

## EXCEPTIONAL MINIMAL SURFACES WITH THE RICCI CONDITION

By

Makoto SAKAKI

### 0. Introduction.

Let  $X^N(c)$  denote the  $N$ -dimensional simply connected space form of constant curvature  $c$ , and let  $M$  be a minimal surface in  $X^N(c)$  with Gaussian curvature  $K$  ( $\leq c$ ) with respect to the induced metric  $ds^2$ . When  $N=3$ ,  $M$  satisfies the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ , that is, the metric  $d\hat{s}^2 = \sqrt{c-K} ds^2$  is flat at points where  $K < c$ . Conversely, every 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Gaussian curvature less than  $c$  which satisfies the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ , can be realized locally as a minimal surface in  $X^3(c)$  (see [2]). Then it is an interesting problem to classify those minimal surfaces in  $X^N(c)$  which satisfy the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ , that is, to classify those minimal surfaces in  $X^N(c)$  which are locally isometric to minimal surfaces in  $X^3(c)$ . In the case where  $c=0$ , Lawson [3] solved this problem completely. In [4] Naka (=Miyaoka) obtained some results in the case where  $c > 0$ .

In [1] Johnson studied a class of minimal surfaces in  $X^N(c)$ , called exceptional minimal surfaces. In this paper, we discuss exceptional minimal surfaces in  $X^N(c)$  which satisfy the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ . Our results are as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be an exceptional minimal surface lying fully in  $X^N(c)$  where  $c > 0$ . We denote by  $K$  the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  with respect to the induced metric  $ds^2$ . Suppose that the metric  $d\hat{s}^2 = \sqrt{c-K} ds^2$  is flat at points where  $K < c$ . Then either (i)  $N=4m+1$  and  $M$  is flat, or (ii)  $N=4m+3$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be an exceptional minimal surface lying fully in  $X^N(c)$  where  $c < 0$ . We denote by  $K$  the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  with respect to the induced metric  $ds^2$ . Suppose that the metric  $d\hat{s}^2 = \sqrt{c-K} ds^2$  is flat at points where  $K < c$ . Then  $N=3$ .*

**REMARK.** We note that every flat minimal surface in  $X^N(c)$ , where  $c > 0$ ,

automatically satisfies the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ . In Section 3, we show that there are flat exceptional minimal surfaces lying fully in  $X^{2n+1}(c)$ , where  $c > 0$ . We also show that there are non-flat exceptional minimal surfaces lying fully in  $X^{4m+3}(c)$  which satisfy the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ , where  $c > 0$ .

In Section 1, we follow [1] and recall the definition of exceptional minimal surfaces. In Section 2, we give lemmas for exceptional minimal surfaces in  $X^N(c)$  which satisfy the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ . In Sections 3 we prove Theorem 1, and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 2.

### 1. Exceptional minimal surfaces.

Suppose  $M$  is a minimal surface in  $X^N(c)$ . Assume that  $M$  lies fully in  $X^N(c)$ , namely, does not lie in a totally geodesic submanifold of  $X^N(c)$ . Let the integer  $n$  be given by  $N=2n+1$  or  $2n+2$ , and let indices have the following ranges:

$$1 \leq i, j \leq 2, \quad 3 \leq \alpha \leq N, \quad 1 \leq A, B \leq N.$$

Let  $\tilde{e}_A$  be a local orthonormal frame field on  $X^N(c)$ , and let  $\tilde{\theta}_A$  be the co-frame dual to  $\tilde{e}_A$ . Then  $d\tilde{\theta}_A = \sum_B \tilde{\omega}_{AB} \wedge \tilde{\theta}_B$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}_{AB}$  are the connection forms on  $X^N(c)$ .

Suppose that  $e_i$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $M$  and that the frame  $\tilde{e}_A$  is chosen so that on  $M$ ,  $e_i = \tilde{e}_i$  and  $\tilde{e}_\alpha$  are normal to  $M$ . When forms and vectors on  $X^N(c)$  are restricted to  $M$ , let them be denoted by the same symbol without tilde:  $\theta_A = \tilde{\theta}_A|_M$ ,  $\omega_{AB} = \tilde{\omega}_{AB}|_M$  and  $e_A = \tilde{e}_A|_M$ . Then  $\omega_{\alpha i} = \sum_j h_{\alpha ij} \theta_j$ , where  $h_{\alpha ij}$  are the coefficients of the second fundamental form of  $M$ .

