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#### Abstract

In this paper, the formulation of the biharmonic map equation in terms of the Maurer-Cartan form for all smooth maps of a compact Riemannian manifold into a compact Lie group ( $G, h$ ) with the bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$ is obtained. Using this, all biharmonic curves into compact Lie groups are determined exactly, and all the biharmonic maps of an open domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with a Riemannian metric conformal to the standard Euclidean metric into $(G, h)$ are determined.


Key words: harmonic map, biharmonic map, compact Lie group, integrable system, Maurer-Cartan form.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

The theory of harmonic maps of a Riemann surface into Lie groups, symmetric spaces or homogeneous spaces has been extensively studied in connection with the integrable systems ([1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [16]). Let us recall the theory of harmonic maps of a Riemann surface $M$ into a compact Lie group G, briefly. A harmonic map is a critical map of the energy functional defined by

$$
E(\psi):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|d \psi|^{2} v_{g} .
$$

For such a map $\psi$, let $\alpha$ be the pull back of the Maurer-Cartan form $\theta$ of $G$ which is decomposed into the sum of the holomorphic part and the antiholomorphic one as $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime \prime}$. Then, it satisfies $d \alpha=(1 / 2)[\alpha \wedge \alpha]=0$ (the integrability condition), and the harmonicity of $\psi$ is equivalent to the condition $\delta \alpha=0$. Introducing a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ as

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}:=\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda) \alpha^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\lambda^{-1}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime},
$$

[^0]both the harmonicity and the integrability condition are equivalent to
$$
d \alpha_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha_{\lambda} \wedge \alpha_{\lambda}\right]=0
$$
which implies that there exists an extended solution $\Phi_{\lambda}: M \rightarrow G$ satisfying $\Phi_{\lambda}{ }^{-1} d \Phi_{\lambda}=\alpha_{\lambda}([16])$. Guest and Ohnita ([9]) showed that the loop group $\Lambda G^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $G$ acts on the space of all harmonic maps of $M$ into $G$, and Uhlenbeck ([16]) showed that every harmonic map from the two-sphere into $G$ is a harmonic map of finite uniton number, and Wood ([17]) determined explicitly harmonic maps of finite uniton numbers. On the other hand, the theory of biharmonic maps was initiated by Eells and Lemaire ([6]) and Jiang ([12]). A biharmonic map is a natural extension of harmonic map, and is a critical map of the bienergy functional defined by
$$
E_{2}(\psi):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\delta d \psi|^{2} v_{g}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\tau(\psi)|^{2} v_{g}
$$
where $\tau(\psi)$ is the tension field of $\psi$, and, by definition, $\psi$ is harmonic if and only if $\tau(\psi) \equiv 0$.

In this paper, we study biharmonic maps of a compact Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ into a compact Lie group $(G, h)$ with the bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$. For every $C^{\infty}$ map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$, let us consider again the pullback $\alpha$ of the Maurer-Cartan form $\theta$. We first will show that the biharmonicity condition for $\psi$ is that

$$
\delta d \delta \alpha+\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha])=0
$$

(cf. Corollary 3.5) which is a natural extension of harmonicity. Due to this formula, we can determine all real analytic biharmonic curves into a compact Lie group $(G, h)$ in terms of the initial data $F(0), F^{\prime}(0)$ and $F^{\prime \prime}(0)$, where $F(t)=\alpha(\partial / \partial t)$ (cf. Section 4). We give a characterization of biharmonic maps of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mu^{2} g_{0}\right)$, where $g_{0}$ is the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mu$ is a positive real analytic function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (cf. Sections 5, 6 and 7 ).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare general materials and facts on harmonic maps, biharmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds (cf. [6], [12], [13]). Let
$(M, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold, and $(N, h)$, an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold.

The energy functional on the space $C^{\infty}(M, N)$ of all $C^{\infty}$ maps of $M$ into $N$ is defined by

$$
E(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|d \psi|^{2} v_{g}
$$

and for a compactly supported $C^{\infty}$ one parameter deformation $\psi_{t} \in$ $C^{\infty}(M, N)(-\epsilon<t<\epsilon)$ of $\psi$ with $\psi_{0}=\psi$, the first variation formula is given by

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} E\left(\psi_{t}\right)=-\int_{M}\langle\tau(\psi), V\rangle v_{g}
$$

where $V$ is a variation vector field along $\psi$ defined by $V=d /\left.d t\right|_{t=0} \psi_{t}$ which belongs to the space $\Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T N\right)$ of sections of the induced bundle of the tangent bundle $T N$ by $\psi$. The tension field $\tau(\psi)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\psi)=-\delta(d \psi) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where recall the definition $\delta \alpha$ for a $\psi^{-1} T N$-valued 1-form $\alpha$,

$$
\delta \alpha=-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \alpha\right)\left(e_{i}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\bar{\nabla}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Here, $\nabla, \nabla^{h}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are the Levi-Civita connections of $(M, g),(N, h)$, and the induced connections on the induced bundle $\psi^{-1} T N$ from $\nabla^{h}$, respectively. For a harmonic map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$, the second variation formula of the energy functional $E(\psi)$ is

