

A SUBMANIFOLD WHICH CONTAINS MANY EXTRINSIC CIRCLES

By

Koichi OGIUE and Ryoichi TAKAGI

Dedicated to Professor Kentaro Yano in honor of
the Second Order of Merit

1. Introduction

There are many simple characterizations of a sphere in E^3 , which are either elementary geometric or differential geometric. For example,

(E_1) It “looks round” from an arbitrary point.

or

(E_2) A section with an arbitrary plane is a circle.

gives an elementary geometric criterion for a surface to be a sphere. On the other hand,

or

(D_2) Every geodesic is a plane curve.

gives a differential geometric criterion for a compact surface to be a sphere.

A condition such as (D_2) is simple and logical but *not practical*, because it is not so easy for an observer in E^3 to know practically that a curve on a surface is a geodesic or not.

On the contrary, it is easy to know that a curve in E^3 is a circle or not and is contained in a surface or not.

Therefore we consider an elementary geometric condition such as

(*) A circle in E^3 of (arbitrarily) given radius can be pressed entirely on an arbitrary position of a surface.

It is easy to see that (*) is a condition for a compact surface to be a sphere. A condition such as (*) is *practical* in the sense that it is available in verifying the sphericity of a given physical solid. We emphasize that such a condition is quite natural because an observer is an inhabitant of an ambient space. But, (*) requires a very large quantity of information because of its condition “an arbitrary position”.

Therefore we are going to give in §4 practical criterion for a compact surface to be a sphere, which is better than (*). Moreover we will extend our situation to a general Riemannian submanifold and give characterizations of an *extrinsic sphere*.

2. Basic notions

Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold immersed in an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . The Riemannian connections of M and \tilde{M} are denoted by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively, whereas the normal connection is denoted by ∇^\perp . The second fundamental form σ is defined by

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y,$$

where X and Y are vector fields tangent to M .

For a vector field ξ normal to M , the tensor field A_ξ of type (1,1) on M is defined by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi.$$

Then σ and A_ξ are related by

$$\langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle,$$

where \langle, \rangle denotes the inner product with respect to the respective Riemannian metrics.

The covariant derivative $\nabla'_X \sigma$ of σ is defined by

$$(\nabla'_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp \cdot \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

The *mean curvature vector field* \mathfrak{h} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{h} = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } \sigma.$$

We say that \mathfrak{h} is *parallel* if $\nabla_X^\perp \mathfrak{h} = 0$ for all X tangent to M .

We say that M is *totally umbilic* if

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle \mathfrak{h}$$

for all X and Y . Equivalently, M is totally umbilic if

$$A_\xi = \langle \xi, \mathfrak{h} \rangle I$$

for all ξ , where I denotes the identity transformation. It is known that if \tilde{M} is a space form (i.e., a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature), then a totally umbilic submanifold M of \tilde{M} has parallel mean curvature vector. A submanifold M of an arbitrary Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} is called an *extrinsic sphere* if it is totally umbilic and has non-zero parallel mean curvature vector.

A regular curve $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ on \tilde{M} parametrized by arc length s is called a *circle* if there exist a field $Y = Y(s)$ of unit vectors along γ and a positive constant k such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = kY \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} Y = -k\dot{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ denotes the unit tangent vector of γ .

The number k (resp. $1/k$) is called the *curvature* (resp. *radius*) of γ . It is easily seen that a circle $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ of curvature k in \tilde{M} satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} + k^2 \dot{\gamma} = 0.$$

3. A circle contained in a submanifold

Let M be a submanifold of \tilde{M} . A curve $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ in \tilde{M} is a circle of curvature k if it satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} + k^2 \dot{\gamma} = 0.$$

Using the equation of Gauss $\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} - \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = \sigma(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$, we easily obtain the following result, which is useful throughout this paper.

LEMMA. *Let M be a submanifold of \tilde{M} . Then a curve $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ in M is a circle of curvature k in \tilde{M} if and only if it satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} + k^2 \dot{\gamma} - A_{\sigma(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} \dot{\gamma} = 0 \\ (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \sigma)(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) + 3\sigma(\dot{\gamma}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

4. A practical characterization of an umbilical surface in E^3

We give an elementary geometric criterion for umbilicity, which is much better than (*) in §1. The following criterion requires the existence of two circles through each point, whereas (*) requires the existence of infinitely many circles through each point.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a surface in E^3 . Suppose that, through each point $p \in M$, there exist two circles of E^3 such that*

- (i) *they are contained in M in a neighborhood of p ,*
- (ii) *they are tangent to each other at p .*

Then M is locally a plane or a sphere.

