



## HYERS–ULAM–RASSIAS STABILITY OF HOMOMORPHISMS IN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

CHUN-GIL PARK<sup>1</sup>

*This paper is dedicated to Professor Themistocles M. Rassias.*

Submitted by P. K. Sahoo

ABSTRACT. Let  $q$  be a positive rational number and  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. We prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras and of generalized derivations on quasi-Banach algebras for the following functional equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \sum_{j=1}^n q(x_i - x_j) \right) + n f \left( \sum_{i=1}^n qx_i \right) = nq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).$$

This is applied to investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras. The concept of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability originated from the Th.M. Rassias' stability theorem that appeared in his paper: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Ulam [30] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

*We are given a group  $G$  and a metric group  $G'$  with metric  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  satisfies*

$$\rho(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$$

*Date:* Received: 3 March 2007; Accepted: 16 October 2007.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B52; Secondary 46B03, 47Jxx.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, functional equation, homomorphism in quasi-Banach algebra,  $p$ -Banach algebra, generalized derivation.

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then a homomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow G'$  exists with  $\rho(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ?

By now an affirmative answer has been given in several cases, and some interesting variations of the problem have also been investigated. We shall call such an  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  an *approximate homomorphism*.

Hyers [11] considered the case of approximately additive mappings  $f : E \rightarrow E'$ , where  $E$  and  $E'$  are Banach spaces and  $f$  satisfies *Hyers inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ . It was shown that the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all  $x \in E$  and that  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

No continuity conditions are required for this result, but if  $f(tx)$  is continuous in the real variable  $t$  for each fixed  $x \in E$ , then  $L$  is linear, and if  $f$  is continuous at a single point of  $E$  then  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is also continuous.

Th.M. Rassias [24] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Th.M. Rassias). *Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  into a Banach space  $E'$  subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p < 1$ . Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . If  $p < 0$  then inequality (1.1) holds for  $x, y \neq 0$  and (1.2) for  $x \neq 0$ . Also, if for each  $x \in E$  the mapping  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E$ , then  $L$  is linear.

Th.M. Rassias [25] during the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . Gajda [9] following the same approach as in Th.M. Rassias [24], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . It was shown by Gajda [9], as well as by Th.M. Rassias and Šemrl [28] that one cannot prove a Th.M. Rassias' type Theorem when  $p = 1$ . The counterexamples of Gajda [9], as well as of Th.M. Rassias and Šemrl [28] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings, cf. Găvruta [10], Czerwik [7], who among others studied the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations. The inequality (1.1) that was introduced for the first time by Th.M. Rassias [24] provided a lot of influence in the development of

a generalization of the Hyers–Ulam stability concept. This concept is known as *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [12], S. Jung [16], P. Czerwik [8]; the papers of C. Baak and M.S. Moslehian [4], K. Jun, S. Jung and Y. Lee [13], Y. Lee and K. Jun [17], C. Park [19], C. Park and J. Hou [22], C. Park and Th.M. Rassias [23], Th.M. Rassias [26, 27]).

Beginning around the year 1980, the topic of approximate homomorphisms and their stability theory in the field of functional equations and inequalities was taken up by several mathematicians (cf. [12] and the references therein).

Recently, Jun and Kim [14] solved the stability problem of Ulam for a quadratic functional equation. Jun and Kim [15] introduced and investigated the following quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i Q \left( \sum_{j=1}^n r_j (x_i - x_j) \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) Q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \\ = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i Q(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we introduce the following functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L \left( \sum_{j=1}^n q(x_i - x_j) \right) + nL \left( \sum_{i=1}^n qx_i \right) = nq \sum_{i=1}^n L(x_i). \quad (1.3)$$

The purpose of the present paper is to study the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.3).

We recall some basic facts concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results.

**Definition 1.2.** ([6, 29]) Let  $X$  be a real linear space. A *quasi-norm* is a real-valued function on  $X$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (2)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $x \in X$ .
- (3) There is a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that  $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *quasi-normed space* if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a quasi-norm on  $X$ . The smallest possible  $K$  is called the *modulus of concavity* of  $\|\cdot\|$ . Obviously the balls with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  define a linear topology on  $X$ . By a *quasi-Banach space* we mean a complete quasi-normed space, i.e. a quasi-normed space in which every  $\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges. This class includes Banach spaces and the most significant class of quasi-Banach spaces which are not Banach spaces are the  $L_p$  spaces for  $0 < p < 1$  with the quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

A quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is called a *p-norm* ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a *p-Banach space*.