$$
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0} E\left(\psi_{t}\right)=\int_{M}\langle J(V), V\rangle v_{g}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(V) & =\bar{\Delta} V-\mathcal{R}(V) \\
\bar{\Delta} V & =\bar{\nabla}^{*} \bar{\nabla} V=-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} V\right)-\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} V\right\} \\
\mathcal{R}(V) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{h}\left(V, d \psi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) d \psi\left(e_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\bar{\nabla}$ is the induced connection on the induced bundle $\psi^{-1} T N$, and $R^{h}$ is the curvature tensor of $(N, h)$ given by $R^{h}(U, V) W=\left[\nabla_{U}^{h}, \nabla^{h} V\right] W-$ $\nabla_{[U, V]}^{h} W(U, V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(N))$. The bienergy functional is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\delta d \psi|^{2} v_{g}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\tau(\psi)|^{2} v_{g} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first variation formula of the bienergy is given ([12]) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} E_{2}\left(\psi_{t}\right)=-\int_{M}\left\langle\tau_{2}(\psi), V\right\rangle v_{g} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bitension field $\tau_{2}(\psi)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}(\psi)=J(\tau(\psi))=\bar{\Delta} \tau(\psi)-\mathcal{R}(\tau(\psi)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a $C^{\infty} \operatorname{map} \psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is called to be biharmonic if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}(\psi)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The biharmonic maps are real analytic when both $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ are real analytic. This is because the solutions of non-linear elliptic partial differential equations are real analytic.

## 3. Determination of the bitension field

Now, assume that $(N, h)$ is an $n$-dimensional compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $h$, the bi-invariant Riemannian metric on $G$ corresponding to the $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$-invariant inner product $\langle$,$\rangle on \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\theta$ be the Maurer-Cartan form on $G$, i.e., a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued left invariant 1 -form on $G$ which is defined by $\theta_{y}\left(Z_{y}\right)=Z,(y \in G, Z \in \mathfrak{g})$. For every $C^{\infty} \operatorname{map} \psi$ of $(M, g)$ into $(G, h)$, let
us consider a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1 -form $\alpha$ on $M$ given by $\alpha=\psi^{*} \theta$. Then it is well known (see for example, [4]) that

Lemma 3.1 For every $C^{\infty}$ map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\tau(\psi))=-\delta \alpha \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is harmonic if and only if $\delta \alpha=0$.
Let $\left\{X_{s}\right\}_{s=1}^{n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . Then, for every V \in \Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T G\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
V(x) & =\sum_{s=1}^{n} h_{\psi(x)}\left(V(x), X_{s \psi(x)}\right) X_{s \psi(x)} \in T_{\psi(x)} G \\
\theta(V)(x) & =\sum_{s=1}^{n} h_{\psi(x)}\left(V(x), X_{s \psi(x)}\right) X_{s} \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. Then, for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} V\right) & =\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} V, X_{s}\right) X_{s} \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left\{X h\left(V, X_{s}\right)-h\left(V, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X_{s}\right)\right\} X_{s} \\
& =X(\theta(V))-\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(V, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X_{s}\right) X_{s} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we regarded a vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ by $Y(x)=Y(\psi(x))(x \in M)$ to be an element in the space $\Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T G\right)$ of smooth sections of $\psi^{-1} T G$. Here, let us recall that the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{h}$ of $(G, h)$ is given (cf. [13, Vol. II, p. 201, Theorem 3.3]) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X_{t}}^{h} X_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left[X_{t}, X_{s}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} C_{t s}^{\ell} X_{\ell}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the structure constant $C_{t s}^{\ell}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $\left[X_{t}, X_{s}\right]=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} C_{t s}^{\ell} X_{\ell}$, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t s}^{\ell}=\left\langle\left[X_{t}, X_{s}\right], X_{\ell}\right\rangle=-\left\langle X_{s},\left[X_{t}, X_{\ell}\right]\right\rangle=-C_{t \ell}^{s} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have by (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(V, \bar{\nabla}_{X} X_{s}\right) X_{s} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t=1}^{n} h\left(V, \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} X, X_{t}\right) C_{t s}^{\ell} X_{\ell}\right) X_{s} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, \ell=1}^{n} h\left(V, X_{\ell}\right) h\left(\psi_{*} X, X_{t}\right) C_{t \ell}^{s} X_{s} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t, \ell=1}^{n} h\left(V, X_{\ell}\right) h\left(\psi_{*} X, X_{t}\right)\left[X_{t}, X_{\ell}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} X, X_{t}\right) X_{t}, \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} h\left(V, X_{\ell}\right) X_{\ell}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}[\alpha(X), \theta(V)] \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which is because we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(X)=\theta\left(\psi_{*} X\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} X, X_{t}\right) X_{t} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(V)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} h\left(V, X_{\ell}\right) \theta\left(X_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} h\left(V, X_{\ell}\right) X_{\ell} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, inserting (3.6) into (3.3), we obtain
Lemma 3.2 For every $C^{\infty}$ map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} V\right)=X(\theta(V))+\frac{1}{2}[\alpha(X), \theta(V)] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V \in \Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T G\right)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
We shall show
Theorem 3.3 For every $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M, G)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(\tau_{2}(\psi)\right) & =\theta(J(\tau(\psi))) \\
& =-\delta d \delta \alpha-\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha]) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha=\psi^{*} \theta$.
Here, let us recall the definition:
Definition 3.4 For two $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1 -formsff $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $M$, we define a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued symmetric 2 -tensor $[\alpha, \beta]$ on $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha, \beta](X, Y):=\frac{1}{2}\{[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)]+[\alpha(Y), \beta(X)]\}, \quad(X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its trace $\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, \beta])$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, \beta]):=\sum_{i=1}^{m}[\alpha, \beta]\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 2-form $[\alpha \wedge \beta]$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha \wedge \beta](X, Y):=\frac{1}{2}\{[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)]-[\alpha(Y), \beta(X)]\} \quad(X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have immediately by Theorem 3.3,
Corollary 3.5 For every $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M, G)$, we have (1) $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is harmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta d \delta \alpha+\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha])=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give a proof of Theorem 3.3.
Proof. (The first step) We first show that, for all $V \in \Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T G\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(\bar{\Delta} V)=\Delta_{g} \theta(V) & -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{i}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right), \theta(V)\right]+\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ is a locally defined orthonormal frame field on $(M, g)$, and $\Delta_{g}$ is the (positive) Laplacian of $(M, g)$ acting on $C^{\infty}(M)$.