PROOF. Let p be an arbitrary point of M and let γ_1 and γ_2 be two circles through p which satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii).

Let X_p be the common unit tangent vector of γ_1 and γ_2 at p . Then $X : p \rightarrow X_p$

defines a vector field on M , which may not be continuous. Let Y be a unit vector field on M which, together with X , forms a right-handed orthonormal system. Then, since $\dim M=2$, it follows from Lemma that there exist $c_1 \neq c_2$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{F}'_X \sigma)(X, X) + 3\sigma(X, c_1 Y) = 0 \\ (\mathcal{F}'_X \sigma)(X, X) + 3\sigma(X, c_2 Y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

at each point. Therefore we have

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma(X, Y) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\langle AX, Y \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\dim M=2$, we see that AX is parallel to X . Thus X is (and hence Y is also) a principal vector at each point. Let λ and μ be principal curvatures and ξ_1 and ξ_2 the corresponding principal unit vectors so that $A\xi_1 = \lambda\xi_1$ and $A\xi_2 = \mu\xi_2$. Put $M_0 = \{p \in M \mid \lambda(p) \neq \mu(p)\}$. If $M_0 = \emptyset$, then M is totally umbilic. Therefore we suppose that $M_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then ξ_1 and ξ_2 are C^∞ vector fields in some neighborhood of each point of M_0 . Hence we may put

$$\mathcal{V}_{\xi_1} \xi_1 = \alpha \xi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\xi_2} \xi_1 = \beta \xi_2$$

so that

$$\mathcal{V}_{\xi_1} \xi_2 = -\alpha \xi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\xi_2} \xi_2 = -\beta \xi_1.$$

Put $M_{0i} = \{p \in M_0 \mid X(p) = \xi_i(p)\}$ ($i=1,2$). Then $M_0 = M_{01} \cup M_{02}$, and it is easily seen that $M_0 \subset \bar{M}_{01} \cup \text{Int } M_{02}$ and $M_0 \subset \bar{M}_{02} \cup \text{Int } M_{01}$ and hence that M_{01} or M_{02} has interior points, or M_{01} or M_{02} is dense in M_0 . We may assume without loss of generality that M_{01} has interior points or M_{01} is dense in M_0 . Hence it is sufficient to consider the case where $p \in M_{01}$.

Using (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}'_{\xi_1} \sigma)(\xi_1, \xi_1) &= \mathcal{V}_{\xi_1}^\perp \cdot \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_1) - 2\sigma(\xi_1, \mathcal{V}_{\xi_1} \xi_1) \\ &= \mathcal{V}_{\xi_1}^\perp (\lambda e_3) - \sigma(\xi_1, \alpha \xi_2) \\ &= (\mathcal{V}_{\xi_1} \lambda) e_3, \end{aligned}$$

where e_3 is a local field of unit normals of M .

On the other hand, it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad (\mathcal{F}'_X \sigma)(X, X) = 0.$$

Therefore we have $\mathcal{V}_X \lambda = 0$ on M_{01} . If p is an interior point of M_{01} , then

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\xi_1} \lambda = 0$$

holds in some neighborhood of p . If \bar{M}_{01} is dense in M_0 , then, by continuity, (4.4) holds on \bar{M}_0 .

We choose an orthonormal frame field e_1, e_2 in a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of γ_1 in such a way that

$$e_1 = \dot{\gamma}_1 \text{ along } \gamma_1,$$

and put

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = ae_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = be_2$$

so that $\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -ae_1$ and $\nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -be_1$.

Let (h_{ij}) be the matrix of A with respect to e_1 and e_2 . Then it follows from Lemma that, along γ_1 ,

$$(4.5) \quad k^2 = a^2 + h_{11}^2$$

$$(4.6) \quad \nabla_{e_1} a = h_{11} h_{12}$$

$$(4.7) \quad ah_{12} + \nabla_{e_1} h_{11} = 0,$$

where k is the curvature of γ_1 as a circle in E^3 .