Given a  $p$ -norm, the formula  $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|^p$  gives us a translation invariant metric on  $X$ . By the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem [29] (see also [6]), each quasi-norm is equivalent to some  $p$ -norm. Since it is much easier to work with  $p$ -norms than quasi-norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to  $p$ -norms.

**Definition 1.3.** ([2]) Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a quasi-normed space. The quasi-normed space  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *quasi-normed algebra* if  $A$  is an algebra and there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $\|xy\| \leq K\|x\| \cdot \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

A *quasi-Banach algebra* is a complete quasi-normed algebra.

If the quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $p$ -norm then the quasi-Banach algebra is called a  *$p$ -Banach algebra*.

In this paper, assume that  $A$  is a quasi-normed algebra with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  and that  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra with  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ . Let  $K$  be the modulus of concavity of  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras.

In Section 3, we investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras.

In Section 4, we prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of generalized derivations on quasi-Banach algebras.

## 2. STABILITY OF HOMOMORPHISMS IN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

Let  $q$  be a positive rational number. For a given mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we define  $Df : A^n \rightarrow B$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x_1, \dots, x_n) : &= \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n q(x_i - x_j)\right) \\ &+ nf\left(\sum_{i=1}^n qx_i\right) - nq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

We prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $r > 2$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an odd mapping such that*

$$\|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_B \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_A^r, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ . If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{((nq)^{pr} - (nq)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = x$  in (2.1), we get

$$\|nf(nqx) - n^2qf(x)\|_B \leq n\theta\|x\|_A^r$$

for all  $x \in A$ . So

$$\|f(x) - nqf\left(\frac{x}{nq}\right)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r}\|x\|_A^r$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(nq)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^l}\right) - (nq)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right)\|_B^p \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \|(nq)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^j}\right) - (nq)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^{j+1}}\right)\|_B^p \quad (2.4) \\ & \leq \frac{\theta^p}{(nq)^{pr}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{(nq)^{pj}}{(nq)^{prj}} \|x\|_A^{pr} \end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.4) that the sequence  $\{(nq)^d f(\frac{x}{(nq)^d})\}$  is Cauchy for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is complete, the sequence  $\{(nq)^d f(\frac{x}{(nq)^d})\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.4), we get (2.3).

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DH(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_B &= \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d \|Df\left(\frac{x_1}{(nq)^d}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{(nq)^d}\right)\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{d\theta}}{(nq)^{dr}} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ . Thus

$$DH(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ . By Lemma 2.1 of [21], the mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  is Cauchy additive.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [24], the mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H(xy) - H(x)H(y)\|_B \\ &= \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{2d} \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{(nq)^d(nq)^d}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}\right)f\left(\frac{y}{(nq)^d}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{2d\theta}}{(nq)^{dr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

Now, let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be another mapping satisfying (2.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_B &= (nq)^d \|H(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}) - T(\frac{x}{(nq)^d})\|_B \\ &\leq (nq)^d K (\|H(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}) - f(\frac{x}{(nq)^d})\|_B + \|T(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}) - f(\frac{x}{(nq)^d})\|_B) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot (nq)^d K \theta}{((nq)^{pr} - (nq)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (nq)^{dr}} \|x\|_A^r, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in A$ . So we can conclude that  $H(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $H$ . Thus the mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  is a unique homomorphism satisfying (2.3).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $r > 2$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an odd mapping satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : A \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{((nq)^p - (nq)^{pr})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (2.1) that

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{nq} f(nqx)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{nq} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^l} f((nq)^l x) - \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x) \right\|_B^p &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^j} f((nq)^j x) - \frac{1}{(nq)^{j+1}} f((nq)^{j+1} x) \right\|_B^p \\ &\leq \frac{\theta^p}{(nq)^p} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{(nq)^{prj}}{(nq)^{pj}} \|x\|_A^{pr} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.6) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{(nq)^d} f((nq)^d x)\}$  is Cauchy for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{(nq)^d} f((nq)^d x)\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^d} f((nq)^d x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.6), we get (2.5).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

## 3. ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a quasi-Banach algebra with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  and unit  $e$  and that  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra with  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  and unit  $e'$ . Let  $K$  be the modulus of concavity of  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .

We investigate isomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $r > 2$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an odd bijective mapping satisfying (2.1) such that*

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . If  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f(\frac{e}{(nq)^d}) = e'$  and  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* The condition (3.1) implies that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  satisfies (2.2). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : A \rightarrow B$ , which is defined by

$$H(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= H(ex) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{ex}{(nq)^d}\right) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{e}{(nq)^d} \cdot x\right) \\ &= \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{e}{(nq)^d}\right) f(x) = e' f(x) = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . So the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $r > 2$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an odd bijective mapping satisfying (2.1) and (3.1). If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$  and  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^d} f((nq)^d e) = e'$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1.  $\square$

## 4. STABILITY OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

Recently, several extended notions of derivations have been treated in the Banach algebra theory (see [18] and references therein). In addition, the stability of these derivations is extensively studied by many mathematicians; see [1, 5, 20].

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra with  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ . Let  $K$  be the modulus of concavity of  $\|\cdot\|_A$ .

**Definition 4.1.** [3] A *generalized derivation*  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and fulfills the generalized Leibniz rule

$$\delta(xyz) = \delta(xy)z - x\delta(y)z + x\delta(yz)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

We prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of generalized derivations on quasi-Banach algebras.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that  $r > 3$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping satisfying (2.1) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(xyz) - f(xy)z - xf(y)z - xf(yz)\|_A \\ & \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique generalized derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{\theta}{((nq)^{pr} - (nq)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (4.2). The mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^d f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^d}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta(xyz) - \delta(xy)z + x\delta(y)z - x\delta(yz)\|_A \\ & = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{3d} \left\| f\left(\frac{xyz}{(nq)^{3d}}\right) - f\left(\frac{xy}{(nq)^{2d}}\right) \frac{z}{(nq)^d} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{x}{(nq)^d} f\left(\frac{y}{(nq)^d}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^d} - \frac{x}{(nq)^d} f\left(\frac{yz}{(nq)^{2d}}\right) \right\|_A \\ & \leq \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3d}\theta}{(nq)^{dr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . So

$$\delta(xyz) = \delta(xy)z - x\delta(y)z + x\delta(yz)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is a unique generalized derivation satisfying (4.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $r > 3$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping satisfying (2.1) and (4.1). If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique generalized derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{\theta}{((nq)^p - (nq)^{pr})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_A^r \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (4.3). The mapping  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^d} f((nq)^d x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. M. Amyari, C. Baak and M.S. Moslehian, *Nearly ternary derivations*, Taiwanese J. Math. (to appear).
2. J.M. Almira and U. Luther, *Inverse closedness of approximation algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 30–44.
3. P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *Local Multipliers of  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2003.
4. C. Baak and M.S. Moslehian, *On the stability of  $J^*$ -homomorphisms*, Nonlinear Anal.–TMA **63** (2005), 42–48.
5. C. Baak and M.S. Moslehian, *On the stability of  $\theta$ -derivations on  $JB^*$ -triples*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **38** (2007), 115–127.
6. Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Vol. 1*, Colloq. Publ. **48**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
7. P. Czerwik, *On stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
8. P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
9. Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
10. P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
11. D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
12. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
13. K. Jun, S. Jung and Y. Lee, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a functional equation of Davison*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **41** (2004), 501–511.
14. K. Jun and H. Kim, *Ulam stability problem for quadratic mappings of Euler–Lagrange*, Nonlinear Anal.–TMA **61** (2005), 1093–1104.
15. K. Jun and H. Kim, *On the generalized  $A$ -quadratic mappings associated with the variance of a discrete type distribution* Nonlinear Anal.–TMA **62** (2005), 975–987.
16. S. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
17. Y. Lee and K. Jun, *A note on the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), 111–124.
18. M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *Automatic continuity of  $\sigma$ -derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 3319–3327.
19. C. Park, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 711–720.
20. C. Park, *Linear derivations on Banach algebras*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **9** (2004), 359–368.
21. C. Park, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a generalized Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc.–Simon Stevin **13** (2006), 619–631.

22. C. Park and J. Hou, *Homomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **41** (2004), 461–477.
23. C. Park and Th.M. Rassias, *On a generalized Trif's mapping in Banach modules over a  $C^*$ -algebra*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), 323–356.
24. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
25. Th.M. Rassias, *Problem 16; 2*, Report of the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symp. on Functional Equations, Aequationes Math. **39** (1990), 292–293; 309.
26. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
27. Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
28. Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
29. S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Reidel and Dordrecht, 1984.
30. S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 133-791, SOUTH KOREA.

*E-mail address:* [baak@hanyang.ac.kr](mailto:baak@hanyang.ac.kr)