Indeed, we have by using Lemma 3.2 twice,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(\bar{\Delta} V)=- & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} V\right)\right)-\theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} V\right)\right\} \\
=- & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\{ \\
& e_{i}\left(\theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} V\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} V\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}(\theta(V))-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right\} \\
=- & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{e _ { i } \left(e_{i}\left(\theta(V)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right)\right.\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right] \\
& \left.\quad-\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}(\theta(V))-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right\} \\
=- & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{e_{i}\left(e_{i}(\theta(V))-\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}(\theta(V))\right\}\right. \\
- & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\frac{1}{2} e_{i}\left(\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(\theta(V))]\right.\right.  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{4}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have

$$
e_{i}\left(\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]=\left[e_{i}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right), \theta(V)\right]+\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right]\right.
$$

which we substitute into (3.17), and by definition of $\Delta_{g}$, we have (3.16).
(The second step) On the other hand, we have to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{h}\left(V, \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) \psi_{*} e_{i}=-\sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{h}\left(L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} V, L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} \psi_{*} e_{i} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the identification $T_{e} G \ni Z_{e} \leftrightarrow Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{e} G \ni L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} \psi_{*} e_{i} \leftrightarrow \alpha\left(e_{i}\right) \in \mathfrak{g},  \tag{3.19}\\
& T_{e} G \ni L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} V \leftrightarrow \theta(V) \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Because, we have

$$
L_{\psi(x) *}^{-1} \psi_{*} e_{i}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} e_{i}, X_{s \psi(x)}\right) X_{s e}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(e_{i}\right) & =\psi^{*} \theta\left(e_{i}\right)=\theta\left(\psi_{*} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} e_{i}, X_{s \psi(x)}\right) \theta\left(X_{s \psi(x)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{n} h\left(\psi_{*} e_{i}, X_{s \psi(x)}\right) X_{s}, \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that (3.19). Analogously, we obtain (3.20).
Under this identification, the curvature tensor of $(G, h)$ is given as (see Kobayashi-Nomizu ([13, pp. 203-204])),

$$
R^{h}(X, Y)_{e}=-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{ad}([X, Y]) \quad(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g})
$$

and then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{h}\left(V, \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left[\theta(V), \alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right], \alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right] \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

(The third step) By (3.16) and (3.21), for $V \in \Gamma\left(\psi^{-1} T G\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left(\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\Delta} \\
V
\end{array}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{h}\left(V, \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) \psi_{*} e_{i}\right) \\
&= \Delta_{g} \theta(V)-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{i}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right), \theta(V)\right]+\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right]\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{4}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta((V)]\right\} \\
&+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right]\right] \\
&=\left.\Delta_{g} \theta(V)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right), \theta(V)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right] \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), \theta(V)\right] \\
&= \Delta_{g} \theta(V)-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(e_{i}\left(\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right)-\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)\right), \theta(V)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right] \\
&= \Delta_{g} \theta(V)+\frac{1}{2}[\delta \alpha, \theta(V)]+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(V))\right] . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

(The fourth step) For $V=\tau(\psi)$ in (3.22), since $\theta(\tau(\psi))=-\delta \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(J(\tau(\psi))) & =\Delta_{g} \theta(\tau(\psi))+\frac{1}{2}[\delta \alpha, \theta(\tau(\psi))]+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\theta(\tau(\psi))]\right. \\
& =-\Delta_{g} \delta \alpha-\frac{1}{2}[\delta \alpha, \delta \alpha]-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =-\Delta_{g} \delta \alpha-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =-\Delta_{g} \delta \alpha-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\alpha\left(e_{i}\right),(d \delta \alpha)\left(e_{i}\right)\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (3.23) implies the desired (3.10).

## 4. Biharmonic curves from $\mathbb{R}$ into compact Lie groups

In this section, we consider the simplest case: $(M, g)=\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right)$ is the standard 1-dimensional Euclidean space, and $(G, h)$ is an $n$-dimensional compact Lie group with the bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$.

## 4.1.

First, let $\psi: \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \psi(t) \in(G, h)$, a $C^{\infty}$ curve in $G$. Then, $\alpha:=\psi^{*} \theta$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1 -form on $\mathbb{R}$. So, $\alpha$ can be written at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t}=F(t) d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto F(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\psi^{*} \theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\theta\left(\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t):=\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{n} h_{\psi(t)}\left(\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), X_{s \psi(t)}\right) X_{s \psi(t)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\sum_{s=1}^{n} h_{\psi(t)}\left(\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), X_{s \psi(t)}\right) X_{s} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have the following correspondence:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{e} G \ni L_{\psi(t) *}^{-1} \psi^{\prime}(t) & =\sum_{s=1}^{n} h_{\psi(t)}\left(\psi^{\prime}(t), X_{s \psi(t)}\right) X_{s e} \\
\leftrightarrow F(t) & =\theta\left(\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4.2.

