ON CERTAIN PROPERTY OF THE NORMS BY MODULARS

By

Tetsuya SHIMOGAKI

Let R be a universally continuous semi-ordered linear space. A functional $m(a)(a \in R)$ is said to be a modular¹⁾ on R if it satisfies the following modular conditions:

(1) $0 \leq m(a) \leq \infty$ for all $a \in R$; (2) if $m(\xi a) = 0$ for all $\xi > 0$, then a = 0;

- (3) for any $a \in R$ there exists a > 0 such that $m(aa) < \infty$;
- (4) for every $a \in R$, $m(\xi a)$ is a convex function of ξ ;
- (5) $|a| \leq |b|$ implies $m(a) \leq m(b)$;
- (6) $a \wedge b = 0$ implies m(a+b) = m(a) + m(b);

(7)
$$0 \leq a_{\lambda \in A} \quad \text{implies} \quad m(a) = \sup_{\lambda \in A} m(a_{\lambda})$$

In R, we define functionals ||a||, $||a||| (a \in R)$ as follows

 $\|a\| = \inf_{\epsilon > 0} rac{1+m(\epsilon a)}{\epsilon} , \quad \|a\| = \inf_{m(\epsilon a) < 1} rac{1}{|\epsilon|} .$

Then it is easily seen that both ||a|| and |||a||| are norms on R and $|||a||| \leq 2|||a||| \leq 2|||a|||$ for all $a \in R$. ||a|| is said to be the first norm by m and |||a||| is said to be the second norm by m. Let \overline{R}^m be the modular conjugate space of R and \overline{m} be the conjugate modular of m^{2} then we can introduce the norms by \overline{m} as above. It is known that if R is semi-regular, the first norm by the conjugate modular \overline{m} is the conjugate norm of the second norm by m and the second norm by the conjugate modular \overline{m} is the conjugate modular \overline{m} is the conjugate norm of the first norm by m. Since ||a|| and |||a||| are semi-continuous by (7), they are reflexive norms (cf. [7]).

If a modular m is of L_p -type, i.e., $m(\xi x) = \xi^p m(x)$ for all $x \in R$, $\xi \ge 0$,

¹⁾ We owe the notations and the terminologies using here to the book : H. NAKANO [3].

²⁾ The conjugate modular \overline{m} is defined as $\overline{m}(\overline{a}) = \sup_{x \in \overline{R}} \{\overline{a}(x) - m(x)\}$ for every $\overline{a} \in \overline{R}^m$, where \overline{R}^m is the space of the modular bounded universally continuous linear functionals on R.

then we have $\frac{||x||}{||x|||} = p^{\frac{1}{p}}q^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for all $0 \rightleftharpoons x \in R$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (In the case of p=1, we have $\frac{||x||}{||x|||} = 1$). The converse of this is studied by S. YAMAMURO [5] and I. AMEMIYA [1]. They proved that if the ratios of two norms are constant for all $0 \rightleftharpoons x \in R$, it is of L_p -type essentially. So in the general case, the ratios of two norms are not constant.

A modular *m* is said to be bounded if there exist real numbers $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$, such that

$$\xi^{p_1}m(x) \leq m(\xi x) \leq \xi^{p_2}m(x)$$

for all $\xi \ge 1$ and $x \in R$. In [6], S. YAMAMURO obtained that if a modular m on R is bounded then we have

$$||x|| \geq r ||x||$$

for all $x \in R$, where r > 1 is a fixed constant.

In this paper we investigate the case when the two norms by a modular m satisfy

$$\inf_{0\neq x\in R} \frac{||x||}{||x|||} = \gamma > 1 \qquad (*).$$

(In this case we say that the norms have property (*) throughout this paper).

As showed above, a bounded modular m has that property (*), but the converse of this is not true in general.

In §1 we prove that if the norms by a modular m satisfy the property (*) then it is uniformly finite and uniformly increasing, provided that R has no atomic element (Theorem 1.1). And we obtain conversely that if a modular m is uniformly finite and uniformly increasing then the norms by m have the property (*) (Theorem 1.4). Thus, we can see that if R has no atomic element, then the property (*) is equivalent to uniform finiteness and uniform increasingness of modular m. Theorem 1.2 shows that uniform simpleness of a modular m implies uniform finiteness, in the case when R has no atomic element. Finally some special cases, where the property (*) is equivalent to boundedness of modular are discussed.

