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## Introduction

In their papers [7] and [4], Hattori and Endo-Watanabe proved that in a central separable $C$-algebra, there exists a one to one correspondence of the class of semisimple $C$-subalgebras to itself (See Theorem 3.5 [7] and Theorem 4.2 [4]). The author tried to extend this theorem to the case of separable extension, and obtained a partial extension of their theorem (See $\S 2$ ). Let $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$ be a ring extension with ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Lambda}<\oplus_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda)_{\Lambda}$ (we call this extension $H$-separable extension), and denote $\mathfrak{B}_{l}=\left\{B \mid B \supset \Gamma,{ }_{B} B_{\Gamma}<\oplus\right.$ ${ }_{B} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ and $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits $\}, \mathfrak{D}_{l}=\left\{D \mid C \subset D,{ }_{D} D<\oplus_{D} \Delta\right.$ and $D \otimes_{C} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ splits $\}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ $=\left\{B \mid\right.$ separable extension of $\left.\Gamma,{ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{B}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{D}=\{D \mid$ separable $C$-subalgebras of $\Delta\}$. In $\S 0$ we state some important properties of $H$-separable extension which have been obtained already for convenience to readers. In $\S 1$ we shall prove that there exist one to one correspondences between $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{l}$ and between $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$. The latter correspondence has been proved by the same author under the additional condition that $\Lambda$ is left or right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective. In $\S 2$ we shall prove that $B$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{l}$ is left (resp. right) semisimple over $\Gamma, D=V_{A}(B)$ is right (resp. left) semisimple over $C$ under the condition that $\Lambda$ is left $\Gamma$-f.g. projective and a $C$-generator. In $\S 3$ we shall give an example of separable extension which is not a Frobenius extension.

## 0. Preliminaries

All rings in this paper shall be assumed to have unities and all subrings have the same identities as the over rings. First, we shall recall the definitions. Let $\Lambda$ be a ring and $\Gamma$ a subring of $\Lambda, C$ the center of $\Lambda, \Delta=V_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)=\{x \in \Lambda \mid x r=r x$ for every $r \in \Gamma\}$.

Definition. $\Lambda$ is a separable extension of $\Gamma$ if the map $\pi: \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by $\pi(x \otimes y)=x y$ splits as $\Lambda-\Lambda$-map.

Definition. $\Lambda$ is an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$ if $\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ is $\Lambda-\Lambda$ isomorphic to a $\Lambda-\Lambda$-direct summand of a finite direct sum of copies of $\Lambda$.

Definition. $\Lambda$ is a left semisimple extension of $\Gamma$ if every left $\Lambda$ module is $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$-projective, or equivalently, if every left $\Lambda$-module is $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ -
injective. $\Lambda$ is a semisimple extension of $\Gamma$ if $\Lambda$ is both left and right semisimple extension of $\Gamma$.

Definition. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\Lambda$ an $R$-algebra. $\Lambda$ is a left semisimple $R$-algebra if every finitely generated left $\Lambda$-module is $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ projective.

It has been proved that in the case where $R$ is Noetherian and $\Lambda$ is finitely generated over $R, \Lambda$ is a left semisimple $R$-algebra if and only if $\Lambda$ is a right semisimple $R$-algebra.