We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=-F^{\prime}(t) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we have $\delta \alpha=-e_{1}\left(\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right)=-e_{1}(F(t))=-F^{\prime}(t)$.
Therefore, we have $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is harmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \alpha=0 & \Longleftrightarrow F^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \alpha=X \otimes d t \quad(\text { for some } X \in \mathfrak{g}) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(G, h), \text { is a geodesic } \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\theta\left(\psi^{\prime}(t)\right)=L_{\psi(t) *}^{-1} \psi^{\prime}(t) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t)=L_{\psi(t) *} X=X_{\psi(t)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ which yields that

$$
\psi(t)=x \exp (t X)
$$

Therefore, any geodesic through $\psi(0)=x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=x \exp (t X), \quad(t \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
On the other hand, we want to determine a biharmonic curve $\psi$ : $\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$. By (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta d \delta \alpha=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(-F^{\prime}(t)\right)=F^{(3)}(t) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Trace}_{g}[\alpha, d \delta \alpha]=\left[\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), d \delta \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right]=\left[F(t), F^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by (4.9), (4.10), and (3.16) in Corollary $3.5, \psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(3)}-\left[F(t), F^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.3.

For a $C^{\infty}$ curve $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$, let $\psi(t):=\exp X(t)$, where $X(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\theta\left(\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right), \quad \psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \in T_{\psi(t)} G \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the following formula (cf. [10, p. 95])

$$
\exp _{* X}=L_{\exp X * e} \circ \frac{1-e^{-\operatorname{ad} X}}{\operatorname{ad} X} \quad(X \in \mathfrak{g}),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) & =\exp _{* X(t)} X^{\prime}(t) \\
& =L_{\exp X(t) * e}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\operatorname{ad} X(t))^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\theta$ is a left invariant 1 -form, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\operatorname{ad} X(t))^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.4.

The initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F^{(3)}(t)=\left[F(t), F^{\prime \prime}(t)\right],  \tag{4.17}\\
F(0)=B_{0}, \quad F^{\prime}(0)=B_{1}, \quad F^{\prime \prime}(0)=B_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every $B_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}(i=0,1,2)$, has a unique solution $F(t)$. Assume that $X(t)$ is a real analytic curve in $t$, and $X(0)=0$. Then, $F(t)$ is also real analytic in $t$, and we can write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} t^{n}, \quad F(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} t^{n} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(t)= & X^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{2}\left[-X(t), X^{\prime}(t)\right]+\frac{1}{6}\left[-X(t),\left[-X(t), X^{\prime}(t)\right]\right] \\
& +\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-\operatorname{ad} X(t))^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $X^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{m+1}(m+1) t^{m}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[-X(t), X^{\prime}(t)\right]=-\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{1}, A_{2}\right] t^{2}+O\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left[-X(t),\left[-X(t), X^{\prime}(t)\right]\right]=O\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

so that we have

$$
F(t)=A_{1}+2 A_{2} t+\left(3 A_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{1}, A_{2}\right]\right) t^{2}+O\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

Continuing this process, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{0}=A_{1}  \tag{4.20}\\
B_{1}=2 A_{2} \\
B_{2}=3 A_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{1}, A_{2}\right] \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
B_{n}=(n+1) A_{n+1}+G_{n}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $G_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Notice that for arbitrary given data $\left(B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$, all $B_{n}(n=0,1, \ldots)$ are determined, and by using (4.20), one can determine all $A_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, uniquely. Therefore, by summarizing the above, we obtain

Theorem 4.1 For every $C^{\infty}$ curve $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G, \psi(t)=\exp X(t)(X(t) \in$ $\mathfrak{g})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=F(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\operatorname{ad} X(t))^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(3)}(t)=\left[F(t), F^{\prime \prime}(t)\right] \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F^{(3)}(t)=\left[F(t), F^{\prime \prime}(t)\right],  \tag{4.23}\\
F(0)=B_{0}, F^{\prime}(0)=B_{1}, F^{\prime \prime}(0)=B_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution $F(t)$ for arbitrary given data $\left(B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.
(3) Assume that $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is a real analytic biharmonic curve with $\psi(0)=e$. Then, $\psi(t)$ is uniquely determined by $F(0)=B_{0}$, $F^{\prime}(0)=B_{1}$, and $F^{\prime \prime}(0)=B_{2}$.

Example If $G$ is abelian, let us consider a $C^{\infty}$ curve $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ given by $\psi(t)=\exp X(t)$. Then, $F(t)=X^{\prime}(t)$, and $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if $F^{(3)}(t)=X^{(4)}(t)=0$. Then, $X(t)=A_{0}+A_{1} t+A_{2} t^{2}+A_{3} t^{3}$. Thus, every biharmonic curve $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ with $\psi(0)=e$ is given by

$$
\psi(t)=\exp \left(A_{1} t+A_{2} t^{2}+A_{3} t^{3}\right)
$$

## 4.5.

Now we will solve the ODE (4:22) for a biharmonic isometric immersion $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}, g_{0}\right) \rightarrow G$ and a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued curve $F(t)$ in the case of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2)$. Let $G=S U(2)$ with the bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$ which corresponds to the following $\operatorname{Ad}(S U(2))$-invariant inner product $\langle$,$\rangle on$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s} u(2)=\left\{X \in M(2, \mathbb{C}) ; X+{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \bar{X}=0, \operatorname{Tr}(X)=0\right\} \\
& \langle X, Y\rangle=-2 \operatorname{Tr}(X Y) \quad(X ; Y \in \mathfrak{s} u(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose

$$
X_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $(\mathfrak{s u}(2),\langle\rangle$,$) , and satisfies the$ Lie bracket relations:

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=X_{3}, \quad\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=X_{1}, \quad\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]=X_{2}
$$

