § 1. Introduction.

We study the Cauchy problem for a Schrödinger type operator

$$L = L(t, x, D_t, D_x) = D_t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (D_j - a_j(t, x))^2 + c(t, x),$$

where  $a_j(t, x), c(t, x) \in C_t^0([0, T] ; \mathcal{B}^\infty(R^n))$ , ( $T > 0$ ),  $a_j(t, x) = a_j^R(t, x) + i a_j^I(t, x)$  ( $a_j^R(t, x)$  and  $a_j^I(t, x)$  are real valued functions) for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $D_t = -i\partial/\partial t$ ,  $D_j = -i\partial/\partial x_j$ . Here  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(R^n)$  denotes the set of  $C^\infty$ -functions whose derivatives of any order are all bounded in  $R^n$  and  $g(t, x) \in C_t^k([0, T] ; X)$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) means that the mapping:  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto g(t) \in X$  is  $k$ -times continuously differentiable in the topology of  $X$ .

In this paper we give a sufficient condition for the Cauchy problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} L(t, x, D_t, D_x)u(t, x) = f(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n, \quad (T > 0), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in R^n \end{cases}$$

to be  $H_\infty$ -wellposed in  $[0, T]$  ( $T > 0$ ), where  $H_s$  denotes the Sobolev space of order  $s$  and  $H_\infty \equiv \bigcap_{-\infty < s < \infty} H_s$ .

We say that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is  $H_\infty$ -wellposed in  $[0, T]$  if for any initial data  $u_0 \in H_\infty$  and  $f(t, x) \in C_t^0([0, T] ; H_\infty)$  there exists a unique solution  $u(t, x) \in C_t^1([0, T] ; H_\infty)$ , and for any  $s \in R^1$  there exist constants  $C(s, T) > 0$  and  $s' \in R^1$  such that the energy inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{(s)} \leq C(s, T) \left\{ \|u_0\|_{(s')} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau, \cdot)\|_{(s')} d\tau \right\}$$

holds for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Here,  $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{(s)}$  denotes the  $H_s$  norm.

Let us briefly recall some known facts. In [3], Ichinose obtained a necessary condition of the Cauchy problem (1.1) to be  $H_\infty$ -wellposed. The sufficient conditions for the Cauchy problem (1.1) to be  $H_\infty$ -wellposed are given by Ichinose [2] and Takeuchi [6].

The following theorem, which is the main result of the present paper, gives a sufficient condition for the Cauchy problem (1.1) to be  $H_\infty$ -wellposed.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume that the coefficients  $a_j(t, x)=a_j^R(t, x)+ia_j^I(t, x)$  satisfy*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} |a_j^I(t, x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-1}, \\ |D_x^\alpha a_j(t, x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-1} \end{cases}$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n$  and for any multi-indices  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ) and  $j=1, \dots, n$ , where  $C$  and  $C_\alpha$  are positive constants and  $\langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$ . Then the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is  $H_\infty$ -wellposed in  $[0, T]$ .

**REMARK 1.2.** If there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $a_j(t, x)$  satisfy

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} |a_j^I(t, x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}, \\ |D_x^\alpha a_j(t, x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-1} \end{cases}$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n$  and for any multi-indices  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ) and  $j=1, \dots, n$ , where  $C$  and  $C_\alpha$  are positive constants, then the Cauchy problem (1.1) is  $L^2$ -wellposed in  $[0, T]$ .

**REMARK 1.3.** In the case of  $T < 0$ , we can prove Theorem 1.1 in the same way.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we modify the method given in [5], Ch. 7, § 3. Conjugating  $L$  by a pseudo-differential operator  $K(t, x, D_x)$  with its symbol  $\sigma(K)(t, x, \xi) = \exp A(t, x, \xi)$ , where  $A(t, x, \xi)$  is a solution of the following equation

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \left( D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j \right) A(t, x, \xi) + \frac{iM\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} = 0, \\ A(0, x, \xi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

we reduce  $L$  to  $K^{-1} \circ L \circ K = D_t - P(t)$ . Then taking a parameter  $M > 0$  sufficiently large, we can make the imaginary part of  $P(t)$  nonnegative in  $L^2(R^n)$  and therefore can obtain an energy estimate in  $L^2$ -sense for the operator  $D_t - P(t)$ .

**REMARK 1.4.** If (1.4) is valid, we replace  $\langle x \rangle$  in (1.5) by  $\langle x \rangle^{1+\epsilon}$ . Then  $K(t, x, D_x)$  becomes a bounded operator from  $L^2(R^n)$  to  $L^2(R^n)$ .

Let us sum up the contents of the paper briefly. In Section 2 we shall prove that there exists the inverse operator of  $K$  as a pseudo-differential operator. In Section 3 we shall give the expression of  $P(t)$  and prove Theorem 1.1.

## § 2. Existence of inverse of $e^A$ .

In this section we shall show the existence of inverse operator of  $K = e^A(t, x, D_x)$ . We can solve the solution  $\Lambda(t, x, \xi)$  of (1.5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad \Lambda(t, x, \xi) &= \int_0^t \frac{M \langle \xi \rangle ds}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle} = \frac{\langle \xi \rangle \int_0^{t|\xi|} \frac{Mds}{\langle x - s\omega \rangle}}{|\xi|} \\
&= \frac{\langle \xi \rangle \int_0^{t|\xi|} \frac{Mds}{\sqrt{(s-x \cdot \omega)^2 + |x|^2 - (x \cdot \omega)^2 + 1}}}{|\xi|} \\
&= \frac{\langle \xi \rangle \int_{-x \cdot \omega}^{t|\xi|-x \cdot \omega} \frac{Mds}{\sqrt{s^2 + |x|^2 - (x \cdot \omega)^2 + 1}}}{|\xi|} \\
&= M \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{|\xi|} \log \left\{ \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle + t|\xi| - x \cdot \omega}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} \right\} \\
&= M \log \left\{ \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle + t|\xi| - x \cdot \omega}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} \right\} \\
&\quad + M \left( \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{|\xi|} - 1 \right) \log \left\{ \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle + t|\xi| - x \cdot \omega}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} \right\} \\
&\equiv \Lambda_0(t, x, \xi) + \Lambda_1(t, x, \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = \xi / |\xi|$  ( $\xi \neq 0$ ).

