

## CIRCULAR BILLIARDS AND PARALLEL AXIOM IN CONVEX BILLIARDS

By

Shinetsu TAMURA and Nobuhiro INAMI

**Abstract.** Circles will be characterized by some properties of billiard ball trajectories. The theory of parallels and the parallel axiom play important roles in the geometry of the configuration space. Those characterizations are concerned with Bialy's theorem which is a partial answer to Birkhoff's conjecture.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a smooth simple closed and strictly convex curve with length  $L$  in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbf{E}$  and let  $c: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  be its representation by arclength, namely  $|\dot{c}(t)| = 1$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the set of all real numbers. The orientation of  $C$  is assumed to be anti-clockwise. Let  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a sequence of points in  $C$  where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of all integers. Let  $T(x) = \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T(x_j, x_{j+1})$  where  $T(x_j, x_{j+1})$  is the oriented segment from  $x_j$  to  $x_{j+1}$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We say that  $x$  (and  $T(x)$ ) is a *billiard ball trajectory* if the angle between the tangent vector  $A$  to  $C$  at  $x_i$  and the oriented segment  $T(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  from  $x_{i-1}$  to  $x_i$  is equal to the one between  $A$  and  $T(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The convex billiard has been investigated in its phase space and its configuration space.

We call  $\Omega = C \times (-1, 1)$  the *phase space* which is the set of all pairs  $(x, u)$  for  $x \in C$  and  $u \in (-1, 1)$ . Let  $x_0, x_1 \in C$  and  $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  the billiard ball trajectory. Let  $\theta_0$  (resp.,  $\theta_1$ ) be the angle between the segment  $T(x_0, x_1)$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  (resp.,  $T(x_1, x_2)$ ) and the tangent vector to  $C$  at  $x_0$  (resp.,  $x_1$ ). Set  $u_0 = \cos \theta_0$  and  $u_1 = \cos \theta_1$ . Define a *billiard ball map*  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  as  $\varphi(x_0, u_0) = (x_1, u_1)$ . The billiard ball map is an example of a monotone twist map (see [10]). If  $\bar{x} = (x_0, u_0) \in \Omega$  and  $(x_j, u_j) = \varphi^j(\bar{x})$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , then the sequence  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a billiard ball trajectory. Any billiard ball trajectory is given in this way.

The billiard is said to be *integrable* if a subset of full measure of the phase

space  $\Omega$  is foliated by closed curves invariant under the billiard ball map  $\varphi$ . The billiards in circles and ellipses are integrable. Birkhoff's conjecture is stated in [3] as follows. The only examples of integrable billiards are circular and elliptic billiards. Bialy ([3]) has given a partial answer to the conjecture, proving that  $C$  is a circle if  $\Omega$  is foliated by  $\varphi$ -invariant continuous closed curves not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$ . Wojtkowski ([11]) proved that  $C$  is a circle if the domain bounded by  $C$  is foliated by smooth caustics to which almost every billiard ball trajectories are tangent. As was stated in [3] Bialy's theorem corresponds to a theorem of Hopf ([7]) concerning Riemannian metrics on tori without conjugate points. Innami ([8]) extended Bialy's theorem to the higher dimensional case and the nonpositive curvature case as Green ([5]) did.

A sequence of points  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in  $C$  is represented by a sequence  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  of real numbers such that  $x_j = c(s_j)$  and  $s_j < s_{j+1} < s_j + L$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and the sequence  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  will be considered to be a configuration  $\{(j, s_j)\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in the configuration space  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ . A configuration  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  for  $x$  is uniquely determined up to the difference  $pL$  ( $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). The theory of parallels for billiard trajectories in the configuration space has been developed in [1], [2] and [9]. We define the *slope*  $\alpha(x)$  of  $x$  as

$$\alpha(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{n}$$

where  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a configuration for  $x$ . Let  $\alpha(\bar{x})$  denote the slope of the billiard ball trajectory determined by  $\bar{x}$  for  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ . It is known that all points  $\bar{x}$  which are in a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve  $f$  not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  have the same slopes ([1], [9]). We define the slope  $\alpha(f)$  of any  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve  $f$  not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  as  $\alpha(f) = \alpha(\bar{x})$  for any  $\bar{x}$ .

In the present paper we prove the following theorem which improves Biary's theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $C$  be a strictly convex closed curve of class  $C^1$  with length  $L$  and with constant width. Suppose there exists a sequence of  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curves  $f_n$  not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  whose slopes  $\alpha_n = \alpha(f_n)$  converge to  $L/2$ . Then,  $C$  is a circle.*

Let  $f$  be a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  and  $f^-$  the curve consisting of the points  $\bar{x}^-$  which correspond to the reversed billiard ball trajectories to  $\bar{x} \in f$ . Then  $f^-$  is also a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  with slope  $\alpha(f^-) = L - \alpha(f)$  (see Section 5).