Letting θ be the angle between ξ_1 and e_1 so that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} e_1 = \xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta \\ e_2 = -\xi_1 \sin \theta + \xi_2 \cos \theta, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} h_{11} = \lambda \cos^2 \theta + \mu \sin^2 \theta \\ h_{12} = -(\lambda - \mu) \cos \theta \sin \theta \\ h_{22} = \lambda \sin^2 \theta + \mu \cos^2 \theta \end{cases}$$

By differentiating (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad a = \alpha \cos \theta + \beta \sin \theta + \nabla_{e_1} \theta,$$

which is nothing but the transformation law for Christoffel's symbols.

Moreover, by the equation of Codazzi $(\nabla_{\xi_1} A)\xi_2 - (\nabla_{\xi_2} A)\xi_1 = 0$, we get

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(\lambda - \mu) &= \nabla_{\xi_2} \lambda \\ \beta(\lambda - \mu) &= \nabla_{\xi_1} \mu \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.4), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad [3(\lambda - \mu) \cos \theta \cdot \nabla_{e_1} \theta - \sin^2 \theta \cdot \nabla_{\xi_2} \mu] \sin \theta = 0.$$

Note that $\theta = 0$ at p . The point p under consideration has one of the following properties:

(A) There exists no sequence $\{p_n \in \gamma_1 | \theta(p_n) \neq 0\}$ with $p = \lim p_n$

(B) There exists a sequence $\{p_n \in \gamma_1 | \theta(p_n) \neq 0\}$ with $p = \lim p_n$.

If p is a point of kind (A), then it is clear that the integral curve of ξ_1 through

p coincides with γ_1 on the connected component of $\{q \in \gamma_1 | \theta(q) = 0\}$ containing p .

If p is a point of kind (B), it follows from (4.12) that

$$(4.13) \quad 3(\lambda - \mu) \cos \theta \cdot \nabla_{e_1} \theta - \sin^2 \theta \cdot \nabla_{\xi_2} \mu = 0$$

holds on $\{p_n \in \gamma_1 | \theta(p_n) \neq 0\}$.

Taking the limit of (4.13), we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \nabla_{e_1} \theta = 0 \quad \text{at } p,$$

since $\lambda \neq \mu$.

After applying ∇_{e_1} to (4.12) and then multiplying by $\sin \theta$, we evaluate it at p to obtain

$$(4.15) \quad \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_1} \theta = 0 \quad \text{at } p.$$

It is clear that (4.14) and (4.15) hold even if p is a point of kind (A).

It follows from (4.10) and (4.14) that

$$\alpha(p) = a(p).$$

This, together with (4.5) and (4.9), yields

$$(4.11) \quad k^2 = (\alpha(p))^2 + (\lambda(p))^2,$$

which implies that *the curvature of γ_1 is $\sqrt{(\alpha(p))^2 + (\lambda(p))^2}$.*

Applying ∇_{e_1} to (4.10) and evaluating at p , we obtain

$$\nabla_{\xi_1} \alpha = \nabla_{\xi_1} a \quad \text{at } p$$

because of (4.14) and (4.15).

On the other hand, from (4.6) we get

$$\nabla_{\xi_1} a = \nabla_{e_1} a = h_{11} h_{12} = 0 \quad \text{at } p$$

Therefore we have

$$\nabla_{\xi_1} \alpha = 0 \quad \text{at } p.$$

Since p is arbitrary, we get $\nabla_{\xi_1} \alpha = 0$ on M_{01} .

If p is an interior point of M_{01} , then

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_{\xi_1} \alpha = 0$$

holds in some neighborhood of p . If M_{01} is dense in M_0 , then, by continuity, (4.17) holds on M_0 .

Eliminating ξ_2 from $\nabla_{\xi_1} = \alpha \xi_2$ and $\nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_2 = -\alpha \xi_1$, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\xi_1} \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1 + \alpha^2 \xi_1 = 0.$$

Moreover, since ξ_1 is a principal vector, we get

$$A_{\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_1)} = \lambda^2 \xi_1.$$

Therefore we have

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_{\xi_1} \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1 + (\alpha^2 + \lambda^2) \xi_1 - A_{\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_1)} \xi_1 = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $\nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1 = \alpha \xi_2$, it follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that $(\nabla_{\xi_1}' \sigma)(\xi_1, \xi_1) + 3\sigma(\xi_1, \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1) = 0$ on M_{01} .

$$(4.19) \quad (\nabla_{\xi_1}' \sigma)(\xi_1, \xi_1) + 3\sigma(\xi_1, \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1) = 0$$

holds in some neighborhood of p . If M_{01} is dense in M_0 , then, by continuity, (4.19) holds on M_0 .