In §2 we define uniform p-properties, that is, uniformly p-finite, p-increasing, p-simple and p-monotone modulars, to determine the degrees of uniform finiteness, increasingness and etc.. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

show that there exist the conjugate relations between uniformly p-finite modular and uniformly q-increasing modular, where $1 , <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. On the other hand, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 show the similar relations between uniformly p-simple modular and uniformly q-monotone modular. In the case when R has no atomic element, we have more preceisely than in §1, that if a modular m is uniformly p-simple it is uniformly p-finite (Theorem 2.5). There is a modular which is uniformly finite but not uniformly p-finite for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

In §3 we prove that if the norms by modular m have the property (*) then r (which appears in (*)) determines the degrees of uniform finiteness and uniform increasingness of m. Truely, in the case when R has no atomic element, we obtain that if the norms by a modular m have the property (*), m is uniformly p-increasing and uniformly q-finite, where p, q are positive numbers such that $r = p^{\frac{1}{p}}q^{\frac{1}{q}}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ $= 1, p \leq q$ (Theorem 3.1). The converse of this is not true in general. We show an example of this fact at the end of this paper.

§1. Let R be a modulared semi-ordered linear space with a modular m.

A modular m is said to be uniformly finite, if

$$\sup_{m(x)\leq 1} m(\xi x) < \infty \qquad \qquad \text{for all} \quad \xi > 0 \,.$$

A modular m is said to be uniformly increasing, if

$$\lim_{\xi\to\infty}\inf_{m(x)\ge 1}\frac{m(\xi x)}{\xi}=+\infty$$

In [4; Theorems 5.2, 5.3] it is shown that if a modular m is uniformly finite, then the conjugate modular \overline{m} of m is uniformly increasing and if a modular m is uniformly increasing then the conjugate modular \overline{m} is uniformly finite.

Now we shall prove the following

Theorem 1.1. Suppose R has no atomic element. If the norms by a modular m have the property (*), then m is uniformly finite and uniformly increasing.

Proof. 1). Let γ be a number, in the sequel, such that $\gamma = \inf_{0 \neq x \in R} \frac{||x||}{||x|||}$. Then we have

$$\inf_{\substack{0\neq\bar{x}\in\mathcal{R}^m}}\frac{||\bar{x}||}{||\bar{x}|||} = \gamma \qquad (*').$$

In fact we have for every $\bar{x} \in \overline{R}^m$

$$\|\|ar{x}\|\| = \sup_{\|oldsymbol{x}\|\leq 1} |ar{x}(oldsymbol{x})| \leq \sup_{oldsymbol{r}} |ar{x}(oldsymbol{x})| = rac{1}{\gamma} \|\|ar{x}\| \ .$$

Since the norms ||x||, $||x||^{e}$ are reflexive, we obtain (*').

2). If m is not uniformly finite, then there exists a number $\xi_0 \ge 1$ such that

$$\sup_{m(x)\leq 1} m\left(\xi x
ight) < +\infty \qquad ext{for all } \xi < \xi_{0} ext{,} \ \sup_{m(x)\leq 1} m\left(\eta x
ight) = +\infty \qquad ext{for all } \eta > \xi_{0} ext{.}$$

Since r > 1, we obtain a number α such that $1 > \alpha > 0$ and $\alpha r - 1 > 0$, and we can find also $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha(\xi_0 + \varepsilon) < \xi_0$.

Then by the definition of ξ_0 , we can find a sequence of elements $\{x_n\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

$$m(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \leq 1$$
, $m(\alpha(\xi_0 + \varepsilon)\boldsymbol{x}_n) \leq k$, $m((\xi_0 + \varepsilon)\boldsymbol{x}_n) \geq n$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$,

where k is a fixed positive number.

Since R has no atomic element, we can obtain also a sequence of projectors $\{[p_n]\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

$$m(\alpha(\xi_0+\varepsilon)[p_n]x_n) \leq \frac{k}{n}, \quad m((\xi_0+\varepsilon)[p_n]x_n) \geq 1.$$

Putting $y_n = (\xi_0 + \varepsilon) [p_n] x_n$, we have

$$m(y_n) \ge 1$$
, $m(\alpha y_n) \le \frac{k}{n}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$.

This implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1+m(\alpha y_n)}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} < \gamma$ and contradicts (*), because on the other hand, we have $|||y_n||| \ge 1$ and $||y_n|| \le \frac{1+m(\alpha y_n)}{\alpha}$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Then by 1) \overline{m} is also uniformly finite, thus m is uniformly increasing³⁾. This completes the proof.

In the proof of the theorem above, we have shown that if a modular m is not uniformly finite, then there exists a sequence of elements y_n such that

³⁾ We note here that $\overline{\overline{m}}(x) = \sup_{\overline{x} \in \overline{R}^{m}} \{\overline{x}(x) - m(x)\} \leq m(x)$ for all $x \in R$ by virtue of the definition of conjugate modular. If R is semi-regular, then modular m is reflexive; i.e. $m(x) = \overline{\overline{m}}(x) = \sup_{\overline{x} \in \overline{R}^{m}} \{\overline{x}(x) - m(x)\}$ for all $x \in R$ ([3]; §39).

$$m(y_n) \ge 1$$
, $\lim_{n \to \infty} m(\xi y_n) = 0$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$

for some $\xi > 0$. Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ is conditionally modular convergent to 0, but it is not modular convergent. A modular *m* is said to be uniformly simple if conditionally modular convergence coincides with modular convergence, i, e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} m(x_n)=0$ implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} m(\xi x_n)$

=0 for every $\xi \geq 0$.

Thus we have

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that R has no atomic element. If a modular m is uniformly simple, then it is uniformly finite.

The conjugate property to uniform simpleness of modular is uniform monotoneness.⁴⁾ Therefore we obtain also

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that R has no atomic element. If a modular m is uniformly monotone, then it is uniformly increasing.

The converse part of Theorem 1.1 is always true (without the assumption that R has no atomic element). That is, we obtain

Theorem 1.4. If a modular m is uniformly finite and uniformly increasing, then the norms by m have the property (*).

Proof. If the property (*) is not satisfied, then we can find $x_n \ge 0$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

$$1 \leq ||x_n|| < 1 + rac{1}{n}$$
, $|||x||| = m(x_n) = 1$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

And we can find also $\xi_n > 0$ such that

$$1+m(\xi_n x_n) < \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)\xi_n$$

for all $n \ge 1$ by the definition of the first norm.

Considering a subsequence of $\{\xi_n\}$, it is sufficient for us to investigate only the following cases.

1) In this case, $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies $0 < \xi_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $\xi_n \leq \xi_0 < 1$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ for some $\xi_0 < 1$, then we obtain

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) > \frac{1+m\left(\xi_n x_n\right)}{\xi_n} \ge \frac{1}{\xi_0} > 1 \qquad (n=1,2,\cdots).$$

This is a contradiction. Now without a loss of a generality, we may

4) A modular *m* is said to be uniformly monotone, if $\lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{1}{\xi} \sup_{m(x) \leq 1} m(\xi x) = 0$.

assume that

$$\xi_n \uparrow 1$$
, $1-\xi_n < \frac{1}{n}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$

Since we have

$$m\left(\xi_{n}x_{n}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\xi_{n} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

and $m(\xi x)$ is a non-decreasing convex function of $\xi \ge 0$, we obtain

$$m((1+(1-\xi_n))x_n) \ge 1+\frac{n-1}{n}$$
 (n=1,2,...)

and furthermore

$$m((1+n(1-\xi_n))x_n) \ge 1+(n-1)$$
 $(n=1,2,\cdots).$

This implies

$$\sup_{m(x)\leq 1} m(2x) \geq \sup_{n=1,2,\cdots} m(2x_n) \geq \sup_{n=1,2,\cdots} (1+(n-1)) = +\infty$$

which contradicts that m is uniformly finite.