Now we shall pick up some main properties of $H$-separable extension which have been obtained in [9], [10], [14], [15], and [18].
(0.1) If $\Lambda$ is an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma, \Lambda$ is a separable extension of $\Gamma$ (Theorem 2.2 [9]].
(0.2). The following three conditions are equivalent;
(1) $\Lambda$ is an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$
(2) $\Delta$ is C-f.g. projective and the map $\eta$ of $\Lambda \otimes_{r} \Lambda$ to $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{c} \Lambda,{ }_{c} \Lambda\right)$ defined by $\eta(x \otimes y)(d)=x d y$ for $x, y \in \Lambda$ and $d \in \Delta$ is a $\Lambda-\Lambda$-isomorphism
(3) For every 1 - 1 -module $M$, the map $g$ : of $\Delta \otimes_{C} M^{4}$ to $M^{\Gamma}$ such that $g(d \otimes m)=d m$ for $d \in \Delta$ and $m \in M$ is an isomorphism. Here $M^{\rho}=\{m \in M \mid$ $m x=x m$ for every $x \in \Omega\}$ for a subring $\Omega$ of $\Lambda$. (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 [14]).
(0.3) If $\Lambda$ is $H$-separable over $\Gamma$, then the maps $\eta_{r}$ of $\Lambda \otimes_{c} \Delta^{0}$ to End ( $\Lambda_{r}$ ) defined by $\eta_{r}\left(x \otimes d^{0}\right)(y)=x d y, \eta_{l}$ of $\Delta \otimes_{c} \Lambda^{0}$ to End ( ${ }_{r} \Lambda$ ) defined by $\eta_{l}\left(d \otimes x^{0}\right)(y)=d y x$ and $\eta_{t}$ of $\Delta \otimes_{c} \Delta^{0}$ to End $\left.{ }_{r} \Lambda_{r}\right)$ defined by $\eta_{t}\left(d \otimes e^{0}\right)(y)=$ dye for $x, y \in \Lambda$ and $d, e \in \Delta$ are ring isomorphisms (Prop. 3.1 and 4.7 [10]).
(0.4) If $\Lambda$ is $H$-separable over $\Gamma$, and if ${ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma<\oplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ or $\Gamma_{\Gamma}<\oplus \Lambda_{\Gamma}$, then $V_{A}\left(V_{A}(\Gamma)\right)=\Gamma$ (Prop. 1.2 [14]).
(0.5) If $\Lambda$ is $H$-separable over $\Gamma$, and if $B$ is a subring of $\Lambda$ such that $B \supset \Gamma$ and ${ }_{B} B_{\Gamma}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$, then $V_{A}\left(V_{A}(B)\right)=B$ and the map $\eta_{B}$ of $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ to Hom $\left({ }_{D \Lambda} \Lambda,{ }_{p} \Lambda\right)$ defined by $\eta_{B}(b \otimes x)(d)=b d x$ for $b \in B, x \in \Lambda$ and $d \in D$, where $D=V_{\Lambda}(B)$, is a $B-1$-isomorphism (Prop. 1.3 [14]).
(0.6) If $\Lambda$ is $H$-separable over $\Gamma$, and if ${ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma}<\oplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ (resp. ${ }_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Gamma}<\oplus$ $\left.{ }_{r}(\Gamma \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma)_{r}\right), \Delta$ is a separable (resp. a central separable) C-algebra (Prop. 4.7 [10]).
(0.7) Let $\Lambda, B$ and $\Gamma$ be rings such that $\Gamma \subset B \subset \Lambda$. Then if $B$ is a separable (resp. an $H$-separable) extension of $\Gamma$, we have ${ }_{D} D_{D}<\oplus_{D} \Delta_{D}$ (resp. $\left.{ }_{\Delta D_{D}}<\oplus_{D}(D \oplus \cdots \oplus D)_{D}\right)$ (Prop. 1.1 [15]).

Let $B$ be a subring of $\Lambda$ with $\Gamma \subset B$. Then we shall simply say that $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits if the map $\pi_{B}: B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that $\pi_{B}(b \otimes x)=b x(b \in B, x \in \Lambda)$ splits as $B-\Lambda$-map.
(0.8) If $\Lambda$ is $H$-separable over $\Gamma$, and if $B$ is a subring of $\Lambda$ such that $\Gamma \subset B$ and $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ or $\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} B \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits, then $\Lambda$ is an $H$-separable extension of $B$ (Prop. 2.2 [15]).