REMARK 2.1. If (1.4) is valid, we take  $\Lambda(t, x, \xi)$  as

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda(t, x, \xi) = \int_0^t \frac{M \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle^{1+\varepsilon}} ds.$$

Then we can see easily  $|\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} t^{|\alpha|}$  for any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta, t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ , where  $\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(t, x, \xi) = \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \Lambda(t, x, \xi)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. One can find  $C > 0$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda(t, x, \xi) \leq CM(1 + \log \langle t\xi \rangle)$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $(x, \xi) \in R^{2n}$ .

PROOF. When  $\langle x \rangle \geq 2t|\xi|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(t, x, \xi) &= \int_0^t \frac{M \langle \xi \rangle ds}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle} \leq \int_0^t \frac{M \sqrt{2} \langle \xi \rangle ds}{1 + |x| - t|\xi|} \\
&\leq \int_0^t \frac{M \sqrt{2} \langle \xi \rangle}{1 + t|\xi|} ds \leq CM.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $\langle x \rangle \leq 2t|\xi|$ , since

$$\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} = \frac{\langle x \rangle + x \cdot \omega}{\langle x \rangle^2 - (x \cdot \omega)^2} \leq 2\langle x \rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(t, x, \xi) &= M \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{|\xi|} \log \left\{ \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle + t|\xi| - x \cdot \omega}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} \right\} \\ &\leq M \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{|\xi|} \log \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{2}\langle t\xi \rangle + 2|x|}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot \omega} \right\} \leq CM \log \langle t\xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.3. *One can find  $C > 0$  and positive integer  $l$  such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \exp A(t, x+y, \xi) \leq e^{CM}(1+|y|+t|\eta|)^{Ml}, \\ \exp A(t, x, \xi+\eta) \leq e^{CM}(1+|y|+t|\eta|)^{Ml} \end{cases}$$

for  $|x| \geq 4t|\xi|$ ,  $x, y, \xi, \eta \in R^n$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $M > 0$ .

PROOF. When  $|x| \geq 2|y|$ , since  $|x+y| \geq |x|-|y| \geq |x|/2 \geq 2t|\xi|$ , we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A(t, x+y, \xi) &= \int_0^t \frac{M\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x+y-s\xi \rangle} ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{2}M\langle \xi \rangle}{1+|x+y|-t|\xi|} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{2}M\langle \xi \rangle}{1+t|\xi|} ds \leq CM. \end{aligned}$$

When  $|x| \leq 2|y|$ , since  $|y| \geq |x|/2 \geq 2t|\xi|$ , (2.3) implies

$$(2.6) \quad A(t, x+y, \xi) \leq CM \log \langle t\xi \rangle \leq C'M \log \langle y \rangle.$$

When  $|\xi| \leq |\eta|$ , it follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.7) \quad A(t, x, \xi+\eta) \leq CM \log \langle t(\xi+\eta) \rangle \leq C'M \log \langle t\eta \rangle.$$

When  $|\xi| \geq |\eta|$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} A(t, x, \xi+\eta) &= \int_0^t \frac{M\langle \xi+\eta \rangle}{\langle x-s(\xi+\eta) \rangle} ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{2\sqrt{2}M\langle \xi \rangle}{1+|x|-t|\xi|-t|\eta|} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{2\sqrt{2}M\langle \xi \rangle}{1+2t|\xi|} ds \leq CM. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Now we put

$$(2.9) \quad f(x, \xi) = \langle x \rangle - x \cdot \left( \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right).$$

LEMMA 2.4. *One can find  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \left( \frac{f(x', \xi + \eta)}{f(x', \xi)} \right)^{\pm 1} \leq C \langle \eta \rangle^4$$

for  $|x| \leq 4t|\xi|$ ,  $x, \xi, \eta \in R^n$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $x' = x$  or  $x - t\xi$ .

PROOF. Since  $1/3 \leq f(x', \xi) \leq 3$  for  $|x'| \leq 1$ , (2.10) is trivial for  $|x'| \leq 1$ . When  $x' \cdot \xi \leq 0$ , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{f(x', \xi + \eta)}{f(x', \xi)} = \frac{\langle x' \rangle - x' \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)}{\langle x' \rangle - x' \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)} \leq \frac{2\langle x' \rangle}{\langle x' \rangle} \leq 2.$$

From now on we assume that  $x' \cdot \xi \geq 0$  and  $|x'| \geq 1$ . Using the Taylor's formula, we may write

$$(2.12) \quad f(x', \xi + \eta) = f(x', \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n f_{\xi_j}(x', \xi) \eta_j + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-\theta}{\alpha!} f^{(\alpha)}(x', \xi + \theta\eta) \eta^\alpha d\theta,$$