2). In this case, $\{\xi_n\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ satisfies $1 \leq \xi_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. By definition of $\{\xi_n\}$, we have

$$1+rac{1}{n} \ge rac{1+m(\xi_n x_n)}{\xi_n} \ge rac{1}{\xi_n}+1 \qquad ext{for all} \quad n \ge 1 \;.$$

This implies $n \leq \xi_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore we may assume $\xi_n \uparrow + \infty$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$, so we obtain

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \inf_{m(x) \ge 1} \frac{m(\xi x)}{\xi} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\xi_n x_n)}{\xi_n} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1$$

which contradicts that m is uniformly increasing. This completes the proof.

In the case when a modular m on R is of unique spectra ([3]; §54), the property (*) implies boundedness of m. In fact we have

Theorem 1.5. If a modular m on R is of unique spectra, then boundedness of m is equivalent to the property (*).

The proof is easily obtained by simple calculations, so it is omitted.

In the case of the constant modular ([3]; §55), the property (*) dose not imply simpleness of m, and even in the case of the simple constant modular it dose not generally imply the boundedness of m (the examples are easily obtained). Only in the particular case, we have

Theorem 1.6. If a modular m on R is constant, monotone complete and R has neither complete constant element nor atomic element, then the property (*) is equivalent to boundedness of m.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 m is finite, then m is upper bounded by Theorem 55.10 in [3]. Since \overline{m} is constant and has no complete constant element [3; §55], \overline{m} is also upper bounded, that is, m is lower bounded. Thus m is a bounded modular on R.

§ 2. In this section we investigate the degrees of uniform properties of modulars.

Set for $\xi \geq 1$

 $f\left(\xi
ight)=\sup_{m\left(x
ight)\leq1}m\left(\xi x
ight) \ \ ext{and} \ \ \ g\left(\xi
ight)=\inf_{m\left(x
ight)\geq1}m\left(\xi x
ight)$,

then $f(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ are defined in $[1, \infty)$ and non-decreasing functions. In the following, let p be a number such that 1 .

Definition 2.1. A modular *m* on *R* is said to be uniformly *p*-finite if there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $\xi_0 \ge 1$ such that

$$f(\xi) \leq \gamma \xi^p$$
 for all $\xi \geq \xi_0$.

Definition 2.2. A modular m on R is said to be uniformly pincreasing, if there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $\xi \ge 1$ such that

 $g(\xi) \ge \gamma \xi^p$ for all $\xi \ge \xi_0$.

It is easily seen that if *m* is uniformly *p*-finite, it is also uniformly *p'*-finite for $p \leq p'$, and if *m* is uniformly *p*-increasing it is also uniformly *p''*-increasing for $1 \leq p'' \leq p$.

In the sequel, we set $q = rac{p}{p-1}$. Now we have

Theorem 2.1. If a modular m is uniformly p-finite, then the conjugate modular \overline{m} of m is uniformly q-increasing.

Proof. We have by the assumption for some $\rho_0 \geq 1$, $\gamma > 0$,

$$f(\xi) \leq \gamma \xi^p \qquad \qquad (\xi \geq \rho_0 \geq 1)$$

If $\overline{m}(\overline{x}) \geq 1$, $\overline{x} \in \overline{R}^m$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can find x_0 such that $\overline{x}(x_0) > \alpha$, $m(x_0) \leq 1$. For such x_0 , we have by the definition of conjugate modular

$$\overline{m}(\lambda \overline{x}) \geq \lambda \overline{x}(\rho x_0) - m(\rho x_0) \geq \alpha \lambda \rho - \gamma \rho^p$$

for all $\rho \geq \rho_0$. This implies

 $\overline{m}(\lambda \overline{x}) \geq \sup_{\rho \geq \rho_0} \{\alpha \lambda \rho - \gamma \rho^{\rho}\}$

for all $\bar{x} \in \overline{R}^m$ such that $\overline{m}(\bar{x}) \ge 1$.

Then we have for $\lambda \ge \lambda_0 = \frac{\gamma p}{\alpha} \rho_0^{\frac{p}{q}}$,

$$\overline{m}(\lambda \overline{x}) \geq \frac{\gamma p}{q} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p\gamma}\right)^{q} \lambda^{q}.$$

Hence the conjugate modular \overline{m} is uniformly q-increasing modular by definition.

Theorem 2.2. If a modular m is uniformly p-increasing, then the conjugate modular \overline{m} of m is uniformly q-finite.