## 1. Commutor theory on separable subextensions

Let $\mathfrak{B}_{l}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B}_{r}$ ) be the set of subrings $B$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\Gamma \subset B,{ }_{B} B_{\Gamma}<\oplus$ ${ }_{B} \Lambda_{\Gamma}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.{ }_{\Gamma} B_{B}<\oplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{B}\right)$ and $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} B \rightarrow \Lambda$ ) splits, and $\mathfrak{D}_{l}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}_{r}$ ) the set of $C$-subalgebras $D$ of $\Delta$ such that ${ }_{D} D<\oplus_{D} \Delta$ (resp. $D_{D}<\oplus \Delta_{D}$ ) and $D \otimes_{c} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ (resp. $\Delta \otimes_{C} D \rightarrow \Delta$ ) splits. Furtheremore, let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the set of subrings $B$ of $\Lambda$ such that $B$ is a separable extension of $\Gamma$ and ${ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{B}$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ the set of separable $C$-subalgebras of $\Delta$.
(1.1) Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\Omega$ an $R$-algebra which is an R-f.g. projective module. Then any separable $R$-subalgebra $\Lambda$ of $\Omega$ is a $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$-direct summand of $\Omega$.

Proof. Let $C$ be the center of $\Lambda, V_{\Omega}(C)=B$ and $V_{\Omega}(\Lambda)=\Gamma$, Then by (0.7) and Theorem 2.3 [1], ${ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma}<\oplus_{\Gamma} B_{\Gamma}$ and ${ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Omega_{B}$. Hence, $B$ and $\Gamma$ are $R-f . g$. projective, consequently, $C-f . g$. projective. Then ${ }_{c} C<\oplus_{c} \Gamma$. But $B \cong \Lambda \otimes_{c} \Gamma \oplus>\Lambda$ as $\Lambda-\Lambda$-module by Theorem 3.1 [1]. Therefore, ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\Lambda}<\oplus_{1} \Omega_{\Lambda}$.
(1.2) Let $\Lambda$ be an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$. Then, for any $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{l}$, $V_{A}(B) \in \mathfrak{D}_{l}$, and for any $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{l}, V_{A}(D) \in \mathfrak{B}_{l}$ (Prop. 2 [16]).

Now we can obtain more general results than Theorem 2.1 [15] and Theorem 1 [18].
(1.3) Theorem. Let $\Lambda$ be an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$, and consider the correspondence $V: A \rightarrow V_{\Lambda}(A)$ for subring $A$ of $\Lambda$. Then we. have;
(1) V yields a one to one correspondence between $\mathfrak{B}_{l}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{l}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B}_{r}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{r}$ ) such that $V^{2}=$ identity.
(2) $V$ yields a one to one correspondence between $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $V^{2}=$ identity.

Proof. (1). By (0.5) we have $V_{1}\left(V_{1}(B)\right)=B$ for $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{l}$. Thus by (1.2) we need only to prove $V_{1}\left(V_{1}(D)\right)=D$ for every $D \in \mathscr{D}_{l}$. Let $B=V_{1}(D)$ and $D^{\prime}=V_{A}(B)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime} \otimes_{D} \Delta & \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} \Lambda_{A},{ }_{B} \Lambda_{A}\right) \otimes_{D} \Delta \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{D} \Delta,{ }_{D} \Lambda\right)_{A},{ }_{B} \Lambda_{A}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{B} \Lambda_{A}\right) \quad(\operatorname{By}(0.5)) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{B} B,{ }_{B} \Lambda\right)_{A}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{A}\right) \cong \Delta
\end{aligned}
$$

