

## H-SEPARABILITY OF GROUP RINGS (In memory of Professor Akira Hattori)

By

Kazuhiko HIRATA

Let  $k[G]$  be the group ring of a finite group  $G$  with a coefficient field  $k$ . Assume that the characteristic of  $k$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Delta$  the centralizer of  $k[H]$  in  $k[G]$  and  $D$  the double centralizer of  $k[H]$  in  $k[G]$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove that  $k[G]$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ . For this, a unit in the center  $C$  of  $k[G]$  plays a fundamental role (Lemma 1). Besides, we can prove the well known facts that  $k[G]$  is (finitely generated) projective over  $C$  and  $k[G]$  is a central separable algebra over  $C$ , explicitly, by use of this unit.

Denote by  $g_x$  and  $c_x$  the number and the sum of elements in the conjugate class of  $G$  containing the element  $x$  of  $G$ , respectively.

LEMMA 1.  $u = \sum_{c_x} (1/g_x)c_x c_{x^{-1}}$  is a unit in  $C$ .

PROOF. We first prove that  $\{(1/g_x)c_x\}$  and  $\{c_{x^{-1}}\}$  form a dual base of  $C$  over  $k$ . Let  $c_y c_x = \sum_{c_z} c_z a_{zx}$  where  $a_{zx}$  are integers. This means that each  $z_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq g_z$ ) conjugated to  $z$ , appears in  $c_y c_x$   $a_{zx}$  times, that is, for fixed  $k$ , the number of pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $y_i x_j = z_k$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g_y, 1 \leq j \leq g_x$ ) is equal to  $a_{zx}$ . So, the number of terms  $x_j^{-1} = z_k^{-1} y_i$  ( $1 \leq j \leq g_x$ ) is  $a_{zx} g_z$  in  $c_{z^{-1}} c_y$  and  $c_{z^{-1}} c_y = \cdots + (a_{zx} g_z / g_x) c_{x^{-1}} + \cdots$ . This proves that  $((1/g_z)c_{z^{-1}}) c_y = \sum_{c_x} c_x a_{zx} ((1/g_x)c_{x^{-1}})$  or equivalently  $\{(1/g_x)c_x\}$  and  $\{c_{x^{-1}}\}$  form a dual base of  $C$  over  $k$ . Now  $C$  is a separable  $k$ -algebra in the sense of that, for any field extension  $L$  of  $k$ ,  $C_L$  is a semisimple  $L$ -algebra. Then  $u = \sum_{c_x} (1/g_x)c_x c_{x^{-1}}$  is a unit in  $C$  by Theorem 71. 6 in [2] p.482.

Let  $v$  be the inverse of  $u$  in  $C$ ,  $uv = 1$ .

COROLLARY 2.  $\sum_{c_x} (1/g_x)c_x \otimes c_{x^{-1}}v$  is a separability idempotent in  $C \otimes_k C$ .

PROOF. It is clear that  $c(\sum (1/g_x)c_x \otimes c_{x^{-1}}v) = (\sum (1/g_x)c_x \otimes c_{x^{-1}}v)c$  for any  $c \in C$  and  $\sum (1/g_x)c_x c_{x^{-1}}v = 1$ .

Let  $p$  be the map of  $k[G]$  to  $C$  defined by  $p(a) = (1/n) \sum_{x \in G} xax^{-1}$  for  $a \in k[G]$ , where  $n$  is the order of  $G$ . The map  $p$  is the projection of  $k[G]$  to  $C$ . Then  $p$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}_C(k[G], C)$  which has a left  $k[G]$ -module structure in the usual way.

COROLLARY 3.  $\{x \cdot p\}$  and  $\{x^{-1}v\}$  ( $x \in G$ ) form a projective base of  $k[G]$  over  $C$ .

PROOF. For the identity 1 of  $G$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in G} (x \cdot p)(1)x^{-1}v = \sum_{x \in G} p(x)x^{-1}v = \sum_{x \in G} (1/g_x)c_x x^{-1}v = \sum_{c_x} (1/g_x)c_x c_x^{-1}v = 1.$$

Now, for any  $y \in G$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in G} (x \cdot p)(y)x^{-1}v = \sum_{x \in G} p(yx)x^{-1}v = \sum_{x \in G} p(yx)(yx)^{-1}vy = y.$$

Now consider the two-sided  $k[G]$ -module  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$ . Then, for each  $x \in G$ , the element  $(1/n) \sum_{y \in G} y \otimes xy^{-1}$  is in

$$(k[G] \otimes_C k[G])^{k[G]} = \{\xi \in k[G] \otimes_C k[G] \mid a\xi = \xi a, \text{ for all } a \in k[G]\}.$$

Therefore the map  $f_x$  for  $x \in G$ , which assigns to each  $a \in k[G]$  the element  $((1/n) \sum_{y \in G} y \otimes xy^{-1})a$  defines a two-sided  $k[G]$ -homomorphism of  $k[G]$  to  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$ . The map  $l_x$  for  $x \in G$ , which assigns to  $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$  in  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$   $\sum_i a_i x^{-1}v b_i$  in  $k[G]$ , is a two-sided  $k[G]$ -homomorphism of  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$  to  $k[G]$ . Then it is easily verified that  $\sum_{x \in G} f_x \circ l_x$  is the identity map of  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$ . Thus we have proved the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G]$  is a two-sided  $k[G]$ -direct summand of the direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $k[G]$ .

If this is the case, then it holds that  $k[G] \otimes_C k[G] \cong \text{Hom}_C(k[G], k[G])$  and  $k[G]$  is  $C$ -finitely generated projective, see [3] p. 112. Therefore  $k[G]$  is a central separable  $C$ -algebra by Theorem 2.1 [1].