Proof. By the assumption we have for some γ and ρ_0

 $m(x) \ge 1$ implies $m(\rho x) \ge \gamma \rho^p$ for $\rho \ge \rho_0$.

Set $\lambda_0 = \operatorname{Max}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\rho_0^{p-1}, 1\right)$ and for $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$ we define $\rho = \rho(\lambda)$ such that $\rho(\lambda) = \left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\lambda\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$. Then we have $\rho \ge \rho_0$. Thus we obtain $\frac{m(\rho x)}{\rho} \ge \gamma \rho^{p-1} = 2\lambda$. If $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}^m$, $\bar{m}(\bar{x}) \le 1$ and $1 \le m(x) < +\infty$, then there is $\xi > 0$ such that

$$m\left(rac{1}{arepsilon}\,x
ight)\!=\!1$$
 , $0\!<\!rac{1}{arepsilon}\!<\!1$

and hence by the definition of the conjugate modular $\overline{m}(\overline{x})$ we obtain

$$ar{x}\left(rac{1}{\xi}\,x
ight)\!\leq \overline{m}\left(ar{x}
ight)\!+m\!\left(rac{1}{\xi}\,x
ight)\!\leq 2\;.$$

For such ξ , if $\xi \geq \rho(\lambda)$, then we have

$$\lambda \bar{x}(x) - m(x) = \xi \left\{ \lambda \bar{x} \left(\frac{1}{\xi} x \right) - \frac{1}{\xi} m \left(\xi \frac{1}{\xi} x \right) \right\} \leq 0$$
,

and if $0 < \xi \leq \rho(\lambda)$, then we have

$$\lambda \overline{x}(x) - m(x) \leq \xi \lambda \overline{x} \left(\frac{1}{\xi}x\right) \leq 2\rho \lambda = 2\lambda \left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\lambda\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

If $\overline{m}(\overline{x}) \leq 1$, $m(x) \leq 1$, we have also

$$\lambda \overline{x}(x) - m(x) \leq \lambda (\overline{m}(\overline{x}) + m(x)) - m(x) \leq 2\lambda.$$

Therefore we obtain consequently

$$ar{m}\left(\lambdaar{x}
ight) \leqq 2\,\lambda
ho = arepsilon_{_{0}}\lambda^{_{q}} \hspace{1cm} ext{for all } \lambda \geqq \lambda_{_{0}}$$

where $r_0 = 2^q \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$. Hence the conjugate modular \overline{m} is uniformly q-

finite modular.

As similarly as uniformly *p*-finite modulars, we can define uniformly *p*-simple and uniformly *p*-monotone modular. In order to define them, we set for $0 \le \xi \le 1$

$$\varphi(\xi) = \sup_{m(x) \leq 1} m(\xi x) , \quad \psi(\xi) = \inf_{m(x) \geq 1} m(\xi x) .$$

Then $\varphi(\xi)$, $\psi(\xi)$ are defined in [0,1] and finite non-decreasing functions.

Definition 2.3. A modular *m* on *R* is said to be uniformly *p*-simple if there exist $\gamma > 0$, and $0 < \xi_0 \leq 1$, such that

$$\psi(\xi) \ge \gamma \xi^p \qquad \text{for all } 0 \le \xi \le \xi_0.$$

Definition 2.4. A modular m on R is said to be uniformly pmonotone, if there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \xi_0 \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq \Upsilon \xi^p$$
 for all $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_0$.

It is easily seen that if *m* is uniformly *p*-simple, it is also uniformly *p'*-simple for $p \leq p'$, and if *m* is uniformly *p*-monotone, it is also uniformly *p''*-monotone for $1 \leq p'' \leq p$.

Concerning uniformly p-simple and uniformly q-monotone modulars there exist the conjugate relations, in fact we have

Theorem 2.3. If a modular m on R is uniformly p-monotone, then the conjugate modular \overline{m} of m is uniformly q-simple.

Theorem 2.4. If a modular m on R is uniformly p-simple, then the conjugate modular \overline{m} of m is uniformly q-monotone.

The proofs of these theorems are analogous to those of Theorems 4.9, 4.10 in [4] and of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, so it is omitted.