The composition of the above map is given by $d^{\prime} \otimes d \rightarrow d^{\prime} d$ for $d^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$ and
$d \in \Delta$. Since $B \otimes_{r} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits by (1.2), ${ }_{p} D^{\prime}<\oplus_{D^{\prime}} \Lambda$ by (1.2). Hence $D^{\prime} \otimes$ ${ }_{D} D^{\prime} \subset D^{\prime} \otimes_{D} D \cong \Delta$, and we have $D^{\prime} \otimes_{D} D^{\prime} \cong D^{\prime}$. Then since ${ }_{D} D<\oplus_{D} D^{\prime}$, we have $D=V_{D^{\prime}}\left(V_{D^{\prime}}(D)\right)=V_{D^{\prime}}$ (the center of $\left.D^{\prime}\right)=D^{\prime}$ by (0.4)
(2). For any $B \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\subset \mathfrak{B}_{l}\right), \Lambda$ is an $H$-separable extension of $B$ with ${ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{B}$ by $(0.8)$, hence $\left.V_{A}(B) \in \mathfrak{D}\right)$ by ( 0.6 ) and $V_{A}\left(V_{A}(B)\right)=B$ by (0.4). Since $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}_{\imath}$ by (1.1), for any $D \in \mathfrak{D}, V_{1}\left(V_{A}(D)\right)=D$ by (1), and $V_{A}(D)=B \in \mathfrak{B}_{l}$ by (1.2). Since ${ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{B}$ by (0.7), we need only to prove the next lemma.
(1.4) Let $\Lambda, B$ and $\Gamma$ be rings such that $\Gamma \subset B \subset \Lambda$. Then if $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits and ${ }_{B} B_{B}<\oplus_{B} \Lambda_{B}, B$ is a separable extension of $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda=B \oplus M$ as $B-B$-module. Since $B \otimes_{r} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ splits, there exists $\sum b_{i} \otimes x_{i} \in\left(B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda\right)^{B}$ such that $\sum b_{i} x_{i}=1$. Let $x_{i}=a_{i}+m_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in B$, $m_{i} \in M$. Then $M \ni \sum b_{i} m_{i}=1-\sum b_{i} a_{i} \in B$, and $\sum b_{i} a_{i}=1$. On the other hand, since $\sum b_{i} \otimes x_{i} \in\left(B \otimes_{r} \Lambda\right)^{B}, \sum b_{i} \otimes a_{i} \in\left(B \otimes_{r} B\right)^{B}$. Thus $B$ is separable over $\Gamma$.

We end this section by giving a remark on a separable extension of simple ring. Here, simple ring means simple artinean ring. The next proposition almost depends on the classical fundamental theorem on simple rings.
(1.5) Theorem. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple ring and $\Lambda$ an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$. Then, we have;
(1) $\Lambda$ is also a simple ring, and $V_{A}\left(V_{A}(\Gamma)\right)=\Gamma$.
(2) $\Delta$ is a simple C-algebra.
(3) $[\Lambda: \Gamma]_{i}=[\Lambda: \Gamma]_{r}=[\Lambda: C]$.

Thus the correspondence $V$ provides a one to one correspondence of the class of simple subrings which contain $\Gamma$ to the class of simple $C$-subalgebras of 4 .

Proof. (1). Since $\Gamma$ is simple, ${ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma<\oplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda$. Thus $C \subset V_{A}\left(V_{A}(\Gamma)\right)=\Gamma$. Hence $C$ contains no idempotent. On the other hand, $\Lambda$ is a semisimple ring, since $\Lambda$ is a semisimple extension of a semisimple ring $\Gamma$ (See Cor. 1.7 and Prop. 2.6 [8]]. Hence $\Lambda$ is a simple ring.
(2). $C$ is a field by (1), and $\Delta$ is a $C$-algera with $[\Delta: C]<\infty$. Hence $\Lambda \otimes_{r} \Lambda \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{c} \Lambda,{ }_{c} \Lambda\right) \cong(\Lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda)$ as $\Lambda-\Lambda$-module. Let $\Lambda=\sum_{a \in A} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{a}$ with each $\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}$ a simple $\Gamma$-submodule of $\Lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{I}_{\alpha} \cong \mathfrak{l}_{\beta}$, since $\Gamma$ is a simple ring, and we see $\Lambda \otimes_{r} \mathrm{I}_{\alpha} \cong \Lambda \otimes_{r} \mathrm{r}_{\beta} \neq 0$. Thus $\Sigma \oplus \Lambda \otimes_{r} \mathrm{I}_{\alpha} \cong \Lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda$ as left $\Lambda$-module. This implies that $|A|<\infty$. Hence $\Lambda$ is left $\Gamma$-finitely generated and similarly $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-finitely generated. Since $\Delta \otimes_{c} \Lambda^{0} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda,{ }_{r} \Lambda\right)$ and $\Lambda$ is a generator of $r^{M}, \Delta \otimes_{C} \Lambda_{0}$ is a simple ring by Morita Theorem. Then, $\Delta$ is a simple $C$-algebra.
(3). Since $[4: C]<\infty$ and $V_{A}(\Delta)=\Gamma$, we can apply the 'fundamental theorem on simple rings' (See §16 [2], Theorem 16.1).