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $C$  be a strictly convex closed curve of class  $C^1$ . Suppose there exists a sequence of  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curves  $f_n$  not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^-$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $C$  is a circle.*

The corollary shows that our theorem better Biary's theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $C$  be a strictly convex closed curve of class  $C^1$  with length  $L$ . Suppose the slope function  $\alpha$  is continuous in  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(L/2)$  has no interior points. Then  $C$  is a circle.*

We define poles for convex billiards as follows. Let  $s_0 = t_0$  and  $x_0 = c(s_0)$ . Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be configurations for billiard ball trajectories with  $t_1 > s_1$ . We say that the point  $x_0 \in C$  is a *pole* if  $t$  and  $s$  do not cross at any other point than  $s_0$ , namely,  $t_j > s_j$  for  $j > 0$  and  $t_j < s_j$  for  $j < 0$ . All points in circles are poles. The endpoints of long axis in an ellipse are poles and other points are not poles.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Let  $C$  be a strictly convex closed curve of class  $C^1$  with constant width. Suppose there exists a pole in  $C$ . Then  $C$  is a circle.*

## 2. Preliminaries

Details of theorems introduced in this section can be seen in [9]. Let  $C$  be a smooth strictly convex simple closed curve in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbf{E}$  with length  $L$ . Let  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of all integers and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the set of all real numbers. We denote  $(i, s_i) \in \mathbf{X}$  by  $s_i$  for simplicity. A configuration  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  makes a broken segment  $T(s) = \bigcup_{j=i}^{k-1} T(s_j, s_{j+1})$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  where  $T(s_j, s_{j+1})$  is the segment from  $(j, s_j)$  to  $(j+1, s_{j+1})$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . For  $q, p \in \mathbf{Z}$  let  $U(q, p)$  be the translation in  $\mathbf{X}$  which is given by

$$U(q, p)(s_i) = U(q, p)(i, s_i) = (i + q, s_i + pL)$$

for any  $(i, s_i) \in \mathbf{X}$ . Let  $x = (x_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  be a sequence of points in  $C$  with  $x_j \neq x_{j+1}$  for any  $j$ . We define a configuration  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  for  $x$  as  $x_j = c(s_j)$  and  $s_j < s_{j+1} < s_j + L$  for  $i \leq j \leq k-1$ . We call such a configuration  $s$  and a broken segment  $T = T(s)$  made of such a configuration  $s$  a *C-curve*. We define the negative length of a *C-curve*  $T = T(s)$  as

$$H(s; i, k) = H(s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_k) = - \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} |c(s_{j+1}) - c(s_j)|$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the natural norm in  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $c : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is the representation of  $C$  by arclength. Let  $H(i, k; u, v)$  denote the minimum of  $H(s; i, k)$  in the set of all  $C$ -curves  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  from  $s_i = (i, u)$  to  $s_k = (k, v)$ .

A  $C$ -curve  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  (and  $T = T(s)$ ) is called a *billiard curve* or simply a *b-curve* if  $x = (x_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  given by  $x_j = c(s_j)$  for  $i \leq j \leq k$  is a billiard ball trajectory. The *b-curves* are the critical points of the function  $H$  in the set of all  $C$ -curves connecting given endpoints. A *b-curve*  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  (and  $T = T(s)$ ) is called a *billiard geodesic* or simply a *b-geodesic* if  $H(s; j, j+2)$  is the minimum in the set of all  $C$ -curves from  $s_j$  to  $s_{j+2}$  for  $i \leq j \leq k-2$ , namely  $H(s; j, j+2) = H(j, j+2; s_j, s_{j+2})$ . A  $C$ -curve  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  (and  $T = T(s)$ ) is called a *billiard segment* or simply a *b-segment* if  $H(s; i, k)$  is the minimum in the set of all  $C$ -curves from  $s_i$  to  $s_k$ , namely  $H(s; i, k) = H(i, k; s_i, s_k)$ . A *b-geodesic*  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i}$  (resp.,  $s = (s_j)_{j \leq i}$ ) (and  $T = T(s)$ ) is called a *billiard ray from  $s_i$*  or simply a *b-ray from  $s_i$*  if all sub-*b-geodesics* are *b-segments*, namely  $H(s; j, k) = H(j, k; s_j, s_k)$  for any  $k > j \geq i$  (resp.,  $j < k \leq i$ ). A *b-geodesic*  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  (and  $T = T(s)$ ) is called a *billiard straight line* or simply a *b-straight line* if all sub-*b-geodesics* are *b-segments*, namely  $H(s; j, k) = H(j, k; s_j, s_k)$  for any  $k > j$ .

Let  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  and  $s' = (s'_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  be *b-segments* such that  $T(s) \cap T(s') = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $s_j < s'_j$  for all  $j$  with  $i \leq j \leq k$ . Then, we have a *strip*  $[T(s), T(s')]$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  whose lower boundary broken segment is  $T(s)$  and upper one is  $T(s')$ . We also denote  $[T(s), T(s')] \cap \mathbf{X}$  as  $[T(s), T(s')]$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If  $W$  is a foliation of the strip  $[T(s), T(s')]$  by *b-curves*, then all *b-curves*  $t = (t_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  in the foliation  $W$  are *b-segments* in the strip  $[T(s), T(s')]$ . Moreover, if  $t_k$  and  $t_m$  are in a *b-curve*  $t = (t_j)_{i \leq j \leq k} \in W$ , then the sub-*b-curve*  $t = (t_j)_{h \leq j \leq m}$  of  $t = (t_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  is the unique *b-curve* connecting  $t_h$  and  $t_m$  in the strip  $[T(s), T(s')]$ .*