Let  $T_x M$  and  $T_x X^N(c)$  denote the tangent space of  $M$  and  $X^N(c)$ , respectively, at a point  $x$ . Curves on  $M$  through  $x$  have their first derivatives at  $x$  in  $T_x M$ , but higher order derivatives will have components normal to  $M$ . The space spanned by the derivatives of order up to  $r$  is called the  $r$ -th osculating space of  $M$  at  $x$ , denoted  $T_x^{(r)} M$ .

The  $r$ -th normal space of  $M$  at  $x$ , denoted  $Nor_x^{(r)} M$ , is the orthogonal complement of  $T_x^{(r)} M$  in  $T_x^{(r+1)} M$ . At generic points of  $M$ , the dimension of  $Nor_x^{(r)} M$  is 2 when  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ , and the dimension of  $Nor_x^{(n)} M$  is 1 or 2, depending on whether  $N$  is odd or even. Those normal spaces that have dimension 2 is called the normal planes of  $M$ . Let  $\beta_N$  denote the number of normal planes possessed by  $M$  at generic points:  $\beta_N = n-1$  if  $N=2n+1$ , and  $\beta_N = n$  if  $N=2n+2$ .

Choose the normal vectors  $e_\alpha$  so that  $Nor_x^{(r)} M$  is spanned by  $\{e_{2r+1}, e_{2r+2}\}$ ,

where  $1 \leq r \leq \beta_N$ . When  $N=2n+1$ ,  $Nor_x^{(n)}M$  is spanned by  $\{e_{2n+1}\}$ . Set  $\varphi = \theta_1 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_2$ .

PROPOSITION ([1]). *There are  $H_\alpha$  such that  $H_\alpha = h_{\alpha 11} + \sqrt{-1}h_{\alpha 12}$  for  $\alpha=3$  and 4, for each  $r$  such that  $2 \leq r \leq \beta_N$*

$$H_{2r-1}\omega_{\alpha, 2r-1} + H_{2r}\omega_{\alpha, 2r} = H_\alpha \bar{\varphi}$$

where  $\alpha=2r+1$  and  $2r+2$ , and when  $N=2n+1$

$$H_{2n-1}\omega_{2n+1, 2n-1} + H_{2n}\omega_{2n+1, 2n} = H_{2n+1}\bar{\varphi}.$$

The  $r$ -th normal plane,  $Nor_x^{(r)}M$ , of  $M$  is called exceptional if  $H_{2r+2} = \pm \sqrt{-1}H_{2r+1}$ . The minimal surface  $M$  is called exceptional if all of its normal planes are exceptional. Note that when  $N=2n+1$ ,  $Nor_x^{(n)}M$  is a line, not a plane, and the notion of exceptionality does not apply. So, every minimal surface in  $X^3(c)$  is exceptional.

**2. Lemmas.**

Let  $M$  be an exceptional minimal surface lying fully in  $X^N(c)$ . We denote by  $K$  and  $\Delta$  the Gaussian curvature and the Laplacian of  $M$ , respectively, with respect to the induced metric  $ds^2$ . Set

$$A_0^c = 1/2, \quad A_1^c = c - K,$$

(1)

$$A_{p+1}^c = \begin{cases} A_p^c [\Delta \log(A_p^c) + A_p^c / A_{p-1}^c - 2(p+1)K], & \text{if } A_p^c > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set  $M_1 = \{x \in M; K < c\}$  and  $M_2 = \{x \in M; K = c\}$ . Suppose that the metric  $d\hat{s}^2 = \sqrt{c-K} ds^2$  is flat on  $M_1$ . Then by the lemma in Section 3 of [1] for  $n=1$ ,

$$(2) \quad \Delta \log(c-K) = 4K$$

on  $M_1$ .