## 2. Semisimple subextensions in separable extension

In this section, we shall study the centralizers of semisimple extensions in $H$-separable extension. Let $\Lambda$ be an $H$-separable extension of $\Gamma$ with ${ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma}<\oplus_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$, and $D$ be any right semisimple $C$-subalgebra of $\Delta$. Then $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r}$, and $V_{1}(D) \in \mathfrak{B}_{r}$. Now we shall consider the semisimplicity of $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{r}$ over $\Gamma$.
(2.1) Proposition. Let $\Lambda$ be an H-separable extension of $\Gamma$, and suppose that $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective. Then for any $B$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{r}, B$ is a left (resp. right) semisimple extension of $\Gamma$ if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_{c} D^{0}$ is a left (resp. right) semisimple extension of $\Lambda$, where $D=V_{\Lambda}(B)$.

Proof. Since $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{r}$, we have $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r}, V_{\Lambda}(D)=B$ and $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda_{B}\right)=\Lambda \otimes_{C} D^{0}$. Denote that $P=\Lambda \otimes_{c} D^{0}$ and $Q=\Lambda \otimes_{c} \Lambda^{0}$. First suppose that $P$ is left semisimple over $\Lambda$, and lef $M$ be any left $P$-module. Consider the next left $P$-map

$$
\pi_{(\Lambda, M)}: \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} \Lambda,{ }_{P} M\right) \rightarrow M
$$

defined by $\pi_{(\Lambda, M)}(x \otimes f)=x f$ for $x \in \Lambda, f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} \Lambda,{ }_{P} M\right)$. Since $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective and $Q \cong \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda_{r^{\prime}}\right)$ by ( 0.3 ), we have

$$
\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} \Lambda,{ }_{P} M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}, \Lambda_{\Gamma}\right),{ }_{P} M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Q,{ }_{P} M\right)
$$

Hence $\pi_{(\Lambda, M)}$ is factored through the map $\psi$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Q,{ }_{P} M\right)$ to $M$ such that $\phi(\alpha)=\alpha(1)$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{P} Q,{ }_{P} M\right)$. Since $P$ is a $P-\Lambda$-direct summand of $Q, \psi$ splits as left $\Lambda$-map. Since $P$ is a left semisimple extension of $\Lambda$, $\phi$ splits as $P$-map. Thus for any left $P$-module $M, \pi_{(1, M)}$ splits as left $P$-map and $\Lambda$ is left $P$-f.g. projective. Therefore, $B=\operatorname{End}\left({ }_{P} \Lambda\right)$ is a left semisimple extension of $\Gamma$ by Theorem 2 [17]. Next, suppose that $P$ is right semisimple extension of $\Lambda$, and let $N$ be any right $P$-module, and consider the next right $P$-map

$$
\iota_{(\Lambda, N)}: N \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}, N \otimes_{P} \Lambda_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