where  $f_{\xi_j} = \partial f / \partial \xi_j$  and  $f^{(\alpha)} = \partial_x^\alpha f$ . Since  $|x'| \leq 5t|\xi|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-\theta}{\alpha!} f^{(\alpha)}(x', \xi + \theta\eta) \eta^\alpha d\theta / f(x', \xi) \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C|x'|}{(\langle x' \rangle - x' \cdot (\xi/|\xi|))} \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^2}{\langle \xi + \theta\eta \rangle^2} d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C(\langle x' \rangle + x' \cdot (\xi/|\xi|))}{(\langle x' \rangle^2 - (x' \cdot (\xi/|\xi|))^2)} \frac{|x'| \langle \eta \rangle^2}{\langle \xi + \theta\eta \rangle^2} d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C \langle x' \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle^4}{\langle \xi + \theta\eta \rangle^2 \langle \theta\eta \rangle^2} d\theta \leq \frac{C \langle x' \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle^4}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C \langle 5t\xi \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle^4}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \leq C' \langle \eta \rangle^4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad & \frac{f_{\xi_j}(x', \xi)}{f(x', \xi)} = \frac{((x' \cdot \xi)\xi_j - x'_j |\xi|^2)(\langle x' \rangle + (x' \cdot \xi)/|\xi|)}{|\xi|^3(\langle x' \rangle^2 - ((x' \cdot \xi)/|\xi|)^2)} \\ & = \frac{((x' \cdot \omega)\omega_j - x'_j)(\langle x' \rangle + x' \cdot \omega)}{|\xi|(|x'|^2 + 1 - (x' \cdot \omega)^2)} \\ & \leq \frac{2((x' \cdot \omega)\omega_j - x'_j)\langle x' \rangle}{|\xi| \{(|x'| + x' \cdot \omega)(|x'| - x' \cdot \omega) + 1\}} \\ & \leq \frac{2\langle x' \rangle |h_j(x', \omega)|}{|\xi| \{(|x'| + x' \cdot \omega)(|x'| - x' \cdot \omega) + 1\}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_j = \xi_j/|\xi|$  and  $h_j(x', \omega) = (x' \cdot \omega)\omega_j - x'_j$ . Since  $h_j(\omega, \omega) = 0$ , we have  $|x'| h_j(\omega, \omega) = h_j(|x'| \omega, \omega) = 0$ . From the mean value theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(x', \omega) &= h_j(x', \omega) - h_j(|x'| \omega, \omega) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n h_{jx'_k}(x' + \theta(x' - |x'| \omega), \omega)(x'_k - |x'| \omega_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h_j(x', \omega)$  is a homogeneous functions of degree 1 with respect to  $x'$ , there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|h_{jx'_k}(x' + \theta(x' - |x'| \omega), \omega)| \leq c$ . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h_j(x', \omega)|^2 &\leq c^2 |x' - \omega| |x'|^2 = c^2 (|x'|^2 - 2(x' \cdot \omega) |x'| + |\omega|^2 |x'|^2) \\ &= 2c^2 |x'| (|x'| - (x' \cdot \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$(2.15) \quad |h_j(x', \omega)| \leq \sqrt{2c} |x'|^{1/2} (|x'| - (x' \cdot \omega))^{1/2}.$$

Noting that  $1 \leq |x'| \leq 5t|\xi|$ , from (2.14) and (2.15) we have

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{f_{\xi_j}(x', \xi)}{f(x', \xi)} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2c} (|x'| (|x'| - (x' \cdot \omega)))^{1/2} \langle x' \rangle}{|\xi| \{ |x'| (|x'| - (x' \cdot \omega)) + 1 \}} \leq C.$$

By (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.16), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{f(x', \xi + \eta)}{f(x', \xi)} \leq C \langle \eta \rangle^4.$$

Moreover if we put  $\xi + \eta = z$ , it follows from (2.17) that

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{f(x', \xi)}{f(x', \xi + \eta)} = \frac{f(x', z - \eta)}{f(x', z)} \leq C \langle -\eta \rangle^4 = C \langle \eta \rangle^4.$$

This proves Lemma 2.4.

LEMMA 2.5. One can find  $C > 0$  such that

$$(2.19) \quad \left( \frac{f(x+y, \xi)}{f(x, \xi)} \right)^{\pm 1} \leq C \langle y \rangle^3$$

for  $x, y, \xi \in R^n$ .

PROOF. (2.19) is trivial for  $|x| \leq 1$ . When  $x \cdot \xi \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.20) \quad \frac{f(x+y, \xi)}{f(x, \xi)} &= \frac{\langle x+y \rangle - (x+y) \cdot (\xi / |\xi|)}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot (\xi / |\xi|)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\langle x+y \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} \leq 2^2 \langle y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From now on we assume that  $x \cdot \xi \geq 0$  and  $|x| \geq 1$ . Using Taylor's formula, we may write

$$(2.21) \quad f(x+y, \xi) = f(x, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n f_{x_j}(x, \xi) y_j + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-\theta}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha f_{(\alpha)}(x+\theta y, \xi) d\theta,$$

where  $f_{x_j} = \partial f / \partial x_j$  and  $f_{(\alpha)} = D_x^\alpha f$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.22) \quad & \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-\theta}{\alpha !} (iy)^\alpha f_{(\alpha)}(x+\theta y, \xi) d\theta / f(x, \xi) \right| \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C |y|^2}{\langle x+\theta y \rangle (\langle x \rangle - x \cdot (\xi / |\xi|))} d\theta \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle^2}{\langle x+\theta y \rangle} d\theta \leq \int_0^1 \frac{C \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle^3}{\langle x+\theta y \rangle \langle \theta y \rangle} d\theta \leq C' \langle y \rangle^3,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad & \frac{f_{x_j}(x, \xi)}{f(x, \xi)} = \frac{x_j - \omega_j \langle x \rangle}{\langle x \rangle f(x, \xi)} = \frac{(\langle x \rangle + (x \cdot \omega))(x_j - \omega_j \langle x \rangle)}{\langle x \rangle (|x|^2 - (x \cdot \omega)^2 + 1)} \\
 & \leq \frac{2|x - \omega \langle x \rangle|}{|x|^2 - (x \cdot \omega)^2 + 1} \leq \frac{2(|x - \omega| |x|) + |\omega| |x| - \omega \langle x \rangle|}{(|x| + x \cdot \omega)(|x| - x \cdot \omega) + 1} \\
 & \leq \frac{2p(x, \omega)}{|x|(|x| - x \cdot \omega) + 1} + \frac{2}{\langle x \rangle + |x|},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_j = \xi_j / |\xi|$  and  $p(x, \omega) = |x - \omega| |x|$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x, \omega)^2 &= |x - \omega| |x| |x| = |x|^2 - 2|x| x \cdot \omega + |x|^2 \\
 &= 2(|x| - x \cdot \omega) |x|,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(2.24) \quad p(x, \omega) = \sqrt{2} ((|x| - x \cdot \omega) |x|)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from (2.23) and (2.24) that