Concerning uniform simpleness and uniform finiteness we proved in Theorem 2.2 that uniform simpleness implies uniform finiteness, provided that R has no atomic element. On uniformly p-simple modular we obtain more precisely

Theorem 2.5. Let R has no atomic element. If a modular m on R is uniformly p-simple, then it is uniformly p-finite.

Proof. It is known already that m is uniformly finite. If it is not uniformly p-finite, then there exists a sequence of real numbers $\xi_n \ge 0$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

 $+\infty > f(\xi_n) > n\xi_n^p, \ \xi_n \uparrow +\infty \qquad (n=1,2,\cdots).$

And by definition of $f(\xi)$, we can choose a sequence of elements $\{x_n\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

$$m(\xi_n x_n) > n\xi_n^p$$
, $m(x_n) = 1$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Here, we can assume without a loss of generality that

$$m\left({{{{f arepsilon}_{n}}{{f x}_{n}}}
ight) = {N_{n}}$$

where N_n is a natural number, for every $n \ge 1$. Because, if there are $\gamma > 0$ and $\xi_0 \ge 1$ satisfying $m(\xi x) \le \gamma \xi^p$ for every $\xi \ge \xi_0$ such that $m(\xi x)$ is a natural number, then we have $m(\xi x) \le (\gamma + 1) \xi^p$ for all $\xi \ge \xi_0$. This shows that m is uniformly p-finite.

Then we can find a sequence of projectors $\{[p_n]\}\ (n=1,2,\cdots)$ by orthogonal decompositions of x_n $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ such that

$$m\left(\left[p_n
ight]m{\xi}_n x_n
ight)=1$$
 , $m\left(\left[p_n
ight]x_n
ight)\!<\!\!rac{1}{nm{\xi}_n^p}$ $(n\!=\!1,2,\cdots)$,

since $m(\xi_n x_n)$ is natural number for all $n \ge 1$. Set $y_n = [p_n]\xi_n x_n$ and $\eta_n = \frac{1}{\xi_n}$ for every $n \ge 1$, then we have $m(y_n) = 1$ and $m(\eta_n y_n) < \frac{\eta_n^p}{n}$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = 0$, we show that m is not uniformly p-simple. Thus the proof is completed.

Corresponding to Theorem 2.5 we have

Theorem 2.6. Let R have no atomic element. If a modular m on R is uniformly p-monotone, then it is uniformly p-increasing.

It will be conjectured that if a modular m is uniformly finite, then it is uniformly p-finite for some 1 . But the followingexample shows that it is not true.

Example. Set
$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}u & u \leq 2\\ e^{u-2} & u > 2 \end{cases}$$

and consider ORLICZ sequence space l_{ϕ} . Then l_{ϕ} is uniformly finite as easily seen, but not uniformly *p*-finite for any 1 . This exampleshows at the same time that there exists a modular*m*which is uniformlyincreasing but not uniformly*p*-increasing for any <math>1 .

I. AMEMIYA proved in [2] that if a modular m on R is monotone complete and finite, then m is semi-upper bounded, i.e., $m(2x) \leq \tau m(x)$ for every x such that $m(x) \geq \varepsilon$ for some fixed $\tau, \varepsilon > 0$, provided that Rhas no atomic element. Applying this result, it is seen that the above conjecture is affirmative, in the case when m is monotone complete and R has no atomic element. In fact we have **Theorem 2.7.** Suppose that R has no atomic element and m is monotone complete. If m is uniformly finite (finite) then it is uniformly p-finite for some p>1.

§3. To any r such that $1 < r \leq 2$, there exist a unique pair of positive numbers (p, q) satisfying the following

1)
$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ 2) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \end{aligned}$$

 $3) \qquad 1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q.$

This correspondence is unique and it is easily seen that if r_n is convergent increasingly to 2, then the corresponding $p_n(q_n)$ is also convergent increasingly (decreasingly) to 2.

If the norms of modular *m* have the property (*) we can find a pair of numbers such that $r = p^{\frac{1}{p}}q^{\frac{1}{q}}$. It is already seen that *m* is uniformly finite and uniformly increasing, provided that *R* has no atomic element. Now we shall show that (p,q) gives the degrees of uniform finiteness and increasingness. In fact we can state

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that R has no atomic element. If the norms by a modular m have the property (*), then m is uniformly p-increasing and uniformly q-finite.