By (4.18), (4.19) and Lemma, we see that *the integral curve of ξ_1 through p is a circle of curvature $\sqrt{(\alpha(p))^2 + (\lambda(p))^2}$ in E^3 .*

Since we can apply the same argument to γ_2 , letting θ_i ($i=1,2$) be the angle between ξ_1 and γ_i , we consider the following cases:

(A)₁: There exists no sequence $\{p_n \in \gamma_i | \theta_i(p_n) \neq 0\}$ with $p = \lim p_n$

(B)₁: There exists a sequence $\{p_n \in \gamma_i | \theta_i(p_n) \neq 0\}$ with $p = \lim p_n$.

It is clear that the case (A)₁ and (A)₂ does not occur. If (A)₁ and (B)₂, then γ_1 and γ_2 have the same curvature and the integral curve of ξ_1 through p coincides with γ_1 . If (B)₁ and (A)₂, then γ_1 and γ_2 have the same curvature and the integral curve of ξ_1 through p coincides with γ_2 . If (B)₁ and (B)₂, then γ_1, γ_2 and the integral curve of ξ_1 through p have the same curvature and hence, the integral curve of ξ_1 through p coincides with γ_1 or γ_2 , since $\dim M = 2$. This is a contradiction so that the last case does not occur.

Since we can apply the same argument to the possible two cases, we suppose that the (B)₁ and (A)₂ is the case, that is, the integral curve of ξ_1 through p coincides with γ_2 . Then, from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_1} \xi_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\xi_1} \xi_1 + \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_1), \nabla_{e_1} e_1 + \sigma(e_1, e_1) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha \xi_2 + \lambda e_3, a e_2 + h_{11} e_3 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_1} \xi_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 \rangle > \lambda^2 + \alpha^2 \quad \text{at } p,$$

since $\alpha = a$, $\lambda = h_{11}$ and $\xi_2 = e_2$ at p .

Let $\widehat{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}$ denote the angle between γ_1 -plane and γ_2 -plane. Then we have

$$\cos \widehat{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} = \frac{\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_1} \xi_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 \rangle}{\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_1} \xi_1\| \|\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1\|} = 1.$$

Thus γ_1 -plane and γ_2 -plane coincide along γ_2 . This implies that the set of γ_1 's along γ_2 near p forms a part of a plane, which contradicts the non-umbilicity of M_0 .

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

On the other hand, since $\gamma_{i1}, \dots, \gamma_{in}$ are $(n-1)$ -independent by (ii), we see that $\{\{X_i\}\}^\perp = \{(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{in}} \dot{\gamma}_{i1})_p, \dots, (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{in}} \dot{\gamma}_{in})_p\}$. Thus, from (5.1) we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad (\nabla'_{X_i} \sigma)(X_i, X_i) = 0$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma(X_i, \{\{X_i\}\}^\perp) = 0.$$

It follows from (5.3) that

$$\langle A_\xi X_i, \{\{X_i\}\}^\perp \rangle = 0$$

for an arbitrary normal vector ξ at p .

This implies that X_i is a principal vector with respect to ξ .

Therefore we see from (iii) and (iv) that A_ξ is proportional to the identity transformation for an arbitrary normal vector ξ at p , which means that p is an umbilic point.

Since p is arbitrary, M is totally umbilic.

Moreover, (5.2), together with the umbilicity of M , allows the identity

$$\nabla^\perp \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \cdot \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij}) = (\nabla' \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \sigma)(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij}) + 2\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij})$$

to boil down to

$$\nabla_{X_i}^\perp \mathfrak{h} = 0.$$

Thus we get $\nabla^\perp \mathfrak{h} = 0$ at p by (iv), and hence we have

$$\nabla^\perp \mathfrak{h} = 0 \quad \text{on } M,$$

since p is arbitrary.

Thus M is totally umbilic with parallel mean curvature vector. More precisely, M is a totally geodesic submanifold or an extrinsic sphere according as $\mathfrak{h} = 0$ or $\mathfrak{h} \neq 0$. (Q.E.D.)

The following result gives another characterization of an extrinsic sphere.