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{f_{x_j}(x, \xi)}{f(x, \xi)} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}(|x|(|x| - x \cdot \omega))^{1/2}}{|x|(|x| - x \cdot \omega) + 1} + \frac{2}{\langle x \rangle + |x|} \leq C,$$

By (2.20), (2.21), (2.22) and (2.25), we have

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{f(x+y, \xi)}{f(x, \xi)} \leq C \langle y \rangle^3.$$

Moreover if we put  $x+y=z$ , it follows from (2.26) that

$$(2.27) \quad \frac{f(x, \xi)}{f(x+y, \xi)} = \frac{f(z-y, \xi)}{f(z, \xi)} \leq C \langle -y \rangle = C \langle y \rangle^3.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

LEMMA 2.6. One can find  $C>0$  and a positive integer  $l$  such that

$$(2.28) \quad \exp \{-A(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A(t, x+y, \xi)\} \leq e^{C M} (|y| + t|\eta| + 1)^{Ml}$$

for  $x, y, \xi, \eta \in R^n$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $M>0$ .

PROOF. When  $|x| \geq 4t|\xi|$ , we have (2.28) from Lemma 2.3. When  $|x| \leq 4t|\xi|$ , it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \{-A(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A(t, x + y, \xi)\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)}{\langle x - t(\xi + \eta) \rangle + t|\xi + \eta| - x \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)} \times \frac{\langle x + y - t\xi \rangle + t|\xi| - (x + y) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)}{\langle x + y \rangle - (x + y) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)} \right\}^M \\
&\quad \times \exp \{A_1(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A_1(t, x + y, \xi)\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)}{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)} \times \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle - (x - t\xi) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)}{\langle x - t\xi \rangle - (x - t\xi) \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \frac{\langle x - t\xi \rangle - (x - t\xi) \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)}{\langle x - t(\xi + \eta) \rangle - (x - t(\xi + \eta)) \cdot ((\xi + \eta)/|\xi + \eta|)} \times \frac{\langle x \rangle - x \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)}{\langle x + y \rangle - (x + y) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)} \\
&\quad \times \left. \frac{\langle x + y - t\xi \rangle - (x + y - t\xi) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)}{\langle x - t\xi \rangle - (x - t\xi) \cdot (\xi/|\xi|)} \right\}^M \times \exp \{-A_1(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A_1(t, x + y, \xi)\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{f(x, \xi + \eta)}{f(x, \xi)} \times \frac{f(x - t\xi, \xi)}{f(x - t\xi, \xi + \eta)} \times \frac{f(x - t\xi, \xi + \eta)}{f(x - t(\xi + \eta), \xi + \eta)} \times \frac{f(x, \xi)}{f(x + y, \xi)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \frac{f(x + y - t\xi, \xi)}{f(x - t\xi, \xi)} \right\}^M \times \exp \{-A_1(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A_1(t, x + y, \xi)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $A_1(t, x, \xi)$  is bounded when  $|\xi| \geq 1$ , (2.28) can be obtained by using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.

LEMMA 2.7. For any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  ( $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 1$ ), we have

$$(2.29) \quad |A\{\alpha\}_{\beta}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} t^{|\alpha|}$$

for  $x, \xi \in R^n$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

PROOF. For any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  ( $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 1$ ) we can estimate

$$\left| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \left( \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle} \right) \right| \leq \begin{cases} C_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{s^{|\alpha|-1}}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle^{|\alpha|+\beta_1}} + C'_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle s^{|\alpha|}}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle^{|\alpha|+\beta_1+1}} & \text{for } |\alpha| \geq 1, \\ C''_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle^{|\beta_1+1}}} & \text{for } |\alpha| = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$|A\{\alpha\}_{\beta}(t, x, \xi)| = \left| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \int_0^t \frac{M \langle \xi \rangle ds}{\langle x - s\xi \rangle} \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} t^{|\alpha|}.$$

This proves Lemma 2.7.

Let  $\sigma(K)(t, x, D_x)$  and  $\sigma(\tilde{K})(t, x, D_x)$  be pseudo-differential operators with its symbols  $\sigma(K)(t, x, \xi) = \exp(A(t, x, \xi))$  and  $\sigma(\tilde{K})(t, x, \xi) = \exp(-A(t, x, \xi))$  respectively. Then the symbol of the product of  $\tilde{K}(t, x, D_x)$  and  $K(t, x, D_x)$  is

given by

$$(2.30) \quad \sigma(\tilde{K} \circ K)(t, x, \xi) = 1 - \sigma(R)(t, x, \xi),$$

where

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(R)(t, x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_0^1 Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \{D_\xi^\gamma A(t, x, \xi + \eta)\} e^{-A(t, x, \xi + \eta)} \\ &\quad \times \{\partial_x^\gamma A(t, x + \theta y, \xi)\} e^{A(t, x + \theta y, \xi)} dy d\eta d\theta \quad (d\eta = (2\pi)^{-n} d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Here an oscillatory integral of a symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  means

$$Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(y, \eta) dy d\eta = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta) a(y, \eta) dy d\eta$$

for  $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$  in  $R^{2n}$  such that  $\chi(0, 0) = 1$ .

**LEMMA 2.8.** *Assume that  $A(t, x, \xi)$  satisfies (2.28) and (2.29). Let  $R_\pm(t, x, D_x)$  be a pseudo-differential operators with its symbol  $r_\pm(t, x, \xi)$  satisfying*

$$(2.32) \quad |r_\pm\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_\pm} \langle x \rangle^{k_\pm} e^{\pm A(t, x, \xi)}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ , where  $m_\pm$  and  $k_\pm$  are real numbers. Then  $q(t, x, \xi) = \sigma(R_- \circ R_+)(t, x, \xi)$  satisfies

$$|q\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_- + m_+} \langle x \rangle^{k_- + k_+}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ .

**PROOF.**  $q(t, x, \xi)$  is written by

$$q(t, x, \xi) = Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} r_-(t, x, \xi + \eta) r_+(t, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

Noting that (2.32), (2.28), (2.29) and  $e^{-iy \cdot \eta} = \langle y \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} = \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_y \rangle^{2m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta}$  are valid, we get by use of integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} &|q\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \leq \alpha \\ \beta' \leq \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \iint |\langle y \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2m} \\ &\quad \times (\langle \eta \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_y \rangle^{2m} (r_-)\{\frac{\alpha'}{\beta'}\}(t, x, \xi + \eta) (r_+)\{\frac{\alpha - \alpha'}{\beta - \beta'}\}(t, x + y, \xi))| dy d\eta \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta, m} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_- + m_+} \langle x \rangle^{k_- + k_+} \iint \langle y \rangle^{-2m + k_+} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m + m_-} \\ &\quad \times \exp \{-A(t, x, \xi + \eta) + A(t, x + y, \xi)\} dy d\eta \\ &\leq C'_{\alpha, \beta, m} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_- + m_+} \langle x \rangle^{k_- + k_+} \iint \langle y \rangle^{-2m + k_+ + Ml} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m + m_- + Ml} dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $m = \max([(k_+ + Ml + n)/2 + 1], [(m_- + Ml + n)/2 + 1])$ , we get Lemma 2.8.

Here  $[s]$  denotes the largest integer not greater than  $s$ .

It follows from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 that  $\sigma(R)(t, x, \xi)$  given in (2.31) satisfies  $|\sigma(R)^{\alpha}_{\beta}(t, x, \xi)| \leq t C_{\alpha, \beta}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ . So we get the inverse operator of  $K$  by the following Lemma 2.9.

**LEMMA 2.9** (Ichinose [2], Lemma 2). *If  $T_0$  ( $0 < T_0 \leq T$ ) is small, for  $t \in [0, T_0]$  the inverse operator  $(I-R)^{-1}(t, x, D_x)$  of  $(I-R)(t, x, D_x)$  exists as the continuous map from  $H_s$  to  $H_s$  space ( $s \in R^1$ ) and  $\sigma((I-R)^{-1})(t, x, \xi)$  belongs to  $S_{0,0}^0$  uniformly in  $t \in [0, T_0]$ . Moreover the inverse operator  $K^{-1}(t, x, D_x)$  of  $K(t, x, D_x)$  is given by*

$$(2.33) \quad K^{-1}(t, x, D_x) = (I-R)^{-1}(t, x, D_x) \circ \tilde{K}(t, x, D_x).$$

### § 3. Proof of Theorem.

We put  $u(t, x) = Kv(t, x)$ , where  $\sigma(K)(t, x, \xi) = \exp(\Lambda(t, x, \xi))$ . Then noting that  $\Lambda(t, x, \xi)$  satisfies (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad Lu(t, x) &= L \circ Kv(t, x) \\ &= K \circ \left( D_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) v(t, x) \\ &\quad + \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left( D_t \Lambda(t, x, \xi) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x) \xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j \Lambda \right) e^{\Lambda} \hat{v}(t, \xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n ((D_j \Lambda)^2 + (D_j a_j) - 2a_j D_j \Lambda + a_j^2) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Lambda + c(t, x) \right\} \\ &\quad \times e^{\Lambda} \hat{v}(t, \xi) d\xi \\ &= K \circ \left( D_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) v(t, x) \\ &\quad - K \circ \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left( \frac{iM \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \xi_j \right) \hat{v}(t, \xi) d\xi + \sum_{j=1}^n K_j v(t, x) = f(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial^2 / \partial x_j^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad \sigma(K_1)(t, x, \xi) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n ((D_j \Lambda(t, x, \xi))^2 + (D_j a_j(t, x)) - 2a_j D_j \Lambda + a_j^2) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Lambda + c(t, x) \right\} e^{\Lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad \sigma(K_2)(t, x, \xi) &= - \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_0^1 Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \{ e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi + \eta)} \}_{(r)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x + \theta y) \xi_j + \frac{iM \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x + \theta y \rangle} \right\}_{(r)} dy d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.1. One can find  $C_{\alpha, \beta, M}$  and  $C'_{\alpha, \beta, M}$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} |\sigma(K_1)\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, M} e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi)}, \\ |\sigma(K_2)\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq t C'_{\alpha, \beta, M} \langle \xi \rangle \langle x \rangle^{-1} e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi)} \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ .

PROOF. By (2.28) and (2.29), the first estimate of (3.4) can be shown by simple computation. Noting that (2.28), (2.29) and  $e^{-iy \cdot \eta} = \langle y \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} = \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m} \langle D_y \rangle^{2m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta}$  are valid, we get by use of integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(K_2)\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| &= \left| \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \leq \alpha \\ \beta' \leq \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_0^1 Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \{e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi + \eta)}\} \{\frac{\gamma+\alpha'}{\beta+\alpha'}\} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x + \theta y) \xi_j + \frac{iM \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x + \theta y \rangle} \right\}_{(\gamma+\beta-\beta')} dy d\eta d\theta \right| \\ &\leq t C_{\alpha, \beta, m} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi)} \iint \langle y \rangle^{-2m+1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m} \\ &\quad \times \exp \{ \Lambda(t, x, \xi + \eta) - \Lambda(t, x, \xi) \} dy d\eta \\ &\leq t C_{\alpha, \beta, m} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi)} \iint \langle y \rangle^{-2m+1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2m+Ml} dy d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l$  is a positive integer given in Lemma 2.6. Taking  $m = \max([n+1]/2+1, [(n+Ml)/2+1])$ , we get the second estimate of (3.4).