Let  $f$  be a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve which is not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$ . Then, the set of all configurations for all points  $\bar{x} \in f$  makes a foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$  which is invariant under all translations. Proposition 2.1 implies that those configurations are *b-straight lines* in  $\mathbf{X}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $t = (t_j)_{h \leq j \leq m}$  and  $u = (u_j)_{k \leq j \leq m}$  be *b-segments* with  $t \neq u$ . Then,  $T(t) \cap T(u)$  contains at most two points. If  $T(t) \cap T(u) = \{a, b\}$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are common endpoints of  $t$  and  $u$ . Furthermore, there exists the unique *b-segment* from  $t_i$  to  $t_j$  which is a sub-*b-segment* of  $t$  if at least one of  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  is not an endpoint of the segment  $t$ .*

Let  $q, p \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $0 < |p/q| < 1$ . The *displacement function*  $D = D(q, p) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is given by

$$D(s_i) = D(q, p)(s_i) = H(i, i + q; s_i, s_i + pL)$$

for any  $s_i = (i, s_i) \in \mathbf{X}$ . This is equivalent to that  $D(s_i) = H(i, i + q; s_i, U(q, p)(s_i))$  for any  $s_i \in \mathbf{X}$ .

We say that a  $b$ -curve  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is *with period*  $(q, p)$  if  $s_{j+q} = s_j + pL$  for any  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The periodic  $b$ -geodesic  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is said to be *minimal* if  $D(s_j) = \min\{D(s) \mid s \in \{j\} \times \mathbf{R}\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Suppose  $D(q, p)$  assumes its minimum at  $s_i$ . Then, there exists a unique minimal periodic  $b$ -geodesic through  $s_i$  with period  $(q, p)$ . The minimal periodic  $b$ -geodesic is a  $b$ -straight line whose slope is  $pL/q$ .*

The *diameter*  $d$  of  $C$  is by definition  $d = \max\{|c(s) - c(t)| \mid s, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . The diameter is characterized by a billiard ball trajectory as follows.

**LEMMA 2.4.** *A  $b$ -straight line  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is with period  $(2, 1)$  if and only if  $|c(s_{j+1}) - c(s_j)|$  is the diameter of  $C$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

The following proposition helps us improve the assumption in Bialy's theorem, combined with Theorem 4.1.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.**  *$C$  is with constant width if and only if  $\mathbf{X}$  is foliated by periodic  $b$ -straight lines with period  $(2, 1)$ .*

Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$  be a  $b$ -ray. We define the *Busemann function* of a  $b$ -ray  $s$  in the configuration space as

$$B_s(i, t_i) = B_s(t_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{H(i, n; t_i, s_n) - H(s; i_0, n)\}$$

for any  $(i, t_i) \in \mathbf{X}$  (see [2], [4], [9]). In the same way we define the Busemann function of a  $b$ -ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \leq i_0}$  by using  $n \rightarrow -\infty$  instead of " $n \rightarrow \infty$ ". We states the properties and proofs for only the case  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$ . However, the same properties are true under the suitable change of the expression unless otherwise stated.

Let  $t = (t_j)_{j \geq i}$  be a  $C$ -curve. We say that  $t$  is a *co- $b$ -ray* to a  $b$ -ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$  if

$$B_s(i, t_i) = H(i, i + m; t_i, t_{i+m}) + B_s(i + m, t_{i+m})$$

for any  $i \geq i_1$  and  $m > 0$ . We say that a  $C$ -curve  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a *b-asymptote* to a  $b$ -ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$  if any sub- $b$ -curve  $t = (t_j)_{j \geq i}$  of  $t$  is a co- $b$ -ray to  $s$ . We say that a  $C$ -curve  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a *b-parallel* to a  $b$ -straight line  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  if sub- $b$ -curves  $(t_j)_{j \geq i}$  and  $(t_j)_{j \leq i}$  are co- $b$ -rays to  $b$ -rays  $(s_j)_{j \geq 0}$  and  $(s_j)_{j \leq 0}$  respectively for each  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$  and  $t = (t_j)_{j \geq i_1}$  be  $b$ -rays. If  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |s_j - t_j| = 0$ , then  $B_s(i, u) = B_t(i, u) - B_t(i_0, s_{i_0})$  for any  $(i, u) \in \mathbf{X}$  and they are co- $b$ -rays to each other.*

If  $t^n = (t_j^n)_{i_1 \leq j \leq n}$  be a  $b$ -segment from  $t_{i_1}^n$  to  $s_n$  and a sequence  $t_{i_1}^n$  is bounded, then there exists a subsequence  $t^m$  which converges to a  $b$ -ray.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let  $t^n = (t_j^n)_{i_1 \leq j \leq n}$  be a  $b$ -segment from  $t_{i_1}^n$  to  $s_n$ . If a sequence  $t^n$  converges to a  $b$ -ray  $t = (t_j)_{j \geq i_1}$ , then  $t$  is a co- $b$ -ray to  $s$ .*

The following shows that sub- $b$ -rays of a co- $b$ -ray  $t$  are the unique co- $b$ -rays if the starting point is not the terminal point of  $t$ .