defined by $\ell_{(\Lambda, N)}(n)(x)=n \otimes x$ for $n \in N, x \in \Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective, we have a right $P$-isomorphism $\sigma: N \otimes_{P} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}, \Lambda_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}, N \otimes_{P} \Lambda_{\Gamma}\right)$ such that $\sigma(n \otimes g)(x)=n \otimes g(x)$ for $x \in \Lambda, n \in N$ and $g \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$. (Let $\left\{f_{i}, x_{i}\right\}$ be a dual basis of $\Lambda_{\Gamma}$. Then $h \rightarrow \sum h\left(x_{i}\right) \circ f_{i}$ for $h \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}, N \otimes_{P} \Lambda_{\Gamma}\right)$ is the inverse map of $\sigma$ ). Thus $\iota_{(1, N)}$ is equivalent to $\iota_{N}: N \rightarrow N \otimes_{P} \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda_{\Gamma}\right) \cong N \otimes_{P} Q$ such that $\iota_{N}(n)=n \otimes 1$ for $n \in N$. Since ${ }_{P} P_{\Lambda}<\oplus_{P} Q_{1}, \iota_{N}$ (hence $\left.\iota_{(1, N)}\right)$ splits as right $\Lambda$-map. Then $\iota_{N}$ (hence $\left.\iota_{(1, N)}\right)$ splits as $P$-map, since $P$ is a right semisimple extension of $\Lambda$. Hence by Theorem 2 [17], $B$ is a right semisimple extension of $\Gamma$.

Thus we have proved the 'if' parts. Now we shall prove the 'only if' parts. Since $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{r}$ and $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective by assumption, $\Lambda$ is right $B$-f.g. projective. Suppose that $B$ is a right semisimple extension of $\Gamma$, and let $M$ be any right $B$-module. Consider the next map

$$
\pi_{(1, M)}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{B}, M_{B}\right) \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{B} \longrightarrow M_{B}
$$

defined by $\pi_{(1, M)}^{\prime}(f \otimes x)=f(x)$ for $x \in \Lambda, f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{B}, M_{B}\right)$. This map is equivalent to the map $\psi$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{B}, M_{B}\right)$ to $M$ such that $\psi(f)=f(1)$. Since ${ }_{r} B_{B}<\oplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{B}, \psi$ and $\pi_{(1, M)}^{\prime}$ splits as $\Gamma$-maps. Then since $B$ is right semisimple over $\Gamma$ by assumption, $\pi_{(1, M)}^{\prime}$ splits as $B$-map for any right $B$-module $M$. Hence $P=\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda_{B}\right)$ is a right semisimple extension of $\Gamma$ by Theorem 2 [17]. Similarly we can prove that if $B$ is a left semisimple extension of $\Gamma, P$ is a left semisimple extension of $\Lambda$, since the map

$$
i_{(1, N)}^{\prime}: N \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda,{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda \otimes_{B} N\right)
$$

defined by $\ell_{(1, N)}^{\prime}(n)(x)=x \otimes n$ for $x \in \Lambda, n \in N$, splits as $B$-map under the given conditions.

By this proposition and Proposition 2 [12], we obtain a partial extension of Hattori's theorem.
(2.2) Theorem. Let $\Lambda$ be an $H$-stparable extension of $\Gamma$ such that $\Lambda$ is right $\Gamma$-f.g. projective and also a C-generator. Then if $B$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{r}$ is a left (resp. right) semisimple extension of $\Gamma, D$ is a right (resp. left) semisimple extension of $C$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda \otimes_{c} D^{0}$ is left (resp. right) semisimple over $\Lambda$, and ${ }_{c} C<\oplus$ ${ }_{c} \Lambda, D^{0}$ is left (resp. right) semisimple over $C$ by Prop. 2 [12]. Thus $D$ is right (resp. left) semi-simple over $C$.