Therefore we can transform the Cauchy problem (1.1) to the following problem

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} (D_t - P(t))v(t, x) = \tilde{f}(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n, \quad (T > 0), \\ v(0, x) = v_0(x) \quad (= u_0(x)), & x \in R^n \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{f}(t, x) = K^{-1}f(t, x)$  and

$$(3.6) \quad P(t, x, D_x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \tilde{a}(t, x, D_x) - \sum_{j=1}^2 K^{-1} \circ K_j(t, x, D_x).$$

Here

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma(\tilde{a})(t, x, \xi) = \frac{iM \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle x \rangle} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t, x) \xi_j.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 3.1 that  $\sigma(K^{-1} \circ K_1)(t, x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(K^{-1} \circ K_2)(t, x, \xi)$  satisfy

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} |\sigma(K^{-1} \circ K_1)\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, M}, \\ |\sigma(K^{-1} \circ K_2)\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}(t, x, \xi)| t C'_{\alpha, \beta, M} \langle \xi \rangle \langle x \rangle^{-1} \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_0]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Suppose (1.3) is valid. Then there is  $0 < T_1 \leq T$  such that for any  $v_0 \in H_{s+2}$  and  $\tilde{f}(t, x) \in C_t^0([0, T_1]; H_{s+2})$  there exists a unique solution  $v(t, x)$  of (3.5) which belongs to  $C_t^1([0, T_1]; H_s) \cap C_t^0([0, T_1]; H_{s+2})$  and moreover for any  $s \in R^1$  there exists a constant  $C(s, T) > 0$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{(s+j)} \leq C(s, T) \left\{ \|v_0\|_{(s+j)} + \int_0^t \|\tilde{f}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{(s+j)} d\tau \right\}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

The proof of this theorem is the same way as that of Theorem 4.1 in [1]. Following the idea of Kumano-go [4] we introduce the series  $\{\zeta_\nu(\xi)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  as

$$(3.10) \quad \zeta_\nu(\xi) = \left( \nu \sin \frac{\xi_1}{\nu}, \dots, \nu \sin \frac{\xi_n}{\nu} \right)$$

and define  $P_\nu(t) = p_\nu(t, x, D_x)$  as

$$(3.11) \quad p_\nu(t, x, \xi) = p(t, x, \zeta_\nu(\xi)).$$

We consider the following Cauchy problem

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} L_\nu v_\nu = D_t v_\nu - P_\nu(t) v_\nu = \tilde{f}(t) & (t \in [0, T]), \\ v_\nu|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

We define the series of weight functions  $\{\lambda_\nu(\xi)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  as

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda_\nu(\xi) = \langle \zeta_\nu(\xi) \rangle = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \nu \sin \frac{\xi_j}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Then  $\{\lambda_\nu(\xi)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i)} & 1 \leq \lambda_\nu(\xi) \leq \min(\langle \xi \rangle, \sqrt{1+n\nu^2}), \\ \text{ii)} & |\partial_\xi^\alpha \lambda_\nu(\xi)| \leq A_\alpha \lambda_\nu(\xi)^{1-|\alpha|}, \\ \text{iii)} & \lambda_\nu(\xi) \rightarrow \langle \xi \rangle \quad (\nu \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{on } R_\xi^n, \\ & \text{(uniform convergence in a compact set).} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $S_{\lambda_\nu, \rho, \delta}^m$  ( $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$ ) the set of symbols  $q(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(R^{2n})$  satisfying

$$(3.15) \quad |q_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\nu(\xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$$

for any multi-index  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m = S_{\lambda_\nu, \rho, \delta}^m$ . Then we get the following lemma (Kumanogo [4], Ch. 7, Lemma 3.3).

LEMMA 3.3. For  $q(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  ( $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$ ), we put  $q_\nu(x, \xi) = q(x, \zeta_\nu(\xi))$ . Then  $q_\nu(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda_\nu, \rho, \delta}^m$  ( $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$ ), and for any  $\alpha, \beta$  there is constant  $A_{\alpha, \beta}$  which is independent of  $\nu$  and  $q$  such that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} |q_\nu(\xi)(x, \xi)| \leq (A_{\alpha, \beta})|q|^{(m)}_{\alpha+\beta+1} \lambda_\nu(\xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}, \\ q_\nu(x, \xi) \rightarrow q(x, \xi) \text{ (uniformly) } (\nu \rightarrow \infty) \text{ in } R_x^n \times K_\xi \end{cases}$$

where  $K_\xi$  is an arbitrary compact set of  $R_\xi^n$  and  $|q|^{(0)} = \max_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \sup_{x, \xi \in R^n} \{|q(\xi)(x, \xi)|\}$ .

We get the following lemma (Kumano-go [4], Ch. 7, Theorem 1.6).

LEMMA 3.4.  $Q = q(x, D_x) \in S_{0,0}^0$  is a continuous mapping from  $L_2$  to  $L_2$  and there are  $C > 0$  and a positive integer  $l$  such that

$$(3.17) \quad \|Qu\|_{L_2} \leq (C|q|^{(0)})\|u\|_{L_2} \quad \text{for } u \in L_2(R^n).$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. Suppose (1.3) is valid. Then there is  $T_1$  ( $0 < T_1 \leq T_0$ ) such that for any  $v_0 \in L_2$  and any  $\tilde{f}(t, x) \in C_t^0([0, T_1]; L_2)$  and there exists a unique solution  $v_\nu(t, x) \in C_t^1([0, T_1]; L_2)$  of (3.12) which satisfies the energy inequalities

$$(3.18) \quad \|v_\nu(t)\| \leq C_1(T_1) \left\{ \|v_0\| + \int_0^t \|\tilde{f}(\tau)\| d\tau \right\} \quad (t \in [0, T_1]),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \|\Lambda_\nu^j v_\nu(t)\| \leq C_2(T_1) \left\{ \|\Lambda_\nu^j v_0\| + \int_0^t \|\Lambda_\nu^j \tilde{f}(\tau)\| d\tau \right\} \quad (t \in [0, T_1]),$$

$$(3.20) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_\nu^j v_\nu(t) \right\| \leq C_3(T_1) \left\{ \|\Lambda_\nu^{j+2} v_0\| + \max_{[0, T_1]} \|\Lambda_\nu^{j+2} \tilde{f}(\tau)\| \right\} \quad (t \in [0, T_1]),$$

$$(3.21) \quad \|\Lambda_\nu^j(v_\nu(t) - v_\nu(t'))\| \leq C_4(T_1) |t - t'| \left\{ \|\Lambda_\nu^{j+2} v_0\| + \max_{[0, T_1]} \|\Lambda_\nu^{j+2} \tilde{f}(\tau)\| \right\} \\ (t, t' \in [0, T_1])$$

where  $C_1(T_1)$ ,  $C_2(T_1)$ ,  $C_3(T_1)$  and  $C_4(T_1)$  are constants which are independent of  $\nu$ , and  $\Lambda_\nu = \lambda_\nu(D_x)$ ,  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L_2}$ ,  $j=0, 1, 2$ .