THEOREM 3. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold immersed in a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . Then M is either a totally geodesic submanifold or an extrinsic sphere if, at each point p of M , there exist an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of $T_p(M)$ and real numbers $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ ($0 < \alpha_j < \pi/2$) with the following properties: For each pair $(X, Y) = (e_i, e_j)$ and $(X, Y) = (e_1 \cos \alpha_j + e_j \sin \alpha_j, e_1 \sin \alpha_j - e_j \cos \alpha_j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, there exist two circles γ_1 and γ_2 of \tilde{M} such that*

$$(i) \quad \gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = p$$

- (ii) $\dot{\gamma}_1(0) = \dot{\gamma}_2(0) = X$
 (iii) $(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_1} \dot{\gamma}_1)_p = c_1 Y$ and $(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_2} \dot{\gamma}_2)_p = c_2 Y$ for some $c_1 \neq c_2$ (i.e., γ_1 and γ_2 are 1-independent)
 (iv) γ_1 and γ_2 are contained in M in a neighborhood of p .

PROOF By (iv) and Lemma, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} (\nabla'_X \sigma)(X, X) + 3\sigma(X, c_1 Y) = 0 \\ (\nabla'_X \sigma)(X, X) + 3\sigma(X, c_2 Y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma(X, Y) = 0$$

holds for all pairs $(X, Y) = (e_i, e_j)$ and $(e_1 \cos \alpha_j + e_j \sin \alpha_j, e_1 \sin \alpha_j - e_j \cos \alpha_j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e_i, e_j) &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \\ \sigma(e_1, e_1) &= \cdots = \sigma(e_n, e_n), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that p is an umbilic point.

Since p is arbitrary, M is totally umbilic.

Moreover, by (5.4) and (5.5) we get

$$(\nabla'_X \sigma)(X, X) = 0$$

for $X = e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ and $e_1 \cos \alpha_n + e_n \sin \alpha_n$.

Applying the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\nabla^\perp \mathfrak{h} = 0$$

so that we can complete the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of E^m . If M satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2 or Theorem 3, then M is locally E^n or S^n .*

COROLLARY 2. *Let M be a surface in E^m . Suppose that, through each point of M , there exist four circles of E^m such that*

- (a) *they are contained in M in a neighborhood of p ,*
- (b) *they are tangent two by two at p ,*
- (c) *none of them are orthogonal to each other at p .*

Then M is locally a plane or a sphere.

6. A submanifold with parallel second fundamental form

We shall give an elementary geometric characterization for a submanifold with parallel second fundamental form. We first prove the following general

- (ii) $T_p(M) = \{\dot{\gamma}_{11}(0), \dots, \dot{\gamma}_{nn}(0)\}$
 (iii) $\dot{\gamma}_{ij}(0) \in \{\dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0), \dot{\gamma}_{jj}(0)\}$
 (iv) γ_{ij} are contained in M in a neighborhood of p

PROOF By (iv) and the equation of Gauss, we have

$$\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \dot{\gamma}_{ij}) = \tilde{V}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} - \tilde{V}_{\dot{\gamma}_{ij}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n) \text{ in a neighborhood of } p.$$

Therefore it follows from (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0), \dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0)) &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \sigma(a_i \dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0) + b_j \dot{\gamma}_{jj}(0), a_i \dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0) + b_j \dot{\gamma}_{jj}(0)) &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

for some a_i and b_j .

Hence we have

$$\sigma(\dot{\gamma}_{ii}(0), \dot{\gamma}_{jj}(0)) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n),$$

which, together with (ii), implies $\sigma = 0$ at p .

COROLLARY. *Let M be a surface in a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . If, through each point p of M , there exist three geodesics of \tilde{M} which are contained in M in a neighborhood of then M is totally geodesic.*

REMARK. The condition (iii) is automatically satisfied when $\dim M = 2$, from which Corollary follows.

On the contrary, in the case $\dim M > 2$, p is not necessarily a geodesic point even if there exist infinitely many geodesics of \tilde{M} which satisfy (i), (ii) and (iv).

References

- [1] Blum, R., Circles on surfaces in the Euclidean 3 space, Lecture Notes in Math. #792, Springer, 1980, 213-221.
 [2] Nomizu K. and Yano, K., On circles and spheres in Riemannian geometry, Math. Ann. **210** (1974) 163-170.

Department of Mathematics
 Tokyo Metropolitan University
 and
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Tsukuba