Proof. Set
$$\alpha = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
, then $\gamma \alpha - 1 = \alpha^{q}$.

1

Thus we obtain by assumption,

 $m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}$ implies $m(\alpha \mathbf{x}) \geq a^{q}$.

If $m(x)=1+\frac{m}{n}$ (for natural numbers m < n), we can decompose orthogonally $x=x_1+x_2\cdots+x_{n+m}$ such that

$$m(x_i) = m(x_j) = \frac{1}{n}$$
 $(i, j=1, 2, \dots, n+m).$

The numbers of *i* such that $m(\alpha x_i) < \alpha^q m(x_i)$ are less than *n*, because if there exists (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) such that $m(\alpha x_{i_\nu}) > \alpha^q m x_{i_\nu}$ $(\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, then we have $m\left(\alpha \sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{i_\nu}\right) < \alpha^q m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{i_\nu}\right)$ and $m\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{i_\nu}\right) = 1$. This is a contradiction.

Thus there exists $\{i_k\}$ $(k=1,2,\dots,m)$ such that $m(\alpha x_{i_k}) \ge \alpha^q m(x_{i_k})$ $(k=1,2,\dots,m)$

1,2,...,m). Putting $y = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{i_k}$ we have m(x-y) = 1 and

 $m(\alpha y) \geq \alpha^q m(y)$ $m(\alpha(x-y)) \geq \alpha^q m(x-y)$.

Hence we obtain $m(\alpha x) \ge a^q m(x)$. Generally, if $1 \le m(x) < 2$, since $m(\xi x)$ is continuous function of ξ , we have also

$$m(\alpha x) \geq \alpha^q m(x)$$
.

Since m(x) is finite for all $x \in R$ and R has no atomic element, we have for x such that m(x) = 1

 $m(\alpha\xi x) \ge \alpha^{q}m(\xi x)$ for all $\xi \ge 1$. Here, putting $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha} > 1$, we obtain $m(\beta^{n}x) \le \beta^{q \cdot n}m(x)$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$

for all x such that m(x)=1. From this we have

$$m(\xi x) \leq \beta^q \xi^q \quad \xi \geq \beta$$
 ,

which shows that m is uniformly q-finite. By Theorem 2.1 and (*') we can see m is uniformly p-increasing.

Remark 1. The converse of the theorem is not true. For example, set

> $u\leqslant 2$ u>2 . $\phi(u) = \begin{cases} u^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u^2 \end{cases}$

Then the Orlicz space L[0,1] is uniformly 2-finite and uniformly 2increasing, but it is easily seen that there is an element such that $\frac{\|x\|}{\|x\|} < 2.$ And for any $1 < \alpha < 2$, we can get the example of modulared space such that m is uniformly 2-finite and uniformly 2-increasing but the norms by *m* do not satisfy $\inf_{x\neq 0} \frac{||x||}{||x||} \ge \alpha$.

Remark 2. If R is a discrete modulared semi-ordered linear space. the property (*) dose not imply finiteness of m, and even if in the case where m is finite, the property (*) does not imply uniform finiteness of m. The examples are obtained easily. In this case the equivalent

condition to the property (*) is unknown.

References

- [1] I. AMEMIYA: A characterization of the modulars of L_p -type, Jour. Fac. Sci. Univ. Hokkaido, Ser. I Vol. XIII (1954).
- [2] I. AMEMIYA: A generalization of the theorem of ORLICZ and BIRNBAUM, Jour. Fac. Sci. Univ. Hokkaido, Ser. I Vol. XIII (1956).
- [3] H. NAKANO: Modulared semi-ordered linear spaces, Tokyo Math. Book Ser. Vol. 1 (1950).
- [4] H. NAKANO: Modulared linear spaces, Jour. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. 1 Vol. VI (1951).
- [5] S. YAMAMURO: On linear modulars, Proc. Japan Acad. Vol. 27 (1951).
- [6] S. YAMAMURO: Exponents of modulared semi-ordered linear spaces, Jour. Fac. Sci. Univ. Hokkaido, Ser. I Vol. XII (1953).
- [7] T. MORI, I. AMEMIYA and H. NAKANO: On the reflexivity of semi-continuous norms, Proc. Japan Acad. Vol. 31 (1955).