PROOF. I) If we fix  $\nu$  arbitrarily, we have  $P_\nu(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}_t^0([0, T]; \mathcal{B}^\infty(R_{x,\xi}^{2n}))$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(R_{x,\xi}^{2n}) = S_{0,0}^0$ , from Lemma 3.4 it follows that  $P_\nu(t)$  is an  $L_2$ -bounded operator uniformly with respect to  $t$ . Therefore there is a unique solution  $v_\nu(t) \in C_t^1([0, T_1]; L_2)$  of the integral equation

$$(3.22) \quad v_\nu(t) = v_0 + i \int_0^t P_\nu(\tau) v_\nu(\tau) d\tau + i \int_0^t \tilde{f}(\tau) d\tau.$$

II) By straightforward computation we have

$$(3.28) \quad \langle x \rangle^{-1} \circ A_\nu = A_\nu^* \circ A_\nu + B_\nu,$$

where  $\sigma(A_\nu)(\xi) = \lambda_\nu(\xi)$ ,  $A_\nu^* = A_\nu^{1/2} \circ \langle x \rangle^{-1/2}$ ,  $A_\nu = \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \circ A_\nu^{1/2}$  and  $\sigma(B_\nu)(x, \xi) \in S_{0,0}^0$  uniformly in  $\nu$ . It follows from (3.12) that

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|^2 &= 2Re\left(\frac{d}{dt} v_\nu, v_\nu\right) \\ &= Re(i\Delta_\nu v_\nu, v_\nu) - 2M Re(\langle x \rangle^{-1} \circ A_\nu v_\nu, v_\nu) \\ &\quad - Re(a_\nu^I(t, x, D_x)v_\nu, v_\nu) + Re(ia_\nu^R(t, x, D_x)v_\nu, v_\nu) \\ &\quad - Re(i(K^{-1} \circ K_1)_\nu v_\nu, v_\nu) - Re(i(K^{-1} \circ K_2)_\nu v_\nu, v_\nu) + 2Re(i\tilde{f}, v_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma(\Delta_\nu)(\xi) = -\sum_{j=1}^n (\nu \sin(\xi_j/\nu))^2$ ,  $\sigma(a_\nu^I)(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^I(t, x) \zeta_{\nu j}(\xi)$  and  $\sigma(a_\nu^R)(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^R(t, x) \zeta_{\nu j}(\xi)$ . We put

$$J(t, x, D_x) = \langle x \rangle^{1/2} \circ A_\nu^{-1/2} \circ (K^{-1} \circ K_2)_\nu \circ A_\nu^{-1/2} \circ \langle x \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Then we have

$$(3.25) \quad |J(\xi)(t, x, \xi)| \leq t C_{\alpha, \beta}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_0]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$ . In fact, it follows from (3.8) and Lemma 3.3 that  $W_\nu = (K^{-1} \circ K_2)_\nu$  satisfies

$$(3.26) \quad |W_\nu(\xi)(t, x, \xi)| \leq t C_{\alpha, \beta, M} \lambda_\nu(\xi) \langle x \rangle^{-1}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ ,  $x, \xi \in R^n$  and  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover we can express

$$\begin{aligned} J(t, x, \xi) &= Os - \iint e^{-t\tilde{y}^3 \cdot \tilde{\eta}^3} \langle x + \tilde{y}^1 \rangle^{1/2} \lambda_\nu^{-1/2}(\xi + \eta^1) W_\nu(t, x + \tilde{y}^2, \xi + \eta^2) \\ &\quad \times \lambda_\nu^{-1/2}(\xi + \eta^3) \langle x + \tilde{y}^3 \rangle^{1/2} dy^1 dy^2 dy^3 d\eta^1 d\eta^2 d\eta^3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{y}^3 \cdot \tilde{\eta}^3 = y^1 \cdot \eta^1 + y^2 \cdot \eta^2 + y^3 \cdot \eta^3$  and  $\tilde{y}^j = y^1 + \dots + y^j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). By virtue of (3.26) we get (3.25) in the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.1. By (3.25), Lemma 3.4, and the Schwartz' inequality, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} Re((K^{-1} \circ K_2)_\nu v_\nu, v_\nu) &= Re(J(x, D) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu, \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu) \\ &\leq \|J(x, D) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu\| \|\langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu\| \\ &\leq t C_M |J|_t^{(0)} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_j^R(t, x)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) are real valued, we have

$$Re(ia_\nu^R(t, x, D_x)v_\nu, v_\nu) \leq C \|v_\nu\|^2.$$

Putting  $M_1 = \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in R^n} \{\langle x \rangle |a^I(t, x)|\}$ , we have

$$(3.28) \quad Re(a_\nu^I(t, x, D_x)v_\nu, v_\nu) \leq M_1 \|\langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu\|^2 + C \|v_\nu\|^2.$$

Therefore by (3.24), (3.27) and (3.28) we get

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|^2 &\leq (-2M + M_1 + tC_M) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} A_\nu^{1/2} v_\nu(t, \cdot) \| \\ &+ C_M \|v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|^2 + C \|\tilde{f}(t, \cdot)\| \|v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|. \end{aligned}$$