Thus, the ODE (4.22) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}^{(3)}=y_{2} y_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}-y_{3} y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime},  \tag{4.24}\\
y_{2}^{(3)}=y_{3} y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}-y_{1} y_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \\
y_{3}{ }^{(3)}=y_{1} y_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}-y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{y}^{(3)}=\mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{y}^{\prime \prime}, \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}:={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, and $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ stands for the vector cross product in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Notice here that $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-abelian, but our equation (4.22) turns to the vector equation (4.26) depending on the time $t$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by identifying $\mathfrak{g} \ni \sum_{i=1}^{3} y_{i} X_{i} \mapsto\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Then, the ODE (4.25) can be solved as follows:
Let $\mathbf{x}(s)={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(x_{1}(s), x_{2}(s), x_{3}(s)\right)$ be a $C^{\infty}$ curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with arc length parameter $s$, and then

$$
\mathbf{y}(s)=\mathbf{x}^{\prime}(s)=\mathbf{e}_{1}(s)
$$

Let $\left.\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \mathbf{e}_{2}(s), \mathbf{e}_{3}(s)\right)\right\}$ be the Frenet frame field along $\mathbf{x}(s)$. Recall the Frenet-Serret formula:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}= \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime}=-\kappa \mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}^{\prime}= \\
-\tau \mathbf{e}_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are the curvature and torsion of $\mathbf{x}(s)$, respectively. Then, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{y}^{\prime} & =\kappa \mathbf{e}_{2}  \tag{4.26}\\
\mathbf{y}^{\prime \prime} & =-\kappa^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\kappa \tau \mathbf{e}_{3} \\
\mathbf{y}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =-3 \kappa \kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\kappa^{\prime \prime}-\kappa^{3}-\kappa \tau^{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}+\left(2 \kappa^{\prime} \tau+\kappa \tau^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Thus, (4.24) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
- & 3 \kappa \kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\kappa^{\prime \prime}-\kappa^{3}-\kappa \tau^{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}+\left(2 \kappa^{\prime} \tau+\kappa \tau^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3} \\
\quad= & \mathbf{e}_{1} \times\left(-\kappa^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\kappa \tau \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \\
= & \kappa \tau \mathbf{e}_{2}+\kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{3} \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-3 \kappa \kappa^{\prime} & =0  \tag{4.28}\\
\kappa^{\prime \prime}-\kappa^{3}-\kappa \tau^{2} & =-\kappa \tau \\
2 \kappa^{\prime} \tau+\kappa \tau^{\prime} & =\kappa^{\prime}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then, the first equation of (4.28) turns out that $\left(\kappa^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0$, that is, $\kappa^{2}$ is constant, i.e., $\kappa \equiv 0$, or $\kappa \equiv \kappa_{0} \neq 0$. In the case that $\kappa \equiv 0$, the solution of (4.28), $\mathbf{x}(s)$, is a line in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

For the case that $\kappa \equiv \kappa_{0} \neq 0$, the only solution of (4.24) is

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\kappa & \equiv \kappa_{0} \neq 0,  \tag{4.29}\\
\tau & \equiv \tau_{0}, \quad \text { and } \\
\kappa_{0}^{2} & =\tau_{0}\left(1-\tau_{0}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and the unique solution of (4.25) is given by

$$
\mathbf{x}(s)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}(s)  \tag{4.30}\\
x_{2}(s) \\
x_{3}(s)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a \cos \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}+b \\
a \sin \frac{s}{a^{2}+1}+b \\
\frac{s}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}+b
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some positive constant $a>0$ and some constant $b$. Thus, $F(s)$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
F(s)= & \mathbf{x}^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}{ }^{\prime}(s) X_{i} \\
= & \left(-\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \sin \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}\right) X_{1}+\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \cos \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}\right) X_{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}\right) X_{3} \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

for any constant $a>0$. Conversely, it is easy to see that every such $F(s)$ in (4.31) is a solution of (4.22): $F^{(3)}=\left[F(s), F^{\prime \prime}(s)\right]$.

Remark It is still difficult to determine $X(t)$ to satisfy (4.21):

$$
F(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\operatorname{ad} X(t))^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

in the case of $\mathfrak{s} u(2)$.

## 5. Biharmonic maps from an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

In this section, we consider a biharmonic map $\psi:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, g\right) \supset \Omega \rightarrow(G, h)$. Here, we assume that $G$ is a linear compact Lie group, i.e., $G$ is a subgroup of the unitary group $U(N)(\subset G L(N, \mathbb{C}))$ of degree $N$ with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$ on $G$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$ which is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(N)$ of $U(N)$. The Riemannian metric $g$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a conformal metric which is given by $g=\mu^{2} g_{0}$ with a $C^{\infty}$ positive function $\mu$ on $\Omega$ and $g_{0}=d x \cdot d x+d y \cdot d y$, where $(x, y)$ is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Let $\psi: \Omega \ni(x, y) \mapsto \psi(x, y)=\left(\psi_{i j}(x, y)\right) \in U(N)$ a $C^{\infty}$ map. Let us consider

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}:=\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{i j}}{\partial x}\right), \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}:=\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{i j}}{\partial y}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}:=\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \quad A_{y}:=\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $C^{\infty}$ functions on $\Omega$. It is known that, for two given $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-forms $A_{x}$ and $A_{y}$ on $\Omega$, there exists a $C^{\infty}$ mapping $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow G$ satisfying the equations (5.1) if the integrability condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial y}+\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pull back of the Maurer-Cartan form $\theta$ by $\psi$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & :=\psi^{*} \theta=\psi^{-1} d \psi=\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} d x+\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} d y \\
& =A_{x} d x+A_{y} d y \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form on $\Omega$.
Recall that the codifferential $\delta \alpha$ of a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form $\alpha=A_{x} d x+A_{y} d y$, where $A_{x}=\psi^{-1}(\partial \psi / \partial x)$ and $A_{y}=\psi^{-1}(\partial \psi / \partial y)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=-\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}\right\} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have the following well known facts:
Lemma 5.1 We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \alpha & =-\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.5}\\
& =-\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the following three statements are equivalent:
(i) $\quad \psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is harmonic,
(ii) $\delta \alpha=0$,
(iii) $\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}=0$.