## 3. A separable extension which is not a quasi-Frobenius extension

In [6], Endo-Watanabe proved that every separable $R$-algebra which is a finitely generated projective $R$-module is a symmetric, hence a Frobenius $R$-algebra. But in the case of ring extension of non commutative ring, we can show this is not always true. More generally, we can give an example of a separable extension which is not a left quasi-Frobenius extension. A ring $\Lambda$ is a left quasi-Frobenius extension of $\Gamma$ if $\Lambda \supset \Gamma, \Lambda$ is left $\Gamma$-f. $g$. projective and ${ }_{\Lambda}\left(\Sigma \oplus \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda,{ }_{r} \Gamma\right)\right)_{\Gamma} \oplus>_{A} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$. Right quasi-Frobenius extension is similarly defined, and both left and right quasi-Frobenius extension is called quasi-Frobenius extension (See [11]). The next lemma has been proved in §3 [15].
(3.1) Let $\Lambda$ be a ring and $\Gamma$ a subring of $\Lambda$. Then the following
conditions are equivalent;
(1) $\Lambda$ is a left quasi-Frobenius extension of $\Gamma$.
(2) $\Lambda$ is a left $\Gamma$-f.g. projective, and there exist $\sum x_{i}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i}^{(k)} \in\left(\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda\right)^{1}$ and $\alpha_{k} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma},{ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma}\right), k=1, \cdots, n$, such that $\sum_{k, i} x_{i}^{(k)} \alpha_{k}\left(y_{i}^{(k)}\right)=1$. (See Lemma 3.1 [15]).
(3.2) Theorem. Let $\Lambda$ be a ring and $\Gamma$ a subring of $\Lambda$ such that the map $\pi: \Lambda \otimes_{r} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by $\pi(x \otimes y)=x y(x, y \in \Lambda)$ is an isomorphism. Then if $\Lambda$ is a left (or right) quasi-Frobenius extension of $\Gamma, \Lambda=\Gamma$.

Proof. By (3.1), there exist $\sum x_{i}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i}^{(k)} \in\left(\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda\right)^{4}$ and $\alpha_{k} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma},{ }_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma}\right)$ with $\sum_{i, k} x_{i}^{(k)} \alpha_{k}\left(y_{i}^{(k)}\right)=1$. Since the map $\pi$ is isomorphic, we have

$$
V_{\Lambda}(\Gamma) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right) \cong C .
$$

This implies $V_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)=C$. Then $\pi$ induces also

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & V_{\Lambda}(\Gamma) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda \otimes_{r} \Lambda_{\Lambda},{ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma},{ }_{r} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda_{\Lambda}, \Lambda_{\Lambda}\right)_{r}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma},{ }_{r} \Lambda_{r}\right) \supset \operatorname{Hom}\left({ }_{r} \Lambda_{\Gamma},{ }_{r} \Gamma_{\Gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence each $\alpha_{k}$ is given by the multiplication of some $d_{k} \in C$ with $d_{k}(\Lambda) \subset \Gamma$. Let $c_{k}=\sum x_{i}^{(k)} y_{i}^{(k)} \in C$. Then $1=\sum_{i, k} x_{i}^{(k)} d_{i}\left(y_{i}^{(k)}\right)=\sum d_{i} c_{i}$. Therefore, $\quad \Lambda=$ $\sum d_{k}\left(c_{k} \Lambda\right) \subset \sum d_{k}(\Lambda) \subset \Gamma$. Thus $\Lambda=\Gamma$.

Example. A ring extension $\Lambda / \Gamma$ which satisfies the condition that $\Lambda$ is left $\Gamma$-f.g. projective and $\Lambda \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \cong \Lambda$ really exists. This condition is equivalent to the condition that $\Lambda$ is a finite left localization of $\Gamma$ in the sence of $L$. Silver [13]. The existance of such a ring extension is shown in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ (See e.g. Prop. 3.10) [13]. Clearly $\Lambda$ is a separable extension of $\Gamma$ but not a left (nor right) quasi-Frobenius extension of $\Gamma$ in this case.
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