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $t = (t_j)_{j \geq i_1}$  be a co- $b$ -ray to  $s$  and let  $i_2 > i_1$ . If  $u = (u_j)_{j \geq i_2}$  is a co- $b$ -ray to  $s$  with  $u_{i_2} = t_{i_2}$ , then  $u$  is a sub- $b$ -ray of  $t$ , namely,  $u_j = t_j$  for  $j \geq i_2$ .*

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $s' = (s'_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be periodic  $b$ -curves with period  $(q, p)$ . If  $s$  and  $s'$  are  $b$ -straight lines, then one is a  $b$ -parallel to the other.*

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a periodic  $b$ -straight line with period  $(q, p)$  and  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  a  $b$ -straight line with slope  $\alpha(t) = pL/q$  and  $T(t) \cap T(s) \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $t$  coincides with  $s$ .*

LEMMA 2.11. *Suppose there exists a pole  $x \in C$ . Then, for any  $(q, p)$ ,  $q, p \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $p/q < 1$ , and any  $s_0$  corresponding to a pole, there passes a minimal periodic  $b$ -straight line  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  with period  $(q, p)$  such that the strip  $[T(s), T(\bar{s})]$  is foliated by  $b$ -straight lines and the foliation  $W$  corresponds to a  $\phi$ -invariant closed curve not null-homotopic in the phase space  $\Omega$ , where  $\bar{s}_j = s_j + L$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

### 3. Convex Parts of Caustics

Let  $x, y \in C$ . The orientation of  $C$  is assumed to be anti-clockwise. Let  $T(x, y)$  be the oriented segment from  $x$  to  $y$  and  $S(x, y)$  the oriented straight line through  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $H(x, y)$  be the closed half plane which is in the left side of  $S(x, y)$  in Euclid plane. Let  $M_a$  be the set of all  $b$ -straight lines with slope  $aL$ . Suppose  $M_a$  is a foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$  in this section. Let  $(s_0)_1$  is a function defined on  $[0, L]$  which is given by  $(s_0)_1 = s_1$  where  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is the unique  $b$ -straight line in  $M_a$  through  $s_0$ . Let

$$C_a(r) = \bigcap_{0 \leq s_0 \leq r} H(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq L$ . Obviously  $C_a(r) \subset C_a(r')$  if  $0 < r' < r < L$ . We call  $C_a = C_a(L)$  the *convex part of caustic with slope  $aL$* .

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that  $M_a$  is a foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then,  $C_a$  is a nonempty convex set and all billiard ball trajectories  $x$  intersecting  $C_a$  are with slopes  $\alpha(x)$  greater than or equal to  $aL$  if  $\alpha(x) < L/2$ .*

In the following proof it is important that the tangent line of  $C$  at  $c(s_0)$  intersect  $T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  with an angle less than  $\pi/2$ , and that  $(s_0)_1$  is monotone and continuous in  $s_0 \in [0, L]$  ([9]).

PROOF. We will prove that  $C_a(L)$  is not empty. Let  $a_0 \in [0, L]$  be the number such that  $(a_0)_1 \leq L$ . Then,  $c((a_0)_1) \in C_a(a_0)$ , since  $(s_0)_1$  is monotone increasing in  $s_0 \in [0, L]$ . Let  $y_0 \in T(c(0), c(0_1))$  be the nearest point from 0 in the set of all points  $T(c(0), c(0_1)) \cap T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  for  $0 < s_0 \leq 0_1$ . Let  $b_0 = 0_{-1} + L$ , namely,  $(b_0)_1 = L$ . The boundary  $\partial C_a(b_0)$  of  $C_a(b_0)$  is a convex curve which consists of  $T(c(0), y_0)$ , a convex curve  $K$  from  $y_0$  to a point  $w_0$  in  $T(c(b_0), c(L))$  and  $T(w_0, c(L))$ . Let  $u \in C$  be the point at which the oriented tangent line to  $K$  with right derivative at  $y_0$  intersects  $C$  and let  $d_0$  be the parameter such that  $0_1 < d_0 < L$  and  $u = c(d_0)$ .

We have two cases;  $d_0 \leq b_0$  and  $d_0 > b_0$ . If  $d_0 \leq b_0$ , then there exists the smallest parameter  $b_1 > b_0$  such that  $T(c(b_1), c((b_1)_1))$  passes through  $y_0$ . More precisely,  $c((s_0)_1)$  is between  $c(b_0)$  and  $c(0) = c(L)$  for  $s_0 \in [d_0, b_0]$  and  $T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  intersects  $T(c(0), c(0_1))$  at a point between  $c(0)$  and  $y_0$  for  $s_0 \in [b_0, b_1]$ . Hence, it follows that  $y_0 \in C_a(b_1)$ . If  $d_0 > b_0$ , then  $L \leq (s_0)_1 \leq (d_0)_1$  for  $s_0 \in [b_0, d_0]$ , and, hence,  $y_0$  is in the left side of  $T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$ . Therefore,