LEMMA 1. *When  $c > 0$ ,*

$$A_{4k}^c = 2^{4k-1} c^{2k} (c-K)^{2k}, \quad A_{4k+1}^c = 2^{4k} c^{2k} (c-K)^{2k+1}, \\ A_{4k+2}^c = 2^{4k+1} c^{2k} (c-K)^{2k+2}, \quad A_{4k+3}^c = 2^{4k+2} c^{2k+1} (c-K)^{2k+2}.$$

LEMMA 2. *When  $c \leq 0$ ,*

$$A_2^c = 2(c-K)^2, \quad A_3^c = 4c(c-K)^2, \quad A_p^c = 0 \text{ for } p \geq 4.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. By (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^c &= A_1^c [\Delta \log (A_1^c) + A_1^c / A_0^c - 4K] \\ &= (c-K) [\Delta \log (c-K) + 2(c-K) - 4K] \\ &= 2(c-K)^2 \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_2^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_2^c = 2(c-K)^2$  on  $M$ . By (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} A_3^c &= A_2^c [\Delta \log (A_2^c) + A_2^c / A_1^c - 6K] \\ &= 2(c-K)^2 [2\Delta \log (c-K) + 2(c-K) - 6K] \\ &= 4c(c-K)^2 \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_3^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_3^c = 4c(c-K)^2$  on  $M$ . Thus Lemma 1 is true for  $k=0$ .

Assume that Lemma 1 is true for some  $k$ . Then, by (1), (2) and the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4k+4}^c &= A_{4k+3}^c [\Delta \log (A_{4k+3}^c) + A_{4k+3}^c / A_{4k+2}^c - 2(4k+4)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+2} c^{2k+1} (c-K)^{2k+2} [(2k+2)\Delta \log (c-K) + 2c - 2(4k+4)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+3} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+2} \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_{4k+4}^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_{4k+4}^c = 2^{4k+3} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+2}$  on  $M$ . Using (1), (2) and the assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4k+5}^c &= A_{4k+4}^c [\Delta \log (A_{4k+4}^c) + A_{4k+4}^c / A_{4k+3}^c - 2(4k+5)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+3} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+2} [(2k+2)\Delta \log (c-K) + 2c - 2(4k+5)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+4} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+3} \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_{4k+5}^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_{4k+5}^c = 2^{4k+4} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+3}$  on  $M$ . By (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4k+6}^c &= A_{4k+5}^c [\Delta \log (A_{4k+5}^c) + A_{4k+5}^c / A_{4k+4}^c - 2(4k+6)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+4} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+3} [(2k+3)\Delta \log (c-K) + 2(c-K) - 2(4k+6)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+5} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+4} \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_{4k+6}^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_{4k+6}^c = 2^{4k+5} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+4}$  on  $M$ . By (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4k+7}^c &= A_{4k+6}^c [\Delta \log (A_{4k+6}^c) + A_{4k+6}^c / A_{4k+5}^c - 2(4k+7)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+5} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+4} [(2k+4)\Delta \log (c-K) + 2(c-K) - 2(4k+7)K] \\ &= 2^{4k+6} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+4} \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ , and  $A_{4k+7}^c = 0$  on  $M_2$ . So  $A_{4k+7}^c = 2^{4k+6} c^{2k+2} (c-K)^{2k+4}$  on  $M$ . Therefore,

by induction, Lemma 1 is proved.

q. e. d.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have  $A_2^c=2(c-K)^2$  and  $A_3^c=4c(c-K)^2$ . As  $c \leq 0$ ,  $A_3^c=4c(c-K)^2 \leq 0$ . Hence by (1) we have  $A_p^c=0$  for  $p \geq 4$ .

q. e. d.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $\Delta$ ,  $A_p^c$  and  $M_1$  be defined as in Section 2. As  $M$  lies fully in  $X^N(c)$ ,  $K=c$  only at isolated points, and  $M_1$  is  $M$  minus isolated points. By Lemma 1, for each  $p \geq 0$ ,  $A_p^c > 0$  on  $M_1$ . If  $N=2n+2$ , then  $A_{n+1}^c=0$  identically by Theorem A of [1], which contradicts that  $A_p^c > 0$  on  $M_1$  for each  $p \geq 0$ . If  $N=4m+1$ , then by Theorem A of [1], the metric  $(A_{2m}^c)^{1/(2m+1)} ds^2$  is flat at points where  $A_{2m}^c > 0$ . When  $m=2k$ , using the lemma in Section 3 of [1], Lemma 1 and the equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta \log (A_{2m}^c) - 2(2m+1)K \\ &= \Delta \log (A_{4k}^c) - 2(4k+1)K \\ &= 2k\Delta \log (c-K) - 2(4k+1)K \\ &= -2K \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ . So  $M_1$  is flat, and by continuity,  $M$  is flat. When  $m=2k+1$ , using the lemma in Section 3 of [1], Lemma 1 and the equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta \log (A_{2m}^c) - 2(2m+1)K \\ &= \Delta \log (A_{4k+2}^c) - 2(4k+3)K \\ &= (2k+2)\Delta \log (c-K) - 2(4k+3)K \\ &= 2K \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ . So  $M_1$  is flat, and by continuity,  $M$  is flat. Therefore, either (i)  $N=4m+1$  and  $M$  is flat, or (ii)  $N=4m+3$ .

q. e. d.