Next, calculate the Laplacian $\Delta_{g}$ of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, g\right)$ for $g=\mu^{2} g_{0}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{g} & =-\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} g^{i j}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}-\sum_{k=1}^{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\right) \\
& =-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta d \delta \alpha & =\Delta_{g}(\delta \alpha) \\
& =\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)\left[\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)\left[\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right\}\right] \\
& =-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)(\delta \alpha) . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by taking an orthonormal local frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, g\right)$, as $e_{1}=\mu^{-1}(\partial / \partial x), e_{2}=\mu^{-1}(\partial / \partial y)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha])= & {\left[\alpha\left(e_{1}\right), d \delta \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right]+\left[\alpha\left(e_{2}\right), d \delta \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)\right] } \\
= & -\mu^{-2}\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right\}\right)\right] \\
& -\mu^{-2}\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\mu^{-2}\left\{\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right\}\right)\right] \\
= & \mu^{-2}\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\mu^{-2}\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] . \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.10) and (5.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta d \delta \alpha & +\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha]) \\
& =-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)(\delta \alpha)+\mu^{-2}\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\mu^{-2}\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =-\mu^{-2}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)(\delta \alpha)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right]-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right]\right\} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equation in (5.11), we only notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & {\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right] } \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right]+\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right]+\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right]+\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =\left[-\mu^{-2} \delta \alpha, \delta \alpha\right]+\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] \\
& =\left[A_{x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)\right]+\left[A_{y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have
Theorem 5.2 Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}, g=\mu^{2} g_{0}$, a Riemannian metric conformal to the standard metric $g_{0}$ on $\Omega$ with a $C^{\infty}$ positive function $\mu$ on $\Omega$, and $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow G$, a $C^{\infty}$ map of $\Omega$ into a compact linear Lie group $(G, h)$ with bi-invariant Riemannian metric $h$. Then,
(1) The 1 -form $\alpha$ satisfies $d \alpha+(1 / 2)[\alpha \wedge \alpha]=0$ which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial y}+\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]=0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The following three are equivalent:
(i) $\quad \psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is harmonic,
(ii) $\delta \alpha=0$,
(iii) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}=0$.
(3) The following three are equivalent:
(i) $\quad \psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic,
(ii) $\quad \delta d \delta \alpha+\operatorname{Trace}_{g}([\alpha, d \delta \alpha])=0$,
(iii) $\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)(\delta \alpha)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right]-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right]=0$.
(4) Let us consider two $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1 -forms $\beta$ and $\Theta$ on $\Omega$, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta:=\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right] d x+\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right] d y  \tag{5.18}\\
& \Theta:=d \delta \alpha-\beta \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Then, $\psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Theta=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) is clear. We see already (2) and (3). For (4), we only have to see that (5.17) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\Delta_{g}(\delta \alpha)+\delta \beta=-\delta(d \delta \alpha-\beta)=-\delta \Theta \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta & :=d \delta \alpha-\beta \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha) d x+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha) d y-\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right] d x-\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right] d y \\
& =\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \alpha)-\left[A_{x}, \delta \alpha\right]\right\} d x+\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\delta \alpha)-\left[A_{y}, \delta \alpha\right]\right\} d y \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. Complexification of the biharmonic map equation

We use the complex coordinate $z=x+i y(i=\sqrt{-1})$ in $\Omega$, and we put $A_{z}=(1 / 2)\left(A_{x}-i A_{y}\right)$ and $A_{\bar{z}}=(1 / 2)\left(A_{x}+i A_{y}\right)$ which are $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued functions with $A_{\bar{z}}=\overline{A_{z}}$. Then, it is well known that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}\right\} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\left[A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right] & =\frac{i}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{y}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{x}+\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]\right\} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=A_{x} d x+A_{y} d y=A_{z} d z+A_{\bar{z}} d \bar{z} \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}=4 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}, \\
\delta \alpha=-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_{x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{y}\right)=-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}}\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, the condition (5.20) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \widetilde{\Theta}=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Theta}:=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\delta \alpha)-\left[A_{z}, \delta \alpha\right]\right\} d z+\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}(\delta \alpha)-\left[A_{\bar{z}}, \delta \alpha\right]\right\} d z \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrability condition (5.13) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\left[A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right]=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7. Determination of biharmonic maps

In this section, we want to show how to determine all the biharmonic maps of $(\Omega, g)$ into a compact Lie group $(G, h)$ where $g=\mu^{2} g_{0}$ with a positive $C^{\infty}$ function on $\Omega$ and $h$ is a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on $G$. Our method to obtain all the biharmonic maps can be divided into three steps:
(The first step) We first solve the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} B_{z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_{\bar{z}}=0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that, if these $B_{z}$ and $B_{\bar{z}}$ satisfy furthermore, the integrability condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_{\bar{z}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} B_{z}+\left[B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right]=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, there exists a harmonic map $\Psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}=B_{z}  \tag{7.3}\\
\Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{z}}=B_{\bar{z}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the converse is true.
(The second step) For such two $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued functions $B_{z}$ and $B_{\bar{z}}$ on $\Omega$ satisfying (7.1) not necessarily satisfying (7.2), we should detect two $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ valued functions $A_{z}$ and $A_{\bar{z}}$ on $\Omega$ satisfying that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\left[A_{z},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right]=B_{z}  \tag{7.4}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\left[A_{\bar{z}},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right]=B_{\bar{z}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\left[A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right]=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