there exists a parameter  $b_1$  with  $b_1 > d_0 > b_0$  such that  $T(c(b_1), c((b_1)_1))$  passes through  $y_0$ . It follows that  $y_0 \in C_a(b_1)$ . The convex curve  $\partial C_a(b_1)$  consists of a convex curve from  $y_0$  to a point  $w_1$  in the segment  $T(c(b_1), c((b_1)_1))$  and  $T(w_1, y_0)$ . Let  $U(b_1)$  be the supporting line to  $C_a(b_1)$  through  $c(b_1)$  which is not the segment  $T(c(b_1), c((b_1)_1))$  and let  $p_1$  be a point  $U(b_1) \cap C_a(b_1)$ . Then,  $p_1$  is in the left side of  $S(c(b_1), c((b_1)_1))$ . If the supporting line  $U(b_1)$  does not intersect the segment  $T(c(0), c(0_1))$ , then  $p_1$  is in the left side of  $S(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  for any  $s_0 \in (b_1, L]$ , and, hence,  $p_1 \in C_a(L)$ . If the supporting line  $U(b_1)$  intersect  $T(c(0), c(0_1))$  at a point  $y_1$ , then we can find  $b_2$  with  $b_1 < b_2 \leq L$  such that  $T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  intersects  $T(c(0), y_1)$  for any  $s_0 \in [b_1, b_2]$ . Thus,  $p_1$  is in the left side of  $S(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  for any  $s_0 \in [b_1, b_2]$ , and, hence,  $p_1 \in C_a(b_2)$ . By using  $c(b_2)$  and the supporting line  $U(b_2)$  to  $C_a(b_2)$  through  $c(b_2)$  instead of  $c(b_1)$  and  $U(b_1)$ , we find a point  $p_2 \in C_a(b_2)$  such that  $p_2 \in C_a(L)$  or there exist a parameter  $b_3$  with  $b_2 < b_3 \leq L$  and  $y_2 \in T(c(0), c(0_1))$  such that  $p_2$  is in the left side of  $S(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  for any  $s_0 \in [b_2, b_3]$  and  $T(c(s_0), c((s_0)_1))$  intersect  $T(c(0), c(0_1))$  at some point between  $c(0)$  and  $y_2$  on  $T(c(0), c(0_1))$ , and, hence,  $p_2 \in C_a(b_3)$ . This is a process of making  $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n < L$  and a sequence of points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  in  $C_a(b_n)$ . Since  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are in this order on  $C_a(b_n)$ , the sequence  $b_i$  is a finite sequence. Thus, we have  $C_a = C_a(L)$  which is not empty.

By construction of  $C_a$ , we easily see that all billiard ball trajectories  $x$  intersecting  $C_a$  have slopes  $\alpha(x)$  greater than or equal to  $aL$  if  $\alpha(x) < L/2$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is obvious from the proof of Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that  $M_a$  and  $M_{a'}$  are foliations of  $\mathbf{X}$  with  $a < a' < L/2$ . Then,  $C_{a'} \subset C_a$ .*

#### 4. Parallel Axiom and Periodic Trajectory

Let  $M_a$  be the set of all points  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$  whose configuration is a  $b$ -straight line in  $\mathbf{X}$  with slope  $\alpha(\bar{x}) = aL$  where  $0 < a < 1$ . We also denoted the set of those  $b$ -straight lines in  $\mathbf{X}$  as  $M_a$  for convenience. We say that  $M_a$  satisfies the *parallel axiom* if given two  $b$ -straight lines in  $M_a$  are  $b$ -parallel to each other.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $a = p/q$  be a rational number with  $0 < a < 1$ . Assume that  $M_a$  is a totally ordered set and satisfies the parallel axiom. Then, all  $b$ -straight lines in  $M_a$  are with period  $(q, p)$ .*

We need two lemmas to prove the theorem. Let  $u = (u_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a periodic  $b$ -straight line with period  $(q, p)$  and let  $s = (s_j)_{i_0 \leq j \leq i_0 + mq}$  be a  $b$ -segment. Let  $f_s(i) = s_{i_0+iq} - u_{i_0+iq}$  for any  $i \in I[0, m]$  where  $I[a, b]$  is the set  $\{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$  of integers. We say that  $I[a, b]$  is a *maximal monotone interval* for  $f_s$  in  $I[0, m]$  if  $f_s(i)$  is a monotone sequence in  $i \in I[a, b]$  and is not a monotone sequence in any interval of integers containing  $I[a, b]$  as a proper subset.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $I[a_1, b_1]$  and  $I[a_2, b_2]$  be maximal monotone intervals. If  $I[a_1, b_1] \cap I[a_2, b_2]$  contains at least three numbers, then  $s$  is a sub- $b$ -segment of a periodic  $b$ -geodesic with period  $(q, p)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $I[a_1, b_1] \cap I[a_2, b_2] \ni i_1 - 1, i_1, i_1 + 1$ . Then,  $f_s(i_1 - 1) = f_s(i_1) = f_s(i_1 + 1)$ , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i_0+(i_1-1)q} - u_{i_0+(i_1-1)q} &= s_{i_0+i_1q} - u_{i_0+i_1q} \\ &= s_{i_0+(i_1+1)q} - u_{i_0+(i_1+1)q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$u_{i_0+(i_1-1)q} + pL = u_{i_0+i_1q} = u_{i_0+(i_1+1)q} - pL,$$

we have

$$s_{i_0+(i_1-1)q} + pL = s_{i_0+i_1q} = s_{i_0+(i_1+1)q} - pL,$$

Hence,  $U(q, p)(s_{i_0+(i_1-1)q}) = s_{i_0+i_1q}$ ,  $U(q, p)(s_{i_0+i_1q}) = s_{i_0+(i_1+1)q}$ . This implies that  $s$  is a sub- $b$ -segment of a periodic  $b$ -geodesic with period  $(q, p)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $I[a_1, b_1], \dots, I[a_n, b_n]$  be maximal monotone intervals with  $a_1 < \dots < a_n$  and  $I[a_k, b_k] \cap I[a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}] \neq \emptyset$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then,  $n$  is less than or equal to 2.*