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $G = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i H$  a coset decomposition of  $G$  by  $H$ . Denote by  $h_x$  and  $d_x$  the number and the sum of elements in the  $H$ -conjugate class of  $G$  containing the element  $x$  of  $G$ , respectively. Let  $\Delta$  be the centralizer of  $k[H]$  in  $k[G]$ . Then  $\{d_x\}$  is a  $k$ -base of  $\Delta$ . By the same way as in Lemma 1, it can be verified that  $\{(1/h_x)d_x\}$  and  $\{d_{x^{-1}}\}$  form a dual base of  $\Delta$  over  $k$ . Let  $q$  be the map of  $\Delta$  to  $C$  defined by  $q(a) = (1/r) \sum_i y_i a y_i^{-1}$ ,  $a \in \Delta$ . It can be shown that  $q$  does not depend on the choice of  $y_i$ , and  $q$  is the projection of  $\Delta$  to  $C$ .

PROPOSITION 5.  $\{(1/h_x)d_x \cdot q\}$  and  $\{d_{x^{-1}}v\}$  form a projective base of  $\Delta$  over  $C$ .

PROOF. If we notice that  $q(d_x) = (h_x/g_x)c_x$ , the calculation is similar to the proof in Corollary 3 and we shall omit it.

Let  $D$  be the centralizer of  $\Delta$  in  $k[G]$ . Then  $D \supset k[H]$  and the centralizer of  $D$  in  $k[G]$  is equal to  $\Delta$ .

PROPOSITION 6.  $k[G]$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ .

PROOF. For a representative  $x$  of an  $H$ -conjugate class of  $G$ , define

$$s_x: k[G] \longrightarrow k[G] \otimes_D k[G] \quad \text{by} \quad s_x(a) = ((1/r) \sum_i y_i \otimes (1/h_x)d_x y_i^{-1})a$$

and

$$t_x: k[G] \otimes_D k[G] \longrightarrow k[G] \text{ by } t_x(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_i a_i d_{x^{-1}} v b_i,$$

respectively. As  $(1/r)\sum_i y_i \otimes (1/h_x)d_x y_i^{-1}$  is in  $(k[G] \otimes_D k[G])^{k[G]}$  and  $d_{x^{-1}}v$  is in  $\Delta$ ,  $s_x$  and  $t_x$  are two-sided  $k[G]$ -homomorphisms, respectively. If we notice that  $\sum_{d_x}(1/h_x)d_x y_i^{-1}d_{x^{-1}}v$  is contained in  $D$ , it is easily verified that  $\sum s_x \circ t_x$  is the identity map of  $k[G] \otimes_D k[G]$ , where the sum is taken over all the  $H$ -conjugate classes of  $G$ . Therefore  $k[G] \otimes_D k[G]$  is a two-sided  $k[G]$ -direct summand of a direct sum of finite copies of  $k[G]$  and  $k[G]$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ .

Even if the characteristic of  $k$  divides the order of  $G$ , if the index of  $H$  in  $G$  is a unit in  $k$ ,  $k[G]$  is always a separable extension of  $k[H]$  by Proposition 3.1 [4]. In this case, it happens that  $k[G]$  may or not be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ . Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic two. Take  $G=S_3$  the symmetric group of degree three and  $H=\langle(12)\rangle$ . Then  $G=H+(13)H+(23)H$  is a coset decomposition of  $G$  by  $H$ . Put  $x_1=(12)$ ,  $x_2=(13)+(23)$  and  $y=(123)+(132)$ . Then we have  $\Delta=k1+kx_1+kx_2+ky$  and  $D=k[G]^H=D$ . The projection  $q$  of  $\Delta$  to  $C$  is given by  $q(a)=(1/3)(1 \cdot a \cdot 1+(13)a(13)+(23)a(23))$  for  $a \in \Delta$ . Then  $\{q, x_2 \cdot q, y \cdot q\}$  and  $\{1+y, x_2, 1\}$  form a projective base of  $\Delta$  over  $C$ . Define maps  $s_i: k[G] \rightarrow k[G] \otimes_D k[G] (i=1, 2, 3)$  by  $s_1(a)=(1/3)(1 \otimes 1+(13) \otimes (13)+(23) \otimes (23))a$ ,  $s_2(a)=(1/3)(1 \otimes x_2+(13) \otimes x_2(13)+(23) \otimes x_2(23))a$  and  $s_3(a)=(1/3)(1 \otimes y+(13) \otimes y(13)+(23) \otimes y(23))a$ , respectively. Also define maps  $t_i: k[G] \otimes_D k[G] \rightarrow k[G] (i=1, 2, 3)$  by  $t_1(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)=\sum a_i(1+y)b_i$ ,  $t_2(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)=\sum a_i x_2 b_i$  and  $t_3(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)=\sum a_i b_i$ , respectively. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^3 s_i \circ t_i$  is the identity map of  $k[G] \otimes_D k[G]$  and  $k[G]$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ . Next, take  $G=S_4$  and  $H=\langle(13), (1234)\rangle$ . Then the center  $C$  of  $k[G]$  is a local ring of dimension five over  $k$ . On the other hand we can see easily that  $\Delta$  is eight dimensional over  $k$ . Therefore  $\Delta$  is not  $C$ -projective and  $k[G]$  is not an  $H$ -separable extension of  $D$ .

### References

[1] Auslander, M. and Goldman, O., The Brauer group of a commutative ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960) 367-409.  
 [2] Curtis, C. W. and Reiner, I., Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1962.  
 [3] Hirata, K., Some types of separable extensions of rings, Nagoya Math. J. **33** (1968) 107-115.  
 [4] Hirata, K. and Sugano, K., On semisimple extensions and separable extensions over non commutative rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966) 360-373.

Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 Chiba University  
 Yayoi-cho, Chiba-city  
 260 Japan