(The third step) Finally, for the above $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued functions $A_{z}$ and $A_{\bar{z}}$ on $\Omega$ satisfying (7.4) and $a \in G$, there exists a $C^{\infty}$ mapping $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow G$ satisfying that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\psi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) & =a  \tag{7.5}\\
\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} & =A_{z} \\
\psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}} & =A_{\bar{z}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then, $\psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ is a biharmonic map due to (5.20), (6.1) and (7.4), and conversely, every biharmonic map $\psi:(\Omega, g) \rightarrow(G, h)$ could be obtained in this way. To do the these procedures rigorously, let us define

## Definition 7.1

(1) Let us define the four sets $\Lambda, \Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$, and $\Lambda_{0}$ :

- Let $\Lambda$ be the set of all $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two functions $\left(A_{x}, \underline{A_{y}}\right.$ ) on $\Omega$, (or all $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued two functions $\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right)$ on $\Omega$ with $A_{\bar{z}}=\overline{A_{z}}$,
- let $\Lambda_{1}$, the set of $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \in \Lambda$ which satisfy the harmonic map equation (5.12) (or (7.1)),
- let $\Lambda_{2}$, the set of $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \in \Lambda$ which satisfy the biharmonic map equation (5.17) (or (6.1)), and
- let $\Lambda_{0}$, the set of $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \in \Lambda$ which satisfy the integrability condition (5.13), (or (6.3)), respectively.
(2) Let us define two sets $\Xi$ and $\Xi_{1}$ :
- Let $\Xi$ be the set of all $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two real analytic functions $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ on $\Omega$ (or $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued two real analytic functions $\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right)$ on $\Omega$ with $B_{\bar{z}}=\overline{B_{z}}$, and
- let $\Xi_{1}$, the set of all $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)=\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right) \in \Xi$ satisfying the harmonic map equation (7.1), respectively.

Definition 7.2 Let us define two $C^{\infty}$ mappings $\Phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ of $\Lambda$ into $\Xi$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \\
:=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right)-\left[A_{x},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]\right. \\
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right)-\left[A_{y},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]\right), \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{2}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \\
&:=( -\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]\right) \\
&-\frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)-\left[A_{x},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right] \\
&-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{y}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} A_{y}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]\right) \\
&\left.-\frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)-\left[A_{y},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.

Then, we obtain
Theorem 7.3 Assume that $\Omega$ be a simply connected open domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $\mu$ is a positive real analytic function on $\Omega$. Then, we have:
(1) For every $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)=\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right) \in \Xi$ there exists $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right) \in \Lambda$ such that $\Phi_{2}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Phi_{2}\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right)=\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right)\right)$. The solution $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right)$ is uniquely determined by the initial data $A_{x}\left(x_{0}, y\right), A_{y}\left(x_{0}, y\right),\left(\partial A_{x} / \partial x\right)\left(x_{0}, y\right)$ and $\left(\partial A_{y} / \partial x\right)\left(x_{0}, y\right),\left(x_{0}, y\right) \in \Omega$.
(2) $\Phi_{1}=\Phi_{2}$ on $\Lambda_{0}$,
(3) $\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Xi_{1}\right)=\Lambda_{2}$, and $\Phi_{1}\left(\Lambda_{2} \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=\Phi_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2} \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=\Xi_{1}$.

Proof. For (1), by definition of $\Phi_{2}$, that $\Phi_{2}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ is equivalent to the following two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}= & -\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right] \\
& -\mu^{2} \frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)-\mu^{2}\left[A_{x},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right] \\
& -\mu^{2} B_{x} \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} A_{y}}{\partial x^{2}}= & -\frac{\partial^{2} A_{y}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right] \\
& -\mu^{2} \frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)-\mu^{2}\left[A_{y},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right] \\
& -\mu^{2} B_{y} \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the system of (7.8) and (7.9) satisfies all the conditions of the theorem of Cauchy-Kovalevskaya when $n_{i}=2(i=1,2)$ (cf. [7, p. 1305, 429 B], [14, p. 224], [11, p. 181])

Theorem 7.4 (Cauchy-Kovalevskaya) Let us consider the following Cauchy problem of unknown $N$ functions $u_{i}(t, x)(i=1, \ldots, N)$ in $t$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial^{n_{i}} u_{i}}{\partial t^{n_{i}}}=F_{i}\left(t, x, D_{t}^{k} D_{x}^{p} u_{j}\right) & (i=1, \ldots, N)  \tag{7.10}\\ \frac{\partial^{k} u_{i}}{\partial t^{k}}\left(t_{0}, x\right)=\varphi_{i}^{k}(x) & \left(0 \leq k \leq n_{i}-1 ; i=1, \ldots, N\right)\end{cases}
$$

where, for $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right),|p|=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{m}, D_{t}^{k} D_{x}^{p}:=\left(\partial^{k} / \partial t^{k}\right)$ $\cdot\left(\partial^{|p|} / \partial x_{1}{ }^{p_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{m}{ }^{p_{m}}\right)$ and in the right hand side of the first equation of (7.10), $k$ and $p$ satisfy

$$
k<n_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad k+|p| \leq n_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, N)
$$

Assume that each $F_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}^{k}$ are real analytic functions. Then, there exists a real analytic solution $u_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N)$ of (7.10) and it is unique in the class of real analytic functions.