PROOF. Suppose without loss of generality that  $f_s(i)$  is monotone non-increasing in  $i \in I[a_1, b_1]$ , monotone nondecreasing in  $i \in I[a_2, b_2]$ , monotone nonincreasing in  $i \in I[a_3, b_3]$ , and so on. Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . It follows from Lemma 4.2 that we find  $a, b \in I[1, n-1]$  such that  $a < b$  and

$$f_s(a-1) \geq f_s(a), \quad f_s(a) < f_s(a+1)$$

and

$$f_s(b-1) \leq f_s(b), \quad f_s(b) > f_s(b+1),$$

namely,

$$s_{i_0+(a-1)q} \geq s_{i_0+aq} - pL, \quad s_{i_0+aq} < s_{i_0+(a+1)q} - pL$$

and

$$s_{i_0+(b-1)q} \leq s_{i_0+bq} - pL, \quad s_{i_0+bq} > s_{i_0+(b+1)q} - pL.$$

Let  $\bar{s} = U(q, p)(s)$ , namely,  $\bar{s}_j = s_{j-q} + pL$  for all  $j \in I[i_0 + q, i_0 + (m+1)q]$ . Then, we have

$$\bar{s}_{i_0+aq} = s_{i_0+(a-1)q} + pL \geq s_{i_0+aq}$$

$$\bar{s}_{i_0+(a+1)q} = s_{i_0+aq} + pL < s_{i_0+(a+1)q},$$

and

$$\bar{s}_{i_0+bq} = s_{i_0+(b-1)q} + pL \leq s_{i_0+bq}$$

$$\bar{s}_{i_0+(b+1)q} = s_{i_0+bq} + pL > s_{i_0+(b+1)q}.$$

This implies that  $T(\bar{s})$  crosses  $T(s)$  at least two times and one of their intersection is not any endpoint of  $T(\bar{s})$  and  $T(s)$ . It contradicts to Proposition 2.2, so we have  $n \leq 2$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1.** Suppose  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in  $M_a$  is not with period  $(q, p)$ . Let  $u = (u_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ ,  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in  $M_a$  such that  $u_0 < s_0 < t_0$ ,  $u$  and  $t$  are with period  $(q, p)$  and any  $b$ -straight line  $v = (v_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in  $M_x$  with  $u_0 < v_0 < t_0$  is not with period  $(q, p)$  (see Proposition 2.3). Then, it follows that either  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |s_j - u_j| = 0$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} |s_j - t_j| = 0$  or  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |s_j - t_j| = 0$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} |s_j - u_j| = 0$ . In fact, if  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |s_j - u_j| \neq 0$ , for example, then  $\bar{s} = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} U(q, p)^n(s)$  is a periodic  $b$ -straight line with period  $(q, p)$  which is between  $u$  and  $t$ , contradicting to the choice of  $u$  and  $t$ . We assume without loss of generality that the former case occurs. Let  $s_{nq}^n = s_0 + npL$  for each  $n$  and let  $s^n = (s_j^n)_{0 \leq j \leq mq}$  be a  $b$ -segment with  $s_0^n = s_0$  and  $s_{nq}^n = s_0 + npL$ . Then, there exists a subsequence  $s^m$  of  $s^n$  which converges to a  $b$ -ray  $w = (w_j)_{0 \leq j}$  from  $w_0 = s_0$ . It follows from the property of the strip  $[T(u), T(t)]$  that either  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |w_j - u_j| = 0$  or  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |t_j - w_j| = 0$ .

If  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |t_j - w_j| = 0$ , then it follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $w$  is a co- $b$ -ray from  $s_0$  to  $t$ . Since  $s$  is the asymptote through  $s_0$  to  $t$ , this contradicts that the unique co- $b$ -ray from  $s_0$  to  $t$  is a sub- $b$ -ray of  $s$  (see Proposition 2.8). So we assume that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |u_j - w_j| = 0$ . Let  $f_{s^n}(i) = s_{iq}^n - u_{iq}$  for  $i \in I[0, n]$ . Let  $I[0, a_n]$

and  $I[b_n, n]$  be maximal monotone intervals in  $I[0, n]$  for  $f_{s^n}$ . It follows from Lemma 4.3 that  $f_{s^n}(i)$  is monotone nonincreasing in  $i \in I[0, a_n]$  and monotone nondecreasing in  $i \in I[b_n, n]$ , and,  $f_{s^n}(b_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Set  $\bar{s}^n = U(-nq, -np)(s^n)$ . Then,  $\bar{s}_0^n = s_0$  and  $\bar{s}_{-nq}^n = s_0 - npL$ . Let  $w'$  be a  $b$ -ray which is a limit of converging subsequence of  $\bar{s}^n$ . Then,  $w'$  is a co- $b$ -ray to  $-u$ , since  $f_{\bar{s}^n}(b_n - n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, this is impossible, since  $-s$  is the unique co- $b$ -ray to  $-u$  through  $s_0$  where  $-u = (u_j)_{j \leq 0}$ . This contradiction comes from the original assumption in the way of our proof.  $\square$

## 5. Examples

In this section we show some examples of foliations of  $\mathbf{X}$  by  $b$ -straight lines which satisfy the parallel axiom.