We take  $M (> M_1/2)$  and  $T_1 = \min((2M - M_1)/C_M, T_0)$ . Then

$$(3.30) \quad -2M + M_1 + tC_M \leq 0$$

for  $t \in [0, T_1]$ . By (3.29) we get

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu(t)\| \leq C' (\|v_\nu(t)\| + \|\tilde{f}(t)\|) \quad (t \in [0, T_1]; \nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Therefore we get (3.18). Moreover from (3.12) we have

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{d}{dt} A_\nu^j v_\nu = i(P_\nu + [A_\nu^j, P_\nu] A_\nu^{-j}) A_\nu^j v_\nu + i A_\nu^j \tilde{f},$$

and moreover

$$(3.33) \quad |\sigma([A_\nu^j, P_\nu] A_\nu^{-j})\{\beta\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, M}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_1]$  and  $x, \xi \in R^n$  uniformly with respect to  $\nu$ . Here,  $[A, B]$  denotes the commutator of operators for  $A$  and  $B$ , that is  $A \circ B - B \circ A$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma([A_\nu^j, P_\nu])(t, x, \xi) \\ &= Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \lambda_\nu^j(\xi + \eta) P_\nu(t, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta - P_\nu(t, x, \xi) \lambda_\nu^j(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_0^1 Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (\lambda_\nu^j)^{(\gamma)}(\xi + \eta) (P_\nu)_{(\gamma)}(t, x + \theta y, \xi) dy d\eta d\theta. \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_0^1 Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (\lambda_\nu^j)^{(\gamma)}(\xi + \eta) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sigma(\tilde{a}_\nu)_{(\gamma)}(t, x + \theta y, \xi) - \sum_{j=1}^2 \sigma((K^{-1} \circ K_j)_\nu)_{(\gamma)}(t, x + \theta y, \xi) \right\} dy d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same argument as in the proof of (3.25), by use of (3.8), (3.16) and (3.26) we can estimate

$$(3.34) \quad |\sigma([A_\nu^j, P_\nu])\{\beta\}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, M} \lambda_\nu(\xi)^j.$$

This implies (3.33). Hence by (3.32) and (3.33), we get (3.19) similarly to (3.18). On the other hand, noting

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_\nu^j v_\nu = i \{A_\nu^j \circ P_\nu \circ A_\nu^{-j-2}\} \circ A_\nu^{j+2} v_\nu + i A_\nu^j \tilde{f}$$

and  $\sigma(A_\nu^j \circ P_\nu \circ A_\nu^{-j-2})(t, x, \xi) \in S_{0,0}^0$  for  $t \in [0, T_1]$  (uniformly in  $\nu$ ), by Lemma 3.4

we have

$$(3.35) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dt} A_\nu^j v_\nu(t) \right\| \leq C \|A_\nu^{j+2} v_\nu\| + \|A_\nu^j \tilde{f}\|.$$

By (3.29) and (3.19), we get (3.20). Noting  $v_\nu(t) - v_\nu(t') = \int_{t'}^t (d/d\tau)v_\nu(\tau)d\tau$ , we get (3.21) from (3.20). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.5.

From Proposition 3.5, we can prove Theorem 3.2 in the same way as Kumano-go [4], Ch. 7, Theorem 3.2.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** By Theorem 3.2 we can see that there exists a solution  $v(t, x) \in C_t^1([0, T_1]; H_\infty)$  of the Cauchy problem (3.5) and by (3.9) we get the energy inequality

$$\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{(s)} \leq C(s, T) \left\{ \|u_0\|_{(s)} + \int_0^t \|\tilde{f}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{(s)} d\tau \right\}$$

for any  $s \in R^1$  and  $t \in [0, T_1]$ . Hence, we obtain the unique solution  $u(t, x) = Kv(t, x)$  of the equation (1.1) in  $[0, T_1]$  and by using Lemma 3.4 we get the energy inequality

$$(3.36) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{(s)} \leq C'(s, T) \left\{ \|u_0\|_{(s+M')} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau, \cdot)\|_{(s+2M')} d\tau \right\}$$

for any  $s \in R^1$  and  $t \in [0, T_1]$ . We can extend the existence interval  $[0, T_1]$  of the solution  $u(t, x)$  to  $[0, T]$  as follows. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$Lw(t, x) = f(t, x) \quad \text{on } [T_1, T_2] \times R_x^n, \quad w(T_1, x) = u(T_1, x).$$

Then, we get the solution  $w(t, x) \in C_t^1([T_1, T_2]; H_\infty)$  where  $T_2 = \min(2T_1, T)$  in the same way as in the construction of  $u(t, x) \in C_t^1([0, T_1]; H_\infty)$ . Define

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) = \begin{cases} u(t, x) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_1, \\ w(t, x) & \text{for } T_1 \leq t \leq T_2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tilde{u}(t, x)$  belongs to  $C^1([0, T_2]; H_\infty)$  and satisfies (1.1) in  $[0, T_2]$ . Repeating this process, the solution  $u(t, x)$  satisfying (1.1) in  $[0, T]$  is obtained. The energy estimate (3.36) implies the uniqueness of solution of (1.1).

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor K. Kajitani for his advices and encouragements.

**References**

- [ 1 ] A. Baba, The  $L^2$ -wellposed Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations, Tsukuba J. Math. 16 (1992), 235–256.
- [ 2 ] W. Ichinose, Sufficient condition on  $H_\infty$  well posedness for Schrödinger type equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1984), 33–48.
- [ 3 ] W. Ichinose, Some remarks on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations, Osaka J. Math. 21 (1984), 565–581.
- [ 4 ] H. Kumano-go, Pseudo-Differential Operators, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [ 5 ] S. Mizohata, On the Cauchy Problem, Notes and Reports in Math., 3, Academic Press, 1985.
- [ 6 ] J. Takeuchi, Le problème de Cauchy pour certaines équations aux dérivées partielles du type de Schrödinger, III, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 312, Série I (1991), 341–344.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki 305  
Japan

E-mail address: akio @ math. tsukuba. ac. jp