Then, for each $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right) \in \Xi$, there exists a real analytic solution ( $A_{x}, A_{y}$ ) of the Cauchy problem (7.8) and (7.9) with the initial condition:

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}\right)\left(x_{0}, y\right)=f_{1}(y), & A_{x}\left(x_{0}, y\right)=f_{0}(y)  \tag{7.11}\\ \left(\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x}\right)\left(x_{0}, y\right)=g_{1}(y), & A_{y}\left(x_{0}, y\right)=g_{0}(y)\end{cases}
$$

and the real analytic solution $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)$ is unique for real analytic functions $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}(i=0,1)$. By taking this process at each point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $\Omega$, we have a real analytic solution $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)$ of (7.8) and (7.9) in an open neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Then, by the uniqueness theorem of the continuation of a real analytic function on a simply connected domain $\Omega$, we have a solution ( $A_{x}, A_{y}$ ) of (7.8) and (7.9) on $\Omega$. We have (1).

For (2), we have to see $\Phi_{1}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\Phi_{2}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)$ for every $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \in$ $\Lambda_{0}$, which follows from that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \left(\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right) \\
& =\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} A_{y}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)+\frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right) \\
& =\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} A_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]\right)+\frac{\partial \mu^{-2}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

because of (5.13) and it is a similar for $(\partial / \partial y)\left(\mu^{-2}\left(\partial A_{x} / \partial x+\partial A_{y} / \partial y\right)\right)$, so that we have (2).

For (3), due to (2), we only have to see $\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Xi_{1}\right)=\Lambda_{2}$ which is equivalent to that:

$$
\text { for all }\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right) \in \Xi \text {, exists a unique }\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right) \in \Lambda_{2} \text { such that }
$$

$$
\Phi_{1}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right), \text { and vice versa. }
$$

But, that $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)=\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right) \in \Xi_{1}$ means that it satisfies the harmonic map equation (7.1). On the other hand, $\Phi_{1}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ means that $\Phi_{1}\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right)=\left(B_{z}, B_{\bar{z}}\right)$ which is equivalent to that the first two equations of (7.4) hold by definition of $\Phi_{1}$, and notice here that $\Phi_{1}\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ is equivalent to the two following equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right)-\left[A_{x},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]=B_{x}  \tag{7.13}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right)-\left[A_{y},-\mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}\right)\right]=B_{y} \tag{7.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are also equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\left[A_{z},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right]=B_{z}  \tag{7.15}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\left[A_{\bar{z}},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right]=B_{\bar{z}} \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

But, by inserting both (7.14) and (7.15) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} B_{z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_{\bar{z}}=0 \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{z} \partial z}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left[A_{\bar{z}},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}\left(-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[A_{\bar{z}},-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial \bar{z}}+\frac{\partial A_{\bar{z}}}{\partial z}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=0 \tag{7.18}
\end{align*}
$$

which is just the biharmonic map equation for $\left(A_{z}, A_{\bar{z}}\right):(6.1) \delta \widetilde{\Theta}-0$. By the same way, one can see also immediately $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)$ satisfies the biharmonic map equation (5.20) if $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ satisfies the harmonic map equation (5.15) by using Theorem 5.2, (5.6) and (5.22). Thus, we obtain $\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Xi_{1}\right)=\Lambda_{2}$ and (3).

Remark The solution $\left(A_{x}, A_{y}\right)$ in (1) of Theorem 7.3 can be chosen in such a way that they satisfy the integrability condition (5.13) at the initial value $\left(x_{0}, y\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x}\left(x_{0}, y\right)-\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial y}\left(x_{0}, y\right)+\left[A_{x}\left(x_{0}, y\right), A_{y}\left(x_{0}, y\right)\right]=0 \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $y$, i.e., the initial functions $f_{0}, f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ may be chosen to satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial y}\left(x_{0}, y\right)=g_{1}(y)+\left[f_{0}(y), f_{1}(y)\right] \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we introduce a loop group formulation for biharmonic maps. We first, consider a $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued 1 -forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\nu}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) B_{z} d z+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\nu^{-1}\right) B_{\bar{z}} d \bar{z} \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a parameter $\nu \in S^{1}$, which satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \beta_{\nu}+\left[\beta_{\nu} \wedge \beta_{\nu}\right]=0 \quad\left(\forall \nu \in S^{1}\right) \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the definition of $\left[\beta_{\nu} \wedge \beta_{\nu}\right]$, see (3.13).
Next, we consider $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued 1-forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\nu}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) A_{z} d z+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\nu^{-1}\right) A_{\bar{z}} d \bar{z} \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\delta \alpha_{\nu}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) A_{z}, \delta \alpha_{\nu}\right]=B_{z}  \tag{7.24}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left(\delta \alpha_{\nu}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) A_{\bar{z}}, \delta \alpha_{\nu}\right]=B_{\bar{z}} \\
d \alpha_{\nu}+\left[\alpha_{\nu} \wedge \alpha_{\nu}\right]=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $\nu \in S^{1}$. Here, the co-differentiation $\delta \alpha_{\nu}$ of $\alpha_{\nu}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha_{\nu}=-2 \mu^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} A_{z}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\nu^{-1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{\bar{z}}\right) . \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the mapping $\psi_{\nu}: \Omega \rightarrow G$ satisfying $\psi_{\nu}{ }^{*} \theta=\alpha_{\nu}$ is a biharmonic map of $(\Omega, g)$ into $(G, h)$ where $g=\mu^{2} g_{0}$ for a positive $C^{\infty}$ function $\mu$ on $\Omega$.
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