**EXAMPLE 5.1.** *Let  $a$  be an arbitrary irrational number with  $0 < a < 1$ . Suppose there exists a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve  $f$  not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  such that  $\alpha(\bar{x}) = aL$  for all  $\bar{x} \in f$ . Then,  $M_a = f$  and it satisfies the parallel axiom.*

This example was stated in [9], although the parallel axiom was not proved. We give a proof here.

**PROOF.** We have only to prove that any  $b$ -ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i_0}$  from  $s_{i_0}$  with slope  $\alpha(s) = aL$  is a sub- $b$ -ray of the unique  $b$ -straight line  $s' = (s'_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  passing through  $s'_{i_0} = s_{i_0}$  in  $M_a$ . In order to prove this we assume without loss of generality that  $0 \leq s_{i_0} < L$  and  $s'_{i_0+1} < s_{i_0+1}$ . Let  $q, p \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $p/q > a$ . Let  $D(q, p)(t_{i_0}) = \min D(q, p)$  with  $0 \leq t_{i_0} < L$  and  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  the minimal periodic  $b$ -straight line with period  $(q, p)$ . Then, the set  $\{c(t_j) \mid j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  consists of  $q$  points. Let  $u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{q-1}$  with  $0 \leq u_i < L$  for any  $i = 0, \dots, q-1$  be the parameters of such points with respect to the boundary curve  $c$ . Let a number  $k$  be such that  $u_k \leq s_{i_0} < u_{k+1}$  and let  $v = (v_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $v' = (v'_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be minimal periodic  $b$ -straight lines with period  $(q, p)$  and with  $v_{i_0} = u_k$ ,  $v'_{i_0} = u_{k+1}$ . Since  $p/q > a$ , the  $b$ -ray  $T(s)$  is under  $T(v')$  and intersects  $T(v)$  just once. There exists a subsequence of  $v$  (resp.,  $v'$ ) such that it converges to a  $b$ -straight line  $w = (w_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  (resp.,  $w' = (w'_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ ) with slope  $aL$  as  $p/q \rightarrow a$ . From the construction of  $w$  and  $w'$  it follows that  $s$  and  $s'$  are in the strip  $[T(w), T(w')]$ , and  $|w'_j - w_j| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, we see that  $s_j - s'_j \rightarrow 0$ . Lemma 2.6 shows that  $s$  is a co- $b$ -ray to  $s'$ , contradicting to Proposition 2.8.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 5.2. Let  $f$  be a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  with slope  $aL$  ( $0 < a < 1$ ). Let  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  be sequences of closed curves not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  with slope  $\alpha(g_n) < aL$  and  $\alpha(h_n) > aL$ . If they converges to the closed curve  $f$ , then  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom.

PROOF. We first prove that  $M_a = f$ . Suppose for indirect proof that  $M_a \neq f$ , namely, there exists a point  $\bar{x} = (c(s_0), u_0) \in M_a$  with  $\bar{x} \notin f$ . Then, there exist  $b$ -straight lines  $v = (v_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $w = (w_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  with  $v_0 = w_0 = s_0$  and slope  $aL$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $v_1 < w_1$ . Let  $v^n = (v_j^n)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  (resp.,  $w^n = (w_j^n)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ ) be the  $b$ -straight line corresponding to the unique point in  $g_n$  (resp.,  $h_n$ ) with  $v_0^n = s_0$  (resp.,  $w_0^n = s_0$ ). Then,  $v_j^n < v_j$  and  $w_j < w_j^n$  for all  $j > 0$ . This means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^n \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w^n$ , contradicting to  $g_n, h_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We will prove that  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom. As was seen in the above  $M_a$  gives a foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be in  $M_a$  with  $s_0 < t_0$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  correspond to points  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  in  $\Omega$ , respectively. Let  $\bar{x}_n \in h_n$  (resp.,  $\bar{y}_n \in g_n$ ) be such that the first coordinates of  $\bar{x}_n$  (resp.,  $\bar{y}_n$ ) and  $\bar{x}$  (resp.,  $\bar{y}$ ) are equal. Let  $s^n = (s_j^n)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  (resp.,  $t^n = (t_j^n)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ ) be configurations of  $\bar{x}_n$  (resp.,  $\bar{y}_n$ ) with  $s_0^n = s_0$  (resp.,  $t_0^n = t_0$ ). Then,  $s$  and  $t$  are  $b$ -straight lines in  $\mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\alpha(s^n) > aL$  (resp.,  $\alpha(t^n) < aL$ ), we see that  $s^n$  intersects  $t$  (resp.,  $t^n$  intersects  $s$ ), and  $s^n \rightarrow s$  (resp.,  $t^n \rightarrow t$ ) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from Lemma 2.7 that  $s$  and  $t$  are  $b$ -asymptotes to each other. The same argument is valid for the reversed  $b$ -straight lines  $-\bar{x}$  and  $-\bar{y}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 5.3. Suppose the slope function  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega$  is continuous. Let  $a$  be a number with  $0 < a < 1$ . If  $\alpha^{-1}(aL)$  has no interior points, then,  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom. In particular,  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom if  $a$  is an irrational number.