By Theorem B of [1], we can see that every flat surface can be realized locally as an exceptional minimal surface lying fully in  $X^{2n+1}(c)$ , where  $c > 0$ . So, there are flat exceptional minimal surfaces lying fully in  $X^{2n+1}(c)$ , where  $c > 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a minimal surface in  $X^3(c)$  where  $c > 0$ . We denote by  $K$  the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  with respect to the induced metric  $ds^2$ . Let  $A_p^c$  be defined as in Section 2. Assume that  $K < c$ . Then  $M$  satisfies the Ricci con-

dition with respect to  $c$ . So Lemma 1 is valid, and  $A_p^c > 0$  for each  $p \geq 0$ . Let us show that the metric  $(A_{2m+1}^c)^{1/(2m+2)} ds^2$  is flat. When  $m=2k$ , by Lemma 1,

$$(A_{2m+1}^c)^{1/(2m+2)} = (A_{4k+1}^c)^{1/(4k+2)} = (2^{4k} c^{2k})^{1/(4k+2)} \sqrt{c-K}.$$

When  $m=2k+1$ , by Lemma 1,

$$(A_{2m+1}^c)^{1/(2m+2)} = (A_{4k+3}^c)^{1/(4k+4)} = (2^{4k+2} c^{2k+1})^{1/(4k+4)} \sqrt{c-K}.$$

Thus the metric  $(A_{2m+1}^c)^{1/(2m+2)} ds^2$  is flat, because  $M$  satisfies the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ . By Theorem B of [1], we find that  $(M, ds^2)$  can be realized locally as an exceptional minimal surface lying fully in  $X^{4m+3}(c)$ . Therefore, there are non-flat exceptional minimal surfaces lying fully in  $X^{4m+3}(c)$  which satisfy the Ricci condition with respect to  $c$ , where  $c > 0$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $\Delta$ ,  $A_p^c$  and  $M_1$  be defined as in Section 2. As  $M$  lies fully in  $X^N(c)$ ,  $K=c$  only at isolated points, and  $M_1$  is not empty. By Lemma 2,  $A_2^c > 0$  and  $A_3^c < 0$  on  $M_1$ . If  $N=4$ , then  $A_2^c=0$  identically by Theorem A of [1], which contradicts that  $A_2^c > 0$  on  $M_1$ . If  $N=5$ , then by Theorem A of [1], the metric  $(A_2^c)^{1/3} ds^2$  is flat at points where  $A_2^c > 0$ . Using the lemma in Section 3 of [1], Lemma 2 and the equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta \log (A_2^c) - 6K \\ &= 2\Delta \log (c-K) - 6K \\ &= 2K \end{aligned}$$

on  $M_1$ . So  $K=0$  on  $M_1$ , which contradicts that  $K \leq c < 0$ . If  $N=6$ , then  $A_3^c=0$  identically by Theorem A of [1], which contradicts that  $A_3^c < 0$  on  $M_1$ . If  $N \geq 7$ , then  $A_3^c \geq 0$  by Theorem A of [1], which contradicts that  $A_3^c < 0$  on  $M_1$ . Therefore,  $N=3$ . q. e. d.

#### References

- [1] Johnson, G.D., An intrinsic characterization of a class of minimal surfaces in constant curvature manifolds, Pacific J. Math. **149** (1991), 113-125.
- [2] Lawson, H.B., Complete minimal surfaces in  $S^3$ , Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 335-374.
- [3] Lawson, H.B., Some intrinsic characterizations of minimal surfaces, J. Analyse Math. **24** (1971), 151-161.
- [4] Naka, R., Some results on minimal surfaces with the Ricci condition, Minimal Submanifolds and Geodesics, (M. Obata, ed.), Kaigai Publ., Tokyo, 1978, 121-142.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hirosaki University  
Hirosaki 036  
Japan