PROOF. Since the set  $K^n$  (resp.,  $N^n$ ) of all points  $\bar{x}$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\alpha(\bar{x}) < aL - 1/n$  (resp.,  $\alpha(\bar{x}) > aL + 1/n$ ) is a  $\varphi$ -invariant open set in  $\Omega$ , it follows from Birkhoff's theorem (see [10]) that the boundary  $\partial K^n$  (resp.,  $N^n$ ) is a  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curve  $g_n$  (resp.,  $h_n$ ) not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  with slope  $\alpha(g_n) = aL - 1/n$  (resp.,  $\alpha(h_n) = aL + 1/n$ ). Since  $\alpha^{-1}(aL)$  has no interior points, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n =: f$ . Example 5.2 shows that  $M_a = f$  and it satisfies the parallel axiom.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 5.4. Suppose there exists a pole  $x \in C$ . Then,  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom for any irrational number  $a$  with  $0 < a < 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $q, p \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $p/q < 1$ . Then, it follows from Lemma 2.11 that there exists a foliation  $W$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  whose  $b$ -straight lines are with slope  $pL/q$ . For any irrational number  $a$  with  $0 < a < 1$  we have the foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$  with slope  $aL$  as the limit set of  $W$  as  $p/q \rightarrow a$ . Example 5.1 shows that  $M_a$  satisfies the parallel axiom.  $\square$

## 6. Proofs

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let  $C_{a_n}$  be the sequence of convex sets as in Lemma 3.1 and let  $C_{L/2}$  be its limit set. Since  $C_{L/2}$  is contained in every diameter of  $C$ , the set  $C_{L/2}$  consists of only one point  $O$ . Thus  $C$  is a circle with center  $O$ .  $\square$

Let  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a billiard ball trajectory whose configuration is a  $b$ -straight line  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ . Let  $x^- = (x_j^-)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be its reversed billiard trajectory whose configuration is a  $b$ -straight line  $s^- = (s_j^-)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  with  $s_0^- = s_0$ . Then, it follows that  $s_j^- = jL + s_{-j}$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore, we have that  $\alpha(x^-) = L - \alpha(x)$ .

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^- =: f$ , it follows from  $\alpha(f^-) = L - \alpha(f)$  and Example 5.2 that  $\alpha(f) = L/2$  and  $M_{L/2}$  satisfies the parallel axiom. Theorem 4.1, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 1.1 prove Corollary 1.2.  $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3. Since the slope function  $\alpha$  is continuous in  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(L/2)$  has no interior points, we can find a sequence of closed curves in  $\Omega$  as in the assumption of Corollary 1.2.  $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.4. It follows from Lemma 2.11 that there exists a sequence of  $\varphi$ -invariant closed curves not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$  with slope  $(n-2)L/2n$ . Theorem 1.1 proves Corollary 1.4.  $\square$

## References

- [1] V. Bangert. Mather sets for twist maps and geodesics on tori, In U. Kirchgraber, H. O. Walther (eds.) Dynamics Reported, Vol 1, pp. 1–56, John Wiley & Sons and B. G. Teubner, 1988.
- [2] V. Bangert. Geodesic rays, Busemann functions and monotone twist maps, Calc. Var., Vol 2, 49–63 (1994).
- [3] M. Bialy. Convex billiards and a theorem of E. Hopf, Math. Z., Vol 214, 147–154 (1993).
- [4] H. Busemann. The geometry of geodesics, New York, Academic Press, 1955.

- [ 5 ] L. Green. A theorem of E. Hopf, *Michigan Math. J.*, Vol 5, 31–34 (1958).
- [ 6 ] E. Gutkin and A. Katok. Caustics for inner and outer billiards, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, Vol 173, 101–133 (1995).
- [ 7 ] E. Hopf. Closed surfaces without conjugate points, *Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, Vol 34, 47–51 (1948).
- [ 8 ] N. Innami. Integral formulas for polyhedral and spherical billiards, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, Vol 50, No 2, 339–357 (1998).
- [ 9 ] N. Innami. Geometry of geodesics for convex billiards and circular billiards, *Nihonkai Math. J.*, Vol 13, No 1, 73–120 (2002).
- [10] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [11] M. P. Wojtkowski. Two applications of Jacobi fields to the billiard ball problems, *J. Diff. Geom.*, Vol 40, 155–164 (1994).

(Shinetsu Tamura)  
Graduate School of Science and Technology  
Niigata University  
Niigata, 950-2181, Japan

(Nobuhiro Innami)  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science, Niigata University  
Niigata, 950-2181, Japan